NFPA 1970-P2025 Edition

Standard on Protective Ensembles for Structural and Proximity Firefighting, Work Apparel and Open-Circuit Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus (SCBA) for Emergency Services, and Personal Alert Safety Systems (PASS) TIA Log No.: 1790 Reference: Various paragraphs in Chapters 2, 7, 8 and 9 (re: editorial corrections) Comment Closing Date: July 31, 2024 Submitter: Amanda Newsom, UL LLC www.nfpa.org/1970

1 Delete paragraph 8.1.27 as follows:

8.1.27 Garment outer shells, moisture barriers, and thermal barriers shall be separately evaluated for ease of cleaning where the garment manufacturer or component supplier is required to report the contaminant removal efficiency for semi-volatile organic compounds and heavy metals as specified in Section 9.9.4, Flame Resistance Following Fuel Exposure and Cleaning Test.

2. Add a new paragraph 8.2.8 to read as follows:

8.2.8 Where the garment manufacturer or component supplier is required to report the contaminant removal efficiency for semivolatile organic compounds and heavy metals in the user information, outer shells, moisture barriers, and thermal barriers shall be evaluated for ease of cleaning as specified in 9.9.3, Contamination Removal Efficiency Tests.

3. Delete paragraph 8.4.17 as follows:

8.4.17 Helmet ear cover materials, suspension system materials, and retention system materials that come in contact with the wearer's head or neck without a protective hood shall be evaluated for ease of cleaning where the helmet manufacturer or component supplier is required to report the contaminant removal efficiency for semi-volatile organic compounds and heavy metals as specified in Section 9.9.3, Contamination Removal Efficiency Tests.

4. Add a new paragraph 8.5.11 to read as follows:

8.5.11 Where the helmet manufacturer or component supplier is required to report the contaminant removal efficiency for semivolatile organic compounds and heavy metals in the user information, helmet ear cover materials, textile-based suspension system materials, and textile-based retention system materials that come in contact with the wearer's head or neck without a protective hood shall be evaluated for ease of cleaning as specified in 9.9.3, Contamination Removal Efficiency Tests.

5. Revise paragraphs 8.7.6, 8.7.7, and 8.7.8 to read as follows:

8.7.6 The glove body composite, including, but not limited to, trim, external labels, <u>external</u> <u>nonvisual/machine-readable tags</u>, and external tags, but excluding hardware, and excluding hook and pile fasteners that do not directly contact the wearer's body, shall be tested for resistance to flame as specified in <u>8.49.2.17</u>, Flame Resistance Test 3, and shall not have an average char length of more than 100 mm (4 in.), shall not have an average afterflame of more than 2.0 seconds, shall not melt or drip, and shall not have the amount of consumed materials exceed 5 percent.

8.7.7 The glove interface component composite, including, but not limited to, trim, external labels, external nonvisual/machine-readable tags, and external tags, but excluding hardware and hook and pile fasteners that do not directly contact the wearer's body, shall be tested for resistance to flame as specified in 9.49.2.17, Flame Resistance Test 3, and shall not have an average char length of more than 100 mm (4 in.), shall not have an average afterflame of more than 2.0 seconds, shall not melt or drip, and shall not have the amount of consumed materials exceed 5 percent.

8.7.8 The glove extension composite, including, but not limited to, trim, external labels, and external tags, external nonvisual/machine-readable tags, and external tags, but excluding hardware and hook and pile fasteners that do not directly contact the wearer's body, shall be tested for resistance to flame as specified in Section 9.2.17, Flame Resistance Test 4<u>Test 3</u>, and shall not have an average char length of more than 100 mm (4 in.), shall not have an average afterflame of more than 2.0 seconds, shall not melt or drip, and shall not have the amount of consumed materials exceed 5 percent.

6. Add new section 9.2.17 to read as follows:

9.2.17 Flame Resistance Test 3.

9.2.17.1 Application.

This test method shall apply to the protective glove body, glove interface components, and glove extension composites.

9.2.17.2 Samples.

9.2.17.2.1 Samples shall be prepared for each glove body composite, each glove interface component, and each glove extension composite.

9.2.17.2.2 Samples for conditioning other than wristlets shall be prepared pouches as described in 9.1.14.

9.2.17.2.3 Wristlet samples shall consist of wristlet material.

9.2.17.2.4 Samples other than wristlets shall be conditioned as specified in 9.1.12 followed by the conditioning specified in 9.1.3.

9.2.17.2.5 Wristlet samples shall be conditioned as specified in 9.1.2, followed by the conditioning specified in 9.1.3.

9.2.17.2.6 Separate samples shall be conditioned as specified in 9.1.3 only.

9.2.17.3 Specimens.

9.2.17.3.1 After conditioning, the necessary stitching shall be cut to form $50 \text{ mm} \times 150 \text{ mm} (2 \text{ in.} \times 6 \text{ in.})$ specimens for testing.

