

NATIONAL FIRE PROTECTION ASSOCIATION

The leading information and knowledge resource on fire, electrical and related hazards

MINUTES

NFPA Correlating Committee on Signaling Systems for the Protection of Life and Property (SIG-AAC) NFPA 72 Second Draft Meeting (A2024)

December 13, 2023 10:01 a.m. – 4:50 p.m. (EST)

Web/Teleconference

- 1. Call to order. Merton W. Bunker, Jr., chair, called the meeting to order at 10:01 a.m. on December 13, 2023.
- **2. Introductions.** Attendees introduced themselves and identified their affiliation. NFPA staff took attendance.
- **3.** Chair report. Merton W. Bunker, Jr. welcomed attendees and provided an overview of the meeting.
- **4. Staff liaison report.** Patrick Bakaj provided an overview of the standards development process and the revision cycle schedule.
- **5. Previous meeting minutes.** The minutes from January 10-11, 2023, Orlando, FL were approved without revision.

6. Correlating Committee Liaison Reports

- a. Shane Clary gave an update on the development of NFPA 101
- b. Shane Clary had no updates on the development of NFPA 25.
- c. Doug Aiken gave an update on the development of NFPA 1225.

7. NFPA 72 Second Draft.

- a. **Correlating Review.** The Correlating Committee reviewed the work of the Technical Committees and developed Second Correlating Revisions as necessary. These will be available in the Second Draft Report at www.nfpa.org/72next.
- b. **Task group report(s).** The following task groups provided their reports and recommendations.
 - i. Task Group 1 Fundamentals (SIG-FUN). Rick Heffernan. The task group provided a report (attached), revisions were made. The task group has been discharged with thanks.

- ii. Task Group 2 Initiating Devices (SIG-IDS). Peter Larrimer. The task group provided a report (attached), revisions were made. The task group has been discharged with thanks.
- iii. Task Group 3 Notification Appliances (SIG-NAS). Art Black. The task group provided a report (attached), revisions were made. The task group has been discharged with thanks.
- iv. Task Group 4 Supervising Stations (SIG-SSS). Wayne Moore. The task group provided a report (attached), revisions were made. The task group has been discharged with thanks.
- v. Task Group 5 Protected Premises (SIG-PRO). Thomas Parrish. The task group provided a verbal report, no revisions were made. The task group has been discharged with thanks.
- vi. Task Group 6 Household (SIG-HOU). Andrew Bererzowski. The task group provided a report (attached), revisions were made. The task group has been discharged with thanks.
- vii. Task Group 7 Inspection, Testing, and Maintenance (SIG-TMS). Rodger Reiswig. The task group provided a report (attached), revisions were made. The task group has been discharged with thanks.
- viii. Task Group 8 Public Fire Reporting Systems (SIG-PRS). Lynn Neilson. The task group provided a verbal report, no revisions were made. The task group has been discharged with thanks.
 - ix. Task Group 9 Emergency Communications Systems (SIG-ECS). Jeffery Van Keuren. The task group provided a report (attached), revisions were made. The task group has been discharged with thanks.
- c. **New task groups.** The following task groups were appointed to work subsequent to the meeting:
 - i. Product Standards Task Group. TG Chair: Rodger Reiswig. Members: Jeff Van Keuren, Morris Stoops, Art Black, Allan Sanedrin. Review the Code as it relates to product standards references and provide clear direction to each Technical Committee how to reference product standards. The task group will develop public inputs for the next revision cycle.
 - **ii. Silencing/Deactivation Task Group**. TG Chair: Michael Pallett. Members: Rodger Reiswig, Jeff Van Keuren, Allen Sanedrin, Maria Marks, Art Black. Review the Code for audible and visual deactivation for fire alarm evacuation systems and emergency communications systems. The task group will develop public inputs for the next revision cycle.

8. Other Business.

a. **1225 Petition** – The NFPA 72 Correlating Committee desires to petition the Standards Council to move the NFPA 1221 portion of NFPA 1225 to a three-year revision cycle, establish a technical committee for the NFPA 1221 material under the NFPA 72

Correlating Committee, or move the NFPA 1221 material back into NFPA 72. – **Substantiation**: Emergency responder communications enhancement system technology is rapidly changing. The current five-year cycle is not rapid enough to keep up with the changing technology and changes in cybersecurity concerns.

- b. **715 Petition** The NFPA 72 Correlating Committee desires to petition the Standards Council to bring NFPA 715 under the NFPA 72 Correlating Committee. **Substantiation**: The content of NFPA 715, Fuel Gases and Warning Equipment concerns detection and signaling for fuel gases. Detection and signaling are the purview of NFPA 72. Correlation between NFPA 72 and NFPA 715 is important to ensure the documents align.
- **9. Future meetings.** This was the final meeting of this committee for the revision cycle. Public Inputs for the next edition are expected to close June 2025. A meeting notification will be posted at www.nfpa.org/72next when the next meeting is scheduled.
- **10. Adjournment.** The meeting was adjourned at 4:50 p.m. on December 13, 2023.

Attendees

Committee Members:

	mittee Members:	T	T
X	Bunker, Merton	Chair	Merton Bunker & Associates, LLC.
X	Bakaj, Patrick	Secretary (Staff Liaison)	National Fire Protection Association
X	Aiken, Douglas	Principal	IMSA Educational Foundation
X	Berezowski, Andrew	Principal	National Electrical Manufacturers
X	Black, Art	Principal	Carmel Fire Protection
X	Chavez, Louis	Principal	UL Solutions
X	Clary, Shane	Principal	The Monitoring Association
X	Grill, Raymond	Principal	Ray Grill Consulting PLLC
X	Heffernan, Rick	Principal	Automatic Fire Alarm Association, Inc.
X	Krueger, Kyle	Principal	National Electrical Contractors Association
X	Larrimer, Peter	Principal	US Department of Veterans Affairs
X	LeBlanc, David	Principal	JENSEN HUGHES
X	Moore, Wayne	Principal	Self Employed
X	Nielson, Lynn	Principal	City of Henderson
	Norton, Thomas	Principal	US Naval Historical Center
X	Parrish, Thomas	Principal	Telgian Corporation
X	Reiswig, Rodger	Principal	Johnson Controls

X	Schifiliti, Robert	Principal	R. P. Schifiliti Associates, Inc.	
		i i		
X	Stroud, Brad	Principal	Texas Instruments, Inc.	
X	Van Keuren, Jeffery	Principal	Carrier/UTC	
	Besson, Daniel	Voting Alternate	International Association of Fire Chiefs	
	Buehrer , Matthew	Alternate	Automatic Fire Alarm Association, Inc.	
	Hendershot, David	Alternate	National Electrical Contractors Association	
X	Leber, Fred	Alternate	AML Encore Corporation	
X	Marks, Maria	Alternate	National Electrical Manufacturers	
X	Sanedrin, Allan	Alternate	UL Solutions	
X	Stoops, Morris	Alternate	Carrier/UTC	
X	Dallaire, Laurence	Nonvoting Member	TC on Initiating Devices for Fire Alarm	
X	Kerr, J. David	Nonvoting Member	TC on Testing & Maintenance of Fire	
	Koffel, William	Nonvoting Member	TC on Emergency Communication	
X	Lowrey, David	Nonvoting Member	TC on Fundamentals of Fire Alarm	
	Martin, Leo	Nonvoting Member	TC on Public Fire Reporting Systems	
X	O'Connor, Daniel	Nonvoting Member	TC on Supervising Station Fire Alarm	
X	Ogle, Cory	Nonvoting Member	TC on Household Fire Alarm Systems	
X	Poole, Andrew	Nonvoting Member	TC on Notification Appliances for Fire	
X	Poole, Jack	Nonvoting Member	TC on Protected Premises Fire Alarm	
	Reiss, Martin	Member Emeritus	Jensen Hughes	
	Wilson, Dean	Member Emeritus	JENSEN HUGHES	

Guests:

Bryan Holland National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA)

Michael Pallett Pallett Corner Consulting

Larry Rietz JENSEN HUGHES

Sheryl Tricocci Independent Consultant

Tim Knisley AFAA Tom Goss NFPA Staff

Chad Duffy NFPA Staff

Total number in attendance: 37

TC	Sec. #	Issue	CR	DRAFT SCR	Notes/Substantiation	TG1 Comments
SIG-FUN	7.7.2.3		CR	See Word Document 72_SIG-FUN_7_7_2_3.docx	MOS requires titles on section headers. Altenatively, the requirements can be moved down one level and included under 7.7.2 Document Accessibility. The annex on 7.7.2.3 could be relocated to 7.7.2.	7.7.2.1 With every new system, a documentation cabinet shall be installed at the system control unit or at another approved location at the protected premises. 7.7.2.2 The documentation cabinet shall be sized so that it can contain all necessary documentation. 7.7.2.3 7.7.2.3.1 All record documentation shall be stored in a dedicated documentation cabinet. 7.7.2.4.3.2 Record documentation shall not be stored in any control unit enclosure.
SIG-FUN	11.30		CR	The section references security levels in accordance with ANSI/ISA/IEC 62443 4-2/3-3. 62443-4-2 and 62443-3-3 are two documents. Clarify if Security Level		Accept as noted
				and 24-3-3-3 at 0 decays and continue to the c	bocuments. The reference of Anyl (SATEC 02443-4-2) 55 should be separated and clarified if one or both are applicable for each security level.	
SIG-FUN	A.18.9.4.2, Annex J			Provide update to "FAA Human Factors" reference, the current link does not work.		Delete Link as it does not work (FAA Human Factors) unless new link is identified
SIG-FUN	1.4.1			"Unless otherwise permitted elsewhere in this Code, the provisions of this document shall not be required to applied toinstallations that were existing" Is 'permitted' the correct term? Is it specifically prohibited to apply new requirements to existing installations? Should 'permitted' be changed to 'required'?		To be discussed at Second Draft TCC Meeting
SIG- FUN,SIG- ECS	2.2, 24.3.14, A.24.3.13, J.1.1			2.2 NFPA Publications. NFPA 16096, "Standard on Continuity, Emergency, and Crisis Management, 2019 edition. NFPA 1600, Standard for Pre-Incident Planning, 2020 edition. NFPA 1600, Standard for Emergency, Continuity, and Crisis Management: Preparedness, Response, and Recovery, 2024 edition. 24.3.14* Emergency Response Plan Elements. A well-defined emergency response plan shall be developed in accordance with NFPA 1600 and NFPA 16001600 as part of the design and implementation of a mass notification system. A 24.3.13 There are many credible risk assessment methodologies that can be utilized and/or referenced in conducting the risk assessment required in 24.3.13, some of which are listed as follows: 3.NFPA 1600, Glo, Quincy, MA: National Fire Protection Association, www.nfpa.org (text not associated with the change was removed to fit in Excel] 1.1.1 NFPA Publications. NFPA 1600*, Standard on Continuity, Emergency, and Crisis Management, 2019 edition. NFPA 1600, Standard for Emergency, Continuity, and Crisis Management: Preparedness, Response, and Recovery, 2024 edition.	NFPA 1600 and NFPA 1620 were consolidated into NFPA 1660 2024 edition. Requirements contained in NFPA 1600 and 1620 are now located in NFPA 1660.	Accept changes as noted due to consolidation of NFPA 1600 and 1620
SIG-NAS, SIG-ECS, SIG-FUN	18.3.7, 24.3.10		CR	See word document 72_SIG_FUN_SIG_NAS_SIG_ECS_NACs.docx	Correlate the DCNAC (18.3.7) and A-NAC(24.3.10) requirements between Chapter 18 and Chapter 24 and rename DCNAC to V-NAC to mirror A-NAC. During the SIG ECS SDM there was disucssion to move the DCNAC and A-NAC requirements to Chapter 10.	Accept as noted in substantiation
SIG-TMS, SIG-FUN	A.14.6.1.1			A.14.6.1.1 Examples of acceptable methods used to define the required sequence of operations and to document the actual sequence of operations include a logic diagram [see Figure A.14.6.1.1(a)] and an input/output matrix [see Figure A.14.6.1.1(b)]. The required and actual sequence of operation should include all applicable items found in the list in A.7.4.9:	A.14.6.1.1 references a list of items in A.7.9 that does not exist in the Code.	A.7.4.9 sends you to A.14.6.1.1 and the items required are in A.14.6.1.1 - change FACP to FACU
SIG-FUN	3.3.293.3		CR	3.3.293.3 Cybersecurity Software. Software that is included in a system element and arranged such that its inclusion or exclusion in no way affects the executive or site-specific software execution and whose purpose is to reduce the vulnerability of the system and/or equipment to cybersecurity attacks. (SIG-FUNTMS)	SIG TMS and SIG FUN created definitions for Cybersecurity Software, the SIG TMS definition was kept, however the definition should belong to SIG FUN.	Accept - Belongs to SIG-FUN

Task Group 2 CC SCM SIG IDS Notes

TC	Sec. #	Issue	CR	DRAFT SCR	Notes/Substantiation	RPS Comments	CRO Comments	PL Comments
SIG-IDS	A.17.11.2.1		CR	Selection of acoustic leak detection technology should be based on testing that demonstrates performance is in alignment with the proposed application. As an emerging technology, there are limited industry certification standards for this performance testing, it is up to the AHI to approve the application. Guidance can be found in prEN 50724, Fixed Ultrasonic Gas Leak Detectors (UGLD)—General requirements and test methods.	The Manual of Style requires references to have a publication date. EN50724 is a draft document that is not published yet Also remove the reference from Annex J	The suggested changes are recommended.		This appears to have a date when searching the internet. https://webstore.ansi.org/st andards/ds/dsen507242023
SIG-IDS	17.7.4.2.3.1		CR	17.7.4.2.3.1* In the absence of specific performance based design criteria, dDetectors on ceilings up to 40 ft (12.2 m) in height shall be spaced in accordance with eitherone of the following: (1) The dDetectors on ceilings up to 40 ft (12.2 m) shall comply with the following: (a) The distance between detectors shall not exceed a nominal spacing of 30 ft (9.1 m). (b) There shall be detectors within a distance of one-half the nominal spacing, measured at right angles from all walls or partitions extending upward to within the top 15 percent of the ceiling height. (2) *All points on the ceiling up to 40 ft (12.2 m) in height shall have a detector within a distance equal to or less than 0.7 times the nominal 30 ft (9.1 m) spacing (0.75). (3) Detectors shall be located in accordance with performance-based design criteria.	The current wording is confusing by stating if there is no performance criterion, then follow the prescriptive requirements. NFPA 72 is a prescriptive standard with performance based allowances; the prescriptive requirement should be first followed by the performance allowance.	The suggested changes to SR 5025 are recommended but do not address comment of COR.	(1) Detectors on ceilings up to 40 ft (12.2m) in height (1) (b) the nominal spacing of 30 ft (9.1 M), (1)(b) within the top 15% of the ceiling height is confusing. (2) the nominal spacing of 30 ft (9.1 m).	This doesn't look like it changes much from the previous edition. Not sure that this is a correlation issue. Read the annex note to 17.7.4.2.3.1.
				There shall be detectors within a distance of one-half the nominal spacing, measured at right angles from all walls or partitions that extending upward to within the top 15 percent of the ceiling height.		Consider this for a revision for b.		
SIG-IDS			CR	17.7.2.1 Detectors installed for signal initiation during new construction or building alterations to existing construction shall comply with one of the following: (1) Detectors shall be cleaned and verified to be operating in accordance with the listed sensitivity prior to the final acceptance test of the system. (2) Detectors shall be replaced prior to the final acceptance test of the system. 17.7.2.2 Detectors installed but not operational during building alterations to existing construction shall comply with one of the following: (1) Detectors shall be predected from construction debris, dust, dirt, and damage in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations and verified to be operating in accordance with the listed sensitivity prior to the final acceptance test of the system. (2) Detectors shall be replaced prior to the final acceptance test of the system.	language with in the standard.			This doesn't appear to be a correlation issue to me.
SIG-IDS	17.7.3			Manual stations used to release extinguishing or suppression agents shall comply with 17.15.1 through .8 and shall be identified in one of the following ways:		RPS add: shall comply with 17.15.1 through .8 and shall		
SIG-IDS	17.19.2.2.2(B)			Unless otherwise permitted by the <u>dry pipe or preaction valve</u> manufacturer's published installation instructions, the off-normal signal shall be initiated when the pressure increases or decreases by 10 psi (70 kPa) in accordance with NFPA 13.	-	RPS: Could not find corresponding reqs in NFPA 13 except for Pressure Tank Alternative.		
				Unless otherwise <u>required by NFPA 13 or unless</u> permitted by the <u>dry pipe or preaction valve</u> manufacturer's published installation instructions, the off-normal signal shall be initiated when the pressure increases or decreases by 10 psi (70 kPa) in accordance with NFPA 13.		Consider this for a revision.		

Task Group 3 CC SCM SIG NAS Notes

TC	Sec. #	Issue	CR	DRAFT SCR	Notes/Substantiation
SIG-NAS, SIG-ECS, SIG- FUN	18.3.7, 24.3.10		CR	See word document 72_SIG_FUN_SIG_NAS_SIG_ECS_NACs.docx	Correlate the DCNAC (18.3.7) and A-NAC(24.3.10) requirements between Chapter 18 and Chapter 24 and rename DCNAC to V-NAC to mirror A-NAC. During the SIG ECS SDM there was disucssion to move the DCNAC and A-NAC requirements to Chapter 10 Taken care of in SIG FUN
SIG-NAS, SIG-IDS, SIG- HOU			CR	See word document 72_Standards_references.docx	Referencing of applicable standards for notification appliances and initiating devices was accomplished differently. SIG IDS located example standards in the Annex, SIG-NAS required the appliances to meet a specific standard in the body. SIG-HOU made no revisions (there were no PCs on Chapter 29 regarding reference standards) Task Group.
SIG-NAS, SIG-HOU	A.18.10.2		CR	A.18.10.2 Notification appliances including, but not limited to, supplemental tactile notification appliancesare available for the deaf and hard of hearing. Tactile notification appliances can be capable of awakening people. Such appliances can, through hard wiring into the fire alarm system or by wireless methods, initiate in response to the activation of an audible smoke alarm. Some tests show that visual notification appliances might not be effective in awakening some sleeping individuals during an emergency. Some tactile notification appliances can be more effective in awakening individuals, regardless of hearing levels, from sleep. See the FPRF report, Review of Alarm Technologies for Deaf and Hard of Hearing Populations, and A.29.5.10.2 for further details.	The Annex to 29.5.10.2 was edited and relocated to 29.5.10. The cross reference should be updated from A.29.5.10.2 to A.29.5.10 to point to the correct section Passed.
SIG-FUN, SIG-NAS	7.3.4.8		CR	7.3.4.8 Design documentation for maximum public mode audible levels, including the definition of the protected space and design sound pressure levels, shall comply with 18.4.1.9.	The cross reference to 18.4.1.9 was removed by SIG NAS during the second draft meeting Done in SIG FUN
SIG-NAS	18.5.3.5		CR	Visual notification appliances used for fire alarm signaling only or to signal the intent for	The section contains two shall statements in a single section. If they are two parts of one requirement, they are permitted by the Manual of Style. If they are two requirements, they should be broken into a list or the second 'shall' should be removed no action

Task Group 3 CC SCM SIG NAS Notes

TC	Sec. #	Issue	CR	DRAFT SCR	Notes/Substantiation
SIG-NAS	18.5.5.8.2		CR	18.5.5.8.1 The installation of visual notification appliances in corridors 20 ft (6.1 m) or less in width shall be in accordance with the requirements of either 18.5.5.7 or 18.5.5.8. 18.5.5.8.2 Paragraph 18.5.5.8 shall apply to corridors not exceeding 20 ft (6.1 m) in width.	Section 8.5.5.8.2 appears to be a circular reference to 8.5.5.8.1 as well as redundant. Recommend deleting the section. Renumber subsequent sections passed
SIG-NAS	18.8.1.2		CR		The RAMO mode of operation was added to Chapter 18, but an 18.4.8 cross reference to was not added to 18.8.1.2. Verify that RAMO should be included as a cross reference passed
SIG-NAS	18.9.4.10		CR	18.9.4.10 Spacing between individual characters shall be a minimum of 10 percent and a maximum of 35 percent of the character height Character spacing shall be measured between the two closest points of adjacent characters, excluding word spaces. Spacing between individual characters shall be minimum 10 percent and maximum 35 percent of character height.	The Manual of Style requires a single sentence per section. The language was reordered to comply with the Manual of Style and for readability passed

CC Second Draft SIG-SSS Review Plus Notes 12/12/2023 (JVK)

FR#	First Revision	Committee Statement	Public Comment	Statement of Problem and	Draft CN or SCR	Comment
or				Substantiation for Public		
CI#				Comment		
SR-	3.3.82* Digital Alarm Communicator Transmitter (DACT).	During the first revision meeting	Public Comment No. 47-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 3.3.82]	Public Comment No. 47-NFPA 72-		(JVK) After review there is no
5104	A <u>fire alarm</u> system component at the protected premises to which initiating	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified	3.3.82* Digital Alarm Communicator Transmitter (DACT).	2023 [Section No. 3.3.82		correlating committee action required
	devices or groups of devicesa fire alarm control unit or a group of control units are connected that transmits signals via loop start technology. The DACT	an immediate need to begin to	A fire alarm system component at the protected premises to	Statement of the Problem		
	seizes the connected telephone line, dials a preselected number to connect to	address the technical advancements and variety of	which	This public comment is being submitted on behalf of the		
	a DACR, and transmits signals indicating a status change of the initiating device(SIG-SSS)	methods of transmitting and	initiating devices or groups of devices are connected. The DACT	performance-based design task group		
	A.3.3.82 Digital Alarm Communicator Transmitter (DACT).	processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising	seizes the connected telephone line, dials a preselected number to connect to a DACR, and transmits signals	assigned by SIG-SSS during the first draft meetings to review and consider		
	The DACT uses legacy POTS lines, emulated lines from an MFVN, listed	stations while at the same time	a fire alarm control unit or a group of control units are connected	modifications of Chapter 26 and to		
	communications equipment, or any combination thereof to connect and	providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for	and transmits voiced-based signals to the DACR.	remove communications technologies no longer in use and prescriptive		
	transmit signals to a DACR to indicate a status change of the fire alarm system. (SIG-SSS)	transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations.	<u>A.3.3.81</u>	performance-based methodologies for new and future communications		
	system. (010-000)	As a result of numerous Task	The DACT uses legacy POTS lines or emulated lines from an	technologies. This public comment is		
		Group meetings this second revision is one of many second	MFVN or listed communication equipment, or a combination of them, to connect and transmit signals to a DACR indicating a	tied to Committee Input 5100.		
		revisions intended to address	status change of the	Committee Statement		
		changes that reflect both existing and performance-	initiating device. (SIG-SSS)	Rejected but see related SR-5104		
		based technologies considered	fire alarm system.	•		
		reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important		During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there		
		task of transmitting fire alarm		was identified an immediate need to		
		signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification		begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods		
		and response by emergency		of transmitting and processing		
		public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the		important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same		
		following point is the specific		time providing continued recognition		
		basis for the change.		of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals		
		Clarified that DACTs are a legacy transmission means		to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this		
		based on loop start technology.		second revision is one of many		
				second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and		
				performance-based technologies		
				considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of		
				transmitting fire alarm signals to		
				supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by		
				emergency public safety agencies. In		
				the case of this second revision the following point is the specific basis for		
				the change.		
				1. Clarified that DACTs are a legacy		
				transmission means based on loop start technology.		
SR-	3.3.157* Intermediary Service Provider.	Currently, intermediary services	Public Comment No. 169-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after	Public Comment No. 169-NFPA 72-	SCR-xxxx	(JVK) A.3.3.157
5147	An entity that receives fire alarm system signals from a protected premises for	providers are being used to communicate fire protection	3.3.154]	2023 [New Section after 3.3.154]	2. The intermediary service provider	I have an issue with
	the purpose of retransmitting the signals to the supervising station and for other business purposes. (SIG-SSS)	signals from the protected	TITLE OF NEW CONTENT	Statement of the Problem	provides supervision of the connection	The intermediary service provider
		premises to the supervising stations. Requirements were	3.3.156* Intermediary Service Provider.	The proposed new definition is the	between itself, the protected premises, and the supervising station.	provides supervision of the connection between itself, the protected premises,
	A.3.3.157 Intermediary Service Provider.	needed to ensure that when	A company that participates in the delivery of signals from an alarm	work of a Task Group assigned by the	, ,	and the supervising station.
	The following are examples of services provided by an intermediary service provider:	these signals pass through the intermediary service provider	system installed at a protected premises to the responsible supervising station by taking a position in the communications	Chair of SIG-SSS to address an Intermediary Service Provider.	2. All communication paths from the protected premises, through the	Even though this is annex material, this
	Signals from a protected premises traverse a path through a	servers they are processed in a	cloud where:	The term Intermediary Service	intermediary service provider, to the	statement indicated that the supervision
	communications channel(s) to the intermediary service	reliable and supervised manner, and do not bypass the	a. <u>Signals from a protected premise traverse a</u>	Provider is new to NFPA 72 and is used in Chapter 26. The term is	supervising station shall be supervised.	is the responsibility of the intermediate service provider. It does not indicate
	provider's network servers, which automatically retransmit signals through the communications channel(s) to the	supervising station.	<u>path</u> <u>through communications</u> <u>channel(s)</u> <u>to</u> the intermediary service provider's network	defined to add clarity to new material proposed in PC No 168 creating new	Committee Statement	where the trouble signals are to be
	responsible supervising station.		servers, which automatically retransmit signals	Section 26.2.11.	Committee Statement	annunciated.
					Revised 2 to align with 26.2.11.2.1	

	2. The intermediary service provider provides supervision of the connection between itself, the protected premises, and the supervising station. 3. The receipt of an alarm by an intermediary service provider signal triggers the following: 1. Immediate retransmission of the signal to the supervising station 2. Delivery of services not required by this Code, such as notification to a list of supplemental contacts specified by the fire alarm system owner or predictive maintenance analytics Figure A.3.3.157 depicts the location of an intermediary service provider in the communications cloud relative to a protected premises and a supervising station. (SIG-SSS) Figure A.3.3.157 Typical Intermediary Service Provider Concept.		through communications channel(s) to the responsible supervising station. b. The intermediary service provider provides supervision of the connection between itself, the protected property, and the supervising station. c. The receipt of an alarm signal triggers both a) immediate retransmission of the signal to the supervising station and b) delivery of services not required by this code, such as notification to a list of supplemental contacts specified by the fire alarm system owner, predictive maintenance analytics, and the like. A.3.3.156 – Figure 3.3.156 depicts the location of an intermediary service provider in the communications cloud relative to a protected premises and a supervising station.	Committee Statement Rejected but see related SR-5147 Currently, intermediary services providers are being used to communicate fire protection signals from the protected premises to the supervising stations. Requirements were needed to ensure that when these signals pass through the intermediary service provider servers they are processed in a reliable and supervised manner, and do not bypass the supervising station.	The intermediate service provider may provide provided part of all the supervision of its connections to the protected premises and the supervising station, but it is combination of the protected premises, intermediate service provided and the supervising station working as a system that provides all the required supervision.	
SR- 5106	3.3.172* Managed Facilities-Based Voice Network (MFVN). A physical facilities-based network capable of transmitting real-time signals with formats unchanged that is managed, operated, and maintained by the service provideregulated communications carrier to ensure service quality and reliability from the subscriber location to the supervising station via the public-switched telephone network (PSTN) or interconnection points with other MFVN peer networks or the supervising station. (SIG-SSS) A.3.3.172 Managed Facilities-Based Voice Network (MFVN). Managed facilities-based voice network (MFVN) service is functionally equivalent to traditional public-switched telephone network-based (PSTN-based) services provided by authorized common carriers (Lie_public utility telephone companies), Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers (LEC), Local Exchange Carriers (LEC), Competitive Local Exchange Carriers (LEC), and other Federal Communications Commission-licensed (FCC-licensed) carriers offering interconnection to facilities and unbundled network elements in order to provide such telecommunications services], with respect to dialing, dial plan, call completion, carriage of signals and protocols, and loop voltage treatment and provides all of the following features: 1. A loop start telephone circuit service interface. 2. Pathway reliability that is assured by proactive management, operation, and maintenance by the MFVN provider. 3. 8Eight hours of standby power supply capacity for MFVN communications equipment, either located at the protected premises or field deployed. Industry standards followed by the authorized common carriers (public utility telephone companies), and the other communications ervice providers-that operate MFVNs; specifically engineer the selection of the size of the batteries, or other permanently located standby power supply capacity for MFVN communications of the standby battery and signals potential battery capacity. The MFVN field-deployed equipment typically monitors the condition of the standby bat	During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change. 1. Providing clarification that the MFVN is a regulated communications service/carrier. 2. The annex language was expanded for clarity of FCC licensed carriers.	Public Comment No. 172-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 3.3.170] 3.3.170* Managed Facilities-Based Voice Network (MFVN). A physical facilities-based network capable of transmitting real-time signals with formats unchanged that is managed, operated, and maintained by the service provider to communications carrier to ensure service quality and reliability from the subscriber location to the interconnection point supervising station via the Public-Switched Telephone Network (PSTN) or interconnection points with other MFVN peer networks or the supervising station . (SIG-SSS)	Public Comment No. 172-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 3.3.170] Statement of the Problem Adds clarification as to the types of carriers that can operate MFVN networks and obligations of MFVN operators. Committee Statement Rejected but see related SR-5106 During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change. 1. Providing clarification that the MFVN is a regulated communications service/carrier. 2. The annex language was expanded for clarity of FCC licensed carriers Public Comment No. 213-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. A.3.3.170]	NFPA staff suggest the following change: A.3.3.172 Managed Facilities-Based Voice Network (MFVN). Managed facilities-based voice network (MFVN) service is functionally equivalent to traditional public-switched telephone network—based (PSTN-based) services provided by authorized common carriers (fi.e., public utility telephone companies), Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers (ILEC), Local Exchange Carriers (ILEC), Local Exchange Carriers (ILEC), and other Federal Communications Commission—licensed (FCC-licensed) carriers offering interconnection to facilities and unbundled network elements in order to provide such telecommunications services), with respect to dialing, dial plan, call completion, carriage of signals and protocols, and loop voltage treatment and provides all of the following features: (JVK) I suggest we do not make this change since the FCC has identified 41 million POTS lines still in service	(JVK) After review there is no correlating committee action required. (JVK) After review there is no correlating committee action required.
	when providing telephone service to a new customer, MFVN providers give notice to the telephone service subscriber of the need to have any connected alarm system tested by authorized fire alarm service personnel in accordance with 26.6.2.6 and applicable requirements of Chapter 14 to make certain that		A.3.3.170 Managed Facilities-Based Voice Network (MFVN). Managed facilities-based voice network service is functionally equivalent to traditional PSTN-based services provided by	Statement of the Problem Adds clarification as to the types of carriers that can operate MFVN		

all signal transmission features have remained operational. These features include the proper functioning of line seizure and the successful transmission of signals to the supervising station. In this way, the MFVN providers assist their new customers in complying with a testing procedure similar to that outlined in 26.2.7 for changes to providers of supervising station service.

The evolution of the deployment of telephone service has moved beyond the sole use of metallic conductors connecting a telephone subscriber's premises with the nearest telephone service provider's control and routing point (wire center). In the last 25 years, telephone service providers have introduced a variety of technologies to transport multiple, simultaneous telephone calls over shared communication pathways. In order to To facilitate the further development of the modernization of the telephone network, the authorized common carriers (public utility telephone companies) have transitioned their equipment into a managed facilities based voice network (an MFVN) capable of providing a variety of communications services in addition to the provision of traditional telephone service.

Similarly, the evolution of digital communications technology has permitted entities other than the authorized common carriers (public utility telephone companies) to deploy robust communications networks and offer a variety of communications services, including telephone service.

These alternate service providers fall into two broad categories. The first category includes those entities that have emulated the MFVN provided by the authorized common carriers. The second category includes those entities that offer telephone service using means that do not offer the rigorous quality assurance, operational stability, and consistent features provided by an MFVN.

The This Code intends to only recognize the use of the telephone network transmission of alarm, supervisory, trouble, and other emergency signals by means of MFVNs.

For example, thethis Code intends to permit an MFVN to provide facilities-based telephone (voice) service that interfaces with the premises fire alarm or emergency signal control unit through a digital alarm communicator transmitter (DACT) using a loop start telephone circuit and signaling protocols fully compatible with and equivalent to those used in public switched telephone networksPSTNs. The loop start telephone circuit and associated signaling can be provided through traditional copper wire telephone service (POTS—"plain old telephone service", or POTS) or by means of equipment that emulates the loop start telephone circuit and associated signaling and then transmits the signals over a pathway using packet switched (IP) networks or other communications methods that are part of an MFVN.

Providers of MFVNs have disaster recovery plans to address both individual customer outages and widespread events such as tornados, ice storms, or other natural disasters, which include specific network power restoration procedures equivalent to those of traditional landline telephone services. (SIGSSS)

authorized common carriers (public utility telephone companies, Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers [ILEC], Local Exchange Carriers [LEC] Competitive Local Exchange Carriers [CLEC] and other Federal Communications Commission [FCC] approved carriers offering interconnection to facilities and Unbundled Network Elements in order to provide such telecommunications services,) with respect to dialing, dial plan, call completion, carriage of signals and protocols, and loop voltage treatment and provides all of the following features:

- 1. A loop start telephone circuit service interface.
- Pathway reliability that is assured by proactive management, operation, and maintenance by the MFVN provider.
- 3. 8 hours of standby power supply capacity for MFVN communications equipment either located at the protected premises or field deployed. Industry standards followed by the FCC authorized common carriers (public utility telephone companies Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers [ILEC], Local Exchange Carriers [LEC] Competitive Local Exchange Carriers [CLEC] and other Federal Communications Commission [FCC] approved carriers offering interconnection to facilities and Unbundled Network Elements in order to provide such telecommunications services), and the other communications service providers that operate MFVNs, specifically engineer the selection of the size of the batteries, or other permanently located standby power source, in order to provide 8 hours of standby power with a reasonable degree of accuracy. Of course, over time, abnormal ambient conditions and battery aging can always have a potentially adverse effect on battery capacity. The MFVN field-deployed equipment typically monitors the condition of the standby battery and signals potential battery failure to permit the communications service provider to take appropriate action.
- 24 hours of standby power supply capacity for MFVN communications equipment located at the communications service provider's central office.
- Installation of network equipment at the protected premises with safeguards to prevent unauthorized access to the equipment and its connections.

When providing telephone service to a new customer, MFVN providers give notice to the should advise the telephone service subscriber of the need to have any connected alarm system tested by authorized fire alarm service personnel in accordance with Chapter 14 to make certain that all signal transmission features have remained operational. These features include the proper functioning of line seizure and the successful transmission of signals to the supervising station. In this way, the MFVN providers may assist their new customers in complying with a testing procedure similar to that outlined in 26.2.7 for changes to providers of supervising station service.

The evolution of the deployment of telephone service has moved beyond the sole use of metallic conductors connecting a telephone subscriber's premises with the nearest telephone service provider's control and routing point (wire center). In the last 25 years, telephone service providers have introduced a variety of technologies to transport multiple, simultaneous telephone calls over shared communication pathways. In order to facilitate the further development of the modernization of the telephone network, the authorized common carriers (public utility telephone companies, Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers [ILEC], Local Exchange Carriers [LEC] Competitive Local Exchange Carriers [CLEC] and other Federal Communications Commission [FCC] approved carriers offering interconnection to facilities and Unbundled Network Elements in order to provide such telecommunications services,) have transitioned their equipment into a managed facilities-based voice network (MFVN) networks and obligations of MFVN operators.

Committee Statement

Rejected but see related SR-5106

During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change.

- 1. Providing clarification that the MFVN is a regulated communications service/carrier.
- 2. The annex language was expanded for clarity of FCC licensed carriers.

capable of providing a variety of communications services in addition to the provision of traditional telephone service. Similarly, the evolution of digital communications technology has permitted entities other than the authorized common carriers (public utility telephone companies) to, Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers [ILEC], Local Exchange Carriers [LEC] Competitive Local Exchange Carriers [CLEC] and other Federal Communications Commission [FCC] approved carriers offering interconnection to facilities and Unbundled Network Elements in order to provide such telecommunications services,) to deploy robust communications networks and offer a variety of communications services, including telephone service. These alternate service providers fall into two broad categories. The first category includes those entities that have emulated the MFVN provided by the authorized common carriersFCC authorized common carriersincluding but not limited to Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers [ILEC], Local Exchange Carriers [LEC] Competitive Local Exchange Carriers [CLEC] and other Federal Communications Commission [FCC] approved carriers offering interconnection to facilities and Unbundled Network Elements in order to provide such telecommunications services. The second category includes those entities that offer telephone service using means that do not offer the rigorous quality assurance, operational stability, and consistent features provided by an MFVN. The Code intends to only recognize the use of the telephone network transmission of alarm, supervisory, trouble, and other emergency signals by means of MFVNs. For example, the Code intends to permit an MFVN to provide facilities-based telephone (voice) service that interfaces with the premises fire alarm or emergency signal control unit through a digital alarm communicator transmitter (DACT) using a loop start telephone circuit and signaling protocols fully compatible with and equivalent to those used in public switched telephone networks. The loop start telephone circuit and associated signaling can be provided through traditional copper wire telephone service (POTS — "plain old telephone service") or by means of equipment that emulates the loop start telephone circuit and associated signaling and then transmits the signals over a pathway using packet switched (IP) networks or other communications methods that are part of an MFVN. Providers of MFVNs have disaster recovery plans to address both individual customer outages and widespread events such as tornados, ice storms, or other natural disasters, which include specific network power restoration procedures equivalent to those of traditional landline telephone services. Public Comment No. 130-NFPA 72-(JVK) After review there is no Public Comment No. 130-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. A.3.3.170] 2023 [Section No. A.3.3.170 correlating committee action required A.3.3.170 Managed Facilities-Based Voice Network (MFVN). Statement of the Problem Managed facilities-based voice network service This public comment is being is functionally functional equivalent to traditional PSTN-based submitted on behalf of the services provided by authorized common carriers (public utility performance-based design task group telephone companies) with respect to dialing, dial plan, call assigned by SIG-SSS during the first completion, carriage of signals and protocols, and loop voltage draft meetings to review and consider treatment and provides all of the following features: modifications of Chapter 26 and to remove communications technologies 1. A loop start telephone circuit service interface. no longer in use and prescriptive 2. Pathway reliability that is assured by proactive performance-based methodologies for management, operation, and maintenance by the new and future communications MFVN provider. technologies. This public comment is tied to Committee Input 5100. 3. 8 hours of standby power supply capacity for MFVN communications equipment either located at **Committee Statement** the protected premises or field deployed. Industry standards followed by the authorized common Rejected but see related SR-5106 carriers (public utility telephone companies), and the other communications service Local During the first revision meeting of the Exchange Carriers service providers that operate SIG-SSS Technical Committee there MFVNs, specifically engineer the selection of the was identified an immediate need to size of the batteries, or other permanently located begin to address the technical standby power source, in order to provide 8 hours advancements and variety of methods of standby power with a reasonable degree of

of transmitting and processing

accuracy. Of course, over time, abnormal ambient conditions and battery aging can always have a potentially adverse effect on battery capacity. The MFVN field-deployed equipment typically monitors the condition of the standby battery and signals potential battery failure to permit the communications service provider to take appropriate action. MFVN communications equipment located at the communications service provider's central office.

- 4. 24 hours of standby power supply capacity for
- Installation of network equipment at the protected premises with safeguards to prevent unauthorized access to the equipment and its connections.

When providing telephone service to a new customer, MFVN providers give notice to the telephone service subscriber of the need to have any connected alarm system tested by authorized fire alarm service personnel in accordance with 26.6.2.3.9 and applicable requirements of Chapter 14 to make certain that all signal transmission features have remained operational. These features include the proper functioning of line seizure and the successful transmission of signals to the supervising station. In this way, the MFVN providers assist their new customers in complying with a testing procedure similar to that outlined in 26.2.7 for changes to providers of supervising station service.

The evolution of the deployment of telephone service has moved beyond the sole use of metallic conductors connecting a telephone subscriber's premises with the nearest telephone service provider's control and routing point (wire center). In the last 25 years, telephone service providers have introduced a variety of technologies to transport multiple, simultaneous telephone calls over shared communication pathways. In order to facilitate the further development of the modernization of the telephone network, the authorized common carriers (public utility telephone companies) have transitioned their equipment into a managed facilities-based voice network (MFVN) capable of providing a variety of communications services in addition to the provision of traditional telephone service.

Similarly, the evolution of digital communications technology has permitted entities other than the authorized common carriers (public utility telephone companies) to deploy robust communications networks and offer a variety of communications services, including telephone service.

These alternate service providers fall into two broad categories. The first category includes those entities that have emulated the MFVN provided by the authorized common carriers. The second category includes those entities that offer telephone service using means that do not offer the rigorous quality assurance, operational stability, and consistent features provided by an

The Code intends to only recognize the use of the telephone network transmission of alarm, supervisory, trouble, and other emergency signals by means of MFVNs.

For example, the Code intends to permit an MFVN to provide facilities-based telephone (voice) service that interfaces with the premises fire alarm or emergency signal control unit through a digital alarm communicator transmitter (DACT) using a loop start telephone circuit and signaling protocols fully compatible with and equivalent to those used in public switched telephone networks. The loop start telephone circuit and associated signaling can be provided through traditional copper wire telephone service (POTS — "plain old telephone service") or by means of equipment that emulates the loop start telephone circuit and associated signaling and then transmits the signals over a pathway using packet switched (IP) networks or other communications methods that are part of an MFVN.

Providers of MFVNs have disaster recovery plans to address both individual customer outages and widespread events such as tornados, ice storms, or other natural disasters, which include specific network power restoration procedures equivalent to those of traditional landline telephone services.

important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change.

- 1. Providing clarification that the MFVN is a regulated communications service/carrier.
- 2. The annex language was expanded for clarity of FCC licensed carriers.

Public Comment No. 48-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 3.3.170]	Public Comment No. 48-NFPA 72-	(JVK) After review there is no
	2023 [Section No. 3.3.170]	correlating committee action required
3.3.170* Managed Facilities-Based Voice Network (MFVN).	Statement of the Problem	
A physical facilities-based network capable of transmitting real - time signals with formats unchanged that is managed.	This public comment is being submitted on behalf of the	
operated, and maintained by the service provider to ensure	performance-based design task group	
service quality and reliability from the subscriber location to the interconnection point with public-switched telephone network	assigned by SIG-SSS during the first	
(PSTN) interconnection points or other MFVN peer networks or	draft meetings to review and consider modifications of Chapter 26 to remove	
the networks the supervising station. (SIG-SSS)	communications technologies no	
	longer in use and prescriptive performance-based methodologies for	
	new and future communications	
	technologies. This public comment is tied to Committee Input 5100.	
	Committee Statement	
	Rejected but see related SR-5106	
	During the first revision meeting of the	
	SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to	
	begin to address the technical	
	advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing	
	important fire alarm system signals to	
	supervising stations while at the same	
	time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for	
	transmitting fire alarm system signals	
	to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this	
	second revision is one of many	
	second revisions intended to address	
	changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies	
	considered reasonably reliable and	
	acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to	
	supervising stations and ultimately for	
	notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In	
	the case of this second revision the	
	following points are the specific basis for the change.	
	Providing clarification that the	
	MFVN is a regulated communications service/carrier.	
	The annex language was expanded	
	for clarity of FCC licensed carriers.	
Public Comment No. 48-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 3.3.170]	Public Comment No. 48-NFPA 72-	(1)//() After review Abore in the
3.3.170* Managed Facilities-Based Voice Network (MFVN).	2023 [Section No. 3.3.170]	(JVK) After review there is no correlating committee action required
A physical facilities-based network capable of transmitting real - time signals with formats unchanged that is managed,	Statement of the Problem This public comment is being	
operated, and maintained by the service provider to ensure	submitted on behalf of the	
service quality and reliability from the subscriber location to the	performance-based design task group	
interconnection point with public-switched telephone network (PSTN) interconnection points or other MFVN peer networks or	assigned by SIG-SSS during the first draft meetings to review and consider	
the networks the supervising station. (SIG-SSS)	modifications of Chapter 26 to remove	
	communications technologies no longer in use and prescriptive	
	performance-based methodologies for	
	new and future communications technologies. This public comment is	
	technologies. This public comment is tied to Committee Input 5100.	
	Committee Statement	
	Rejected but see related SR-5106	

During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change. 1. Providing clarification that the MFVN is a regulated communications service/carrier. 2. The annex language was expanded for clarity of FCC licensed carriers. Public Comment No. 225-NFPA 72-(JVK) After review there is no Public Comment No. 225-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. A.26.6.3.5 2023 [Section No. A.26.6.3.5 correlating committee action required **Statement of the Problem** A.26.6.3.5 Adds information on how the carrier When considering a fire alarm system utilizing a single can play a role in monitoring the communication path to the supervising station, consideration connectivity of the communications should be given to the risk exposure that results from the loss of pathway within the MFVN. that path for any period of time and for any reason. Some of these outages can be regular and predicable and others transitory. **Committee Statement** One example of a single technology used to produce two paths is Rejected but see related SR-5106 the use of a digital cellular premises unit communicating with two or more cell towers. In this case, the supervising station and the During the first revision meeting of the protected premises must be made aware if communications SIG-SSS Technical Committee there degrades to below two towers. Another example is the use of two was identified an immediate need to different cellular carriers to produce the two paths. Similarly, in begin to address the technical this case the supervising station and the protected must be made advancements and variety of methods aware if communications degrades to one carrier. of transmitting and processing This example can be mitigated by the MFVN provider offering important fire alarm system signals to supervision of the connectivity function by alerting change of state supervising stations while at the same or loss of Network Registration from the Telecommunications Network Equipment gateway. time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change.

	T	1	T	4 Description along the state of	T	1
				Providing clarification that the MFVN is a regulated communications service/carrier.		
				The annex language was expanded for clarity of FCC licensed carriers.		
PC- 141		Rejected The current language is sufficient. The responding technician would not be allowed into the facility when emergency responders are still operating. Technicians should also be equipped with their own CO detection equipment.	Public Comment No. 141-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.2.4.1.3.2] 26.2.4.1.3.2 Servicing of a system shall occur within 4 hours of the carbon monoxide alarm signal	Public Comment No. 21-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.2.4.1.3.2] Statement of the Problem NOTE: The following CC Note No. 22 appeared in the First Draft Report on First Revision No. 5026. Review the language of 26.2.4.1.3.2. Consider revision to the language. The language indicates that servicing of the carbon monoxide alarm should be within 4 hours of the activation of the alarm. However, the servicing should be 4 hours after an all clear has been issues by the first responders. It is important that the service personnel are not put in harms way, if the CO event is still under investigation. Committee Statement Rejected The current language is sufficient. The responding technician would not be allowed into the facility when emergency responders are still operating. Technicians should also be equipped with their own CO detection equipment	SCR-xxxx A.26.2.4.1.3.2 The start of the 4 hour time window occurs once the emergency responders issue an "all clear" Committee statement The addition of the annex material clarifies the starting point of the 4-hour period.	(JVK) After reviewing, the commentor make a good point that the servicing timing should not be within 4 hours of the initial activation of the alarm, but 4 hours after the "all clear" has been given by the emergency responders. The committee statement implies the commentors, justification has merit, but did not take actions. Should add annex material.
SR-	26.2.8 Supervising Station Signal Processing Equipment.	Added an additional publication	CC	CC	See SR-5152	(JVK) I have no idea where this came
5142	Signal processing equipment located at the supervising station listed to UL 60950-1, Information Technology Equipment — Safety — Part 1: General Requirements or UL 62368-1, Audio/Video, Information and Communication Technology Equipment — Part 1: Safety Requirements, and used for computer-aided alarm and supervisory signal processing shall not be required to comply with 10.3.5providedif it is installed and operated conforming toin accordance with UL 1981, Central-Station Automation Systems, within an environment that is maintained at a level within the temperature, humidity, and voltage rating range of the equipment, and the equipment manufacturer's published instructions are available for examination.	that is used in the industry.		Statement of the Problem Committee Statement Added an additional publication that is used in the industry		from but, after review, there is no correlating committee action required
PC-21		Rejected	Public Comment No. 21-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.2.10]	Public Comment No. 21-NFPA 72-		(JVK) After review there is no
		These provisions are necessary to allow for temporary work from home in an emergency. It doesn't matter what the declared emergency is, it is critical to allow the continuity of supervising station monitoring.	26.2.10 Emergency Monitoring Locations. 26.2.10.1 Upon an emergency declaration made by a national, state, or local authority that results in a business disruption event that inhibits normal operation of a supervising station, fire alarm system signals shall be permitted to be received at alternate locations other than a listed central station, proprietary supervising station, or remote supervising station. 26.2.10.2 The provision of 26.2.10.1 shall be permitted to remain in effect for the duration of the emergency declaration. 26.2.10.3 Specific authorization by local authorities having jurisdiction shall not be required for emergencies declared by a higher authority. 26.2.10.4 The provision of 26.2.10.1 shall be permitted to remain in place for not more than 30 calendar days following the termination of the declared emergency.	Statement of the Problem TIA 22-2 resulted in the placement of Section 26.10.2 in NFPA. This section permits supervising station operators to work from remote locations, such as a residence, during declared emergencies. Working remotely must be discontinued within 30 days following the termination of the declared emergency. Supervising stations are intended to receive life safety and property protection signals and re-transmit them to the public communications center and/or property owner. Supervising stations are traditionally hardened locations to protect against environmental disasters, security breaches, power outages, communications outages, and so forth. Supervising stations must be always staffed with at least two		correlating committee action required.

operators . Subscribers pay for these services and expect a reliable service. Protection from unpredictable failures of protection from unpredictable failures of protection profession critical equipment that the Central Station automatically switches to even the of either or both of these pivotal sources failing. On the other hand, an operator working from home cannot duplicated of preparedness and protection, let alone know when	
services and expect a reliable service. Protection from unpredictable failures of power instance of power instance connectivity requires mission critical equipment that the Central Station automatically switches to in the event of either or both of these pivotal sources failing on the other hand, an operator working from home cannot duplicate this level of preparedness	
Protection from unpredictable failures of power loss and/or internet connectivity requires mission critical equipment that the Central Station automatically switches to in the event of either or byth of these pivotal sources failing. On the other hand, an operator working from home cannot duplicate this level of preparedness	
of power loss and/or internet connectivity requires mission critical equipment that the Central Station automatically switches to interest of either or both of these pivotal of either or both of these pivotal soruces failing. On the other hand, an operator working from home cannot duplicate this level of preparedness	
connectivity requires mission critical equipment that the Central Station automatically switches to in the event of either or both of these pivotal sources failing. On the other hand, an operator working from home cannot duplicate this level of preparedness	
equipment that the Central Station automatically switches to in the event of either or both of these pivotal sources failing. On the other hand, an operator working from home cannot duplicate this level of preparedness	
of either or both of these pivotal sources failing. On the other hand, an operator working from home cannot duplicate this level of preparedness	
sources failing. On the other hand, an operator working from home cannot duplicate this level of preparedness	
operator working from home cannot duplicate this level of preparedness	
duplicate this level of preparedness	
and protection, let alone know when	
these failures interrupt the operators	
ability to monitor subscriber's	
premises. TIA 22-2 was intended to provide	
relief during the COVID-19 pandemic,	
when many local governments	
required citizens to quarantine. The	
text was incorporated as a new	
Section 26.10.2 and allows remote	
operators during a declared	
emergency. The text became a	
permanent part of the 2022 edition.	
Although intended to address the	
COVID-19 pandemic, these provisions	
may apply to other declared	
emergencies, both local and national.	
The COVID-19 emergency enacted in	
March 2020 has not been terminated	
(at the time of submission of this PC),	
primarily for political reasons.	
However, most citizens believe the	
pandemic is over and has been for	
some time. Yet, many organizations	
are still allowing remote working. At	
the time of TIA 22-2 approval, nobody anticipated the emergency would last	
for more than three years.	
Curiously, few if any, local public	
emergency communications centers	
permitted remote working. Operators	
reported to dispatch centers across	
the country on a daily basis during the	
pandemic. Dispatchers are considered	
essential employees and supervising	
station operators should be	
categorized the same way.	
Working from home is fraught with	
foreseeable and unforeseeable	
distractions that are not permitted in a	
supervising station environment.	
These include but are not limited to	
personal use of cellular phones,	
televisions, family	
members/roommates, unauthorized	
viewing of security information by	
others/ persons in the home, children,	
unpredictable loss of internet access	
and connectivity, power surges,	
extended power failures, lightning	
damage, texting, social media, noisy neighbors, and so forth. Additionally,	
persons who monitor from home are	
subject to the same risks that	
monitored subscribers face.	
Enforcement of strict policies	
regarding distractions is very difficult,	
if not impossible when operators work	
remotely. Other concerns include use	
of the public Internet, security, power	
outages, communications	
outages/redundancy, lack of a	
dedicated workspace, a lack of fire	
protection, etc.	
Consumers of alarm monitoring	
services were sold alarm systems that	
were represented to be monitored by	

			operators who are stationed within the	
			four-walls of a UL Listed Central	
			Station. No work from home	
			monitoring can match what for over	
			one hundred years has stood the test	
			of time and been the standard of care	
			including but not limited to being an	
			inherently distraction free environment	
			when working inside a UL Central	
			Station.	
			There is no security and/or life safety	
			benefit whatsoever to work from home	
			monitoring. Instead, the only thing that	
			can be reliably quantified is that UL	
			Listed Central Stations have	
			increased their profitability by using	
			this method. Customers are paying for	
			a service and have expectations of a	
			certain quality of the product	
			Distracted operators working from	
			home are not in the best interest of	
			the industry and should be used only	
			where there are no other options. For	
			example, a hurricane, tornado,	
			blizzard, or earthquake may damage a	
			supervising station in a specific	
			location or prevent staff from	
			commuting. If there is no subsidiary	
			station, then remote working may be	
			warranted until such time the	
			supervising station can be reopened.	
			Some supervising station owners are	
			citing recruitment/retention issues.	
			Some are additionally seeking to	
			reduce their real estate footprint. This	
			may be good for the bottom line but	
			cost savings is hardly a valid reason	
			to continue remote operation as it	
			exists today. Supervising stations	
			monitor life safety systems and are	
			critical in nature. TIA 22-2 was	
			implemented with the best of	
			intentions. However, unintended	
			consequences such as hand-	
			offs/operator availability,	
			infrastructure, and distractions were	
			downplayed and must be addressed.	
			It is understood that UL is currently	
			revising UL 827 so that operators to	
			work remotely. The proposed	
			provisions may help alleviate some of	
			the concerns and risks stated above.	
			If those changes find their way into UL	
			827, then Section 26.10.2 should be	
			eliminated for redundancy because	
			UL 827 would permit remote	
			operators, regardless of whether an	
		1	emergency is declared.	
1			l ellieldelich is declaied.	
			emergency is declared.	
			emergency is declared.	
			Committee Statement	
			Committee Statement Rejected	
			Committee Statement Rejected These provisions are necessary to	
			Committee Statement Rejected These provisions are necessary to allow for temporary work from home in	
			Committee Statement Rejected These provisions are necessary to allow for temporary work from home in an emergency. It doesn't matter what	
			Committee Statement Rejected These provisions are necessary to allow for temporary work from home in an emergency. It doesn't matter what the declared emergency is, it is critical	
			Committee Statement Rejected These provisions are necessary to allow for temporary work from home in an emergency. It doesn't matter what the declared emergency is, it is critical to allow the continuity of supervising	
			Committee Statement Rejected These provisions are necessary to allow for temporary work from home in an emergency. It doesn't matter what the declared emergency is, it is critical to allow the continuity of supervising station monitoring.	
	Rejected	Public Comment No. 211-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after	Committee Statement Rejected These provisions are necessary to allow for temporary work from home in an emergency. It doesn't matter what the declared emergency is, it is critical to allow the continuity of supervising station monitoring. Public Comment No. 211-NFPA 72-	(JVK). The comment UL 827 is in draft
		Public Comment No. 211-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after 26.2.10.4]	Committee Statement Rejected These provisions are necessary to allow for temporary work from home in an emergency. It doesn't matter what the declared emergency is, it is critical to allow the continuity of supervising station monitoring.	form is incorrect. It is UL 827A that is in
	NFPA 72 already gives the	26.2.10.4]	Committee Statement Rejected These provisions are necessary to allow for temporary work from home in an emergency. It doesn't matter what the declared emergency is, it is critical to allow the continuity of supervising station monitoring. Public Comment No. 211-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after 26.2.10.4]	
	NFPA 72 already gives the ability to approve an alternative	26.2.10.4] TITLE OF NEW CONTENT	Committee Statement Rejected These provisions are necessary to allow for temporary work from home in an emergency. It doesn't matter what the declared emergency is, it is critical to allow the continuity of supervising station monitoring. Public Comment No. 211-NFPA 72-	form is incorrect. It is UL 827A that is in
	NFPA 72 already gives the ability to approve an alternative monitoring location in an	26.2.10.4] TITLE OF NEW CONTENT 26.2.11 Alternate Monitoring Location	Committee Statement Rejected These provisions are necessary to allow for temporary work from home in an emergency. It doesn't matter what the declared emergency is, it is critical to allow the continuity of supervising station monitoring. Public Comment No. 211-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after 26.2.10.4] Statement of the Problem	form is incorrect. It is UL 827A that is in
PC- 211	NFPA 72 already gives the ability to approve an alternative monitoring location in an emergency to the AHJ. UL 827	26.2.10.4] TITLE OF NEW CONTENT 26.2.11 Alternate Monitoring Location Upon approval of AHJ fire alarm system signals shall be	Committee Statement Rejected These provisions are necessary to allow for temporary work from home in an emergency. It doesn't matter what the declared emergency is, it is critical to allow the continuity of supervising station monitoring. Public Comment No. 211-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after 26.2.10.4] Statement of the Problem This section if allowed would allow	form is incorrect. It is UL 827A that is in
	NFPA 72 already gives the ability to approve an alternative monitoring location in an	26.2.10.4] TITLE OF NEW CONTENT 26.2.11 Alternate Monitoring Location	Committee Statement Rejected These provisions are necessary to allow for temporary work from home in an emergency. It doesn't matter what the declared emergency is, it is critical to allow the continuity of supervising station monitoring. Public Comment No. 211-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after 26.2.10.4] Statement of the Problem This section if allowed would allow monitoring to be provided from any	form is incorrect. It is UL 827A that is in
	NFPA 72 already gives the ability to approve an alternative monitoring location in an emergency to the AHJ. UL 827	26.2.10.4] TITLE OF NEW CONTENT 26.2.11 Alternate Monitoring Location Upon approval of AHJ fire alarm system signals shall be	Committee Statement Rejected These provisions are necessary to allow for temporary work from home in an emergency. It doesn't matter what the declared emergency is, it is critical to allow the continuity of supervising station monitoring. Public Comment No. 211-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after 26.2.10.4] Statement of the Problem This section if allowed would allow	form is incorrect. It is UL 827A that is in