9.2.17.3.2 Three specimens shall be tested after the conditioning specified in 9.2.17.2.4 for other than wristlet samples or 9.2.17.2.5 for wristlet samples of.

9.2.17.3.3 Three additional specimens shall be tested after the conditioning specified in 9.2.17.2.6.

<u>9.2.17.4 Apparatus.</u>

9.2.17.4.1 The test apparatus specified in Method 5905.1, *Flame Resistance of Material; High Heat Flux Flame Contact*, of Federal Test Method Standard 191A, *Textile Test Methods*, shall be used.

9.2.17.4.2 A freestanding flame height indicator shall be used to assist in adjusting the burner flame height.

9.2.17.4.2.1 The flame height indicator shall mark a flame height of 75 mm (3 in.) above the top of the burner.

9.2.17.4.3 A specimen support assembly shall be used that consists of a frame and steel rod of 2 mm (1/16 in.) in diameter to support the specimen in an L-shaped position as shown in Figure 9.2.17.4.3.



Figure 9.2.17.4.3 Relationship of Test Material to Burner. [NOTE TO PROD: use G1970-15]

9.2.17.4.4 The horizontal portion of the specimen shall be not less than 50 mm (2 in.).

9.2.17.4.5 The vertical portion of the specimen shall be not less than 100 mm (4 in.).

9.2.17.4.6 The specimen shall be held at each end by spring clips under light tension as shown in Figure 9.2.17.4.3.

<u>9.2.17.5 Procedure.</u>

<u>9.2.17.5.1</u> A balance shall be used to determine the weight of each specimen to the nearest 0.1 g (0.04 oz) before and after testing.

9.2.17.5.2 The burner shall be ignited.

9.2.17.5.2.1 The test flame shall be adjusted to a height of 75 mm (3 in.) with the gas on/off valve fully open and the air supply completely and permanently off, as it is important that the flame height be closely controlled.

9.2.17.5.2.2 The 75 mm (3 in.) height shall be obtained by adjusting the orifice in the bottom of the burner so that the top of the flame is level with the marked flame height indicator.

9.2.17.5.3 With the specimen mounted in the support assembly, the burner shall be moved so

that the middle of the folded corner projects into the flame 38 mm (1^{1}_{2} in.) as shown in Figure 9.2.17.4.3.

9.2.17.5.4 The burner flame shall be applied to the specimen for 12 seconds.

9.2.17.5.4.1 After 12 seconds, the burner shall be removed.

9.2.17.5.5 The afterflame time shall be measured as the time, in seconds, to the nearest 0.2 second that the specimen continues to flame after the burner is removed from the flame.

9.2.17.5.6 Each layer of the specimen shall be examined for melting or dripping.

9.2.17.5.7 Each tested sample shall be reconditioned as specified in 9.1.3 and then weighed to the nearest 0.1 g (0.04 oz).

9.2.17.5.8 The specimen then shall be further examined for char length.

9.2.17.5.8.1 The char length shall be determined by measuring the length of the tear through the center of the charred area as specified in 9.2.17.5.8.2 through 9.2.17.5.8.7.

9.2.17.5.8.2 The specimen shall be folded lengthwise and creased, by hand, along a line through the highest peak of the charred area.

9.2.17.5.8.3 The hook shall be inserted into a hole punched in the specimen in accordance with the following:

(1) The hole shall be 6 mm (1/4 in.) in diameter or less.

(2) The hole shall be punched out for the hook at one side of the charred area that is 6 mm (1/4 in.) from the adjacent outside edge, at the point where the specimen contacted the steel rod, and 6 mm (1/4 in.) away from the point where the specimen contacted the steel rod in the 101 mm (4 in.) direction as shown in Figure 9.2.17.5.8.3(2).



Figure 9.2.17.5.8.3(2) Position of Hole and Side to Grasp for Determining Char Length.[NOTE TO PROD: use G1970-137]

9.2.17.5.8.4 A weight shall be attached to the hook so that the weight and hook together equal the total tearing weight required by Table 9.2.17.5.8.4 based on the weight of the composite specimen.

Table 9.2.17.5.8.4 Determination of Tearing Weight

Specified Weight per Square Yard of						
Material Before Any	Fire-Retardant	Total Tearing Weight for				
Treatment or	Coating	Determining Charred Length				
g/m ²	oz/yd²	kg	lb			
68–203	2.0-6.0	0.1	1/4			
>203-508	>6.0-15.0	0.2	1⁄2			
>508-780	>15.0-23.0	0.3	3⁄4			
>780	>23.0	0.45	1			

9.2.17.5.8.5 A tearing force shall be applied gently to the specimen by grasping the side of the material at the edge of the char opposite the load as shown in Figure 9.2.17.5.8.3(2) and

raising the specimen and weight clear of the supporting surface.