			with UL 827 other than a listed central station, proprietary	requirements of the monitoring station.	
			supervising station, or remote supervising station.	This would be a large step back from	
				what is currently required for listed	
				centers. This has provided a means to	
				provide service during a declared	
				emergency but there is no guidance	
				as to which emergencies the previous	
				sections allow this to be in effect and	
				would open the door for monitoring to	
				be completed remotely from the listed	
				station with no rules on secondary	
				power, IT security and facility security.	
				This section would be a large step	
				backwards for the code and I propose	
				not adding it.	
				Committee Statement	
				Rejected	
				NFPA 72 already gives the ability to	
				approve an alternative monitoring	
				location in an emergency to the AHJ.	
				UL 827 is still in a draft form.	
SR-	26.2.11 Intermediary Service Providers.	Currently, intermediary service	Public Comment No. 168-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.7]	Public Comment No. 168-NFPA 72-	(JVK) I'm struggling with 26.2.11.2.5.
5149	26.2.11.1	providers are being used to		2023 [Section No. 26.7]	. ,
	<u> 20.2.11.1</u>	communicate fire protection	<u>26.</u>		Why is only 26.6.3.3 and 26.6.3.4
	Where an intermediary service provider is used, the requirements	signals from the protected	7 Subsidiary Stations or	Statement of the Problem	acceptable, when A.26.11.2.1 also
	of 26.2.11.2 through 26.2.11.4 shall be met.	premises to the supervising	2.11 Intermediary Service	1	allows 26.6.3, 26.6.4 and 26.6.5.
		stations. Requirements were		At the conclusion of the First Draft	la distribution of the company of th
	<u>26.2.11.2</u>	needed to ensure that when	Provider.	meeting a Task Group was created by	Is this a correlation issue?
	Intermediary service providers shall include the services listed	these signals pass through the	<u>Providers</u>	the Chair of SIG-SSS to further review	
	in 26.2.11.2.1 through 26.2.11.2.5.	intermediary service providers	20	possible requirements to address the	
		servers, they are processed in a reliable and supervised manner,	<u>26.</u>	transmission of signals from the protected premises, thru a provider,	
	<u>26.2.11.2.1*</u>		7		
	All communication paths from the protected premises, through the intermediary	and do not bypass the supervising station.	<u>2.</u>	and on to a supervising station. During the First Draft meeting, FR No. 5108	
	service provider, to the supervising station shall be supervised at an interval of	supervising station.		was created which added new	
	not more than 60 minutes.		1 General.	language for Subsidiary Stations or	
	not more than oo minutes.		26.7.1.1	Intermediary Service Provider in	
	A.26.2.11.2.1			Section 26.7. The submitted language	
			The requirements of this section shall apply to gateway	found in PC No. 168 is the work of the	
	Intermediary service providers can use one or more communications paths		communications systems between the protected premises and	Task Group and seeks to eliminate all	
	between a fire alarm system installed at a protected premises and its own		the public safety answering points (PSAP).	of FR No. 5108, which created a new	
	facility(ies), and between its own facility(ies) and the supervising station.		26.7.1.2	Section 26.7. In its place, PC No 168	
	Permitted pathways can include those cited in 26.6.3, 26.6.4, or 26.6.5.		20.7.1.2	creates a new Section 26.2.11, and	
	26.2.11.2.2		Gateway communication systems shall consist of fire alarm	related Annex material, addressing	
			control units, transmitters, and other signal initiating devices or	Intermediary Service Providers. The	
	Failure of any pathway shall be annunciated at the responsible supervising		technologies that communicate on wireless network(s) from the	following is the technical	
	station.		protected premises through the gateway to a PSAP.	substantiation for the proposed Public	
	26.2.11.2.3		3 3 ,	Comment.	
			26.7.1.3*		
	Failure to complete a signal transmission shall be annunciated at the protected		The systems covered under Section 26.7 shall be for the	Rapid technological advances in	
	premises.		transmission of signals between the protected premises and a	recent years have enabled the	
	26.2.11.2.4		PSAP.	availability of intermediary alarm	
			26.7.4.4	transmission services aimed at	
	All alarm, trouble, and supervisory signals from fire alarm systems received by		26.7.1.4	enhancing the user experience of all	
	an intermediary service provider shall be electronically retransmitted, without		This section shall establish minimum required levels of	stakeholders in contemporary fire	
	delay, to the supervising station responsible for acknowledgement and signal		performance, reliability, and quality for gateways and signal	alarm protection. Intermediary	
	dispositioning.		transmission from the gateway to a PSAP.	services delivered by third-party	
	26.2.11.2.5			providers have a position in the	
			26.7.2 Gateway Communication Systems.	communications cloud which places them between a protected premises	
	Routine retransmission of signals from the protected premises to their		26.7.2.1	and the responsible supervising	
	destination supervising station shall comply with 26.4.4.4, 26.6.3.3,			station. Signals from a protected	
	and 26.6.3.4.		Gateway communications systems shall be designed, installed,	premise traverse a path through	
	26.2.11.3		operated, and maintained in accordance with 26.7.2 to provide	communications channel(s) to the	
			reliable transmission and receipt of alarms in a manner	third-party provider's network servers,	
	All elements of fire alarm service required by this section shall be provided by a		acceptable to the authority having jurisdiction.	which then forward signals through	
	company that has a listing covering these elements.		26.7.2.2	communications channel(s) to the	
	26.2.44.4			responsible supervising station.	
	<u>26.2.11.4</u>		A gateway communications system shall be permitted to be used	,	
	Intermediary service provider facilities that support the delivery of signals to a		for the transmission of other signals or calls of a public	A market for third-party intermediary	
	supervising station from a protected premises fire alarm system installed in		emergency nature, provided that such transmission does not	alarm transmission related services	
	accordance with this Code shall conform to the construction, fire protection,		interfere with the transmission and receipt of fire alarms.	has evolved in which the intermediary	
	physical security, cybersecurity, emergency lighting, power, communications		26.7.2.3	service providers typically:	
			EV. I. E. V		

infrastructure, and service resiliency requirements for intermediary service providers contained in UL 827, Central-Station Alarm Services.

All devices shall be designed to function satisfactorily under the climatic and environmental conditions to which they could be exposed.

26.7.2.3.1

All devices shall be identified as suitable for the location and conditions for which they are installed.

26.7.2.4

All circuits, paths, and equipment necessary for the receipt of signals from a protected premises shall be monitored for integrity.

26.7.2.5

All systems and signal transmissions shall be under the control of a designated supervising station.

26.7.2.5.1

Designation shall be through a contract between the owner and the supervising station.

26.7.2.5.2

The contract between the owner and the supervising station shall be the only one in force.

26.7.2.6

All gateway equipment shall be listed for its purpose.

26.7.2.7

All gateway equipment shall be installed in locations accessible to the authority having jurisdiction for the purpose of inspection.

26.7.2.8

Gateway communications systems shall, in their entirety, be subject to a complete operational acceptance test upon completion of system installation.

26.7.2.8.1

The operational test(s) shall comply with the following:

- 1. Be made in accordance with the requirements of the authority having jurisdiction
- In no case be less than those stipulated in Chapter 14

26.7.2.8.2

Operational acceptance tests shall be performed on any alarm-reporting devices, as covered in Section 26.7, that are installed or modified subsequent to the test required by 26.7.2.8.

26.7.2.9

Personnel shall be qualified in accordance with the requirements of 10.5.7.

26.7.3 Communications Methods.

26.7.3.1 Application.

26.7.3.1.1

A gateway communications system shall include wireless network(s) for command and control communications between the protected premises, alarm processing equipment, supervising station, and PSAP.

26.7.3.1.2

A gateway communications system shall be permitted to be used with emergency communications systems covered under Chapter 24.

26.7.3.2 Wireless Network(s).

26.7.3.2.1

- 1. Perform in a manner that has some similarities to a subsidiary station.
- 2. Delivers some, or all, elements of the fire alarm transmission services required by NFPA 72, such as supervision of the connection between itself and the protected premises, and reporting communication loss to the responsible supervising station when necessary.
- 3. Upon receipt of specific NFPA 72 defined signals from a fire alarm system, a) immediately retransmit the signal to the responsible supervising station and b) initiate delivery of services not required by this code, but of value to the system owner and other stakeholders, such as notification to a list of supplemental contacts specified by the system owner, predictive analytics that enable preventative maintenance, and the like.

Historically, intermediary service providers were considered part of the communications cloud by virtue of the receive-and-forward nature of codedefined signal handing. In that respect, they appeared to emulate the function of an internet routing point.

Recent events have demonstrated that the internet router analogy is flawed as loss of service from an intermediary service provider is not necessarily just routed around by standard internet protocols. Loss of an intermediary service provider can effectively create a denial-of-service situation that disrupts the responsible supervising station's ability to deliver its life-safety services, potentially to a large number of protected premises.

This proposal is intended to recognize the key role of intermediary service providers in contemporary alarm protection service and to manage the risks associated with their participation by establish baseline requirements that protect the interests of stakeholders in that protection.

The proposal puts focus on compliance with UL827 to address issues related to intermediary service provider construction, fire protection, security, emergency lighting, as well as resilient power, communications, and overall service delivery resiliency. Industry suggests that an ANSI compliant continuous development Standards process (such as that used by Underwriters Laboratories Inc) is best positioned to respond to rapid technology changes that underlie NFPA 72 compliant service delivery.

Related Item
• FR No. 5108

Committee Statement

Rejected but see related SR-5149

The terms wireless network and cellular transmission shall be Currently, intermediary service considered the same and interchangeable throughout this providers are being used to communicate fire protection signals section from the protected premises to the 26.7.3.2.2 supervising stations. Requirements were needed to ensure that when All wireless networks shall meet the requirements these signals pass through the of 26.7.3.2.3 through 26.7.3.2.6. intermediary service providers 26.7.3.2.3 servers, they are processed in a reliable and supervised manner, and In addition to the requirements of this Code, all wireless do not bypass the supervising station. equipment shall be designed and operated in compliance with all applicable rules and regulations of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) or, where required, the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA). 26.7.3.2.4 Unlicensed wireless networks shall not be permitted. 26.7.3.2.5 Fire alarm signals, other emergency alarm signals, and monitoring for integrity signals shall be permitted on the same wireless network, dedicated for that purpose. 26.7.3.2.6 Two independent means shall be provided to retransmit an alarm signal from the gateway to the designated PSAP. 26.7.4 Alarm Processing Equipment. The alarm processing equipment at the gateway required to receive and control signals from the protected premises shall be installed and maintained as defined in NEPA 1225. 26.7.5 Visual Recording Devices. 26.7.5.1 Alarms shall be automatically received and recorded at the gateway. 26.7.5.2 A device for producing a permanent graphic recording of all alarm, supervisory, trouble, and test signals received or retransmitted, or both, shall be provided at each gateway for each system. 26.7.5.3 Reserve recording devices shall be provided in accordance with 26.7.5.3.1 and 26.7.5.3.2. 26.7.5.3.1 Where each gateway is served by a dedicated recording device, the number of reserve recording devices required on site shall be equal to at least 5 percent of the systems that are in service and in no case less than one device. 26.7.5.3.2 Where two or more gateways are served by a common recording device, a reserve recording device shall be provided on site for each gateway connected to a common recorder. 26.7.5.4 Permanent visual records shall comply with 26.7.5.4.1 and 26.7.5.4.2. 26.7.5.4.1 A permanent visual record and an audible signal shall be required to indicate the receipt of an alarm. 26.7.5.4.2 The permanent record shall indicate the exact location from which the alarm is being transmitted. 26.7.5.5

Facilities shall be provided with a device that automatically records the date and time of receipt of each alarm. 26.7.6 System Integrity. 26.7.6.1 Circuits upon which transmission and receipt of alarms depend shall be constantly monitored for integrity to provide prompt warning of conditions adversely affecting reliability. 26.7.6.2 The power supplied to all required circuits and devices of the system shall be constantly monitored for integrity. 26.7.7 Trouble Signals. 26.7.7.1 Trouble signals shall be indicated where there is a trained and competent person on duty at all times within a gateway. 26.7.7.2 Trouble signals shall be distinct from alarm signals and be indicated by a visual and audible signal. 26.7.7.3 A switch for silencing the audible trouble signal shall be permitted, provided that the visual signal remains operating until the silencing switch is restored to its normal position. The audible signal shall be responsive to faults on any other circuits that occur prior to restoration of the silencing switch to its normal position. 26.7.8 Power Supply. 26.7.8.1 The requirements of 26.7.8 shall be met for primary and secondary power for the gateway. 26.7.8.2 Visual and audible means to indicate a 15 percent or greater reduction of normal power supply (rated voltage) shall be provided. 26.7.8.3 Where the electrical service/capacity of the equipment required under Section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of equipment of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of equipment of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of equipment of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of equipment of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of equipment of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of equipment of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of equipment of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of equipment of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of equipment of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of equipment of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of equipment of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of equipment of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of equipment of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of equipment of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of equipment of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of equipment of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of the section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of the section 4.7 in this section, such equipment shall not be required to be 26.7.9 Engine-Driven Generators. The installation of engine driven generator sets shall be in accordance with NEPA 37, NEPA 110, and NEPA 1225. 26.7.10 Equipment Fire Protection. Where applicable, electronic computer/data processing equipment shall be protected in accordance with NFPA 75. 26.7.11 Gateway. 26.7.11.1 All equipment shall be listed for its intended use and shall be installed in accordance with NFPA 70. 26.7.11.2 Alarm Processing Equipment. 26.7.11.2.1 The alarm processing equipment shall be located where it can be monitored for alarm and trouble conditions. 26.7.11.2.2

The alarm processing equipment shall be accessible in case of a ons failure with the communications center 26.7.11.3 Wireless alarm repeating systems used to repeat signals between a protected premises and the gateway processing equipment location shall meet the requirements of 26.7.11.3.1 through 26.7.11.3.4. 26.7.11.3.1 There shall be a minimum of two complete and independent alarm repeater systems, including batteries and power supplies. 26.7.11.3.2 If the gateway is configured with one alarm repeater in standby mode, the system shall be capable of both of the following: 1. Detecting a communications failure 2. Automatically switching to the backup system without interruption or loss of any alarm or trouble transmission 26.7.11.3.3 Gateways shall not be used for any purpose other than alarm communications between the protected premises and the PSAP. 26.7.11.3.4 Where it is not possible to use the gateway alarm reporting infrastructure to provide communications between the protected premises and a PSAP, alarm processing equipment at the contracted supervising station shall be used in accordance with Section 26.3, Section 26.4, or Section 26.5. 26.7.11.4 Pathways between the protected premises and the gateway alarm processing equipment shall be monitored for integrity, be dedicated, and not be used for any other purpose. 26.7.11.5 When communications protected premises and the gateway alarm processing equipment fails, the requirements of 26.7.11.5.1 through 26.7.11.5.3 shall apply. 26.7.11.5.1 A pathway or communications trouble condition shall be detected and annunciated at the protected premises, the originating supervising station, and the gateway alarm processing equip within 200 seconds and meet the requirements of 26.7.7. 26.7.11.5.2 Visual and audible trouble alarm indications pertaining to a pathway or communications failure between the protected premises and the gateway alarm processing equipment shall be distinct from all other trouble alarms. 26.7.11.5.3 The gateway alarm processing equipment shall be manned by trained personnel until communications can be re-established. 11.1 Where an Intermediary Service Provider is used, the requirements of 26.2.11.2 through 26.2.11.3 shall be met. 26.2.11.2 Intermediary Service Providers shall include the services listed in 26.2.11.2.1 through 26.2.11.2.3. 26.2.11.2.1*All communication paths from the protected premises, through the intermediary service provider, to the supervising station shall be supervised at an interval of not more than 60 minutes. A.26.2.11.2.1 Intermediary Service Providers may utilize one or more communications paths between a fire alarm system installed at a protected premises and its own facility(s), and between its own facility and the supervising station. Permitted pathways may include 26.6.3 Performance-Based Technologies, 26.6.4 Digital

SR- 5111	26.5.7.2 The status of all alarm, supervisory, and trouble signals shall be noted and recorded at the beginning of each shift or change in personnel.	The language of the section was revised to comply with the manual of style. It appears that the technical meaning was changed as the requirement to record the status of alarm, supervisory, and trouble signals was inadvertently deleted. The revision corrects the language to require the status of the signals to be recorded at the beginning of each shift or change in personnel.	Communicator Systems, or 26.6.5 Radio Systems. The failure of any pathway should immediately be annunciated at the responsible supervising station. The failure to complete a signal transmission should immediately be annunciated at the protected premises. 26.2.11.2.2*All alarm, trouble, and supervisory signals from fire alarm systems received by an intermediary service provider shall be retransmitted, without delay, electronically to the supervising station responsible for signal dispositioning. A.26.2.11.2.2*Routine retransmission of signals to their destination supervising station should take a maximum of 5 seconds from receipt of a signal until the electronic retransmission to the supervising station. 26.2.11.2.3*Other elements of fire alarm service required by this code shall be provided by a company that has a listing covering these elements. 26.2.11.3*Intermediary Service Provider facilities that support the delivery of signals to a supervising station, from a protected premises fire alarm system installed in accordance with this code shall, by January 1. 2027, conform to the construction, fire protection, physical security, cybersecurity, emergency lighting, power, communications infrastructure, and service resiliency requirements for Intermediary Service Providers contained in the latest edition of UL 827, Central Station Alarm Services. Public Comment No. 142-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.5.7.2] 26.5.7.2 The status of all alarm, supervisory, and trouble signals shall be noted and recorded.	Public Comment No. 142-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.5.7.2] Statement of the Problem NOTE: The following CC Note No. 23 appeared in the First Draft Report on First Revision No. 5125. Review the language of 26.5.7.2. The status of alarm, supervisory, and trouble signals should be recorded and should be performed at the beginning of each shift or change in personnel. As it written it is not clear when this is to be done. The language of the section was revised to comply with the manual of style, however it appears that the technical meaning was changed as the requirement to record the status of alarm, supervisory, and trouble signals is now not required at the beginning of each shift or change in personnel. Related Item • FR - 5125 Committee Statement Rejected but see related SR-5111 The language of the section was revised to comply with the manual of style. It appears that the technical meaning was changed as the requirement to record the status of alarm, supervisory, and trouble signals was inadvertently deleted. The revision corrects the language to require the status of the signals to be recorded at the beginning of each shift or change in personnel. Public Comment No. 136-NFPA 72-		(JVK) After review there is no correlating committee action required
5112	Section 26.6 shall apply to the following:	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified]	2023 [Section No. 26.6.1.1]		correlating committee action required.
	Transmitter located at the protected premises	an immediate need to begin to	26.6.1.1	Statement of the Problem	' 	
		address the technical				

*Transmission channel between the protected premises and the supervising station or subsidiary station

A.26.6.1.1(2)

For a fire alarm system that relies on a DACS to establish communications channels between the protected premises and the supervising station via the PSTN or MFVN, the requirement to supervise circuits between the protected premises and the supervising station is considered to be met if the communications channel is periodically tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.5. It is not the intent of this section to regulate the PSTN or other MFVNs.

- 3. If used, any subsidiary station and its communications channel
- 4. Signal receiving, processing, display, and recording equipment at the supervising station

advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performancebased technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change.

- 1. Transmitter located at the protected premises
- *Transmission channel between the protected premises and the supervising station or subsidiary station

Add Annex: 26.6.1.1 For fire alarm systems that rely on digital alarm communicator systems to establish communications channels between the protected premises and the central station via the public switched telephone network or another MFVN, the requirement to supervise circuits between the protected premises and the central station is considered to be met if the communications channel is periodically tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.5. It is not the intent of this section to regulate the PSTN or other MFVNs.

- If used, any subsidiary station and its communications channel
- 2. Signal receiving, processing, display, and recording equipment at the supervising station

6.6.1.1 (2) implies that chapter 26 applies to the entirety of the transmission channel between the protected premises and the supervising station, but this is often not the case. It is recommended to add an Annex note consistent with an annex note already in section 10.6.9.2 highlighting that the PSTN and other MFVNs are not regulated by chapter 26

See 10.6.9.2 * Power supply sources and electrical supervision for digital alarm communications systems shall be in accordance with Section 10.6, 10.6.9, Section 10.19, and Section 12.6.

Annex 10.6.9.2 Because digital alarm communicator systems establish communications channels between the protected premises and the central station via the public switched telephone network, the requirement to supervise circuits between the protected premises and the central station (see 12.6.1 and 12.6.2) is considered to be met if the communications channel is periodically tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.5.

Neither chapter 26 nor NFPA 72 is intended to regulate the hardware or procedures of communications companies that are otherwise regulated by the FCC or Public Utility Commissions.

Committee Statement

Rejected but see related SR-5112

During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change.

1. Annex material was added for clarification of what is included in the list that are regulated in the DACT section 26.6.1.1.

Public Comment No. 215-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.1.1]

			6.6.1.1	Public Comment No. 215-NFPA 72- 2023 [Section No. 26.6.1.1]		(JVK) After review there is no correlating committee action required.
			Section 26.6 shall apply to the following:			correlating committee determined.
				Statement of the Problem NFPA 72 has no jurisdiction to		
			Transmitter located at the protected premises	regulate the operation of MFVNs that		
			Transmission channel between the protected	are located on the network side of the		
			premises and the supervising station or subsidiary station except for any portion of	FCC demarcation point.		
			the channel that is carried by or over a	Related Item		
			circuit provided by an FCC approved carriers.	• CI-5176		
			These circuit boundaries are defined as any portion of the circuit between the	Committee Statement		
			FCC defined network demarcationor standard	Rejected but see related SR-5112		
			network interfacepoints at either end of a			
			transmission channel.	During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there		
			If used, any subsidiary station and its	was identified an immediate need to		
			communications channel <u>and:</u>	begin to address the technical		
			4. Signal receiving, processing, display, and	advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing		
			recording equipment at the supervising station	important fire alarm system signals to		
				supervising stations while at the same		
				time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for		
1				transmitting fire alarm system signals		
				to supervising stations. As a result of		
				numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many		
				second revisions intended to address		
				changes that reflect both existing and		
				performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and		
				acceptable for the important task of		
				transmitting fire alarm signals to		
				supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by		
				emergency public safety agencies. In		
				the case of this second revision the		
				following points are the specific basis for the change.		
				Annex material was added for clarification of what is included in the		
				list that are regulated in the DACT		
				section 26.6.1.1.		
SR-	<u>26.6.2.4.4*</u>	During the first revision meeting	Public Comment No. 218-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after	Public Comment No. 218-NFPA 72-	SCR-xxxx	(JVK) Why is this here? 26.2.6 (1)
5116	Communications pathways shall be permanently identified, as approved by the	of the SIG-SSS Technical	26.6.2.4.3]	2023 [New Section after 26.6.2.4.3]		already covers this. This is redundant
	AHJ, at each connection point from the FACU to the service provider	Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to	TITLE OF NEW CONTENT	Statement of the Problem	26.6.2.4.4* Communications pathways shall be	and not necessary.
	communications equipment.	address the technical	26.6.2.4.4 *	Expands on information proposed by	permanently identified, as approved by	See SCR-xxxx changes to SR-5151
	<u>A.26.6.2.4.4</u>	advancements and variety of		the performance based task group but	the AHJ, at each connection point from	_
	The identification of fire alarm system communications pathways by the	methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm	Communication pathways shall be labeled where the fire alarm equipment interfaces to the other communication equipment as	has additional annex guidance as NFPA 72 has no jurisdiction to	the FACU to the service provider communications equipment.	
	building owner or its designees is critical to the integrity and sustainability of	system signals to supervising	required by the AHJ.	regulate the operation of MFVNs that	' '	
	these systems. Therefore, the marking of such pathways and their integrated shared equipment is equally important for the integrity of the fire alarm system	stations while at the same time providing continued recognition	A 26.6.2.4.4.The identification of fire alarm system	are located on the network side of the FCC demarcation point.	A.26.6.2.4.4 The identification of fire alarm system	
	to make sure that no changes to these pathways at the protected premises are	of legacy methods still in use for	communication pathways by the building owner or its	·	communications pathways by the	
	made without proper AHJ notification. Due to the wide variety of circumstances	transmitting fire alarm system	designees are critical to the integrity and sustainability of these systems. Therefore, the marking of this	Related Item	building owner or its designees is critical	
	and conditions that might need to be labeled, the means of labeling is left to AHJ approval. Suggested language for the marking or signage of these	signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task	pathway and its integrated shared equipment is equally	• CI-5176	to the integrity and sustainability of these systems. Therefore, the marking	
	pathways and shared equipment might include language similar to, "This is	Group meetings this second	important for the integrity of the fire alarm system to		of such pathways and their integrated	
	part of a communications systems pathway, no changes to this equipment or	revision is one of many second	make sure that no unapproved changes are made to these pathways. Due to the wide variety of	Committee Statement	shared equipment is equally important	
	configurations shall be made without notifying the local AHJ," or more simply, "Fire communications pathway, notify AHJ BEFORE modification."	revisions intended to address changes that reflect both	circumstances and conditions that may need to be	Rejected but see related SR-5116	for the integrity of the fire alarm system to make sure that no changes to these	
	, ,,,	existing and performance-	labeled, the means of labeling is left to the approval of	During the first revision meeting of the	pathways at the protected premises are	
		based technologies considered	the AHJ. Suggested language for the marking or signage of these pathways and share equipment might	SIG-SSS Technical Committee there	made without proper AHJ notification. Due to the wide variety of	
		reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important	include language similar to: "This is part of a	was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical	Due to the wide variety of circumstances and conditions that might	
		task of transmitting fire alarm	communication systems pathway, no changes to this	advancements and variety of methods	need to be labeled, the means of	
		signals to supervising stations	equipment or configurations shall be made without approvals from the local AHJ," or more simply, "Fire	of transmitting and processing	labeling is left to AHJ approval. Suggested language for the marking or	
		and ultimately for notification and response by emergency	Communications Pathway, Notify AHJ BEFORE	important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same	signage of these pathways and shared	
		public safety agencies. In the	modification." Placement of such markings must	time providing continued recognition	equipment might include language	
		case of this second revision the	always be on the "Customer" side of the FCC Standard Network Interface (SNI), or FCC defined demarcation	of legacy methods still in use for	similar to, "This is part of a communications systems pathway, no	
		following points are the specific basis for the change.	connection point. In no instance may such marking be	transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of	communications systems pathway, no changes to this equipment or	
		,				<u>.</u>

Parties Comment No. 19. SARPA 72-0705 (Nov. Sacian sub- Author Comment No. 19. SARPA 72-0705 (Nov. Sacian sub- Author Comment No. 19. SARPA 72-0705 (Nov. Sacian sub- Author Comment No. 19. SARPA 72-0705 (Nov. Sacian sub- Author Comment No. 19. SARPA 72-0705 (Nov. Sacian sub- Author Comment No. 19. SARPA 72-0705 (Nov. Sacian sub- Author Comment No. 19. SARPA 72-0705 (Nov. Sacian sub- Author Comment No. 19. SARPA 72-0705 (Nov. Sacian sub- Author Comment No. 19. Sacian
PC- Rejected but held Public Comment No. 173-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after Public Comment No. 173-NFPA 72- 2023 [New Section after 20.6.2.5] 2023 [New Section after 20.6.2.5] Correlating committee ac

Anc. chambas, to the communications analyses, communications before the communications and provide and a communication and provided and accordance with a control of the communication and provided and accordance with Chapter 2. 3. Documentation shall be provided in accordance with Chapter 2. 4. Secondary prover shall be verified as correlation with 2.6.8.0.1. 4. Secondary prover shall be verified as correlation shall be provided in accordance with Chapter 2.5. 5. Secondary prover shall be verified as correlation shall be provided prov			The committee requires additional time to consider the requirements for equipment protection found in other codes and standards and provide appropriate wording for the next revision cycle.	26.6.2.4.4.1 Communication equipment, power supplies, and circuits at the protected premises, located on the customer side of the FCC demarcation or standard network interface, used for transmission of fire alarm signals shall be secured from tampering and protected from hazardous conditions, including weather conditions that can adversely affect the equipment operations, or be listed to for such conditions. 26.6.2.4.4.2 Communication equipment, power supplies, and circuits at the protected premises, located on the carrier side of the FCC demarcation or standard network interface, used for transmission of fire alarm signals shall be secured and installed in accordance with FCC approved telecommunications wiring standards applicable to the specific installation.	Statement of the Problem Similar text was discussed by the performance standards task group for inclusion in section 26.6.3, but these requirements are really applicable to all technologies and on both the customer and carrier side of the demarcation point, when one is present. Having the requirements included here would help to improve alarm transmission reliability of for all technologies. Committee Statement Rejected but held		
for the SGC-SSS Technical Communications technicated premises shall meet all of the Gildericage. 1. The Art shall be produced in accordance with Chapter 2, a special to supervising station, shall be produced in accordance with Chapter 2, a special to supervising station, and the communication is an accordance with Chapter 2, a special to supervising station, and the communication is a communication and accordance with Chapter 2, a special to supervising station, and the communication is a communication and accordance with Chapter 2, a special to supervising station, and the communication is a communication and accordance with Chapter 2, a special to supervising station, while all the same time proving communication is a communication and accordance with charter 2, and the communication is a communication and accordance with chapter 2, and the communication is a communication and accordance with chapter 2, and the communication is a communication and accordance with chapter 2, and the communication is a communication and accordance with chapter 2, and the communication and accordance with chapter 2, and the communication and accordance with chapter 2, and the communication is a communication and accordance with chapter 2, and the c					time to consider the requirements for equipment protection found in other codes and standards and provide appropriate wording for the next		
since many authorities are now requiring change in monitoring permits to verify complaints. Since many authorities are now requiring change in monitoring permits to verify complaints. Birchenses and contracted verocins to the property identify the communications technologies and hardware to be implemented, but also make sure the application of such technologies and hardware to be implemented but also make sure that any changes to be replaced in the communications technologies. As a many authorities are now requiring change in monitoring permits to verify complaints. A 26.6.2.9.3 As many authorities are now requiring change in monitoring permits to verify complaince with the new technology configurations, herdware and programming, the base become important task of transmitting fire lasting and performance with this Code, It is the responsibility of the building owner or its designees to emplaint. As many authorities are now requiring change in monitoring permits to verify compliance with the new technology configurations, herdware and programming, the absence many technologies, or hardware at the protected premises are complaint. As many authorities are now requiring change in monitoring permits to verify compliance with the new technology configurations, herdware and programming, the absence many technologies, or hardware at the protected premises are complaint. As many authorities are now requiring change in monitoring permits to verify compliance with the new technology configurations, herdware and programming the absence many technologies of revisions intended to address changes that reflect both communications betwindown of the communication section of the comm	5151	or communications hardware at the protected premises shall meet all of the following: 1. The AHJ shall be notified. 2. Reacceptance testing shall be performed in accordance with Chapter 14. 3. Documentation shall be provided in accordance with Chapter 7.	Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for	26.6.2.3.9 * Any changes to the communication pathway, technologies or hardware at the protected premises shall meet the following: 1. AHJ notified 2. Reacceptance and documentation requirements of	Statement of the Problem This public comment is being submitted on behalf of the performance-based design task group assigned by SIG-SSS during the first draft meetings to review and consider modifications of Chapter 26 to remove communications technologies no	Management. Any changes to the communications pathway, communications technologies, or communications hardware at the protected premises shall meet all of the following: 1. The AHJ shall be notified.	·
transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergane of public safety agencies. In the collowing points are the specific basis for the change.		A.26.6.2.6 Since many authorities are now requiring change in monitoring permits to verify compliance with new technology configurations, hardware, and programming, it has become important for protected premises and contracted vendors to not only properly identify the communications technologies and hardware to be implemented, but also make sure the application of such technologies and pathways are implemented in conformance with this Code. It is the responsibility of the building owner or its designees to ensure that any changes to the communications pathway, technologies, or hardware at the protected	transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change. 1. This revision clarifies that the AHJ should be notified of changes in communications equipment and assure that the signals are transmitted properly via any new communications channels. 2. Back up power must be verified for the newly added	A.26.6.2.3.9 As many authorities are now requiring change in monitoring permits to verify compliance with the new technology configurations, hardware and programming, it has become important for protected premise and contracted vendors to not only properly identify the communication technologies and hardware to be implemented, but also to make sure the application of this technology and pathways are implemented in conformance with the code. It is the responsibility of the building owner or its designees to ensure that any changes to the communication pathway, technologies, or hardware at the protected premises are	longer in use and prescriptive performance-based methodologies for new and future communications technologies. This public comment is tied to Committee Input 5100. Related Item • CI 5100 Committee Statement Rejected but see related SR-5151 During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis	performed in accordance with Chapter 14. 3. Documentation shall be provided in accordance with Chapter 7. 4. Secondary power shall be verified as complying with 26.6.3.13. 5. Communications pathways shall be permanently identified, as approved by the AHJ, at each connection point from the FACU to the service provider communications equipment. A.26.6.2.6 Since many authorities are now requiring change in monitoring permits to verify compliance with new technology configurations, hardware, and programming, it has become important for protected premises and contracted vendors to not only properly identify the communications technologies and hardware to be implemented, but also make sure the application of such technologies and pathways are implemented in conformance with this Code. It is the responsibility of the building owner or its designees to ensure that any changes to the communications pathway, technologies, or hardware at the	

SR- 5118	26.6.3.2 Communications Integrity. Provision shall be made to monitor the The integrity of the transmission technology and its communications path shall comply with 26.6.3.2.1 through 26.6.3.2.3. 26.6.3.2.1 Acknowledgments to the protected premises for alarm, supervisory, or trouble signals shall only be initiated by the supervising station.	During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time	Public Comment No. 51-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.2] 26.6.3.2 Communications Integrity Provision shall be made to monitor the integrity of the transmission technology and its communications	communications equipment and assure that the signals are transmitted properly via any new communications channels. 2. Back up power must be verified for the newly added communications equipment. Public Comment No. 51-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.2] Statement of the Problem This public comment is being submitted on behalf of the performance-based design task group assigned by SIG-SSS during the first draft meetings to review and consider modifications of Chanter 26 to remove	critical to the integrity and sustainability of these systems. Therefore, the marking of such pathways and their integrated shared equipment is equally important for the integrity of the fire alarm system to make sure that no changes to these pathways at the protected premises are made without proper AHJ notification. Due to the wide variety of circumstances and conditions that might need to be labeled, the means of labeling is left to AHJ approval. Suggested language for the marking or signage of these pathways and shared equipment might include language similar to, "This is part of a communications systems pathway, no changes to this equipment or configurations shall be made without notifying the local AHJ," or more simply, "Fire communications pathway, notify AHJ BEFORE modification." Committee Statement The SR-5116 requirements belong under the new section 26.6.2.6* Communications Pathway Management introduced during the comment draft. Not in 26.6.2.4* Equipment.	(JVK) After review I struggle with the wording of 26.6.3.2.1 "Acknowledgments to the protected premises for alarm, supervisory, or trouble signals shall only be initiated by the supervising station." I believe it is missing a word"signals"
	26.6.3.2.2 Communications equipment installed at the protected premises shall comply with 26.6.3.2.2.1 and 26.6.3.2.2.2.	providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system	path by the following requirements: 26.6.3.2.1	modifications of Chapter 26 to remove communications technologies no longer in use and prescriptive performance-based methodologies for		26.6.3.2.1 Acknowledgments to of the protected premises signals for alarm, supervisory,
	26.6.3.2.2.1 Premises equipment installed to transmit signals shall be listed for the purpose	signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second	Acknowledgements to the protected premises for alarm, supervisory, or trouble signals shall only be initiated by the supervising station.	new and future communications technologies. This public comment is tied to Committee Input 5100.		or trouble signals shall only be initiated by the supervising station.
	and comply with the applicable requirements of 26.6.3. 26.6.3.2.2.2 Premises equipment initiating signal transmission at the control unit shall be	revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance- based technologies considered	26.6.3.2.2 The communication equipment installed at the protected premises shall conform to the following requirements:	Related Item • CI 5000		
	listed independently of the communications technology and be part of the fire alarm system. 26.6.3.2.3	reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm	(1) Premises Equipment (a) Equipment installed to transmit signals	Committee Statement Rejected but see related SR-5118		
	Communications service provider equipment installed at the protected premises shall comply with 26.6.3.2.3.1 and 26.6.3.2.3.2.	signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the	shall be listed for the purpose and meets the applicable requirements of 26.6.3. (b) Equipment initiating signal transmission at	During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical		
	26.6.3.2.3.1 Communications services equipment from communications service providers, including MFVN providers, shall be listed as communications and information	case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change.	the fire alarm control unit or as a separate communicator shall be considered part of the fire alarm system and be listed independently	advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same		
	technology equipment and comply with applicable requirements of 26.6.3.12 and 26.6.3.13.	The requirements for listing were added to improve fire alarm reliability	of the communication technology used. (2) Providers of Communication Services Equipment	time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals		
	26.6.3.2.3.2 Communications services equipment from communications service providers complying with 26.6.4.2.1.3 and used to transmit signals shall be listed independently of the communications technology used.	2. Delineates between the premises and the service provider equipment.	(a) Equipment provided by communication service providers, including MFVN providers shall be listed as communications and information technology equipment and meet the applicable requirements of 26.6.3. (b) Equipment from service providers complying with 26.6.4.2.1.3 used to retransmit signals shall be listed independently of the communication technology used.	to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the		

Public Comment No. 174-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after 26.6.3.2]

26.6.3.2.1 Acknowledgements to the protected premises for alarm, supervisory, or trouble signals shall only be initiated by the supervising station.

26.6.3.3 Equipment installed at the premises shall conform to the following:

1.

a. Premises Equipment

- Equipment initiating signal transmission as the control unit or as a separate communicator shall be considered part of the fire alarm system and be listed as such independently of the communication technology used.
- Equipment installed as customer premises alarm signaling equipment to transmit fire alarm signals shall be listed as communications and information technology equipment.
- Equipment provided by MFVN providers shall be listed as communications and information technology equipment.

Public Comment No. 222-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.2] 26.6.3.2 Communications Integrity.

Provision shall be made to monitor the integrity of the transmission technology and its communications path by the following requirements:

Acknowledgements to the protected premises for alarm, supervisory, or trouble signals shall only be initiated by the supervising station. following points are the specific basis for the change.

- 1. The requirements for listing were added to improve fire alarm reliability
- 2. Delineates between the premises and the service provider equipment.

Public Comment No. 174-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after 26.6.3.2]

Statement of the Problem

Investigation with FCC approved carriers regarding faults with fire alarm transmission implicate the lack of device listing as a major cause of poor system performance. Adding requirements for listing for both customer equipment and MFVN provided equipment, on top of the existing requirements for testing and supervision, will improve the reliability of fire alarm transmission.

Related Item
• CI-5176

Committee Statement

Rejected but see related SR-5118

During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change.

- 1. The requirements for listing were added to improve fire alarm reliability
- 2. Delineates between the premises and the service provider equipment.

Public Comment No. 222-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.2]

Statement of the Problem

Expands on work of performance based task group which emphasizes the importance of listing but adds clarification that c NFPA 72 has no jurisdiction to regulate the operation of MFVNs that are located on the

(JVK) After review I struggle with the wording of 26.6.3.2.1 "Acknowledgments to the protected premises for alarm, supervisory, or trouble signals shall only be initiated by the supervising station."

I believe it is missing a word....."signals"

26.6.3.2.1

Acknowledgments to of the protected premises signals for alarm, supervisory, or trouble signals shall only be initiated by the supervising station.

(JVK) After review I struggle with the wording of 26.6.3.2.1 "Acknowledgments to the protected premises for alarm, supervisory, or trouble signals shall only be initiated by the supervising station."

I believe it is missing a word....."signals"

network side of the FCC demarcation 26.6.3.2.1 (2) The customer provided communication equipment installed at the protected premises shall conform to one of the following Acknowledgments to of the protected premises signals for alarm, supervisory, requirements: Related Item or trouble signals shall only be initiated (a) Premises Equipment • CI-5176 by the supervising station. i.Equipment installed as Customer premises alarm signaling equipment to transmit signals shall be listed for the purpose that **Committee Statement** meets the applicable requirements of 26.6.3. Rejected but see related SR-5118 ii. Equipment initiating signal transmission at control unit or as a separated communicator shall be considered part of the fire alarm During the first revision meeting of the system and be listed per 26.6.3.2(a) independently of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there communication technology used was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical (b)Providers of Communication Services Equipment advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing i. Equipment provided by communication service providers, important fire alarm system signals to including MFVN providers shall be listed as communications and supervising stations while at the same information technology equipment and meet the applicable time providing continued recognition requirements of 26.6.3. for any equipment installed on the of legacy methods still in use for customer side of the FCC demarc or standard network transmitting fire alarm system signals interface. to supervising stations. As a result of ii. Equipment from service providers complying with 26.6.4.2.1.3 numerous Task Group meetings this and installed on the customer side of the FCC demarc or second revision is one of many standard network interface, used to retransmit signals shall be second revisions intended to address listed per 26.6.3.2(b) independently of the communication changes that reflect both existing and technology used. Any equipment connected on the Network side performance-based technologies of the FCC demarc or standard network interface shall carry the considered reasonably reliable and appropriate UL listing for Telecommunications Network acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to Equipment. supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change. 1. The requirements for listing were added to improve fire alarm reliability 2. Delineates between the premises and the service provider equipment. Public Comment No. 212-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after Public Comment No. 212-NFPA 72-26.6.2.5 2023 [New Section after 26.6.2.5] (JVK) After review I struggle with the wording of 26.6.3.2.1 TITLE OF NEW CONTENT Statement of the Problem "Acknowledgments to the protected 26.6.2.4.2.1 Equipment utilized for transmission of Fire Alarm Many emulation devices are being premises for alarm, supervisory, or Signals shall be listed for the purpose. installed that are not listed for fire use trouble signals shall only be initiated by and may not have sufficient power as the supervising station." outlined in Chapter 10 of this standard. If the device is going to be used for Fire Alarm Panel I believe it is missing a word....."signals" communication then it should be listed for the purpose. 26.6.3.2.1 Acknowledgments to of the protected Related Item premises signals for alarm, supervisory, • PI-303 or trouble signals shall only be initiated by the supervising station. **Committee Statement** Rejected but see related SR-5118 During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address

SR- 5134	26.6.3.4 Multiple Communications PathePathways.	This revision clarifies that multiple pathways must be arranged so that a failure on	Public Comment No. 224-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.4]	Public Comment No. 224-NFPA 72- 2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.4]		(JVK) After review there is no correlating committee action required.
SR- 5121	26.6.3.3 Single Communications Path. Unless prohibited by the enforcing authority, governing laws, codes, or standards, where a single communications path is used, the following requirements shall be met: 1. The path shall be supervised at an interval of not more than 60 minutes. 2. A failure of the path shall be annunciated at the supervising station within not more than 60 minutes. 3. The failure to complete a signal transmission shall be annunciated at the protected premises in accordance with Section 10.15. 26.6.3.3.1 A single communications path shall be permitted unless prohibited by the AHJ or by governing laws, codes, or standards. 26.6.3.3.2 Where a single communications path is used, the following requirements shall be met: 1. The path shall be supervised for integrity to ensure end-to-end communications at an interval of not more than 60 minutes. 2. A failure of the path within 60 minutes shall be annunciated in accordance with Section 10.15.		Public Comment No. 56-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after 26.6.3.3] 26.6.3.3 Single Communications Path. 26.6.3.3.1 A Single Communications Path shall be permitted unless prohibited by the enforcing authority, governing laws, codes, or standards. 26.6.3.3.2 Where a single communication path is used, the following requirements shall be met: 1. The path shall be supervised for integrity to ensure end to end communications within not more than 60 minutes. 2. A failure of the path within not more than 60 minutes shall be annunciated in accordance with Section 10.15.	transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change. 1. The requirements for listing were added to improve fire alarm reliability 2. Delineates between the premises and the service provider equipment. Public Comment No. 56-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after 26.6.3.3] Statement of the Problem This public comment is being submitted on behalf of the performance-based design task group assigned by SIG-SSS during the first draft meetings to review and consider modifications of Chapter 26 to remove communications technologies no longer in use and prescriptive performance-based methodologies for new and future communications technologies. This public comment is tied to Committee Input 5100. Related Item • CI 5000 Committee Statement Rejected but see related SR-5121 During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision is need to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change.	SCR-xxxx 26.6.3.3 Single Communications Pathway. 26.6.3.3.1 A single communications pathway shall be permitted unless prohibited by the AHJ or by governing laws, codes, or standards. 26.6.3.3.2 Where a single communications pathway is used, the following requirements shall be met: 1. The pathway shall be supervised for integrity to ensure end-to-end communications at an interval of not more than 60 minutes. 2. A failure of the pathway within 60 minutes shall be annunciated in accordance with Section 10.15. Committee Statement Section 26.6.3.3 describes a communications path, 26.6.3.4 describes communication pathways, and 26.6.3.5 describes multiple paths. This revision correlates the usage of 'pathway' and 'pathways' in lieu of 'path' and 'paths'.	(JVK) per NFPA input, SCR-xxxxx has been suggested to change the work "path" to "pathways". Pathways is used throughout the code. Path is not.
				changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of		

##<u>Where</u> multiple transmission pathspathways are used, the following requirements shall be met:

- Each pathpathway shall be supervised within for integrity to ensure end-to-end communications at an interval of not more than 6 hours.
- 2. The failure of any path of a multipath system shall be annunciated at the supervising station within not more than 6 hours.
- Multiple communications-pathspathways shall be arranged so that a single point of failure shallon one pathway does not cause more than a single path to failthe failure of other pathways
- The failure Failure to complete a signal transmission shall be annunciated at the protected premises in accordance with Section 10.15.

one pathway does not cause a failure of any other pathway. NFPA 72 only regulates on premises pathways and equipment beyond the premises is outside the scope of NFPA 72

26.6.3.4 Multiple Communications Paths.

If multiple transmission paths are used, the following requirements shall be met:

- Each path shall be supervised within not more than 6 hours.
- The failure of any path of a multipath system shall be annunciated at the supervising station within not more than 6 hours.
- . Multiple communications paths shall be arranged so that a single point of failure shall not cause more than a single path to fail. This provision does not apply to Telecommunication Network Equipment installed on the Network side of the FCC demarc or standard network interact that may be provided by FCCapproved Carriers. Such equipment is considered part of the transmission, switching and carrier function of said provider networksand fully regulated by FCC carrier regulation.
- 4. The failure to complete a signal transmission shall be annunciated at the protected premises in accordance with Section 10.15.

Public Comment No. 67-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.4]

26.6.3.

4 Multiple

4 Multiple Communications Paths.

Where If multiple transmission paths are used, the following requirements shall be met:

- Each path shall be supervised for integrity to ensure end to end communications within not more than 6 hours.
- The failure of any path of a multipath system shall be annunciated at the supervising station within not more than 6 hours.
- Multiple communications paths shall be arranged so that a single point of failure downstream of the listed protected premises equipment permitted by 26.6.3.2 shall not cause more than a single path to fail.
- The failure to complete a signal transmission of any path within not more than 6 hours shall be annunciated at the protected premises in accordance with Section 10.15.

Public Comment No. 175-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.4]

Statement of the Problem

NFPA 72 does not address the architecture of the MFVN with respect to single points of failure or overall reliability.

Related Item • CI-5176

Committee Statement

Rejected but see related SR-5134

This revision clarifies that multiple pathways must be arranged so that a failure on one pathway does not cause a failure of any other pathway. NFPA 72 only regulates on premises pathways and equipment beyond the premises is outside the scope of NFPA 72.

Public Comment No. 67-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.4]

Statement of the Problem

The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated technologies, which are currently being phased out due to many factors such as regulatory, technology, and reliability changes. If during this assessment, the task group determines that an existing or legacy communication method cannot be moved into the performance-based technology requirements, a separate section will be maintained to regulate this communication technology. The task group will continue to review Public Input 526 for appropriate requirements and text for inclusion in Section 26.7.

Related Item • CI 5000

Committee Statement

Rejected but see related SR-5134

This revision clarifies that multiple pathways must be arranged so that a failure on one pathway does not cause a failure of any other pathway. NFPA 72 only regulates on premises pathways and equipment beyond the premises is outside the scope of NFPA 72.

Public Comment No. 175-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.4]

(JVK) After review there is no correlating committee action required.

(JVK) After review there is no correlating committee action required.

	T	T	26.6.3.4 Multiple Communications Paths.	T		Г
			If multiple transmission paths are used, the following requirements shall be met: 1. Each-End to end communications of each path shall be supervised within not more than 6 hours. 2. The failure of any path of a multipath system shall be annunciated at the supervising station within not more than 6 hours. 3. Multiple communications paths shall be arranged so that a-any single point of failure of the listed equipment on the customer side of the FCC demarcation or standard network interface shall not cause more than a single path to fail. 4. The failure to complete a signal transmission shall be annunciated at the protected premises in accordance with Section 10.15.	Statement of the Problem Changes made to eliminate single points of failure in the transmission path, but limiting the application of this requirement to the customer side of the FCC demarcation point as the code is not intended to regulate the elements of the PSTN operated by FCC approved carriers. Related Item • CI-5176 Committee Statement Rejected but see related SR-5134 This revision clarifies that multiple pathways must be arranged so that a failure on one pathway does not cause a failure of any other pathway. NFPA 72 only regulates on premises pathways and equipment beyond the premises is outside the scope of NFPA 72.		
SR-	26.6.3.5* Single Technology.	Updated cross reference.	???	????	SCR-xxxx	(JVK) per NFPA input, SCR-xxxx has
5143	A single technology shall be permitted to be used to create the multiple paths provided thatif the requirements of 26.6.3.4(1) through 26.6.3.4(4)26.6.3.4(3) are met.			Statement of the Problem Committee Statement	26.6.3.5* Single Technology. A single technology shall be permitted to be used to create the multiple communications pathways if the requirements of 26.6.3.4(1) through 26.6.3.4(3) are met.	been suggested to change the work "path" to "pathways". Pathways is used throughout the code. Path is not.
					Committee Statement	
					Section 26.6.3.3 describes a communications path, 26.6.3.4 describes communication pathways, and 26.6.3.5 describes multiple paths. This revision correlates the usage of 'pathway' and 'pathways' in lieu of 'path' and 'paths'.	
PC- 226		Rejected	Public Comment No. 226-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.7.1	Public Comment No. 226-NFPA 72- 2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.7.1]		(JVK) After review there is no correlating committee action required
		The limit of 512 does not apply to the carrier network but does	26.6.3.7.1	Statement of the Problem		
		apply to the supervising station.	The maximum number of independent fire alarm systems connected to a single system unit shall be limited to 512. This does not apply to FCC approved carrier network	Adds clarification that NFPA 72 has no jurisdiction to regulation the operation of MFVNs that are located on the network side of the FCC demarcation point.		
				Committee Statement		
				Rejected		
ep.	26.6.2.44.2*	During the first service of	Dublic Commont No. 477 NEDA 70.0000 FOR the No. 00.00 443	The limit of 512 does not apply to the carrier network but does apply to the supervising station.		(IV/V) Nothing was added to Object to T
SR- 5124	26.6.3.11.3* The integrity and signal reliability of listed communications equipment used for	During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical	Public Comment No. 177-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.11]	Public Comment No. 177-NFPA 72- 2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.11]		(JVK) Nothing was added to Chapters 7 and 14 to document or verify this
	fire alarm signal transmission shall be compatible with the latency and jitter parameters applicable to the communications protocol.	Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to	26.6.3.11 Signal Error Detection and Correction. 26.6.3.11.1	Statement of the Problem		requirement.
	A.26.6.3.11.3	address the technical advancements and variety of	Communication of alarm, supervisory, and trouble signals shall be	Latency and jitter are valuable measurements of network hardware		
	Latency and jitter conditions are important network metrics to consider	methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm	in accordance with this section to prevent degradation of the signal in transit, which in turn would result in either of the	performance and measurement of these parameters for the customer		
	because communications equipment transmitting fire alarm signals over internet protocol is sensitive to such conditions, which can impact	system signals to supervising stations while at the same time	following:	side premises equipment could provide an increase in alarm		
	communications integrity and signal reliability. Latency is the measurement of time for a packet of data to transmit from Point A to Point B. Jitter is the	providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for	Failure of the signal to be displayed and recorded at the supervising station	transmission reliability. These performance measurements must be		
I			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ı ·		

measurement of the fluctuation of latency time when two devices are talking to each other.

26.6.3.11.4

Any communications failure due to latency or jitter conditions shall result in a trouble signal annunciated at the protected premises.

transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performancebased technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change.

- 1. The revision addresses the concerns for latency and jitter in communications equipment.
- 2. Provides guidance to testing laboratories to develop appropriate equipment parameters.

Incorrect corrupted signal displayed and recorded at the supervising station

26.6.3.11.2

Reliability of the signal shall be achieved by any of the following:

- Signal repetition multiple transmissions repeating the same signal
- Parity check a mathematically check sum algorithm of a digital message that verifies correlation between transmitted and received message
- 3. An equivalent means to 26.6.3.11.1(1) or 26.6.3.11.1(2) that provides a certainty of 99.99 percent that the received message is identical to the transmitted message

26.6.3.11.3 * Communication equipment, located on the customer side if the FCC demarcation of standard network interface, used for transmission of fire alarm signals shall meet the latency and jitter requirements applicable to the communication protocol used for signal transmission ensuring communication integrity and signal reliability.

A.26.6.3.11.3 Latency and jitter are important network metrics to ensure communication integrity and signal reliability. Latency is the measurement of time for a packet of data to transmit from point A to point B. Jitter is the measurement of the fluctuation of the latency time when two devices are talking to each other. They are important because communication equipment transmitting fire alarm signals over Internet Protocol is sensitive to latency and jitter. Manufacturer installation instructions for the equipment should indicate the signal latency and jitter parameters required to ensure communication integrity and signal reliability. This requirement does not apply to the MFVN performance as these services are regulated by the FCC and others. Signals carried by network carriers must adhere to FCC standards and other regulatory standards.

26.6.3.11.4* Any communication failure due to latency and jitter out of parameter conditions shall be detected by the communication equipment located on the customer side if the FCC demarcation of standard network interface and result in a communication failure trouble signal being annunciated at the protected premises.

A.26.6.3.11.4 This requirement does not apply to the MFVN performance as these services are regulated by the FCC and others. Signals carried by network carriers must adhere to FCC standards and other regulatory standards.

Public Comment No. 59-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after 26.6.3.11.2]

26.6.3.11.4

Any communication failure due to latency and jitter out of parameter conditions shall be detected by the communication equipment and result in a communication failure trouble signal being annunciated at the protected premises.

limited to the network on the customer side of the FCC demarcation point as NFPA 72 is not intended to regulate the POTS, wireless or IP elements of the PSTN.

Related Item
• CI-5176

Committee Statement

Rejected but see related SR-5124

During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change.

- 1. The revision addresses the concerns for latency and jitter in communications equipment.
- 2. Provides guidance to testing laboratories to develop appropriate equipment parameters

Public Comment No. 59-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after 26.6.3.11.2]

<u>Statement of the Problem</u> Rejected but see related SR-5124

This public comment is being submitted on behalf of the performance-based design task group assigned by SIG-SSS during the first draft meetings to review and consider modifications of Chapter 26 to remove communications technologies no longer in use and prescriptive performance-based methodologies for new and future communications technologies. This public comment is tied to Committee Input 5100.

Related Item
• CI 5000

Committee Statement

(JVK) Nothing was added to Chapters 7 and 14 to document or verify this requirement.