9.2.17.5.8.6 The end of the tear shall be marked off on the edge.

9.2.17.5.8.7 The char length measurement shall be made along the undamaged edge.

9.2.17.6 Report.

9.2.17.6.1 The afterflame time and char length shall be recorded and reported for each specimen.

9.2.17.6.1.1 The average afterflame time and char length shall be calculated, recorded, and reported.

9.2.17.6.1.2 The afterflame time shall be recorded and reported to the nearest 0.2 second.

9.2.17.6.1.3 The char length shall be recorded and reported to the nearest 2.5 mm (0.10 in.).

9.2.17.6.2 The percent consumed shall be calculated using the following formula:

Percent consumed =
$$\frac{W-R}{W} \times 100$$

[9.2.17.6.2] [NOTE TO PROD: use E1970-1]

where:

W = original conditioned weight

R = conditioned weight 24 hours after testing

9.2.17.6.2.1 The percent consumed shall be recorded and reported for each specimen to the nearest 0.1 percent.

9.2.17.6.2.2 The average percent consumed shall be calculated, recorded, and reported to the nearest 0.1 percent.

9.2.17.6.3 Observations of melting or dripping for each specimen shall be recorded and reported.

9.2.17.7 Interpretation. Pass or fail performance shall be based on melting or dripping, the average afterflame time, and the average char length.

7. Revise 2.3.5, delete section 9.2.17 in its entirety, revise Table A.9.1(c) and Table A.9.1(h), and revise G.3.4.5:

2.3.5 ASTM Publications.

•••

ASTM D1835, Standard Specification for Liquified Petroleum (LP) Gases, 2020.

9.2.17 Small-Scale Flash Fire Exposure Test

[Delete this entire test method including Figure 9.2.17.4.1, Figure 9.2.17.4.1.5(A), and Figure 9.2.17.4.4.1]

Table A.9.1(c) Testing of Structural Firefighting Gloves

			Test Material or Component		Test Conditioning						
	Section	Whole	Glove	Glove	Glove	Glove		Room		Convectiv	e
Test	Number	Glove	Composite	Lining	Gauntlet	Wristlet	Thread Hardware	Temp ^a	Washing/Drying ^b	Heat ^c	Wet ^d Flex ^e
Flame resistance test 3	9.2.17	X						х	X		

Table A.9.1(h) Testing of Proximity Firefighting Gloves

			Test Material or Component		Test Conditioning						
Test	Section Number	Whole Glove	Glove Composite	Glove Lining	Glove Gauntlet	Glove Wristlet	Thread Hardware	Room Temp ^a	Washing/Drying ^b	Convective Heat ^c	Wet ^d Flex ^e
Flame resistance test 3	9.2.17	Х						х	X		

G.3.4.5 Procedure. Testing should follow the procedures identified in ASTM F2370, *Standard Test Method for Measuring the Evaporative Resistance of Clothing Using a Sweating Manikin*, with the following modifications:

(1) Instead of a full-sized manikin, only use the hand manikin specified in 9.2.17.4.

 $(\underline{12})$ Use a 1 m/s wind flow to measure the evaporative resistance.

 $(\underline{23})$ The calculation for the parallel evaporative resistance value for the hand should only include the fingers, thumb, palm, and back. The wrist area should not be included in the calculation.

 $(\underline{34})$ When a specimen does not cover the entire wrist, this area should be covered to limit evaporation and excessive heating in that area of the hand.

(<u>45</u>) If steady state values cannot be achieved within a 21/2 hour period and evaporative resistance values are higher than 300 Pa \cdot m²/W, the glove can be labeled with a greater than 300 Pa \cdot m²/W evaporative resistance value.

8. Revise paragraph 9.1.5 to read as follows:

9.1.5 Convective Heat Conditioning Procedure for Helmets, Faceshield/Goggle Components, Gloves, Footwear, Moisture Barriers, Moisture Barrier Seams, Labels, Particulate Blocking Layer(s), and Trim, and Outer Shells. Samples shall be conditioned by exposing them to the procedures specified in 9.2.4.4 and 9.2.4.5.2 through 9.2.4.5.3, with the following modifications:

(1) The oven temperature shall be stabilized at 140° C, $+6/-0^{\circ}$ C (285°F, $+10^{\circ}/-0^{\circ}$ F), for helmets, footwear, moisture barriers, moisture barrier seams, labels, particulate blocking

layer(s), trim, and outer shells for testing in accordance with 9.1.12, and the test exposure time shall be 10 minutes, +15/-0 seconds-, for the following:

(a) Helmets (b) Footwear (c) Moisture barriers (d) Moisture barrier seams (e) Labels (f) Particulate blocking layers (g) Trim (h) Outer shells for conditioning in accordance with 9.1.21

9. Revise paragraph 8.13.11 to read as follows:

8.13.11 8.14.4 Where the hood manufacturer is required to report the contaminant removal efficiency for semivolatile organic compounds and heavy metals in the user information, Hhood composites shall be evaluated for ease of cleaning as specified in Section 9.9.3, Contamination Removal Efficiency Tests, where the hood manufacturer is required to report the contaminant removal efficiency for semivolatile organic compounds and heavy metals in the user information.