During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change. 1. The revision addresses the concerns for latency and jitter in communications equipment. 2. Provides guidance to testing laboratories to develop appropriate equipment parameters. Public Comment No. 228-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after Public Comment No. 228-NFPA 72-(JVK) Nothing was added to Chapters 7 2023 [New Section after 26.6.3.11.2] 26.6.3.11.2] and 14 to document or verify this requirement. 26.6.3.11.3 * Statement of the Problem Adds clarification that NFPA 72 has Communication equipment used for transmission of fire alarm no jurisdiction to regulation the signals shall meet the Latency and Jitter requirements applicable operation of MFVNs that are located to the communication protocol used for signal transmission on the network side of the FCC ensuring communication integrity and signal reliability. This does demarcation point. not apply to FCC approved Carrier equipment. A.26.6.3.11.3 Related Item Latency and Jitter are important network metrics to • CI-5176 ensure communication integrity and signal reliability. Latency is the measurement of time for a packet of data to transmit from point A to point B. Jitter is the measurement of the fluctuation of the latency time Committee Statement when two devices are talking to each other. They are Rejected but see related SR-5124 important because communication equipment transmitting fire alarm signals over Internet Protocol is During the first revision meeting of the sensitive to latency and Jitter. Manufacturer installation SIG-SSS Technical Committee there instructions or specifications for the was identified an immediate need to equipment should indicate that signal Latency and Jitter begin to address the technical parameters will conform to FCC Telecommunication advancements and variety of methods standards for communication integrity and signal of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to reliability. supervising stations while at the same 26.6.3.11.4 time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for Any communication failure due to latency and jitter out of transmitting fire alarm system signals parameter conditions shall be detected by the communication to supervising stations. As a result of equipment and result in a communication failure trouble signal numerous Task Group meetings this being annunciated at the protected premises. This does not second revision is one of many apply to FCC approved Carrier. second revisions intended to address ANNEX: these services are regulated by the FCC requirements for changes that reflect both existing and transmission. Signals carried by Network carriers must adhere to performance-based technologies FCC standards. considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In

			Public Comment No. 58-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after 26.6.3.11.2] 26.6.3.11.3 * Communication equipment used for transmission of fire alarm signals shall meet the Latency and Jitter requirements applicable to the communication protocol used for signal transmission ensuring communication integrity and signal reliability. A.26.6.3.11.3 Latency and Jitter are important network metrics to ensure communication integrity and signal reliability. Latency is the measurement of time for a packet of data to transmit from point A to point B. Jitter is the measurement of the fluctuation of the latency time when two devices are talking to each other. They are important because communication equipment transmitting fire alarm signals over Internet Protocol is sensitive to latency and Jitter. Manufacturer installation instructions for the equipment must indicate the signal Latency and Jitter parameters required to ensure communication integrity and signal reliability.	the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change. 1. The revision addresses the concerns for latency and jitter in communications equipment. 2. Provides guidance to testing laboratories to develop appropriate equipment parameters. Public Comment No. 58-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after 26.6.3.11.2] Statement of the Problem This public comment is being submitted on behalf of the performance-based design task group assigned by SIG-SSS during the first draft meetings to review and consider modifications of Chapter 26 to remove communications technologies no longer in use and prescriptive performance-based methodologies for new and future communications technologies. This public comment is tied to Committee Input 5100. Related Item • CI 5000 Committee Statement Rejected but see related SR-5124 During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the	((JVK) Nothing was added to Chapters 7 and 14 to document or verify this requirement.
				following points are the specific basis for the change. 1. The revision addresses the concerns for latency and jitter in communications equipment. 2. Provides guidance to testing laboratories to develop appropriate	
SR- 5152	26.6.3.12 Sharing Communications Equipment On-Premises. 26.6.3.12.1*	Secondary power is not being uniformly applied throughout the industry. Even in performance based design	Public Comment No. 178-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. A.26.6.3.12]	equipment parameters. Public Comment No. 178-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. A.26.6.3.12] Statement of the Problem	(JVK) Isn't the annex material in A.26.6.3.12.1 the same as the requirements of section 26.2.8???

Where the fire alarm transmitter is sharing on-premises communications equipment, the shared equipment shall be listed as communications equipment, information technology equipment, or telecommunications equipment. A.26.6.3.12.1 Most communications equipment is not specifically listed for fire alarm applications, but is listed in accordance with applicable product standard for general communications equipment and is acceptable. Two examples of commonly applied listing standards are UL 60950-1, Information Technology Equipment — Safety — Part 1: General Requirements, and UL 62368-1, Audio/Video, Information and Communication Technology Equipment — Part 1: Safety Requirements. 26.6.3.12.2 All shared on-premises communications equipment shall meet the secondary	secondary power requirements should not be less than the minimum prescriptive requirements within the code.	A.26.6.3.12 Most communications equipment is not specifically listed for fire alarm applications, but is listed in accordance with applicable product standard for general communications equipment and is acceptable. UL 60950-1, Information Technology Equipment — Safety — Part 1: General Requirements or UL 62368-1, Audio/Video, Information and Communication Technology Equipment — Part 1: Safety Requirements are two commonly applied listing standards which may be suitable.	UL 60950-1 and UL 62368-1 are the most common listing standards. As we seek to increase the use of listed communications equipment, directing the use to appropriate standards via the annex is very helpful. Related Item • CI-5176. Committee Statement Rejected but see related SR-5152 Secondary power is not being uniformly applied throughout the industry. Even in performance based design secondary power requirements	
power requirements of 26.6.3.13.		Public Comment No. 230-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.12] 26.6.3.12* Sharing Communications Equipment On-Premises. If the fire alarm transmitter is sharing on-premises communications equipment, the shared equipment shall be listed as communications or information technology equipment. 26.6.3.12.1	should not be less than the minimum prescriptive requirements within the code. Public Comment No. 230-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.12] Statement of the Problem Adds clarification on what are acceptable listing standards for network equipment, and emphasizes that NFPA 72 has no jurisdiction to	(JVK) Isn't the annex material in A.26.6.3.12.1 the same as the requirements of section 26.2.8?
		If the fire alarm transmitter is sharing on-premises communications equipment, the shared equipment shall be listed as communications, information technology equipment or Telecommunication Network Equipment. 26.6.3.12.2 MFVN providers with communication equipment collocated at the protected premises shall meet the requirements of 26.6.4.2.1.3 for equipment connected on the Customer side of the FCC demarc or standard network interface. Equipment connected on the Network side of said demarc shall conform to the appropriate	regulate the operation of MFVNs that are located on the network side of the FCC demarcation point. Related Item • CI-5176 Committee Statement Rejected but see related SR-5152 Secondary power is not being uniformly applied throughout the industry. Even in performance based	
		UL listing for Telecommunications Network Equipment. Public Comment No. 60-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.12] 26.6.3.12* Sharing Communications Equipment On-Premises. If the 26.6.3.12.1	design secondary power requirements should not be less than the minimum prescriptive requirements within the code. Public Comment No. 60-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.12] Statement of the Problem This public comment is being	(JVK) Isn't the annex material in A.26.6.3.12.1 the same as the requirements of section 26.2.87
		Where fire alarm transmitter is sharing on-premises communications equipment, the shared equipment shall be listed as communications or information technology equipment. 26.6.3.12.2 MFVN providers with communication equipment collocated at the protected premises shall meet the requirements of 26.6.4.2.1.3. 26.6.3.12.3	submitted on behalf of the performance-based design task group assigned by SIG-SSS during the first draft meetings to review and consider modifications of Chapter 26 to remove communications technologies no longer in use and prescriptive performance-based methodologies for new and future communications technologies. This public comment is tied to Committee Input 5100.	
		Communication equipment used for transmission of fire alarm signals that receives primary power from the protected premises shall meet the secondary power requirements of 26.6.3.13.	Related Item • CI 5000 Committee Statement Rejected but see related SR-5152	
26.6.3.13.1 Premises Equipment.	During the first revision meeting	Public Comment No. 180-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No.	Public Comment No. 180-NFPA 72-	(JVK) Why is the word "shared"

located at the protected premises shall be a minimum of 24 hours or as permitted by 10.6.7.3.1(2), 26.6.3.13.1.1, or 26.6.3.13.1.2.

A.26.6.3.13.1

Shared equipment owned by or under the control of the subscriber should provide 24 hours of secondary standby power.

26.6.3.13.1.1

Secondary power capacity for shared premises equipment shall be permitted to have a minimum capacity of 8 hours where acceptable to the authority having jurisdiction AHJ and where a risk analysis is performed to ensure acceptable availability is provided.

A.26.6.3.13.1.1

Shared equipment owned by or under the control of an approved managed facilities based voice network provider should supply 8 hours of secondary power.

26.6.3.13.1.2*

Secondary power capacity for shared and premises equipment used in additional communications paths shall not be required where the first communications path meets the performance requirements of 26.6.3.3.

A.26.6.3.13.1.2

The requirement in 26.6.3.13.1.2 does not exempt first communications path transmitters and first communications path sharedpremises equipment necessary for the transmission of alarm, supervisory, trouble, and other signals located at the protected premises from the secondary power capacity requirements efprovided in 26.6.3.13. This section does not permit the communications paths to be considered multiple communication paths under 26.6.3.4.

26.6.3.13.1.3

Communications equipment used for transmission of fire alarm signals that receives primary power from the protected premises shall meet the secondary power requirements of 26.6.3.13.

address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performancebased technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change.

- Simplified the secondary power requirements.
- 2. Added language to ensure secondary power was provided for all premises powered equipment.

26.6.3.13.1* Premises Equipment.

The secondary power capacity for all transmitters and shared equipment necessary for the transmission of alarm, supervisory, trouble, and other signals located at the protected premises <u>and installed on the customer side of the FCC demarcation or standard network interface</u> shall be a minimum of 24 hours or as permitted by 10.6.7.3.1(2), 26.6.3.13.1.1, or 26.6.3.13.1.2.

26 6 3 13 1 1

Secondary power capacity for shared equipment shall be permitted to have a capacity of 8 hours where acceptable to the authority having jurisdiction and where a risk analysis is performed to ensure acceptable availability is provided.

26 6 3 13 1 2*

Secondary power capacity for shared and premises equipment used in additional communications paths shall not be required where the first communications path meets the performance requirements of 26.6.3.3.

26.6.3.13.1.3 The secondary power capacity for MFVN communications equipment located at the protected premises and installed on the network side of the FCC demarcation or standard network interface and receiving power from the protected premises shall be a minimum of 24 hours.

26.6.3.13.1.4 The secondary power capacity for other MFVN communications equipment located at the protected premises and installed on the network side of the FCC demarcation or standard network interface shall be a minimum of 8 hours.

Public Comment No. 231-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.13.1]

26.6.3.13.1* Premises Equipment.

The secondary power capacity for all transmitters and shared equipment necessary for the transmission of alarm, supervisory, trouble, and other signals located at the protected premises shall be a minimum of 24 hours or as permitted by 10.6.7.3.1(2), 26.6.3.13.1.1, or 26.6.3.13.1.2. All equipment supplied by FCC approved carrier connectedon the Network side of the FCC SNI or Demarc shall be required to supply up to 8 hours of standby battery power.

A.26.6.3.13.1 Shared equipment owned by or under the control of the subscriber should provide 24 hours of secondary standby power. All equipment supplied by FCC approved

I think this proposal is consistent with the performance-based task group intention and also consistent with FCC rules regarding backup power. Certain MFVN providers have options of 24 hours or 8 hours of battery backup. Eight hours for equipment on the network side of the demarcation point is common as noted elsewhere in the code. Twenty-four hours for equipment on the customer side of the demarcation point is consistent with other parts of the code as well and is a commonly available option that the subscriber can select for fire alarm systems.

Related Item

• CI-5176

Committee Statement

Rejected but see related SR-5130

During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change.

- 1. Simplified the secondary power requirements.
- 2. Added language to ensure secondary power was provided for all premises powered equipment.

Public Comment No. 231-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.13.1]

Statement of the Problem

MFVN equipment located on the network side of the FCC demarcation point is provided with 8 hours of secondary power. This has been noted in the NFPA 72 for several cycles and is consistent with FCC regulations. See A.3.3.170.

Related Item • CI-5176

Committee Statement

Rejected but see related SR-5130

(JVK) Why is the word "shared" in 26.6.3.13.1 but changed to "premises" in the Annex material. Based on 2 of the committee statement

carrier connectedon the Network side of the FCC SNI or Demarc shouldsupply up to 8 hours of standby battery power.

26.6.3.13.1.1*

_

Secondary power capacity for shared equipment shall be permitted to have a capacity of 8 hours where acceptable to the authority having jurisdiction and where a risk analysis is performed to ensure acceptable availability is provided. All equipment supplied by FCC approved carrier connected on the Network side of the FCC SNI or Demarc shall be required to supply up to 8 hours of standby battery power.

26.6.3.13.1.

2

Secondary power capacity for shared

and premises equipment used in additional communications paths shall not be required where the first communications path meets the performance requirements of

equipment shall be permitted to have a capacity of 8 hours where acceptable to the authority having jurisdiction and where a risk analysis is performed to ensure acceptable availability is provided. All equipment supplied by FCC approved carriers connected on the Network side of the FCC SNI or Demarc shall be required to supply up to 8 hours of standby battery power.

A.26.6.3.

3.

13.1.1 Shared equipment owned by or under the control of an approved managed facilities-based voice network provider should supply 8 hours of secondary power.

Public Comment No. 61-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.13.1.1]

26.6.3.13.1.1*

Secondary power capacity for shared equipment shall and MFVN equipment shall be permitted to have a capacity of 8 hours where acceptable to the authority having jurisdiction and where a risk analysis is performed to ensure acceptable availability is provided.

During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change.

- 1. Simplified the secondary power requirements.
- 2. Added language to ensure secondary power was provided for all premises powered equipment.

Public Comment No. 61-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.13.1.1]

Statement of the Problem

This public comment is being submitted on behalf of the performance-based design task group assigned by SIG-SSS during the first draft meetings to review and consider modifications of Chapter 26 to remove communications technologies no longer in use and prescriptive performance-based methodologies for new and future communications technologies. This public comment is tied to Committee Input 5100.

Related Item
• CI 5000

Committee Statement

Rejected but see related SR-5130

During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this

(JVK) Why is the word "shared" in 26.6.3.13.1 but changed to "premises" in the Annex material. Based on 2 of the committee statement

		to consider the		Statement of the Problem	committee requires additional time to
	The committee	e requires	26.6.3. 14- 15 Unique Flaws Not Covered by This Code.	2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.14]	correlating committee action required, but the committee statement of "The
PC-63	Rejected but h	neld	Public Comment No. 63-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.3.14]	The committee requires additional time to consider the requirements for equipment protection found in other codes and standards and provide appropriate wording for the next revision cycle Public Comment No. 63-NFPA 72-	(JVK) After review there is no
				Rejected but held	
				Committee Statement	
				public comment is tied to Committee Input 5100	
				methodologies for new and future communications technologies. This	radiction rack of prepareditess.
				26 to remove communications technologies no longer in use and prescriptive performance-based	Is the process at fault for not get enough time to resolve or was the committee at fault for lack of preparedness.
				draft meetings to review and consider modifications of Chapter	the can down the road.
	appropriate wo revision cycle	ording for the next	conditions, including weather conditions that can adversely affect the equipment operations, or be listed to for such conditions.	performance-based design task group assigned by SIG-SSS during the first	appropriate wording for the next revision cycle." Is a bad precedence of kicking
	and standards		protected premises used for transmission of fire alarm signals shall be secured from tampering and protected from hazardous	This public comment is being submitted on behalf of the	equipment protection found in other codes and standards and provide
	requirements f		26.6.3.14 Equipment Physical Protection Communication equipment, power supplies, and circuits at the	Statement of the Problem	committee requires additional time to consider the requirements for
	The committee		26.6.3.14	2023 [New Section after 26.6.3.14]	correlating committee action required, but the committee statement of "The
PC-62	Rejected but h	neld	Public Comment No. 62-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after	revision cycle Public Comment No. 62-NFPA 72-	(JVK) After review there is no
				codes and standards and provide appropriate wording for the next	
			providing Network Access and Transport.	The committee requires additional time to consider the requirements for equipment protection found in other	
			situation. Decisions on specific configurations shall be at the sole discretion of the MFVN Network provider as a condition of	Rejected but held	
			standards meeting Industry standards for safety, security and environmental protection as required by the specific installation	Committee Statement	time to resolve or was the committee at fault for lack of preparedness.
			Network side of the FCC SNI or Demarc shall be installed in accordance with FCC approved Telecommunications wiring	side of the FCC demarcation.	Is the process at fault for not get enough
	revision cycle	J	the equipment operations, or be listed to for such conditions. All equipment supplied by FCC approved carriers located on the	installation rules applicable to MFVN equipment and cabling on the network	cycle." Is a bad precedence of kicking the can down the road.
	and standards		shall be secured from tampering and protected from hazardous conditions, including weather conditions that can adversely affect	the performance-based task group and provides guidance on the physical	codes and standards and provide appropriate wording for the next revision
	requirements f		Communication equipment, power supplies, and circuits at the protected premises used for transmission of fire alarm signals	The added text builds on the work of	committee requires additional time to consider the requirements for equipment protection found in other
234	The committee	e requires	26.6.3.13] 26.6.3.14 Equipment Physical Protection	2023 [New Section after 26.6.3.13] Statement of the Problem	correlating committee action required, but the committee statement of "The committee requires additional time to
PC-	Rejected but h	neld	Public Comment No. 234-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after	Public Comment No. 234-NFPA 72-	(JVK) After review there is no
				premises powered equipment.	
				Added language to ensure secondary power was provided for all	
				Simplified the secondary power requirements.	
				for the change.	
				the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis	
				supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In	
				acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to	
				performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and	
				second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and	
				second revision is one of many	

	requirements for equipment protection found in other codes and standards and provide appropriate wording for the next revision cycle	If a communications technology has a unique flaw that could result in the failure to communicate a signal, the implementation of that technology for alarm signaling shall compensate for that flaw so as to eliminate the risk of missing an alarm signal.	This public comment is being submitted on behalf of the performance-based design task group assigned by SIG-SSS during the first draft meetings to review and consider modifications of Chapter 26 to remove communications technologies no longer in use and prescriptive performance-based methodologies for new and future communications technologies. This public comment is tied to Committee Input 5100 Committee Statement Rejected but held The committee requires additional time to consider the requirements for equipment protection found in other codes and standards and provide appropriate wording for the next revision cycle	consider the requirements for equipment protection found in other codes and standards and provide appropriate wording for the next revision cycle." Is a bad precedence of kicking the can down the road. Is the process at fault for not get enough time to resolve or was the committee at fault for lack of preparedness.
SR- 5125 The requirements of 26.6.4.2 shall not apply when a DACT is used as a signaling interface from a fire alarm control unit to another listed communications means. 26.6.4.1.2 The listed When a DACT is used as a signaling interface from a fire alarm control unit to any other communications means, the communications means shall meet the requirements of either 26.6.3 or 26.6.5.	During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change. 1. This revision refers the use either to one-way radio or performance based requirements when a DACT is used as a signaling interface device.	Public Comment No. 181-NFPA 72-2023 [Sections 26.6.4.1.1, 26.6.4.1.2] Sections 26.6.4.1.1, 26.6.4.1.2 26.6.4.1.1 The The requirements of 26.6.4.2 shall not apply apply when a DACT is used as a signaling interface from a fire alarm control unit to another listed communications means. an MFVN. 26.6.4.1.2 The listed When a DACT is used as a signaling interface from a fire alarm control unit to any other communications means, the communications means shall meet the requirements of either 26.6.3 or 26.6.5.	Public Comment No. 181-NFPA 72-2023 [Sections 26.6.4.1.1, 26.6.4.1.2] Statement of the Problem It should be clearly stated that this section applies when the DACT connects to an MFVN. Otherwise, the performance based rules or radio system rules apply. There is no longer a need to state here that the alternative means are listed as that is indicated elsewhere, and the MFVN equipment may also be listed. So using the term "another listed means" is unnecessary and could add confusion. The essential requirement is to limit this section to DACTs connected to MFVNs and this should be stated. Related Item • CI-5176 Committee Statement Rejected but see related SR-5125 During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change.	(JVK) This change is assumed to be used for POTS line replacement. If so, after review there is no correlating committee action required

				T	1
				This revision refers the use either to	
				one-way radio or performance based	
				requirements when a DACT is used	
				as a signaling interface device.	
SR-	26.6.4.2.1* Managed Facilities-Based Voice Network (MFVN).	During the first revision meeting	Public Comment No. 235-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No.	Public Comment No. 235-NFPA 72-	(JVK) 26.6.4.2.1.4 makes no sense see
5126	A DACT shall be connected to a managed facilities-based voice	of the SIG-SSS Technical	26.6.4.2.1.1]	2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.1.1]	SCR-5118 for comments on the same
	network (MFVN) upstream of any private telephone system at the protected	Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to	6.6.4.2.1.1	Statement of the Problem	text.
	premises.	an infinediate fleed to begin to		Connections on the Network side of	Why do we have same text in the same
	26.6.4.2.1.1	advancements and variety of	The connections connections on the Customer side of the FCC	the FCC demarc are under the control	Chapter. Should a refence be made to
		methods of transmitting and	demarc to a managed facilities-based voice network shall be under the control of the subscriber for whom service is being	and managed by the MFVN provider	preserve continuity of future changes.
	The connections to a managed facilities-based voice networkan MFVN shall be under the control of the subscriber for whom service is being provided by the	processing important fire alarm	provided by the supervising station alarm system. Connections on	and not regulated by NFPA 72.	
	supervising station alarm system.	system signals to supervising stations while at the same time	the Network side of the FCC demarc will be under the control and	Related Item	
		providing continued recognition	managed by the MFVN provider.	• CI-5176	
	26.6.4.2.1.2	of legacy methods still in use for			
	Special attention shall be required to ensure that this MFVN connection is	transmitting fire alarm system		Committee Statement	
	made only to a loop start telephone circuit and not to a ground start telephone	signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task		Committee Statement Rejected but see related SR-5126	
	circuit.	Group meetings this second		Trojectou But 666 Tolatou 611 6126	
	Detail SR-5148	revision is one of many second		During the first revision meeting of the	
	26.6.4.2.1.3*	revisions intended to address		SIG-SSS Technical Committee there	
	An MFVN provider (voice or digital) shall be authorized by the regulating	changes that reflect both existing and performance-		was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical	
	authority as a common carrier.	based technologies considered		advancements and variety of methods	
		reasonably reliable and		of transmitting and processing	
	<u>26.6.4.2.1.4</u>	acceptable for the important		important fire alarm system signals to	
	Acknowledgments to the protected premises for alarm, supervisory, or trouble	task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations		supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition	
	signals shall only be initiated by the supervising station.	and ultimately for notification		of legacy methods still in use for	
	<u>26.6.4.2.1.5</u>	and response by emergency		transmitting fire alarm system signals	
	Communication equipment used for transmission of fire alarm signals that	public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the		to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this	
	receives primary power from the protected premises shall meet the	following points are the specific		second revision is one of many	
	requirements of 26.6.3.13.	basis for the change.		second revisions intended to address	
				changes that reflect both existing and	
		1. This revisions provides clarity by removing unnecessary text		performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and	
		by removing unnecessary text		acceptable for the important task of	
				transmitting fire alarm signals to	
				supervising stations and ultimately for	
				notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In	
				the case of this second revision the	
				following points are the specific basis	
				for the change.	
				1.This revisions provides clarity by	
				removing unnecessary text.	
				lg aeeeeea, text	
			Public Comment No. 182-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No.	Public Comment No. 182-NFPA 72-	(JVK) After review there is no
			26.6.4.2.1.1]	2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.1.1]	correlating committee action required
			26.6.4.2.1.1–	Statement of the Problem	
				Connections and equipment on the	
			The connections to a managed facilities based voice network	customer side of the demarcation are	
			shall The connections and equipment residing on the customer side	the subscriber's responsibility. Connections and equipment on the	
			of the FCC demarcation or standard network interface shall be	network side of the demarcation are	
			under the control of the subscriber for whom service is being	the carrier's responsibility. We don't	
			provided by the supervising station alarm system.	need to connections are to an MFVN	
			26.6.4.2.1.2 The connections and equipment residing on the	as this section applies only to connections to an MFVN as stated	
			network side of the FCC demarcation or standard network	earlier.	
			interface shall be under the control of the FCC approved carrier.		
				Related Item	
1				• CI-5176	
				Committee Statement	
				Rejected but see related SR-5126	
				During the first revision meeting of the	
				SIG-SSS Technical Committee there	
				was identified an immediate need to	
				begin to address the technical	

				advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by	
				emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis	
				for the change.	
				1.This revisions provides clarity by removing unnecessary text.	
SR- 5148	<u>26.6.4.2.1.3*</u>	During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical	Public Comment No. 184-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after 26.6.4.2.1.2]	Public Comment No. 184-NFPA 72- 2023 [New Section after 26.6.4.2.1.2]	(JVK) After review there is no correlating committee action required.
3140	An MFVN provider (voice or digital) shall be authorized by the regulating authority as a common carrier.	Committee there was identified			correlating committee action required.
		an immediate need to begin to address the technical	TITLE OF NEW CONTENT 26.6.4.2.1.4 Secondary Power	Secondary power requirements	
	<u>A.26.6.4.2.1.3</u>	advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and	26.6.4.2.1.4.1 The secondary power capacity for all transmitters	should be added to the DACT section consistent with those proposed in the	
	Communication carriers have equipment that is normally installed in accordance with Federal Communications Commission-approved	processing important fire alarm	and shared equipment necessary for the transmission of alarm,	performance-based section. I think	
	(FCC-approved) telecommunications network standards meeting	system signals to supervising stations while at the same time	supervisory, trouble, and other signals located at the protected premises and installed on the customer side of the FCC	this proposal is consistent with the performance-based task group	
	industry standards for safety, security, and environmental protection as required by the specific installation situation. Examples of	providing continued recognition	demarcation or standard network interface shall be a minimum of	intention and also consistent with FCC	
	authorized common carriers include Incumbent Local Exchange	of legacy methods still in use for	24 hours or as permitted by 10.6.7.3.1(2), 26.6.4.2.1.4.1.1, or 26.6.4.2.1.4.1.1.2.	rules regarding backup power. Certain	
	Carriers (ILEC), Local Exchange Carriers (LEC), Competitive Local	transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations.		MFVN providers have options of 24 hours or 8 hours of battery backup.	
	Exchange Carriers (CLEC), and FCC-licensed cellular service carriers as defined by the FCC to provide telecommunication services for the	As a result of numerous Task	26.6.4.2.1.4.1.1* Secondary power capacity for shared equipment shall be permitted to have a capacity of 8 hours where	Eight hours for equipment on the	
	state or jurisdiction in which the service will be rendered.	Group meetings this second revision is one of many second	acceptable to the authority having jurisdiction and where a risk	network side of the demarcation point is common as noted elsewhere in the	
	26.6.4.2.1.4	revisions intended to address	analysis is performed to ensure acceptable availability is	code. Twenty-four hours for	
		changes that reflect both	provided.	equipment on the customer side of the	
	Acknowledgments to the protected premises for alarm, supervisory, or trouble signals shall only be initiated by the supervising station.	existing and performance- based technologies considered	26.6.4.2.1.4.1.2* Secondary power capacity for shared and premises equipment used in additional communications paths	demarcation point is consistent with other parts of the code as well and is	
		reasonably reliable and	shall not be required where the first communications path meets	a commonly available option that the	
	<u>26.6.4.2.1.5</u>	acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm	the performance requirements of 26.6.3.3.	subscriber can select for fire alarm systems. An alternative is to take	
	Communication equipment used for transmission of fire alarm signals that receives primary power from the protected premises	signals to supervising stations	26.6.4.2.1.4.1.1.3The secondary power capacity for MFVN	these requirements and those	
	shall meet the requirements of 26.6.3.13.	and ultimately for notification and response by emergency	communications equipment located at the protected premises and installed on the network side of the FCC demarcation or standard	proposed in PC-180 and move both to the general section 26.6.2 as	
		public safety agencies. In the	network interface and receiving power from the protected	powering requirements should be	
		case of this second revision the following points are the specific	premises shall be a minimum of 24 hours.	common across all transport technologies.	
		basis for the change.	26.6.4.2.1.4.1.1.4 The secondary power capacity for other MFVN communications equipment located at the protected premises and		
		Clarify the authorizing	installed on the network side of the FCC demarcation or standard	Related Item • CI-5176	
		authority for common carriers.	network interface shall be a minimum of 8 hours.	- 61-5170	
		2. Specifies if the		Committee Statement Rejected but see related SR-5148	
		communication equipment receives power from the		During the first revision meeting of the	
		protected premises that it must		SIG-SSS Technical Committee there	
		be provided with secondary		was identified an immediate need to	
		power.		begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods	
		3. Correlates with the		of transmitting and processing	
		performance based requirements.		important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same	
				time providing continued recognition	
				of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals	
				to supervising stations. As a result of	
				numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many	
				second revisions intended to address	

changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change. 1. Clarify the authorizing authority for common carriers. 2. Specifies if the communication equipment receives power from the protected premises that it must be provided with secondary power. 3. Correlates with the performance based requirements (JVK) After review there is no Public Comment No. 239-NFPA 72-Public Comment No. 239-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after 2023 [New Section after 26.6.4.2.1.2] correlating committee action required. 26.6.4.2.1.2] Statement of the Problem
MFVN equipment located on the Communications equipment used for transmission of fire alarm network side of the FCC demarcation signalsthat receives primary power from the protected premises shall meet the requirements 26.6.3.13. All equipment supplied by point is provided with 8 hours of FCC approved located on the Network side of the FCC SNI or secondary power. This has been Demarc shall only be required to supply a minimum of up to 8 noted in the NFPA 72 for several hours of standby battery power. Additional capacity may be cycles and is consistent with FCC provided as determined by the MFVN Carrier provider. regulations. See A.3.3.170. Related Item • CI-5176 **Committee Statement** Rejected but see related SR-5148 During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change. 1. Clarify the authorizing authority for common carriers. 2. Specifies if the communication equipment receives power from the protected premises that it must be provided with secondary power.

Public Comment No. 237-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after 26.6.4.2.1.2]

26.6.4.2.1.3

An MFVN provider (voice or digital) shall be authorized by the State Public Utilities Commission (PUC) as a common carrier or Local Exchange Carrier (LEC) as defined by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to provide telecommunication services in the State or jurisdiction where the service will be rendered; including but not limited to Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers [ILEC], Local Exchange Carriers [LEC] Competitive Local Exchange Carriers [CLEC] and other Federal Communications Commission [FCC] approved carriers offering interconnection to facilities and Unbundled Network Elements in order to provide such telecommunications services connectedon the Network side of the FCC SNI or Demarc. Such equipmentshall be installed in accordance with FCC approved Telecommunications Network wiring standards meeting Industry standards for safety, security and environmental protection as required by the specific installation situation. Decisions on specific configurations shall be at the sole discretion of the MFVN Network provider as a condition of providing Network Access and Transport.

Public Comment No. 64-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after 26.6.4.2.1.2]

26.6.4.2.1.3

An MFVN provider (voice or digital) shall be authorized by the State Public Utilities Commission (PUC) as a common carrier or Local Exchange Carrier (LEC) as defined by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to provide telecommunication services in the State or jurisdiction where the service will be rendered.

26.6.4.2.1.4

Acknowledgements to the protected premises for alarm, supervisory, or trouble signals shall only be initiated by the supervising station.

26.6.4.2.1.5

3. Correlates with the performance based requirements.

Public Comment No. 237-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after 26.6.4.2.1.2]

Statement of the Problem

Adds clarification as to the types of carriers that can operate MFVN networks.

Related Item • CI-5176

Committee Statement

Rejected but see related SR-5148

During the first revision meeting of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change.

- 1. Clarify the authorizing authority for common carriers.
- 2. Specifies if the communication equipment receives power from the protected premises that it must be provided with secondary power.
- 3. Correlates with the performance based requirements.

Public Comment No. 64-NFPA 72-2023 [New Section after 26.6.4.2.1.2]

Statement of the Problem

This public comment is being submitted on behalf of the performance-based design task group assigned by SIG-SSS during the first draft meetings to review and consider modifications of Chapter 26 to remove communications technologies no longer in use and prescriptive performance-based methodologies for new and future communications technologies. This public comment is tied to Committee Input 5100. Related Item

• CI 5000

Committee Statement

(JVK) After review there is no correlating committee action required.

(JVK) After review there is no correlating committee action required.

simplified more than produced promise primary process from the production number shall meet the insulariments 28 & 3.13. Intering the first revision meeting of the solid comment of the production of the produc						
26.4.2.4.4 26. Common time company of the Secretary of the Common time of the Common tim				Communicationequipment used for transmission of fire alarm	Rejected but see related SR-5148	
38.4.2.4. Date of the company of th					During the first revision meeting of the	
## Appelled maybe of a control of anything				shall meet the requirements 20.0.3.13.		
Set 2.2.1 A policy of a control part of a contr						
And the property of the control of t						
## 25.4.3.41 ## 25.4.3.41 ## 26.4.3.4.4 ## 26.4.4.4 ## 26.4.4.4 ## 26.4.4.4 ## 26.4.4.4 ## 26.4.4.4 ## 26.4.4.4 ## 26.4.4.4 ## 26.4.4.4 ## 26.4.4.4 ## 26.4.4.4 ## 26.4.4.4 ## 26.4.4.4 ## 26.4.4.4 ## 26.4.4.4 ## 26.4.4.4 ## 26.4.4.4 ## 26.4.4.4 ## 26.4.4.4.4 ## 26.4.4.4 ## 26.4.4.4.4						
MARKA 2.4.1 Marka consists a 2 MCT stall amprox statis against against the statistic statistic statistic statistic statistics and statisti					,	
2014 A 1						
Appelled and the second process of the commence of the commenc						
200 20 5 2 5 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					time providing continued recognition	
April an employed by DAC datal majors or can be completed by the complete of t					of legacy methods still in use for	
28.8.2.4.1 29. A great employing DACT that employ a oncy a legacy DVIDI_Persistent in Control of the Control o						
DILL 2004 A golden waterpring a TACT shall employ a single based POTTE skeptom for the control post of the						
204.24 Compare replace a fact of state puts a vary large port of the compare of t						
SILE 2.4.1 A potent reproductive control of the co						
Politic Convent No. 66-42-41 A system energy type (ACT skell energy a size in special and a convent of the special and and a convent of the special and a convent of the special and and a convent of the special and a co						
28.6.2.1 29.6.2.2.1 20.0.2.2.1 20.0.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2						
28.6 2.2.1 Author composing a DACT and entropy simple beauty 2015 interpret in growing interpretation and i						
28.6.2.4.1 28.6.2						
SBL 25.2.1 A system enabyty a FALT to all early's story is been seen on the common of						
SRC 2614 14 A patient employers a DMCT shall employ a single leasur POTS is evaluate from the final of the formation and the formation an						
20.3.4.2.4.1 20						
## Comment No. 40 Min Face 2005 Section No. 2005						
A system employing a IDACT shall entry to a single lighter POTE statephone in Contract and the provided will be security power. A system employing a IDACT shall entry to a single lighter POTE statephone in Contract and the provided will be security power. A system employing a IDACT shall entry to a single lighter POTE statephone in Contract and the provided will be security power. A system employing a IDACT shall entry to a single lighter POTE statephone in Contract and the provided will be security in the single state that a single state to sharp the single state that a single state to sharp the single state that a single state to sharp the single state that a single state					the case of this second revision the	
SEL 2.4.1 Sel 3.2.4.1						
25.4.2.4.1 25.4.2						
2. Specifies fine corresponding fine for visitor moding of the first visitor moding visitor visitor moding of the first visitor moding of the						
2. Specifies fine corresponding fine for visitor moding of the first visitor moding visitor visitor moding of the first visitor moding of the					Clarify the authorizing authority for	
SR1 28.8.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall employ a single issuery POTS_leleptone for Committee from own derivation and more discontinuous process. 1. To over-way protes monotophym own complying own and committee from the processing improving a blanch of the following process on one of the notohologous in 24.4.2.4.1 and alternating of the standard proposes. 3. Transmission means complying with the protection of the notohologous in 24.4.4.1 and substitution of the standard proposes. 4. A second bestone line (numera) where all of the processing improving a blanch service of the following postulations are represented in the committee of the standard proposes. 5. The ADCT is a regulated to a substitution of the committee of the standard proposes of the committee of the standard proposes of the committee of the committee of the standard proposes of the committee of the standard proposes of the committee of the committee of the standard proposes of the committee of the co						
SR1 28.8.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall employ a single issuery POTS_leleptone for Committee from own derivation and more discontinuous process. 1. To over-way protes monotophym own complying own and committee from the processing improving a blanch of the following process on one of the notohologous in 24.4.2.4.1 and alternating of the standard proposes. 3. Transmission means complying with the protection of the notohologous in 24.4.4.1 and substitution of the standard proposes. 4. A second bestone line (numera) where all of the processing improving a blanch service of the following postulations are represented in the committee of the standard proposes. 5. The ADCT is a regulated to a substitution of the committee of the standard proposes of the committee of the standard proposes of the committee of the committee of the standard proposes of the committee of the standard proposes of the committee of the committee of the standard proposes of the committee of the co						
28.5 A 2.4.1 28.6 A 2.4.1 28					2. Specifies if the communication	
88.5 26.8 4.2.4.1 A piscen emotoring a DACT shall emotory a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following framewinds on means: 1. The step 8.5 multiples spaces or the following framewinds on means: 2. The step 8.5 multiples spaces or the following framewinds on means: 3. The step 8.5 multiples spaces or the following framewinds on means: 4. A accord belaphone line (number) where all off the following productions or means: 5. The subjects to one of the following productions or the space of the space of the following productions or the space of					equipment receives power from the	
28.6.4.2.4.1 A system amotiving a DACT shall employ a single ligancy_ECIS_talephone loo (unable) and one of the following parameters complying with 26.3 3. Transmission most complying with 26.3 4. A second became the quite most complying with 26.3 4. A second became the quite most complying with 26.3 4. A second became of the footnoopies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), and complying a ment. 1. Access to one of the footnoopies in 26.6.4.2.4.4.1(2), and complying a ment. 2. The assistance of the footnoopies in 26.6.4.2.4.4.1(3), and complying a ment. 3. The DACT is capable of a second previous to the first signal for summerical to a second complying with a second complying and the protocol previous prominents by the accordance of the complete of					protected premises that it must be	
2. The ACT is programmed to call a second ACR line (number) when the signal transmission secures to the first contaction of control of the signal second of second of the signal					provided with secondary power.	
2. The ACT is programmed to call a second ACR line (number) when the signal transmission secures to the first contaction of control of the signal second of second of the signal						
State of the first protein and protein specified and protein speci						
A yestern employing a DACT shall employ a single leasage/POTS slephone in loc (unther) and rise of the following transmission measure. 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way PE multiples cystem 3. Transmission measure complying with 26.0.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following portalizers are important fire alarm system signals to supervising professional fire alarm system signals to supervising sollowing portalizers are important fire alarm system signals to supervising sollowing portalizers are important for all an expectation of logary methods still in use for rangement. 2. The authority having jurisdiction AH approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACT is programmed to all a second DACT is programmed to a second					based requirements	
A yestern employing a DACT shall employ a single leasage/POTS slephone in loc (unther) and rise of the following transmission measure. 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way PE multiples cystem 3. Transmission measure complying with 26.0.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following portalizers are important fire alarm system signals to supervising professional fire alarm system signals to supervising sollowing portalizers are important fire alarm system signals to supervising sollowing portalizers are important for all an expectation of logary methods still in use for rangement. 2. The authority having jurisdiction AH approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACT is programmed to all a second DACT is programmed to a second					zassa regamenter	
A yestern employing a DACT shall employ a single leasage/POTS slephone in loc (unther) and rise of the following transmission measure. 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way PE multiples cystem 3. Transmission measure complying with 26.0.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following portalizers are important fire alarm system signals to supervising professional fire alarm system signals to supervising sollowing portalizers are important fire alarm system signals to supervising sollowing portalizers are important for all an expectation of logary methods still in use for rangement. 2. The authority having jurisdiction AH approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACT is programmed to all a second DACT is programmed to a second						
A system employing a DACT shall empty a single least yellowing transmission means. 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following positions are metitally and processing important fire alarm producing continued recognition will be at the same time providing and profited between the following and profit manufactured for more time at the same time providing and profit manufactured for more time at the same time providing and profit manufactured for more time to the same time time to the same time to the same time to the same time to the sam	CD.	26.6.4.2.4.4	During the first revision mosting	Dublic Comment No. 69 NEDA 72 2022 I Section No. 26 6 4 2 4 4	·	(IVIV) After review there is no
an immediate need to begin to 3. Transmission means complying with 2.6.5.3 4. A second telephone line (rumber), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to me of the technologies or 25.6.4.2.4.1(2), and 25.6.4.2.4(2), and 25.6.4.2.4(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The subprigh having jurisdictionAlly approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is copable of selecting through when the signal transmission was discretized in the other means. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting through the financial complete of the other means. 5. Each telephone line (surpose) in the event of failure of the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 6. The way 18 multiple or selecting through the selection of the deliver means. 6. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 7. The way 18 multiples cycles and the following paints are the specific complete and one of the following paints are the specific complete and one of the following paints are the specific complete and one of the following paints are the specific complete and one of the following are many and a secondary elegation from a managed Sacilities-based from a managed Sacilities-based or supplying at least two for the second revisions is need of manages that reflect of both are arrangements. 6. The DACT is capable of selecting through the second paints are the second revision the other means. 7. The DACT is capable of selecting through the second paints are the second revision the other means. 8. Each telephone line (sumply a unimary and a secondary elegation from a managed Sacilities-based technologies to the extent its is possible in the visual of the extent its is possible in the event of failure of the other means. 6. The DACT is capable of selecting through the second acceptable of the important state of the complete and one of the following paints are the second acceptable for the important state of	SR -	26.6.4.2.4.1		Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-	
does the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and providing confidence are met. 1. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following geneticists are met. 1. A cases to one of the technical system signals to supervising system signals system signals to supervising system signals system signalsystem signals system signals system signals system signals system	SR - 5138		of the SIG-SSS Technical	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1]	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-	
advancements and variety of the multiplax oystem 2. The authority having jurisdictionAH approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the list called ine (number). 4. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the list called ine (number) is unexcessful. 4. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the list called ine (number) is unexcessful. 5. Each telephone line is tested in secondarce with 26.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 6. Each telephone line is tested in secondarce with 26.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 7. The variety of the variety of silicity of the chief or means. 8. Each telephone line is tested in secondarce with 26.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 8. Each telephone line is tested in secondarce with 26.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 8. Each telephone line is tested in secondarce with 26.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 8. Each telephone line is tested in secondarce with 26.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 8. Each telephone line is tested in secondarce with 26.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 8. Each telephone line is tested in secondarce with 26.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 8. Each telephone line is tested in secondarce with 26.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 8. Each telephone line is tested in secondarce with 26.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 8. Each telephone line is tested in secondarce with 26.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 8. Each telephone line is tested in secondarce with 26.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 8. Each telephone line is tested in secondarce with 26.4.2.4.2.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 8. Each telephone line is tested in secondarce with 26.4.2.4.2.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 8. Each telephone line is te	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified	1	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72- 2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1]	
### methods of transmitting and processing improvided in the system signals to supervising plants of the same timp following conditions are met. ### A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met. ### A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met. ### A second telephone line (number), where all of the following continued recognition is a few same timp providing continued recognition in the second premises. ### A second telephone line (number) where the signal transmission sin the event of failure of the other means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. ### A bull is programmed to call a second DACS in (number) where the signal transmission in the event of failure of the other means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. ### A bull is programmed to call a second DACS in (number) where the signal transmission in the event of failure of the other means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. ### A bull is providing explained by the programmed to call a second DACS in failure of the other means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. ### A bull is providing explained by the	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single <u>legacy POTS</u> telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means:	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to	1	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem	
3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met. 1. Access to one of the technologies of adams while at the same time providing conditions are met. 2. The authority having juriediction,AHJ approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) when the signal transmission are generated for the first called line (number) when the signal transmission are generated for the first called line (number) when the signal transmission are generated for the first called line (number) when the signal transmission the avent of failure of the other means. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the avent of failure of the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 6. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 7. The way radios were removed because they are no longer used. 8. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 8. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 8. Transmission means complying attransmission means complying attransmissio	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single <u>legacy POTS</u> telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means:	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels.	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to	
4. A second telephone iner (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 28.6.4.2.4.1(2), so 28.4.2.4.1(2), or 28.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the provising stations. while at the same time provising continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Croup metalpres this second revision stations. As a result of numerous Task Croup metalpres this second revision stems of managed facilities-based stocking and performance-based technologies to the strength of the state of	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the	
rollowing conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 2.6.6.4.2.4.1(2), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmet to call a second DACR line (number) is unsuccessful. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmistion in the event of failure of the other means. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 6. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 7. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 8. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 9. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 1. Transmission means complying to the change. 1. Transmission means complying to the change. 1. Transmission means complying to the change are removed because they are no longer used. 2. The authority having jurisdiction. 2. The providing continued recognition of each supplying at least two communications pathway according to 26.6.3.3 when the latter continued to address a signal so to end fram y second evision is approved by the Authority Having jurisdiction. 2. The providing transmission in the event of failure of the other management. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second place with the provided and transmission in the event of failure of the other provided acceptable for the important part of the other provided acceptable for the important part of the other provided acceptable for the important part of the provid	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6	
rolling conditions are met. 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(1); 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), 26.6.	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and	
1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), a control of the extension of the	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for	
transmitting lire alarm system in 26.6.4.2.4.1(1), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is unsuccessful. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 5. Each lelephone line is lested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 5. Each lelephone line is lested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 6. Az erout of numerous Task or great learning stations of the control	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to	
signals to supervising sations. or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3), so not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) is unsuccessful. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 6. Two way radios were removed because they are no longer used. 1. Two way radios were removed because they are no longer used. 1. Two way radios were removed because they are no longer used. 2. Two way radios were removed because they are no longer used.	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two-way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met:	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would	
As a result of numerous Table (and premises). 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the arrangement is one of many second revision is one of many second to address changes that reflect both sequence to the first called line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is unsuccessful. 4. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) is unsuccessful. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 6. Each clephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 7. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) is unsuccessful. 8. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) is unsuccessful. 9. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) is unsuccessful. 9. The DACT is programmed to call a second part of the complex of the important acceptable for the important acceptable of selecting the depth of the important acceptable of selecting the depth of the important acceptable of selecting the depth of the important acceptable of selecting the fertility the acceptable of selecting the fertility the authority Having Jurisdiction. 7. Dace was private radio alarm system 8. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line for the important acceptable of selecting the depth of the following the acceptable of selecting the fellowing the fellowing points are the specific basis for the cha	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new	
Group meetings his second revision is one of many second revision the a single pathway according to 26.6.3.4 or a single pathway according to 26.6	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(1), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2),	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations.	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication	
arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is unsuccessful. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 6. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 7. In a way radios were removed because they are no longer used. 8. In the DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) is considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 9. ADACT is permitted to employ a primary legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 1. Iransmission means complying 1. Iransmission means complying 1. Iransmission means complying 1. With 26.6.3 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 9. Value of the authority Having Jurisdiction. 1. Iransmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 1. Iransmission means complying 1. Iransmission means complying 1. With 26.6.3 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 1. With 26.6.3 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met:	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(1), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating	
arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is unsuccessful. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 6. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 7. Two way radios were removed because they are no longer used. 8. I with 26.6.3 9. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 9. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 9. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 9. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 9. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 9. Chart telephone (siting this assessment, the task group determines that an existing or tegacy communication method cannot be moved into the performance-based technology, and reliability changes. If the task group determines that an existing or tegacy communication method cannot be moved into the performance-based technology requirements as separate section will be maintained to regulate this communication technology. The task group will continue to review Public input 526 for appropriate requirements and text for inclusion in Section 26.7. 9. Related Item • C1 5000	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(1), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two communications pathways according to 26.6.3.4 or	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated	
a. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is unsuccessful. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 5. Line way refine the modern terms of transmission means complying to the other means. 6. Line way refine the modern terms of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 7. Line way refine the modern terms of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 8. Line the programmed to call a second DACR line (number) is unsuccessful. 8. The DACT is permitted to employ a primary legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 9. Line way refine the modern terms of transmission means complying telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 1. Transmission means complying 1. Transmission means complying 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 8. Related Item • CI 5000	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two-way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(1), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises.	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two communications pathways according to 26.6.3.4 or a single pathway according to 26.6.3.3 when the latter	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated technologies, which are currently	
based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. See the telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. In the way radios were removed because they are no longer used. based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency path of the other means. 1. One-way private radio alarm system 1. One-way private radio alarm system 1. Transmission means complying 1. Transmission means complying 1. Transmission means complying 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number) water and one of the following transmission means: 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met:	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(1), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two communications pathways according to 26.6.3.4 or a single pathway according to 26.6.3.3 when the latter	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated technologies, which are currently being phased out due to many factors	
reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmiting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and tresponse by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 6. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 7. Two way radios were removed because they are no longer used. 8. Two way radios were removed because they are no longer used. 8. DACT is permitted to employ a primary legacy POTS telephone dacceptable for the important task of transmiting in alarm signals to supervising stations and case plate for the important task of transmiting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and cultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change. 9. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 1. Transmission means complying 1. Transmission means complying 1. Transmission means complying 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 8. Cl 5000	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(1), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the arrangement.	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two communications pathways according to 26.6.3.4 or a single pathway according to 26.6.3.3 when the latter arrangement is approved by the Authority Having Jurisdiction.	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated technologies, which are currently being phased out due to many factors such as regulatory, technology, and	
unsuccessful. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmitsion in the event of failure of the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 6. Line of the content of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 1. One-way private radio alarm system 1. Transmission means: 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 1. With 26.6.3 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met:	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(1), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance-	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two communications pathways according to 26.6.3.4 or a single pathway according to 26.6.3.3 when the latter arrangement is approved by the Authority Having Jurisdiction.	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated technologies, which are currently being phased out due to many factors such as regulatory, technology, and reliability changes. If during this	
task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change. 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met:	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(1), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance- based technologies considered	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two communications pathways according to 26.6.3.4 or a single pathway according to 26.6.3.3 when the latter arrangement is approved by the Authority Having Jurisdiction.	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated technologies, which are currently being phased out due to many factors such as regulatory, technology, and reliability changes. If during this assessment, the task group	
4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 6. Transmission means complying 7. One-way private radio alarm system 1. Transmission means complying 1. One-way private radio alarm system 1. Transmission means complying 1. One-way private radio alarm system 1. Transmission means complying 1. One-way private radio alarm system 1. Transmission means complying 1. One-way private radio alarm system 1. Transmission means complying 1. With 26.6.3 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 1. One-way private radio alarm system 1. One-way private radio alarm system 1. Transmission means complying 1. Vith 26.6.3 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met:	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(1), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance- based technologies considered reasonably reliable and	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two communications pathways according to 26.6.3.4 or a single pathway according to 26.6.3.3 when the latter arrangement is approved by the Authority Having Jurisdiction. 26.6.4.2.4.1.2 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary legacy POTS telephone	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated technologies, which are currently being phased out due to many factors such as regulatory, technology, and reliability changes. If during this assessment, the task group determines that an existing or Legacy	
means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 6. Lack telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 7. Lack telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 8. Lack telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 9. Lack telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 1. Transmission means complying 1. With 26.6.3 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 1. With 26.6.3 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 1. Vice-way private ratio and response to regulate this communication technology. The task group will continue to review Public Input 526 for appropriate requirements and text for inclusion in Section 26.7. 8. Related Item • CI 5000	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(1), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revision is one of many second revision is one of many second revision and performance- based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two communications pathways according to 26.6.3.4 or a single pathway according to 26.6.3.3 when the latter arrangement is approved by the Authority Having Jurisdiction. 26.6.4.2.4.1.2 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary legacy POTS telephone	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated technologies, which are currently being phased out due to many factors such as regulatory, technology, and reliability changes. If during this assessment, the task group determines that an existing or Legacy communication method cannot be	
the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. 6. In Transmission means complying 7. Transmission means complying 8. With 26.6.3 9. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 8. With 26.6.3 9. Related Item 9. CI 5000	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(1), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdiction AHJ approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is unsuccessful.	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance- based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two communications pathways according to 26.6.3.4 or a single pathway according to 26.6.3.3 when the latter arrangement is approved by the Authority Having Jurisdiction. 26.6.4.2.4.1.2 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means:	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated technologies, which are currently being phased out due to many factors such as regulatory, technology, and reliability changes. If during this assessment, the task group determines that an existing or Legacy communication method cannot be moved into the performance-based	
5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. by bublic safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change. 1. Transmission means complying with 1. Transmission means complying with 1. Transmission means complying with 1. With 26.6.3 1. Two way radios were removed because they are no longer used. 1. With 26.6.3 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 1. With 26.6.3 Related Item • CI 5000	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(1), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is unsuccessful. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance- based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two communications pathways according to 26.6.3.4 or a single pathway according to 26.6.3.3 when the latter arrangement is approved by the Authority Having Jurisdiction. 26.6.4.2.4.1.2 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means:	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated technologies, which are currently being phased out due to many factors such as regulatory, technology, and reliability changes. If during this assessment, the task group determines that an existing or Legacy communication method cannot be moved into the performance-based technology requirements, a separate	
with 26.6.4.2.4.2 or at alternating 6-hour intervals. Case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change. 1. Two way radios were removed because they are no longer used. 1. Two way radios were removed because they are no longer used. 1. With 26.6.3 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: 1. With 26.6.3 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met:	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(1), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is unsuccessful. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance- based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two communications pathways according to 26.6.3.4 or a single pathway according to 26.6.3.3 when the latter arrangement is approved by the Authority Having Jurisdiction. 26.6.4.2.4.1.2 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means:	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated technologies, which are currently being phased out due to many factors such as regulatory, technology, and reliability changes. If during this assessment, the task group determines that an existing or Legacy communication method cannot be moved into the performance-based technology requirements, a separate section will be maintained to regulate	
tollowing points are the specific basis for the change. 1. Two way radios were removed because they are no longer used. 1. With 26.6.3 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met:	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(1), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is unsuccessful. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means.	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance- based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two communications pathways according to 26.6.3.4 or a single pathway according to 26.6.3.3 when the latter arrangement is approved by the Authority Having Jurisdiction. 26.6.4.2.4.1.2 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated technologies, which are currently being phased out due to many factors such as regulatory, technology, and reliability changes. If during this assessment, the task group determines that an existing or Legacy communication method cannot be moved into the performance-based technology requirements, a separate section will be maintained to regulate this communication technology. The task group will continue to review	
1. Two way radios were removed because they are no longer used. 1. with 26.6.3 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met: Related Item • CI 5000	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is unsuccessful. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance- based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two communications pathways according to 26.6.3.4 or a single pathway according to 26.6.3.3 when the latter arrangement is approved by the Authority Having Jurisdiction. 26.6.4.2.4.1.2 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated technologies, which are currently being phased out due to many factors such as regulatory, technology, and reliability changes. If during this assessment, the task group determines that an existing or Legacy communication method cannot be moved into the performance-based technology requirements, a separate section will be maintained to regulate this communication technology. The task group will continue to review Public Input 526 for appropriate	
removed because they are no longer used. 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met:	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is unsuccessful. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance- based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two communications pathways according to 26.6.3.4 or a single pathway according to 26.6.3.3 when the latter arrangement is approved by the Authority Having Jurisdiction. 26.6.4.2.4.1.2 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system Two way RF multiplex system 1. Transmission means complying	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated technologies, which are currently being phased out due to many factors such as regulatory, technology, and reliability changes. If during this assessment, the task group determines that an existing or Legacy communication method cannot be moved into the performance-based technology requirements, a separate section will be maintained to regulate this communication technology. The task group will continue to review Public Input 526 for appropriate requirements and text for inclusion in	
removed because they are no longer used. 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met:	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is unsuccessful. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance- based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two communications pathways according to 26.6.3.4 or a single pathway according to 26.6.3.3 when the latter arrangement is approved by the Authority Having Jurisdiction. 26.6.4.2.4.1.2 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system Two way RF multiplex system 1. Transmission means complying	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated technologies, which are currently being phased out due to many factors such as regulatory, technology, and reliability changes. If during this assessment, the task group determines that an existing or Legacy communication method cannot be moved into the performance-based technology requirements, a separate section will be maintained to regulate this communication technology. The task group will continue to review Public Input 526 for appropriate requirements and text for inclusion in	
longer used. 2. A second legacy FOTS telephone line (number), where all of the following are met:	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is unsuccessful. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revisions intended to address changes that reflect both existing and performance- based technologies considered reasonably reliable and acceptable for the important task of transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change.	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two communications pathways according to 26.6.3.4 or a single pathway according to 26.6.3.3 when the latter arrangement is approved by the Authority Having Jurisdiction. 26.6.4.2.4.1.2 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system Two way RF multiplex system 1. Transmission means complying	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated technologies, which are currently being phased out due to many factors such as regulatory, technology, and reliability changes. If during this assessment, the task group determines that an existing or Legacy communication method cannot be moved into the performance-based technology requirements, a separate section will be maintained to regulate this communication technology. The task group will continue to review Public Input 526 for appropriate requirements and text for inclusion in Section 26.7.	
all of the following are met:	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is unsuccessful. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revision in use for transmitting fire alarm signals to supervising stations and ultimately for notification and response by emergency public safety agencies. In the case of this second revision the following points are the specific basis for the change. 1. Two way radios were	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two communications pathways according to 26.6.3.4 or a single pathway according to 26.6.3.3 when the latter arrangement is approved by the Authority Having Jurisdiction. 26.6.4.2.4.1.2 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system Two way RF multiplex system 1. Transmission means complying	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated technologies, which are currently being phased out due to many factors such as regulatory, technology, and reliability changes. If during this assessment, the task group determines that an existing or Legacy communication method cannot be moved into the performance-based technology requirements, a separate section will be maintained to regulate this communication technology. The task group will continue to review Public Input 526 for appropriate requirements and text for inclusion in Section 26.7.	
Committee Statement	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is unsuccessful. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revision is one of m	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two communications pathways according to 26.6.3.4 or a single pathway according to 26.6.3.3 when the latter arrangement is approved by the Authority Having Jurisdiction. 26.6.4.2.4.1.2 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 1. Transmission means complying with 1. with 26.6.3 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated technologies, which are currently being phased out due to many factors such as regulatory, technology, and reliability changes. If during this assessment, the task group determines that an existing or Legacy communication method cannot be moved into the performance-based technology requirements, a separate section will be maintained to regulate this communication technology. The task group will continue to review Public Input 526 for appropriate requirements and text for inclusion in Section 26.7.	
<u> </u>	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is unsuccessful. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revision is one of m	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two communications pathways according to 26.6.3.4 or a single pathway according to 26.6.3.3 when the latter arrangement is approved by the Authority Having Jurisdiction. 26.6.4.2.4.1.2 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 1. Transmission means complying with 1. with 26.6.3 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated technologies, which are currently being phased out due to many factors such as regulatory, technology, and reliability changes. If during this assessment, the task group determines that an existing or Legacy communication method cannot be moved into the performance-based technology requirements, a separate section will be maintained to regulate this communication technology. The task group will continue to review Public Input 526 for appropriate requirements and text for inclusion in Section 26.7.	
	SR - 5138	A system employing a DACT shall employ a single legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 2. Two way RF multiplex system 3. Transmission means complying with 26.6.3 4. A second telephone line (number), where all of the following conditions are met: 1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(2), or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises. 2. The authority having jurisdictionAHJ approves the arrangement. 3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is unsuccessful. 4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means. 5. Each telephone line is tested in accordance	of the SIG-SSS Technical Committee there was identified an immediate need to begin to address the technical advancements and variety of methods of transmitting and processing important fire alarm system signals to supervising stations while at the same time providing continued recognition of legacy methods still in use for transmitting fire alarm system signals to supervising stations. As a result of numerous Task Group meetings this second revision is one of many second revision is one of m	26.6.4.2.4 Transmission Channels. 26.6.4.2.4.1 A system employing a DACT shall be connected to transmission means arranged according to 26.6.4.2.1.1 or 26.6.4.2.1.2 26.6.4.2.4.1.1 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary and a secondary telephone line (two numbers) from a managed facilities-based voice network meeting the circuit integrity requirements of 26.6.3.2.2(2) (b) and capable of supplying at least two communications pathways according to 26.6.3.4 or a single pathway according to 26.6.3.3 when the latter arrangement is approved by the Authority Having Jurisdiction. 26.6.4.2.4.1.2 A DACT is permitted to employ a primary legacy POTS telephone line (number) and one of the following transmission means: 1. One-way private radio alarm system 1. Transmission means complying with 1. with 26.6.3 2. A second legacy POTS telephone line (number), where	Public Comment No. 68-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1] Statement of the Problem The intent of this committee input is to create a task group to evaluate the existing arrangement of Section 26.6 (Communications Methods) and harmonize all communications methods into the requirements for performance-based technologies to the extent this is possible. This would permit and regulate the use of new and emerging communication technologies while eliminating prescriptive requirements for outdated technologies, which are currently being phased out due to many factors such as regulatory, technology, and reliability changes. If during this assessment, the task group determines that an existing or Legacy communication method cannot be moved into the performance-based technology requirements, a separate section will be maintained to regulate this communication technology. The task group will continue to review Public Input 526 for appropriate requirements and text for inclusion in Section 26.7. Related Item • CI 5000	