10. Revise Table 9.1.12.3(a), and paragraph 9.1.12.5 to read as follows:

9.1.12.3 The wash cycle procedure and water levels specified in Table 9.1.12.3(a) and Table 9.1.12.3(b) shall be followed. In addition, the g force shall not exceed 125 g throughout the wash cycle.

-	-	<u>Temp</u>	erature	-
Operation	<u>Time</u> (min)	<u>±3°C</u>	<u>±5°F</u>	<u>Water</u> Level
Suds using AATCC detergent #1993 without optical brighteners, 1.0 g/gal ±1% water	10	60<u>49</u>	140<u>120</u>	Low*
Drain	1			
Carryover	5	<u>6049</u>	<u>140120</u>	Low*
Drain	1			
Rinse	2	Unheated38	Unheated 100	High*
Drain	1			
Rinse	2	Unheated38	Unheated 100	High*
Drain	1			
Rinse	2	Unheated38	Unheated 100	High*
Drain	1		_	
Extract	5			

Table 9.1.12.3(a) Front-Loading Wash Cycle

*See Table 9.1.2.3(b) for high and low water levels.

Table 9.1.12.3(b) Water Level for Front-Loading Wash Cycle Procedure

Low Water Level ±	=1 cm (3/18 in.)	High Water Level ±1 cm (3/18 in.)			
cm	in.	cm	in.		
12.7	5.0	25.4	10.0		

9.1.12.5 Samples shall be tumbled <u>until dry for 60 minutes</u> and shall be removed immediately at the end of the drying cycle. At the conclusion of the final drying cycle, the <u>garment</u> samples shall be allowed to air dry for at least 48 hours prior to conducting the test and the use of a forced-air dryer operated at ambient temperature, $-0^\circ + 5^\circ C$ ($-0^\circ + 10^\circ F$) shall be permitted.

11. Revise paragraph 9.1.18.4 and add new associated Annex A.9.1.18.4 and new Figures A.9.1.18.4(a) and (b) to read as follows:

9.1.18.4 The mandrels shall be spaced at a distance of $235204 \text{ mm} \pm 6 \text{ mm} (9.1/48 \text{ in.} \pm 1/4 \text{ in.})$ in the starting position and 8350 mm (3.1/42 in.) at the closed position when measured from the back sample holding area of each mandrel.

A.9.1.18.4 The mandrel spacing should be measured according to Figure A.9.1.18.4(a) and Figure A.9.1.18.4(b).

Figure A.9.1.18.4(a) Mandrel Starting Position. [NOTE TO PROD: use G1970-XX]



Figure A.9.1.18.4(b) Mandrel Closed Position. [NOTE TO PROD: use G1970-XX]



12. Revise paragraph 9.1.21.2 to read as follows:

9.1.21.2 <u>CompositeOuter shell</u> samples shall be subject to the various conditions in the following order:

(1) Outer shell samples shall be laundered a total of 20 laundering cycles as specified in 9.1.129.1.2.

(2) Outer shell samples shall be subjected to convective heat conditioning procedures as specified in 9.1.5.

(3) Outer shell samples shall be conditioned for a minimum of 4 hours as specified in 9.1.3. (3)(4) Composite Outer shell samples shall be subject to repeated flexing for 3000 cycles as specified in 9.1.3 9.1.18.

(4)(5) Outer shell specimens for testing shall be taken so that one warp and one fill specimen is cut from the center of the conditioned samples.

13. Delete paragraph 9.2.4.4.1 as follows:

9.2.4.4.1 The specific holder for footwear shall provide the following mounting and positioning of the specimen:

(1) The toe shall be at an angle of 7.5 degrees \pm 2.5 degrees, above the heel.

(2) The height of the lowest edge of the specimen shall be $305 \text{ mm} \pm 25 \text{ mm} (12 \text{ in.} \pm 1 \text{ in.})$ from the surface of the water and n-heptane fluid as measured before ignition.

(3) The heel-toe axis of the specimen shall be parallel with the 457 mm (18 in.) side of the fuel pan.

14. Revise paragraph 9.2.4.8 heading only to read as follows:

9.2.4.8 Specific Requirements for Testing Garment Outer Shell, Moisture Barrier, Thermal Liner, Winter Liner Materials, Helmet Ear Cover, Helmet Shrouds, Helmet Covers, and Glove Lining Materials.