2. The language was clarified that DACTS must connect to a		(a) Access to one of the technologies	Rejected but see related SR-5138	
legacy POTS telephone line.	in	<u>in 26.6.4.2.4.1.2(1)</u>		
	, 26	or 26.6.4.2.4.1		
	(2), or	1		
	6.4.	2		
	.4.1	<u> </u>		
	3			
		2) is not available at the protected premises.		
		(b) The authority having jurisdiction approves the arrangement.		
		(c) The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is unsuccessful.		
		(d) The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission in the event of failure of the other means.		
		(e) Each telephone line is tested in accordance		
	with	with 26.6.4.2.4.2		
	or	or at alternating 6-hour intervals.		
	Public Comment N 26.6.4.2.4.1]	lo. 185-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No.	Public Comment No. 185-NFPA 72- 2023 [Section No. 26.6.4.2.4.1]	(JVK) After review there is no correlating committee action required
	26.6.4.2.4.1		Statement of the Problem A DACT utilizing listed equipment,	
		ng a DACT shall employ a single telephone line of the following transmission means:	connected to a PCU regulated and FCC approved carrier's MFVN, utilizing two lines, installed per NFPA	
		e-way private radio alarm system o-way RF multiplex system	70, with 24 hours of standby power for customer side equipment and 8 hours	
		nsmission means complying with 26.6.3	of monitored standby power on the network side, tested end to end every	
		econd telephone line (number), where all of the bying are met:	6 hours should be sufficient without further AHJ approval beyond what is required for all other aspects of a fire	
		1. Access to one of the technologies in 26.6.4.2.4.1(1), 26.6.4.2.4.1(2),	alarm installation.	
		or 26.6.4.2.4.1(3) is not available at the protected premises.	The need to complicate the DACT installation by inclusion of a secondary	
		2. <u>The</u>	means such as radio or other alternative systems "when available" is not enforceable. The code per	
		authority having jurisdiction approves the arrangement.	section 1.2.3 establishes minimum required levels of performance. At an	
		3. The DACT is programmed to call a second DACR line (number) when	unspecified price, an optional secondary means of transmissions	
		the signal transmission sequence to the first called line (number) is	can always be considered "available". Given the improved technical	
		unsuccessful.	requirements made in chapter 26 regarding listing, labeling, powering	
		4. The DACT is capable of selecting the operable means of transmission	and notification and testing, this requirement can be eliminated.	
		in the event of failure of the other means.	Related Item	
			• CI-5176	

record not loss than 50 simultaneous status changes within 90 seconds. 2. A system unit that has fewer than 500 initiating device circuits shall be able to
--

number of simultaneous status changes within 90 seconds.

26.6.5.1.2 Supervisory and Control Functions.

26.6.5.1.2.1

Facilities shall be provided at the supervising station for the following supervisory and control functions of the supervising or subsidiary station and the repeater station radio transmitting and receiving equipment:

- 1. RF transmitter in use (radiating)
- 2. Failure of ac power supplying the radio equipment
- 3. RF receiver malfunction
- 4. Indication of automatic switchover
- 5. Independent deactivation of either RF transmitter controlled from the supervising station

26.6.5.1.2.2

Where the radio equipment is remotely located from the system unit, supervisory and control functions of the supervising or subsidiary station and the repeater station radio transmitting and receiving equipment shall be accomplished via a supervised circuit.

26.6.5.1.3 Transmission Channel.

2665131

The RF multiplex transmission channel shall terminate in an RF transmitter/receiver at the protected premises and in a system unit at the supervising or subsidiary station.

26.6.5.1.3.2

Operation of the transmission channel shall conform to the requirements of this Code whether channels are private facilities, such as microwave, or leased facilities furnished by a communications utility company.

26.6.5.1.3.3

If private signal transmission facilities are used, the equipment necessary to transmit signals shall also comply with requirements for duplicate equipment or replacement of critical components, as described in 26.6.6.3.

26.6.5.1.4* Categories.

Two-way RF multiplex systems shall be divided into Type 4 or Type 5 classifications based on their ability to perform under adverse conditions.

A.26.6.5.1.4

The intent of the plurality of control sites is to safeguard against damage caused by lightning and to minimize the effect of interference on the receipt of signals. The control sites can be co-located.

2665111

A Type 4 system shall have two or more control sites configured as follows:

- 1. Each site shall have an RF receiver interconnected to the supervising or subsidiary station by a separate channel.
- 2. The RF transmitter/receiver located at the protected premises shall be within transmission range of at least two RF receiving sites.
- 3. The system shall contain two RF transmitters that are one of the following:
 - . Located at one site with the capability of interrogating all of the RF transmitters/receivers on the premises
 - 2. Dispersed with all of the RF transmitters/receivers on the premises having the capability to be interrogated by two different RF transmitters
- 4. Each RF transmitter shall operate as follows:

able to record not less than 50 simultaneous status changes within

A system unit that has fewer than
 500 initiating device circuits shall be
 able to record not less than
 10 percent of the total number of
 simultaneous status changes within
 90 seconds.

26.6.5.1.2 Supervisory and Control Functions.

26.6.5.1.2.1

Facilities shall be provided at the supervising station for the following supervisory and control functions of the supervising or subsidiary station and the repeater station radio transmitting and receiving equipment:

- 1. RF transmitter in use (radiating)
- 2. Failure of ac power supplying the radio equipment
- 3. RF receiver malfunction
- 4 Indication of automatic switchov
- 5. Independent deactivation of either RF transmitter controlled from the supervising station

26.6.5.1.2.2

Where the radio equipment is remotely located from the system unit, supervisory and control functions of the supervising or subsidiary station and the repeater station radio transmitting and receiving equipment shall be accomplished via a supervised circuit.

26.6.5.1.3 Transmission Channel.

26.6.5.1.3.1

The RF multiplex transmission channel shall terminate in an RF transmitter/receiver at the protected premises and in a system unit at the supervising or subsidiary station.

26.6.5.1.3.2

Operation of the transmission channel shall conform to the requirements of this Code whether channels are private facilities, such as microwave, or leased facilities furnished by a communications utility company.

26.6.5.1.3.3

If private signal transmission facilities are used, the equipment necessary to transmit signals shall also comply with requirements for duplicate equipment or replacement of critical components, as described in 26.6.6.3.

26.6.5.1.4* Categories.

Two way RF multiplex systems shall be divided into Type 4 or Type 5 classifications based on their ability to perform under adverse conditions.

26.6.5.1.4.1

A Type 4 system shall have two or more control sites configured as follows:

- Each site shall have an RF receiver interconnected to the supervising or subsidiary station by a separate channel.
- 2. The RF transmitter/receiver located at the protected premises shall be within transmission range of at least two RF receiving sites.
- 3. The system shall contain two RF transmitters that are one of the following:
 - Located at one site with the capability of interrogating all of the

- Maintain a status that allows immediate use at all times.
- 2. Provide facilities in the supervising or subsidiary station to operate any off-line RF transmitter at least once every 8 hours.
- 5. The Type 4 system shall operate as follows:
 - Failure of one of the RF receivers shall not interfere with the operation of the system from the other RF receiver.
 - 2. Failure of any receiver shall be annunciated at the supervising station.
- A physically separate channel shall be required between each RF transmitter or RF receiver site, or both, and the system unit.

26.6.5.1.4.2

A Type 5 system shall have a single control site configured as follows:

- 1. A minimum of one RF receiving site
- 2. A minimum of one RF transmitting site

26.6.5.1.5 Loading Capacities.

266515

The loading capacities of two-way RF multiplex systems shall be based on the overall reliability of the signal receiving, processing, display, and recording equipment at the supervising or subsidiary station and the capability to transmit signals during adverse conditions of the transmission channels.

26.6.5.1.5.2

Allowable loading capacities shall comply with Table 26.6.5.1.5.2.

Table 26.6.5.1.5.2 Loading Capacities for Two-Way RF Multiplex Systems

Trunks	System Type		
1 runks	Type 4	Type 5	
Maximum number of alarm service initiating device circuits per primary trunk facility	5 ,120	1,280	
Maximum number of leg facilities for alarm service per primary trunk facility	512	128	
Maximum number of leg facilities for all types of alarm service per secondary trunk facility*	128	128	
Maximum number of all types of initiating device circuits per primary trunk facility in any combination	10,240	2,560	
Maximum number of leg facilities for types of alarm service per primary trunk facility in any combination*	1,024	256	
System Units at the Supervising Station	-	-	
Maximum number of all types of initiating device circuits per system unit*	10,240	10,240	
Maximum number of protected buildings and premises per system unit	512	512	
Maximum number of alarm service initiating device circuits per system	5 ,120	5 ,120	
Systems Emitting from Subsidiary Station [†]	_	_	

*Includes every initiating device circuit (e.g., waterflow, alarm supervisory, guard, burglary, hold-up).

[†]Same as system units at the supervising station.

26.6.5.1.5.3

The capacity of a system unit shall be permitted to be unlimited if the signal-receiving, processing, display, and recording equipment are duplicated at the supervising station and a switchover is able to be accomplished in not more than 30 seconds, with no loss of signals during this period.

26.6.5.1.6 Adverse Conditions.

- F transmitters/receivers on the emises
- Dispersed with all of the RF transmitters/receivers on the premises having the capability to be interrogated by two different RF transmitters
- 4. Each RF transmitter shall operate as follows:
 - Maintain a status that allows immediate use at all times.
 - 2. Provide facilities in the supervising or subsidiary station to operate any off-line RF transmitter at least once every 8 hours.
- 5. The Type 4 system shall operate as follows:
 - Failure of one of the RF receivers shall not interfere with the operation of the system from the other RF receiver.
 - 2. Failure of any receiver shall be annunciated at the supervising station
- A physically separate channel shall be required between each RF transmitter or RF receiver site, or both, and the system unit.

26.6.5.1.4.2

A Type 5 system shall have a single control site configured as follows:

- 1. A minimum of one RF receiving site
- 2. A minimum of one RF transmitting site

26.6.5.1.5 Loading Capacities.

2665151

The loading capacities of two-way RF multiplex systems shall be based on the overall reliability of the signal receiving, processing, display, and recording equipment at the supervising or subsidiary station and the capability to transmit signals during adverse conditions of the transmission channels.

26.6.5.1.5.2

Allowable loading capacities shall comply with Table 26.6.5.1.5.2.

Table 26.6.5.1.5.2 Loading Capacities for Two Way RF Multiplex Systems

TrunksSystem TypeType 4Type 5Maximum number of alarm service initiating device circuits per primary trunk facility5.1201.280Maximum number of leg facilities for alarm service per primary trunk facility512128Maximum number of leg facilities for all types of alarm service per secondary trunk facility*128128Maximum number of all types of initiating device circuits per primary trunk facility in any combination10,2402,560Maximum number of leg facilities for types of alarm service per primary trunk facility in any combination*1,024256System Units at the Supervising Station Maximum number of all types of initiating device circuits per system unit*10,24010,240Maximum number of protected buildings and premises per system unit512512Maximum number of alarm service initiating device circuits per system5,1205,120Systems Emitting from Subsidiary Station[†] *Includes every initiating device circuit (e.g., waterflow, alarm, supervisory, guard, burglary, hold-up).

*Same as system units at the supervising station.

26.6.5.1.5.3

The capacity of a system unit shall be permitted to be unlimited if the signal receiving, processing, display, and recording equipment are duplicated at the supervising station and a

T b th th it	Che occurrence of an adverse condition on the transmission channel between a protected premises and the supervising station that prevents the transmission of any status change signal shall be automatically indicated and recorded at the supervising station. 16.6.5.1.6.2 The indication and recording of the adverse condition shall identify the effected portions of the system so that the supervising station operator will be able to determine the location of the adverse condition by trunk or eg facility, or both. 16.6.5.1.6.3 For two way RF multiplex systems that are part of a central station alarm system, restoration of service to the affected portions of the system shall be automatically recorded. 16.6.5.1.6.4 When service is restored to a two way RF multiplex system, the first status change of any initiating device circuit, any initiating device directly connected to a signaling line circuit, or any combination thereof that becoursed at any of the affected premises during the service interruption also shall be recorded.	Currently, intermediary service providers are being used to communicate fire protection	switchover is able to be accomplished in not more than 30-seconds, with no loss of signals during this period. 26.6.5.1.6. Adverse Conditions. 26.6.5.1.6.1 The occurrence of an adverse condition on the transmission channel between a protected premises and the supervising station that prevents the transmission of any status change signal shall be automatically indicated and recorded at the supervising station. 26.6.5.1.6.2 The indication and recording of the adverse condition shall identify the affected portions of the system so that the supervising station operator will be able to determine the location of the adverse condition by trunk or log facility, or both. 26.6.5.1.6.3 For two way RF multiplex systems that are part of a central station alarm system, restoration of service to the affected portions of the system shall be automatically recorded. 26.6.5.1.6.4 When service is restored to a two way RF multiplex system, the first status change of any initiating device circuit, any initiating device directly connected to a signaling line circuit, or any combination thereof that occurred at any of the affected premises during the service interruption also shall be recorded.	CC?? Statement of the Problem	(JVK) After review there is no correlating committee action required.
5150 2: 1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	providers are being used to		Committee Statement Currently, intermediary service providers are being used to communicate fire protection signals from the protected premises to the supervising stations. Requirements were needed to ensure that when these signals pass through the intermediary service providers servers, they are processed in a reliable and supervised manner, and do not bypass the supervising station. Revised requirements were moved to 26.2.11.	

All devices shall be designed to function satisfactorily under the climatic and environmental conditions to which they could be exposed. 26.7.2.3.1 All devices shall be identified as suitable for the location and conditions for which they are installed. All circuits, paths, and equipment necessary for the receipt of signals from a protected premises shall be monitored for integrity. 26.7.2.5 All systems and signal transmissions shall be under the control of a designated supervising station. 26.7.2.5.1 Designation shall be through a contract between the owner and the supervising station. 26.7.2.5.2 The contract between the owner and the supervising station shall be the only one in force. 26.7.2.6 All gateway equipment shall be listed for its purpose. 26.7.2.7 All gateway equipment shall be installed in locations accessible to the authority having jurisdiction for the purpose of inspection. Gateway communications systems shall, in their entirety, be subject to a complete operational acceptance test upon completion of system installation. 26.7.2.8.1 The operational test(s) shall comply with the following: 1. Be made in accordance with the requirements of the authority having jurisdiction 2. In no case be less than those stipulated in Chapter 14 26.7.2.8.2 Operational acceptance tests shall be performed on any alarm-reporting devices, as covered in Section 26.7, that are installed or modified subsequent to the test required by 26.7.2.8. 26.7.2.9 Personnel shall be qualified in accordance with the requirements of 10.5.7. 26.7.3 Communications Methods. 26.7.3.1 Application. 26 7 3 1 1 A gateway communications system shall include wireless network(s) for command and control communications between the protected premises, alarm processing equipment, supervising station, and PSAP. 26.7.3.1.2 A gateway communications system shall be permitted to be used with emergency communications systems covered under Chapter 24. 26.7.3.2 Wireless Network(s). 26.7.3.2.1 The terms wireless network and cellular transmission shall be considered the same and interchangeable throughout this section. 26.7.3.2.2 All wireless networks shall meet the requirements of 26.7.3.2.3 through 26.7.3.2.6. 26.7.3.2.3

In addition to the requirements of this Code, all wireless equipment shall be designed and operated in compliance with all applicable rules and regulations of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) or, where required, the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA). 26.7.3.2.4 Unlicensed wireless networks shall not be permitted. 26.7.3.2.5 Fire alarm signals, other emergency alarm signals, and monitoring for integrity signals shall be permitted on the same wireless network, dedicated for that purpose. 26.7.3.2.6 Two independent means shall be provided to retransmit an alarm signal from the gateway to the designated PSAP. 26.7.4 Alarm Processing Equipment. The alarm processing equipment at the gateway required to receive and control signals from the protected premises shall be installed and maintained as defined in NFPA 1225. 26.7.5 Visual Recording Devices. 26.7.5.1 Alarms shall be automatically received and recorded at the gateway. A device for producing a permanent graphic recording of all alarm, supervisory, trouble, and test signals received or retransmitted, or both, shall be provided at each gateway for each system. 26.7.5.3 Reserve recording devices shall be provided in accordance with 26.7.5.3.1 and 26.7.5.3.2. 26.7.5.3.1 Where each gateway is served by a dedicated recording device, the number of reserve recording devices required on-site shall be equal to at least 5 percent of the systems that are in service and in no case less than one device. Where two or more gateways are served by a common recording device, a reserve recording device shall be provided on site for each gateway connected to a common recorder. Permanent visual records shall comply with 26.7.5.4.1 and 26.7.5.4.2. 26.7.5.4.1 A permanent visual record and an audible signal shall be required to indicate the receipt of an alarm. 26.7.5.4.2 The permanent record shall indicate the exact location from which the alarm is being transmitted. Facilities shall be provided with a device that automatically records the date and time of receipt of each alarm. 26.7.6 System Integrity. Circuits upon which transmission and receipt of alarms depend shall be constantly monitored for integrity to provide prompt warning of conditions adversely affecting reliability. 26.7.6.2

The power supplied to all required circuits and devices of the system shall be

constantly monitored for integrity.

26.7.7 Trouble Signals.

Trouble signals shall be indicated where there is a trained and competent person on duty at all times within a gateway. 26.7.7.2 Trouble signals shall be distinct from alarm signals and be indicated by a visual and audible signal. 26.7.7.3 A switch for silencing the audible trouble signal shall be permitted, provided that the visual signal remains operating until the silencing switch is restored to its normal position. The audible signal shall be responsive to faults on any other circuits that occur prior to restoration of the silencing switch to its normal position. 26.7.8 Power Supply. 26.7.8.1 The requirements of 26.7.8 shall be met for primary and secondary power for the gateway. 26.7.8.2 Visual and audible means to indicate a 15 percent or greater reduction of normal power supply (rated voltage) shall be provided. 26.7.8.3 Where the electrical service/capacity of the equipment required under Section 4.7 of NFPA 1225 satisfies the needs of equipment in this section, such equipment shall not be required to be duplicated. 26.7.9 Engine-Driven Generators. The installation of engine driven generator sets shall be in accordance with NFPA 37, NFPA 110, and NFPA 1225. 26.7.10 Equipment Fire Protection. Where applicable, electronic computer/data processing equipment shall be protected in accordance with NFPA 75. 26.7.11 Gateway. 26.7.11.1 All equipment shall be listed for its intended use and shall be installed in accordance with NFPA 70. 26.7.11.2 Alarm Processing Equipment. 26.7.11.2.1 The alarm processing equipment shall be located where it can be monitored for alarm and trouble conditions. 26.7.11.2.2 The alarm processing equipment shall be accessible in case of a pathway or communications failure with the communications center. 26.7.11.3 Wireless alarm repeating systems used to repeat signals between a protected premises and the gateway processing equipment location shall meet the requirements of 26.7.11.3.1 through 26.7.11.3.4. There shall be a minimum of two complete and independent alarm repeater systems, including batteries and power supplies. 26.7.11.3.2 If the gateway is configured with one alarm repeater in standby mode, the system shall be capable of both of the following: 1. Detecting a communications failure 2. Automatically switching to the backup system without

interruption or loss of any alarm or trouble transmission

	26.7.11.3.3					
	Gateways shall not be used for any purpose other than alarm communications between the protected premises and the PSAP.					
	26.7.11.3.4					
	Where it is not possible to use the gateway alarm reporting infrastructure to provide communications between the protected premises and a PSAP, alarm processing equipment at the contracted supervising station shall be used in accordance with Section 26.3. Section 26.4. or Section 26.5.					
	26.7.11.4					
	Pathways between the protected premises and the gateway alarm processing equipment shall be monitored for integrity, be dedicated, and not be used for any other purpose.					
	26.7.11.5					
	When communications protected premises and the gateway alarm processing equipment fails, the requirements of 26.7.11.5.1 through 26.7.11.5.3 shall apply.					
	26.7.11.5.1					
	A pathway or communications trouble condition shall be detected and annunciated at the protected premises, the originating supervising station, and the gateway alarm processing equipment within 200 seconds and meet the					
	requirements of 26.7.7.					
	26.7.11.5.2					
	Visual and audible trouble alarm indications pertaining to a pathway or communications failure between the protected premises and the gateway alarm processing equipment shall be distinct from all other trouble alarms.					
	26.7.11.5.3					
	The gateway alarm processing equipment shall be manned by trained personnel until communications can be re-established.					
R-	A.26.5.3	Removed chapter reference in	Public Comment No. 166-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. A.26.5.3]	Public Comment No. 166-NFPA 72-	SCR-xxxx	(JVK) NFPA staff recommends we
139	AsAt a minimum, the room(s)er rooms containing the remote supervising	favor of pointing the user to NFPA 1225.	A.26.5.3	2023 [Section No. A.26.5.3]	A.26.5.3	remove the following text: "the latest addition of "
	station equipment should have a 1-hour fire rating, and the entire structure should be protected by an alarm system complying with Chapter 23.		As a minimum, the room or rooms containing the remote	Statement of the Problem	AsAt a minimum, the room(s)or	I agree with the change.
	As a useful guide for determining the nature of the design and integrity necessary to achieve proper protection, the remote supervising station building		supervising station equipment should have a 1-hour fire rating, and the entire structure should be protected by an alarm system complying with Chapter 23.	NOTE: The following CC Note No. 24 appeared in the First Draft Report.	rooms-containing the remote supervising station equipment should have a 1-hour fire rating, and the entire	3
	or that portion of a building occupied by a remote supervising station should compare the construction, fire protection, restricted access, emergency lighting, and power facilities to the requirements stated in the latest edition of		As a useful guide for determining the nature of the design and integrity necessary to achieve proper protection, the remote	Review the language of the third paragraph for the correct reference	structure should be protected by an alarm system complying with Chapter 23.	
	UL 827, Central-Station Alarm Services.		supervising station building or that portion of a building occupied by a remote supervising station should compare the construction,	chapter number in NFPA 1225. NFPA 1221 was consolidated into NFPA	As a useful guide for determining the	
	If the remote supervising station is located within an emergency response agency (ERA), the ERA should consider meeting the requirements of Chapter 4 of NFPA 1225.		fire protection, restricted access, emergency lighting, and power facilities to the requirements stated in the latest edition of	1225. Committee Statement	nature of the design and integrity necessary to achieve proper protection,	
	or oriance for the first leading		UL 827, Central-Station Alarm Services. If the remote supervising station is located within an emergency	Rejected but see related SR-5139	the remote supervising station building or that portion of a building occupied by	
			response agency (ERA), the ERA should consider meeting the requirements of Chapter 4 of NFPA 1225.	Removed chapter reference in favor of	a remote supervising station should compare the construction, fire	
				I TELLIOVED CHAPTEL TELEFELICE III IAVOI OI	protection, restricted access,	
				pointing the user to NFPA 1225.	emergency lighting, and power facilities	
				pointing the user to NFPA 1225.		
				pointing the user to NFPA 1225.	emergency lighting, and power facilities to the requirements stated in the latest edition of UL 827, Central-Station Alarm Services. If the remote supervising station is	
				pointing the user to NFPA 1225.	emergency lighting, and power facilities to the requirements stated in the latest edition of UL 827, Central-Station Alarm Services.	
R-	A.26.6.1	Accepted		pointing the user to NFPA 1225. Public Comment No. 132-NFPA 72-	emergency lighting, and power facilities to the requirements stated in the latest edition of UL 827, Central-Station Alarm Services. If the remote supervising station is located within an emergency response agency (ERA), the ERA should consider meeting the requirements of Chapter 4	(JVK) After review there is no
	A.26.6.1 Refer to Table A.26.6.1 for communications methods.	·	Public Comment No. 132-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. A.26.6.1]		emergency lighting, and power facilities to the requirements stated in the latest edition of UL 827, Central-Station Alarm Services. If the remote supervising station is located within an emergency response agency (ERA), the ERA should consider meeting the requirements of Chapter 4	(JVK) After review there is no correlating committee action required
	A.26.6.1 Refer to Table A.26.6.1 for communications methods. Table A.26.6.1 Communications Methods for Supervising Stations	Two way radio technology has been eliminated from the table		Public Comment No. 132-NFPA 72-	emergency lighting, and power facilities to the requirements stated in the latest edition of UL 827, Central-Station Alarm Services. If the remote supervising station is located within an emergency response agency (ERA), the ERA should consider meeting the requirements of Chapter 4	
SR- 5128	Refer to Table A.26.6.1 for communications methods.	Two way radio technology has	Public Comment No. 132-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. A.26.6.1] A.26.6.1	Public Comment No. 132-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. A.26.6.1]]	emergency lighting, and power facilities to the requirements stated in the latest edition of UL 827, Central-Station Alarm Services. If the remote supervising station is located within an emergency response agency (ERA), the ERA should consider meeting the requirements of Chapter 4	

			Remove Column Labeled "Two-Way Radio Frequency (RF) Multiplex Systems 26.6.5.1"	assigned by SIG-SSS during the first draft meetings to review and consider modifications of Chapter 26 to remove communications technologies no longer in use and prescriptive performance-based methodologies for new and future communications technologies. This public comment is tied to Committee Input 5100. (removing 2 way Radio from Table) Committee Statement Accepted Two way radio technology has been eliminated from the table since the technology is no longer listed for fire	
SR 514	Certain legacy technologies (<u>e.g.,</u> active multiplex, McCulloh, directly connected non-coded and private microwave) have been removed from the	The additional annex language provides more background and descriptive information on how telecommunications networks are regulated.	A.26.6.3 Certain legacy technologies (active multiplex, McCulloh, directly connected non-coded and private microwave) have been removed from the text of the document. Existing systems utilizing these technologies are acceptable, because all these technologies also comply with the general provisions of 26.6.3. The object of 26.6.3 is not to give details of specific technologies but rather give basic operating parameters of the transmission supervision rates of technologies. The following list represents examples of current technologies that can be configured to meet the requirements and the intent of 26.6.3: 1. Transmitters using IP (Internet Protocol) 2. IP transmission over the public open Internet or over private IP facilities maintained by an organization for its own use 3. Transmitters using various (non-dialup) digital cellular technology Wired IP Transmission. There are two types of wired IP transmission devices. One type connects the IP network directly to the fire alarm control unit (integrated IP or native IP). The second uses an intermediary module that can include the following: 1. IP dialer capture module (such as RS-232, keypad bus, RS-485) 3. Relay contact monitoring module 2. IP data capture module (such as RS-232, keypad bus, RS-485) 3. Relay contact monitoring module Devices referred to as "IP dialer capture modules" (an IP communicator used with a DACT) are transmission devices that connect to the DACT output of the fire alarm control unit and convert the output data stream to IP (Internet protocol). As such, they are considered to use IP technology in their connection to the IP network. Therefore, they should be treated in this Code under the requirements of 26.6.3, performance-based technologies, and not under the requirements of 26.6.4, digital alarm communicator systems. A distinction must be noted in that certain FCC approved Carriers may provide interface hardware that is similar in function but not considered part of the alarm system equipment including DACT functions. Such C	alarm service. Public Comment No. 220-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. A.26.6.3] Statement of the Problem NFPA 72 has no jurisdiction to regulate the operation of MFVNs that are located on the network side of the FCC demarcation point. Committee Statement Rejected but see related SR-5141 The additional annex language provides more background and descriptive information on how telecommunications networks are regulated.	(JVK) After review there is no correlating committee action required.
	and converted back into intelligible voice-grade messages. The message exchange through this wireless data network is done through well-known				

defined protocols such as "Glebal System for Mobileglobal system for mobile" communications (GSM) for voice communications as well as Code Division Multiple Accesscode division multiple access (CDMA) for both voice and data and General Packet Radio Servicegeneral packet radio service (GPRS) for mobile data services. These protocols have been developed to operate in an optimal way for the intended application. For example, GSM is used tocan efficiently establish voice-grade connections that deliver an appropriate level of intelligible voice quality, but might not be good enough to pass tones that represent data. Data transmission is better served by GPRS and CDMA where a connection into the wireless network is always available without having to "dial," and large amounts of data can be efficiently transmitted. However, the data passed using GPRS or CDMA are not that of-coded tones such as dual tone multi-frequency [DTMF (Contact ID),] but are computer-type messages similar to IP.