15. Revise 9.2.4.9.1.2 to read as follows:

9.2.4.9.1.2 Where samples are prepared for evaluating the moisture barrier material only, marks shall be placed on the moisture barrier at 305250 mm (1210 in.) intervals on the moisture barrier layer for the post-oven exposure assessment of moisture barrier shrinkage.

16. Revise 9.2.4.14.13 to read as follows:

9.2.4.14.13 After flexing, the footwear specimen shall be marked with a water height line on the exterior at a height of 75 mm (3 in.) below the height of the boot as defined in 7.10.3.1 but no lower than 225 mm (8.86 in.) 25 mm (1 in.) lower than the minimum height for the size of the footwear specimen being tested where measured up from the center of the insole at the heel as specified in 9.1.22.

17. Revise 9.2.7.7.2 to read as follows:

9.2.7.7.2 For glove body composites, specimens for conditioning shall be in the form of a pouch as described in 9.1.14 9.1.15.

18. Revise 9.2.15.9.4 and 9.2.15.9.5 to read as follows:

9.2.15.9.4 One set of specimens shall be preconditioned by uniformly applying $3.4 \text{ grams} \pm 0.2 \text{ grams}$ of water to the innermost layer of the composite and be tested within 2 minutes after preconditioning. as specified in 9.1.9 with the following modifications:

(1) The volume of water applied shall be 3.4 grams \pm 0.2 grams.

(2) The water shall be applied to the innermost layer of the composite and be tested within 2 minutes after preconditioning.

9.2.15.9.4.1 A second set of specimens shall be tested in a dry condition.

9.2.15.9.5 Transmitted and stored thermal energy testing shall be conducted in accordance with Procedure B of ASTM F2731, *Standard Test Method for Measuring the Transmitted and Stored Thermal Energy of Firefighter Protective Clothing Systems*, with the following modifications:

(1) <u>The upper mounting plate shall be replaced with an alternate upper mounting plate whose thickness shall not exceed 3 mm (1/8 in.). Alternate methods meeting the minimum thickness requirements shall be permitted to be used to achieve specimen mounting. Testing shall be conducted without the use of the 6 mm (1/4 in.) spacer.</u>

(2) The exposure time shall be for a period of 120 seconds, + 1/- 0 seconds, or until a time to predicted second-degree burn is achieved up to 240 seconds. No compression period shall be used for this testing.

19. Add new 7.1.15 and 7.1.16 and delete 9.2.10.2.1.1 and 9.2.10.2.1.2 to read as follows:
 7.1.15 The shoulder areas shall consist of reinforcement composite meeting the requirements of 8.1.9.

7.1.15.1 The composite shall be at least 100 mm (4 in.) wide on the crown of each shoulder as defined in 7.1.15.3.

7.1.15.2 The composite shall extend down from the crown, as defined in 7.1.15.3, on both the front and back of the garment by at least 50 mm (2 in.).

7.1.15.3 The crown of the shoulder shall be the uppermost line of the shoulder when the garment is lying flat on an inspection surface with all closures fastened.

7.1.16 The knee areas shall consist of reinforcement composite meeting the requirements of 8.1.9.

7.1.16.1 The composite shall measure at least $150 \text{ mm} \times 150 \text{ mm}$ (6 in. \times 6 in.).

9.2.10.2.1.1 Samples of garment shoulder areas shall be representative of the area in the actual garment that measures at least 100 mm (4 in.) along the crown of the shoulder and extending down from the crown on both the front and back of the garment at least 50 mm (2 in.). The crown of the shoulder shall be the uppermost line of the shoulder when the garment is lying flat on an inspection surface with all closures fastened.

9.2.10.2.1.2 Samples of garment knee areas shall be representative of the knee area in the actual garment that measures at least 150 mm × 150 mm (6 in. × 6 in.).

20. Revise 9.3.1.2.4 to read as follows:

9.3.1.2.4 Separate outer shell samples shall be tested after being conditioned as specified in <u>9.1.22 9.1.21</u>.

21. Revise 9.3.8.4.1, 9.3.9.4.1, A.9.3.9.4.2, Figure A.9.3.9.4.2, and A.9.3.9.5.4 to read as follows:
9.3.8.4.1* The shell retention test fixtures shall consist of rigid material of sufficient thickness to facilitate firm attachment of the helmet shell while attached to <u>a the chinstrap</u> tensile testing machine-specified in 9.3.10.4.1.

9.3.9.4.1 The chin strap elongation test fixture shall consist of rigid material to facilitate firm attachment of the helmet assembly while attached to <u>a the chinstrap</u> tensile testing machine specified in <u>9.3.8.4.1</u> <u>9.3.10.4.1</u>.

A.9.3.9.4.2 Figure A.9.3.9.4.2 represents an example of an appropriate retention test fixture. Other appropriate test fixtures might be used.

Figure A.9.3.9.4.2 Retention Test Fixture. [NOTE TO PROD: use G1970-XX]



A.9.3.9.5.4 The retention system test is measuring vertical movement. When applying the load, the helmet could shift from its original horizontal plane position. If this occurs, the helmet should be secured in such a manner that the horizontal plane position is maintained, but the vertical movement is not influenced. For example, this could be accomplished with a securing mechanism for the brim that moves vertically with the helmet.

22. Revise 2.3.5 and 9.3.19.4.1 to read as follows: 2.3.5 ASTM Publications.

.3.5 ASTM Publ

ASTM D5034, Standard Test Method for Breaking Strength and Elongation of Textile Fabrics (Grab Test), 2021.

ASTM D5035, *Standard Test Method for Breaking Force and Elongation of Textile Fabrics (Strip Method)*, 2011, reapproved 2019.

•••

9.3.19.4.1 Fastener tape breaking strength shall be measured in accordance with ASTM D5034 D5035, Standard Test Method for Breaking <u>StrengthForce</u> and Elongation of Textile Fabrics (<u>Grab TestStrip Method</u>), with the following modifications:

- (1) Specimens shall be tested in the provided width only in lieu of the specified 100 mm (3.9 in.) width.
- (2) Only specimens parallel to the length of the tape shall be tested.
- 23. Revise paragraph 9.4.2.4.1 to read as follows:

9.4.2.4.1 Specimens shall be tested at 172 kPa (25 psi) in accordance with ASTM D751, *Standard Test <u>Method Methods</u> for Coated Fabrics*, <u>Hydrostatic Resistance</u>, Procedure A — <u>Mullen Type Tester</u>.

24. Revise paragraph 9.7.2.2 to read as follows:

9.7.2.2 Samples. Samples shall be conditioned <u>as specified in 9.1.3 at a temperature of $35^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$ and a relative humidity of 40 percent ± 5 percent for at least 4 hours.</u>

25. Revise paragraphs 8.5.4 and 8.6.10, and revise 9.8.2 (section title only) to read as follows:
8.5.4 Faceshield/goggle component lenses shall be tested for transmittance of light as specified in Section 9.8.2, Faceshield/Goggle Component Lens Trim Luminous (Visible) Transmittance Test, and shall have clear lenses transmit a minimum of 85 percent of the

incident visible radiation, and shall have colored lenses transmit a minimum of 43 percent of the incident visible radiation.

8.6.10 Helmet faceshield component lenses shall be tested for transmittance of light as specified in Section 9.8.2, Faceshield/Goggle Component Lens Trim Luminous (Visible) Transmittance Test, and shall transmit not less than 30 percent of the incident visible radiation.

9.8.2 <u>Faceshield/Goggle Component Lens</u> Trim Luminous (Visible) Transmittance Test.

26. Revise paragraph 9.8.11.4.3.3 to read as follows:

9.8.11.4.3.3* The SCBA facepiece shall be sized to fit the test technician and be obscured according to the following:

- (1) An adhesive vinyl shall be adhered to the external side of the viewing area of the facepiece.
- (2) The vinyl shall be hazed so that there is a maximum of 71 percent blackout and a minimum of 50 percent blackout.
- (3) The vinyl shall cover enough of the viewing area so that the test technician cannot perform the test without looking through the blackout area.

27. Revise paragraph 9.8.11.4.4 to read as follows:

9.8.11.4.4 One particulate-blocking hood <u>certified as compliant with this standard</u> shall be provided.

28. Revise paragraph 9.8.12.4.1.1 to read as follows:

9.8.12.4.1.1 Specimens shall be subjected to ten cycles of laundering and drying using Machine Cycle 1, Wash Temperature V, and Drying Procedure Ai of AATCC <u>LP1 TM 135</u>, <u>Home Laundering: Machine Washing Test Method for Dimensional Changes of Fabrics after Home Laundering</u>.

29. Revise paragraphs 9.8.12.11.1 through 9.8.12.11.6 to read as follows:

9.8.12.11 Specific Requirements for Testing Nonvisual/Machine-Readable Tags. 9.8.12.11.1 <u>Garment, hood, and glove n</u>Nonvisual/machine-readable tags shall be tested to 9.8.12.4.1 and 9.8.12.4.3 only.