When using digital cellular, a DACT might or might not be used.

For example, the digital cellular device might be used to back up the DACT or, if properly supervised, be used as a stand-alone device. If used, the DACT is connected to a digital cellular radio device that connects to the cellular network by means of an antenna. The digital cellular radio device is constantly connecting to the wireless network and is always ready to attempt to transmit to a destination address without having to "dial" a number. The radio device recognizes that the alarm panel is attempting to place a call by the DACT's "off-hook" signaling. The radio device accepts the DACT tone signaling, converts it into a packeted data stream, and sends the packets into the wireless network for delivery to a pre-assigned preassigned destination

It should be noted that FCC-licensed carriers might provide similar services. These services are often optimized to consider both IP and cellular connectivity as needed. The telecommunication network equipment provided by such carriers will often present a single gateway to multiple communication technologies or pathways. In these cases, a DACT device will continue to function as legacy equipment capable of transmitting traditional tones, including frequency shift keying (FSK) or DTMF media. The carrier network can continue to carry both tone- and pulse-type signals if configured by the carrier. These carrier circuits are intended to permit legacy alarm DACT communication protocols to continue to function and communicate over the carrier network using different carrier-determined mediums, including combinations of IP, WAN, and cellular connectivity (as determined by the carrier).

Digital Cellular. To accommodate an increase in the demand for mobile wireless communications as well as introducing new services over that same network, wireless voice communications no longer utilizes dedicated connections to pass voice band frequencies. In place of the voice band, the voice conversation is converted into a stream of bits and packaged within data packets that conform to messaging protocols. The packets are addressed to a destination point, delivered into the network, received by the destination point, and converted back into intelligible voice-grade messages. The message exchange through this wireless data network is done through well-known defined protocols such as "Global System for Mobile" communications (GSM) for voice communications as well as Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) for both voice and data and General Packet Radio Service (GPRS) for mobile data services. These protocols have been developed to operate in an optimal way for the intended application. For example, GSM is used to efficiently establish voice-grade connections that deliver an appropriate level of intelligible voice quality, but might not be good enough to pass tones that represent data. Data transmission is better served by GPRS and CDMA where a connection into the wireless network is always available without having to "dial," and large amounts of data can be efficiently transmitted. However, the data passed using GPRS or CDMA are not that of coded tones such as DTMF (Contact ID), but are computer-type messages similar to IP.

When using digital cellular, a DACT might or might not be used.

For example, the digital cellular device might be used to back up the DACT or, if properly supervised, be used as a stand-alone device. If used, the DACT is connected to a digital cellular radio device that connects to the cellular network by means of an antenna. The digital cellular radio device is constantly connecting to the wireless network and is always ready to attempt to transmit to a destination address without having to "dial" a number. The radio device recognizes that the alarm panel is attempting to place a call by the DACT's "off-hook" signaling. The radio device accepts the DACT tone signaling, converts it into a packeted data stream, and sends the packets into the wireless network for delivery to a pre-assigned destination address.

Public Comment No. 221-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. A.26.6.3]

A.26.6.3

Certain legacy technologies (active multiplex, McCulloh, directly connected non-coded and private microwave) have been removed from the text of the document. Existing systems utilizing these technologies are acceptable, because all these technologies also comply with the general provisions of 26.6.3.

The object of 26.6.3 is not to give details of specific technologies but rather give basic operating parameters of the transmission supervision rates of technologies. The following list represents examples of current technologies that can be configured to meet the requirements and the intent of 26.6.3:

- 1. Transmitters using IP (Internet Protocol)
- IP transmission over the public open Internet or over private IP facilities maintained by an organization for its own use
- Transmitters using various (non-dialup) digital cellular technology

Wired IP Transmission. There are two types of wired IP transmission devices. One type connects the IP network directly to the fire alarm control unit (integrated IP or native IP). The second uses an intermediary module that can include the following:

- 1. IP dialer capture module
- IP data capture module (such as RS-232, keypad bus, RS-485)
- 3. Relay contact monitoring module

Public Comment No. 221-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. A.26.6.3]

Statement of the Problem

Adds clarification that carriers may provide similar equipment, although NFPA 72 has no jurisdiction to regulate the operation of MFVNs that are located on the network side of the FCC demarcation point.

Committee Statement

Rejected but see related SR-5141

The additional annex language provides more background and descriptive information on how telecommunications networks are regulated

(JVK) After review there is no correlating committee action required

			Devices referred to as "IP dialer capture modules" (an IP		
			communicator used with a DACT) are transmission devices that connect to the DACT output of the fire alarm control unit and		
			convert the output data stream to IP (Internet protocol). As such,		
			they are considered to use IP technology in their connection to		
			the IP network. Therefore, they should be treated in this Code		
			under the requirements of 26.6.3, performance-based		
			technologies, and not under the requirements of 26.6.4, digital alarm communicator systems.		
			•		
			Digital Cellular. To accommodate an increase in the demand for		
			mobile wireless communications as well as introducing new services over that same network, wireless voice communications		
			no longer utilizes dedicated connections to pass voice band		
			frequencies. In place of the voice band, the voice conversation is		
			converted into a stream of bits and packaged within data packets		
			that conform to messaging protocols. The packets are addressed		
			to a destination point, delivered into the network, received by the destination point, and converted back into intelligible voice-grade		
			messages. The message exchange through this wireless data		
			network is done through well-known defined protocols such as		
			"Global System for Mobile" communications (GSM) for voice		
			communications as well as Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) for both voice and data and General Packet Radio		
			Service (GPRS) for mobile data services. These protocols have		
			been developed to operate in an optimal way for the intended		
			application. For example, GSM is used to efficiently establish		
			voice-grade connections that deliver an appropriate level of		
			intelligible voice quality, but might not be good enough to pass tones that represent data. Data transmission is better may		
			be better served by GPRS and CDMA where a connection into		
			the wireless network is always available without having to "dial,"		
			and large amounts of data can be efficiently transmitted.		
			However, the data passed using GPRS or CDMA are not that of		
			coded tones such as DTMF (Contact ID), but are computer-type messages similar to IP.		
			When using digital cellular, a DACT might or might not be used.		
			For example, the digital cellular device might be used to back up		
			the DACT or, if properly supervised, be used as a stand-alone		
			device. If used, the DACT is connected to a digital cellular radio device that connects to the cellular network by means of an		
			antenna. The digital cellular radio device is constantly connecting		
			to the wireless network and is always ready to attempt to transmit		
			to a destination address without having to "dial" a number. The		
			radio device recognizes that the alarm panel is attempting to		
			place a call by the DACT's "off-hook" signaling. The radio device accepts the DACT tone signaling, converts it into a packeted data		
			stream, and sends the packets into the wireless network for		
			delivery to a pre-assigned destination address.		
			It should be noted that FCC approved Carriers may provide		
			similar services. These services are often optimized to consider both IP and Cellular connectivity as needed. The		
			Telecommunication Network Equipment provided by such carriers		
			often will present a single gateway to multiple communication		
			technologies or pathways. In these cases, a DACT device will		
			continue to function as legacy equipment capable of transmitting		
			traditional tones including legacy Frequency Shift Keying (FSK) or Dual Tone Multi-Frequency (DTMF) media. The Carrier Network		
			can continue to carry both tone and pulse type signals if		
			configured by the Carrier. These Carrier circuits are intended to		
			permit legacy alarm DACT communication protocols to continue		
			to function and communicate over the Carrier Network using		
			different Carrier determined mediums including combinations of IP, WAN, and Cellular connectivity (as determined by the Carrier).		
R-	A.26.6.3.5	Premises' was missing from the	Public Comment No. 176-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No. A.26.6.3.5	Public Comment No. 176-NFPA 72-	(JVK) After review there is no
140		text and added for clarification.]	2023 [Section No. A.26.6.3.5]	correlating committee action required
	When considering a fire alarm system <u>utilizingusing</u> a single communication		A.26.6.3.5		,
	path to the supervising station, consideration should be given to the risk exposure that results from the loss of that path for any period of time and for		When considering a fire alarm system utilizing a single	Statement of the Problem	
	any reason should be considered. Some of these outages can be regular and		communication path to the supervising station, consideration	Corrected a type: notification is	
	predicable and others transitory.		should be given to the risk exposure that results from the loss of	Corrected a typo: notification is needed for the supervising station and	
			that path for any period of time and for any reason. Some of these	the protected premises.	
	One example of a single technology used to produce two paths is the use of a				
	One example of a single technology used to produce two paths is the use of a digital cellular premises unit communicating with two or more cell towers. In		outages can be regular and predicable and others transitory.	Committee Statement	

	aware if communications degrades degrade to below two towers. Another example is the use of two different cellular carriers to produce the two paths. Similarly, in this case, the supervising station and the protected premises must be made aware if communications degrades degrade to one carrier.		One example of a single technology used to produce two paths is the use of a digital cellular premises unit communicating with two or more cell towers. In this case, the supervising station and the protected premises must be made aware if communications degrades to below two towers. Another example is the use of two different cellular carriers to produce the two paths. Similarly, in this case the supervising station and the protected <u>premises</u> must be made aware if communications degrades to one carrier.	Rejected but see related SR-5140 'Premises' was missing from the text and added for clarification.	
PC-		Rejected	Public Comment No. 240-NFPA 72-2023 [Section No.	Public Comment No. 240-NFPA 72-	(JVK) After review there is no
240		The control the discount decree to	A.26.6.4.2.3]	2023 [Section No. A.26.6.4.2.3]	correlating committee action required
			A 00 C 4 O 0	Ctatament of the Buchlam	
				Statement of the Problem	
				Provides the proper industry	
		requirement.			
			connected on the Network side of the FCC SNI or Demarc	Committee Statement	
			shall be required to meet FCC and Telecommunications Industry		
			transmission standards including but not limited to functions	Rejected	
			(C), nybrid (n), and rest (1) functions	•	
		The submitted text doesn't provide additional guidance for the user as it relates to the requirement.	A.26.6.4.2.3 To give the DACT the ability to disconnect an incoming call to the protected premises, telephone service should be of the type that provides for timed-release disconnect. In some telephone systems (step-by-step offices), timed-release disconnect is not provided. All equipment supplied by FCC approved carrier connected on the Network side of the FCC SNI or Demarc shall be required to meet FCC and Telecommunications Industry	Statement of the Problem Provides the proper industry standards for DACT signaling within the MFVN network.	ostrolating committee determined

Task Group 6 CC SCM SIG HOU Notes AGB

TC	Sec. #	Issue	CR	DRAFT SCR	Notes/Substantiation
SIG-HOU	29.9.3	7	CR	29.9.3 Household Fire and Carbon Monoxide Alarm Systems. 29.9.3.1 Power for household alarm systems shall comply with the following requirements:	The MOS requires items in lists to be parallel. List items 29.9.3(1)(a) and 29.9.3(1)(b) are not parallel. With respect to (a) it is sufficient to reference
				(1) Household fire and carbon monoxide alarm systems shall have two independent power sources consisting of a primary source that uses commercial light and power and a secondary source that uses a rechargeable battery. (2) The secondary source shall be capable of operating the household alarm system for at least 24 hours in the normal condition, followed by 4 minutes of fire alarm or 12 hours of carbon monoxide alarm, and comply with the following conditions: (a) The secondary power source for a household carbon monoxide system shall be capable of operating the system for at least 12 hours of alarm in accordance with 29.5.4. (b) The secondary power source for a household carbon monoxide system shall not be required to operate the system for 12 hours of alarm if the power source of carbon monoxide detectors and carbon monoxide audible notification appliances incorporating a low-power-radio (wireless) transmitter/transceiver is capable of providing at least 24 hours in the normal condition, followed by 12 hours of alarm. (3) The secondary power source shall be supervised so that a distinctive audible and visible trouble signal results upon removal or disconnection of a battery or a low-battery condition. (4) A rechargeable battery used as a secondary power source shall meet the following criteria: (a) Be automatically recharged by an AC circuit of the commercial light and power source (b) Be recharged within 48 hours (c) Provide a distinctive audible trouble signal before the battery is incapable of operating the device(s) for alarm purposes (5) Low-power wireless systems shall comply with the performance criteria of Section 23.16, except as modified by 29.10.8.1.1. 29.9.3.2 The secondary power source for a household carbon monoxide system shall not be required to operate the system for 12 hours of alarm if the power source of carbon monoxide detectors and carbon monoxide audible notification appliances incorporating a low-power radio (wireless) transmitter/transceiver is capable of providing at least 2	
SIG-HOU	29.10.4.2		CR	29.10.4.2* Fixed-temperature alarms or detectors shall comply with both of the following conditions: (1) Have a temperature rating at least 20°F (11°C) above the maximum expected temperature in the room or space where installed (2) Have a temperature rating not more than Not be rated 50°F (28°C) higher than the maximum expected temperature in the room or space where installed	The conditions for a fixed temperature alarm or detector rating could be interepreted to be that the temperature must be at least 20 F higher than expected and rated to any temperature above 50 F, but not 50 F specifically. The language in (2) is revised to be parallel to (1) and clarifies that the temperature rating must not be 50 degrees F higher than the maximum expected temperature.
SIG-IDS	29.7.1.1	?	?	3.3.131 Fuel Gas Warning Equipment. Any detector, alarm, device, or material related to single- and multiple-station alarms or household fuel gas detection systems. [715, 2023] (SIG-IDS)	Should this be taged to SIG-HOU?
SIG-HOU	29.5.4		CR	29.5.4* Carbon monoxide warning equipment to be installed in residential occupancies shall produce the T4 signal consistent in accordance with 18.4.3.2, and after the initial 4 minutes of alarm the 5-second "off" time shall be permitted to be changed to 60 seconds ± 10 percent.	18.4.3.2 contains the requirements for the T4 signal including the permitted off time, so duplicating the off time in this section is redundant. Additionally, 18.4.3.2 contains other requiremetns which are not captured in 29.5.4. 18.4.3.2 contains all of the requirements for the T4 signal and is sufficient as referenced.

Task Group 6 CC SCM SIG HOU Notes AGB

TC	Sec. #	Issue	CR	DRAFT SCR	Notes/Substantiation
SIG-HOU	29.7.1.1 (4)	7		29.7.1.1* Where installed, listed carbon monoxide alarms and carbon monoxide detectors shall be located as follows: (1) Outside of each separate dwelling unit sleeping area, within 21 ft (6.4 m) of any door to a sleeping room, with the distance measured along a path of travel (2) On every occupiable level of a dwelling unit, including basements, excluding attics and crawl spaces (3) In all sleeping and guest rooms containing fuel-burning equipment (4) In other Other locations where required by applicable laws, codes, or standards	The language in (4) is revised to be parallel to (1) (2) & (3)
SIG-HOU	29.10.2			29.10.2* Smoke Alarms, System Smoke Detectors, and Other Non-Heat Fire Detectors. Each device shall detect abnormal quantities of smoke or applicable fire signature, shall operate in the normal environmental conditions, and shall be in compliance with applicable standards such as UL 268, Smoke Detectors for Fire Alarm Systems, or UL 217, Smoke Alarms.	Requirements for compliance with other standards have been made explicit for consistency within the code
SIG-HOU	29.10.6.8			29.10.6.8 The control unit shall be in compliance with-applicable standards such as UL 985, Household Fire Warning System Units; UL 1730, Smoke Detector Monitors and Accessories for Individual Living Units of Multifamily Residences and Hotel/Motel Rooms; or UL 864, Control Units and Accessories for Fire Alarm Systems.	Requirements for compliance with other standards have been made explicit for consistency within the code
SIG-HOU	29.10.9.10.7			29.10.9.10.7 Transmission devices connected to the supervising station shall be in compliance with applicable standards such as UL 985, Household Fire Warning System Units.	Requirements for compliance with other standards have been made explicit for consistency within the code

Task Group 6 CC SCM SIG HOU Notes AGB

TC	Sec. #	Issue	CR	DRAFT SCR	Notes/Substantiation
SIG-HOU	A29.5.10		CR	Where low frequency sounders, tactile notification appliances, or both are required in rooms by governing laws, codes, or standards, they shall comply with either of the following: (1) The low frequency sounders shall have a fundamental frequency of 520 Hz ± 10 percent. (2) Tactile notification appliances shall meet the requirements of Section 18.10. A.29.5.10 Governing laws, codes, or standards might require a certain number of accommodations to be equipped for those with hearing loss or other disabilities. Based on sleep studies to assess the waking effectiveness of different types of alarm signals, a low-frequency alarm signal with a fundamental frequency of 520 Hz has been shown to provide improved awakening for people with hearing loss when compared to typical alarms from high-frequency piezoelectric sounders used in most smoke alarms (Bruck and Thomas, 2009). Visual alarm signals, such as xenon strobes or LED strobes, have been shown to be ineffective at waking people with mild to severe hearing loss (Thomas and Bruck, 2009; Ashley and Du Bois, 2005). As for all alarm signals, effectiveness of the installed notification for the specific occupants should be tested by the occupants, if possible. The low-frequency alarm signal can be provided by the sounder in a smoke alarm or by a separate notification appliance. It is not the intent of this section to preclude devices that have been demonstrated, through peer-reviewed research, to awaken occupants with hearing loss as effectively as those using the frequency and amplitude specified in this section. Low-frequency notification appliances or in combination with tactile notification appliances such as bed shakers have been shown to be effective in waking those with normal hearing to profound hearing loss (CSE NIH report, 2005; Bruck and Thomas, 2009; Bruck, Thomas, and Ball, NFPA RF report, 2007; Ashley and Du Bois, 2005; UL 1971, 2018). Tactile signaling has been studied and found to be an effective way to alert and notify sleeping persons. However, the	
SIG-NAS	A.18.10.2		CR	A.18.10.2 Notification appliances including, but not limited to, supplemental tactile notification appliancesare available for the deaf and hard of hearing. Tactile notification appliances can be capable of awakening people. Such appliances can, through hard wiring into the fire alarm system or by wireless methods, initiate in response to the activation of an audible smoke alarm. Some tests show that visual notification appliances might not be effective in awakening some sleeping individuals during an emergency. Some tactile notification appliances can be more effective in awakening individuals, regardless of hearing levels, from sleep. See the FPRF report, Review of Alarm Technologies for Deaf and Hard of Hearing Populations, and A.29.5.10.2 A.29.5.10 for further details.	SR-5144 eliminated A.29.5.10.2 and revised A.29.5.10 to include explanatory material on tactile on tactile notification appliances. The reference has been updated accordingly.

Task Group 7 CC Task Group SIG-TMS Review

TC	Sec. #	Issue	CR	DRAFT SCR	Notes/Substantiation	Column1
SIG-TMS	3.3.293.1		CR	3.3.293.1° Executive Software. Control and supervisory program that manages the execution of all other programs and directly or indirectly causes the required functions of the product to be performed. (SIGTMS) A.3.3.293.1 Executive software is sometimes referred to as firmware, BIOS, or executive program and can include integrated fundamental cybersecurity protection. (SIG-TMS)	Manual of Style requires examples to be located in the Annex.	
SIG-TMS	14.4.14		CR	Maximum Public Mode Audible Levels was removed by SIG NAS as part of the RAMO revisions. Maximum Public Mode Audible Levels was put in during the first draft. Was the intent to remove requirements for Maximum Public Mode Audible Levels or to move testing requirements to Chapter 14?	14.4.14 references a section in Chapter 18 on Public Mode Audible Levles that no longer exists.	Task group could not confirm the intent and requests this to be disucssed at the CC meeting with the NAS and TMS Chairs perspective.
SIG-TMS, SIG-FUN	A.14.6.1.1		CR	A.14.6.1.1 Examples of acceptable methods used to define the required sequence of operations and to document the actual sequence of operations include a logic diagram [see Figure A.14.6.1.1(a)] and an input/output matrix [see Figure A.14.6.1.1(b)]. The required and actual sequence of operation should include all applicable items found in the list in A.7.4.9.	A.14.6.1.1 references a list of items in A.7.9 that does not exist in the Code.	Circular reference as A.7.4.9 sends you to A.14.6.1.1 and the items required are in A.14.6.1.1 - also change FACP to FACU
SIG-TMS, SIG-FUN	3.3.293.3		CR	3.3.293.3 Cybersecurity Software. Software that is included in a system element and arranged such that its inclusion or exclusion in no way affects the executive or site-specific software execution and whose purpose is to reduce the vulnerability of the system and/or equipment to cybersecurity attacks. (SIG-FUNTMS)	SIG TMS and SIG FUN created definitons for Cybersecurity Software, the SIG TMS definition was kept, however the definition should belong to SIG FUN.	
SIG-TMS	14.1.6		No Action	14.1.6 This chapter shall not require inspection, testing, or maintenance personnel to verify the adequacy of the design of existing previously approved systems during periodic inspection, testing, and maintenance.	Negative with Comment - Scibetta, Joe Duct detector sampling tubes, pressure switches and RAMO notification zones all require some type of analysis/comparison to original design documents. This new language in the second draft contradicts that and presents a conflict.	Informational only, no action recommened
SIG-FUN	7.3.4.8	3	Delete SR	7.3.4.8— Design documentation for maximum public mode audible levels, including the definition of the protected space and design sound pressure levels, shall comply with 18.4.1.9.	This SR has been deleted because the associated clause and requirements 18.4.1.9 have been deleted by SR 5065	
SIG-TMS	14.4.14	3	Delete SR	14.4.14 Maximum Public Mode Audible Levels. Where maximum public mode audible levels are used in accordance with 18.4.1.9, annual testing shall include the following: (1) The ambient and maximum sound pressure levels in the protected space shall be recorded and compared against the design documentation required by 18.4.1.9. (2) Each area where the public mode sound pressure level has been reduced shall be reviewed to determine if the application has changed.	This SR has been deleted because the associated clause and requirements 18.4.1.9 have been deleted by SR 5065	
SIG-FUN	10.6.11.3.2.3		CR	Manual-starting engine-driven generators used to provide secondary power for a supervising station shall comply wit the requirements of Chapter $\frac{10}{4}$ of NFPA 110 for a Type M, Class 24, Level 2 system.	Incorrect reference to chapter 10 has been corrected to chapter 4	

Task Group 7 CC Task Group SIG-TMS Review

TC	Sec. #	Issue	CR	DRAFT SCR	Notes/Substantiation	Column1
SIG-TMS	3.3.293.2.1	7	CR	3.3.293.2.1 Informational Site Specific Software.	The term is not used in the code	
				Programs and information that are included as part of the site specific software but		
				whose inclusion, exclusion, or alteration does not affect the type and quantity of		
				hardware on a system or the system's operational sequence as intended during		
				emergency conditions. (SIG TMS)		
SIG-TMS	3.3.293.2.2	7	CR	3.3.293.2.2 Operational Site-Specific Software.	The term is not used in the code	
				Programs and information that affects the equipment and operation of a system as		
				intended during emergency conditions. Typically, operational site-specific software-		
				defines the type and quantity of hardware and the specific operating controls or		
				sequences of a system. (SIG TMS)		

Task Group 9 CC SCM SIG ECS Notes JVK 12102023

TC	Sec. #	Issue	CR	DRAFT SCR	Notes/Substantiation
SIG- FUN,SIG- ECS	2.20		CR	2.2 NFPA Publications. NFPA 1600®, Standard on Continuity, Emergency, and Crisis Management, 2019 edition. NFPA 1620, Standard for Pre-Incident Planning, 2020 edition. NFPA 1660, Standard for Emergency, Continuity, and Crisis Management: Preparedness, Response, and Recovery, 2024 edition.	NFPA 1600 and NFPA 1620 were consolidated into NFPA 1660 2024 edition. Requirements contained in NFPA 1600 and 1620 are now located in NFPA 1660.
SIG- FUN,SIG- ECS	J.1.1		CR	J.1.1 NFPA Publications. NFPA 1600®, Standard on Continuity, Emergency, and Crisis Management, 2019 edition. NFPA 1660, Standard for Emergency, Continuity, and Crisis Management: Preparedness, Response, and Recovery, 2024 edition.	NFPA 1600 and NFPA 1620 were consolidated into NFPA 1660 2024 edition. Requirements contained in NFPA 1600 and 1620 are now located in NFPA 1660.
SIG-NAS, SIG-ECS, SIG FUN	18.3.7, 24.3.10, Chapter 10		CR	See word document 72_SIG_FUN_SIG_NAS_SIG_ECS_NACs.docx	Correlate the DCNAC (18.3.7) and A-NAC(24.3.10) requirements between Chapter 18 and Chapter 24 and rename DCNAC to V-NAC to mirror A-NAC. During the SIG ECS SDM there was disucssion to move the DCNAC and A-NAC requirements to Chapter 10.
SIG-NAS, SIG-ECS,	18.3.7, 24.3.10 Alternate		CR	See word document 72_SIG_ECS_18_3_7_Revision 12102023.docx	Correlate the DCNAC (18.3.7) and A-NAC(24.3.10) requirements between Chapter 18 and Chapter 24 and rename DCNAC to DC power sourced notification appliance circuits and ACNAC to Nondc power sourced notification appliance circuits .
SIG-ECS	24.3.13.11		CR	24.3.13.11 The risk analysis shall consider cybersecurity risks in accordance with Chapter 11 and 7.3.6.	No other requirements in 24.3.13 Risk Analysis reference 7.3.6 Risk Analysis Documentation. Annex A.24.3.13, for the the parent section for this requirement, directs the user to A.7.3.6 for a risk analysis checklist. Which contains items for cybersecurity.
SIG-ECS	24.3.14		CR	24.3.14* Emergency Response Plan Elements. A well-defined emergency response plan shall be developed in accordance with NFPA 1600 and NFPA 16201660 as part of the design and implementation of a mass notification system.	NFPA 1600 and NFPA 1620 were consolidated into NFPA 1660 2024 edition. Requirements contained in NFPA 1600 and 1620 are now located in NFPA 1660.
SIG-ECS	A.24.3.13		CR	A.24.3.13 There are many credible risk assessment methodologies that can be utilized and/or referenced in conducting the risk assessment required in 24.3.13, some of which are listed as follows: 3.NFPA 16001660, Quincy, MA: National Fire Protection Association, www.nfpa.org	NFPA 1600 and NFPA 1620 were consolidated into NFPA 1660 2024 edition. Requirements contained in NFPA 1600 and 1620 are now located in NFPA 1660.

Task Group 9 CC SCM SIG ECS Notes JVK 12102023

TC	Sec. #	Issue	CR	DRAFT SCR	Notes/Substantiation
SIG-	J.1.1		CR	J.1.1 NFPA Publications. NFPA 1600®, Standard on Continuity, Emergency, and Crisis	NFPA 1600 and NFPA 1620 were consolidated into NFPA 1660
FUN,SIG-				Management, 2019 edition. NFPA 1660, Standard for Emergency, Continuity, and Crisis	2024 edition. Requirements contained in NFPA 1600 and 1620
ECS				Management: Preparedness, Response, and Recovery, 2024 edition.	are now located in NFPA 1660.