9.8.12.11.1.1 Footwear nonvisual/machine-readable tags shall be tested to 9.8.12.4.3 only. **9.8.12.11.2** For testing after laundering, garment specimens shall include tags attached to a 1 m^2 (1 yd²) square of ballast material no closer than 51 mm (2 in.) apart in parallel strips. The ballast material shall be a material that meets the outer shell requirements of this standard. **9.8.12.11.2.1** For testing after laundering, hood specimens shall include complete hoods with

9.8.12.11.2.1 For testing after laundering, hood specimens shall include complete hoods with tags attached.

9.8.12.11.2.2 For testing after laundering, glove specimens shall include complete gloves with tags attached.

(A) For the drying cycles of the laundering durability test specified in 9.8.12.4.1.1, gloves shall be tumble dried for 60 minutes and removed immediately at the end of the drying cycle. (B) At the conclusion of the final drying cycle, the gloves shall be direct dried on a forced-air, non-tumble-drying mechanism operated at $10^{\circ}C \pm 5^{\circ}C$ ($18^{\circ}F \pm 9^{\circ}F$) above current room temperature for at least 8 hours and until dry.

9.8.12.11.3 For testing after convective heat exposure, <u>garment</u> specimens shall include tags attached to a separate 380 mm \pm 13 mm (15 in. \pm 1/2 in.) square of material that meets the

outer shell requirements of this standard.

9.8.12.11.3.1 For testing after convective heat exposure, hood specimens shall include tags attached to a separate 380 mm \pm 13 mm (15 in. \pm 1/2 in.) square of hood material that meets the hood material requirements of this standard.

9.8.12.11.3.2 For testing after convective heat exposure, glove specimens shall include complete gloves with tags attached.

9.8.12.11.3.3 For testing after convective heat exposure, footwear specimens shall include complete footwear items with tags attached or representative sections of the footwear with tags attached.

9.8.12.11.4 Sample conditioning shall be the same conditioning as specified for the respective tests.

9.8.12.11.5 <u>Garment, hood, and glove s</u> pecimens shall be tested separately for functionality after laundering and heat durability tests as specified in 9.8.12.4.1 and 9.8.12.4.3, respectively.

9.8.12.11.5.1 Footwear specimens shall be tested separately for functionality after the heat durability test as specified in 9.8.12.4.3 only.

9.8.12.11.6 Specimens shall be tested for functionality within 15 minutes of removal of the respective conditioning.

30. Revise paragraphs 9.8.1.4.1, 9.9.3.2, and 9.11.2.3 to read as follows:

9.9.1.4.1 Specimens shall be tested using five cycles of the laundering procedure in 9.1.29.1.12.

9.9.3.2 Sample Preparation. Garment, helmet, and hood samples shall be subject to five cycles of laundering conditioning as specified in 9.1.29.1.12.

9.11.2.3 Moisture barrier material and moisture barrier seam specimens shall be tested after being twice subjected to the following conditioning process:

(1) Specimens shall be subjected to the procedure specified in 9.1.29.1.12.

(2) Specimens shall be conditioned as specified in 9.1.3.

(3) Specimens shall be conditioned as specified in 9.1.5.

(4) Specimens shall be conditioned at a temperature of $21^{\circ}C \pm 3^{\circ}C$ ($70^{\circ}F \pm 5^{\circ}F$) and at a relative humidity of 65 percent ± 5 percent for at least 4 hours.

31. Revise paragraph 9.11.2.2 to read as follows:

9.11.2.2 A minimum of six samples shall be prepared for each moisture barrier material and a minimum of three six samples for each moisture barrier seam shall be prepared.

32. Revise Annex A.9.4.3.4.2 to read as follows:

A.9.4.3.4.2 The list of common fireground chemicals is intended to provide a number of substances to which firefighters might be exposed during ordinary fireground and other emergency operations. It is not intended to be an all-inclusive list of hazardous liquids to which firefighters might be exposed while wearing protective clothing.

-In 2015, the list was reviewed by a task group of the NFPA Technical Committee on Structural and Proximity Fire Fighting Protective Clothing and Equipment. The investigation involved a review of information indicating differences in the effect of hydraulic fluid on moisture barrier materials; an examination of the types of hydraulic fluids used throughout industry, including those in fire service tools; and a detailed survey with more than 1500 responses that addressed fire service member exposure to different liquids on the fireground, including hydraulic fluid. These findings, including the survey results, are captured in supplemental material that was made available to NFPA. As a result of this investigation, it was concluded that different types of fire-resistant hydraulic fluid are still in use throughout a variety of industries, and that some of these hydraulic fluids contain low molecular weight phosphate esters. It was further concluded that most gasoline contains ethanol, and that antifreeze fluid, which predominantly contains ethylene glycol, is frequently encountered and should be added to the list of the original five fireground liquid chemicals.

The list of chemicals is used in the evaluation of the liquid penetration resistance of moisture barriers provided in structural and proximity firefighting protective ensemble elements with the objective that moisture barrier materials and seams should not allow the penetration of these liquids through the element onto the firefighter's skin. This penetration might occur as the result of the liquid causing degradation of the moisture barrier material or seam. In some cases, such as in glove and footwear elements, it might not be possible to inspect the moisture barrier.

33. Revise paragraph 9.9.3.4 to read as follows:

9.9.3.4 Procedure. Specimens shall be evaluated for cleaning efficiency in removing semivolatile organic compounds and for cleaning efficiency in removing heavy metals in accordance with Sections 12.6 and 12.7, respectively, of NFPA 1851 with the following modifications:

(1) For garment and helmet material specimens, the subject material shall be contaminated on the normal exterior side of the respective material.

(2) For hood composites, the subject composite specimen shall be contaminated on the exterior side of the composite of the whole hood specimen.

(3) For garment materials, specimens shall be placed in the surrogate garment for carrying out advanced cleaning <u>as specified in Section 12.9 of NFPA 1851</u>.

(4) Ballast material <u>as specified in Section 12.4.4.3 of NFPA 1851</u> shall conform only to Panel F specifications that measure 229 mm × 750 mm (9 in. × 29.5 in.)

(5) For helmet materials and hood composite specimens, specimens shall be attached by a safety pin or similar device to individual ballast material panels.

(6) Garment outer shell, garment moisture barrier, garment thermal barrier, hood composite, and helmet specimens shall be subject to a single advanced cleaning using the washer extractor formulation specified in A.7.3.9(5)(a) of NFPA 1851, with 3.8 L (1.0 gal) AATCC liquid detergent per 3.8 L (1.0 gal) of wash water. one cycle of washing and drying as specified in 9.1.12 with the following modifications:

(a) If ballast is needed to reach load capacity, ballast as specified in 12.4.4.3 of NFPA 1851 shall be used.

(b) High efficiency (HE) standard reference liquid detergent without optical brighteners at a ratio of 38 mL per $3.8 \text{ L} \pm 1$ percent of water shall be used.

(c) Air drying and forced-air drying as specified in 9.1.12.5 shall not be permitted.

(d) Specimens shall be washed and dried for a total of one cycle.

(7) Garment moisture barrier, garment thermal barrier, and hood composite specimens shall be subject to a single advanced cleaning using washer extractor formulation specified in A.7.3.9(5)(b) of NFPA 1851, with 3.8 L (1.0 gal) AATCC liquid detergent per 3.8 L (1.0 gal) of wash water.

34. Revise paragraphs 9.1.18.2, 9.2.4.3.3, 9.2.7.3.2, 9.2.7.3.3, 9.2.10.3.2, 9.2.14.3.5, 9.2.14.3.6, 9.2.15.8.2, 9.2.15.8.3, 9.2.16.3.3, 9.2.16.3.4, 9.7.1.3.3, and 9.7.1.3.4 to read as follows:
9.1.18.2 Samples shall be flexed within 4 hours of removal of the conditions specified in

<u>9.1.21.2(3)</u> at $21^{\circ}C \pm 3^{\circ}C$ (70°F $\pm 5^{\circ}F$) and a relative humidity of 65 percent ± 5 percent.

9.2.4.3.3 The unit area weight of materials specified in 9.2.4.3.2 shall be measured in accordance with the method in ASTM D3776/D3776M, *Standard Test Methods for Mass Per Unit Area (Weight) of Fabric*, within 4 hours of removal from conditioning as described in ASTM D1776/D1776M, *Standard Practice for Conditioning and Testing Textiles*.

9.2.7.3.2 The thickness of each specimen shall be measured in accordance with ASTM D1777, *Standard Test Method for Thickness of Textile Materials*, within 4 hours of removal from conditioning as described in ASTM D1776/D1776M, *Standard Practice for Conditioning and Testing Textiles*.

9.2.7.3.3 The weight of each specimen shall be measured in accordance with the method in ASTM D3776/D3776M, *Standard Test Methods for Mass Per Unit Area (Weight) of Fabric*, within 4 hours of removal from conditioning as described in ASTM D1776 /D1776M, *Standard Practice for Conditioning and Testing Textiles*.

9.2.10.3.2 The thickness of each specimen shall be measured in accordance with ASTM D1777, *Standard Test Method for Thickness of Textile Materials*, within 4 hours of removal from conditioning as described in ASTM D1776 /D1776M, *Standard Practice for Conditioning and Testing Textiles*.

9.2.14.3.5 The thickness of each specimen shall be measured in accordance with ASTM D1777, *Standard Test Method for Thickness of Textile Materials*, within 4 hours of removal from conditioning as described in ASTM D1776 /D1776M, *Standard Practice for Conditioning and Testing Textiles*.

9.2.14.3.6 The weight of each specimen shall be measured in accordance with the method in ASTM D3776/D3776M, *Standard Test Methods for Mass Per Unit Area (Weight) of Fabric*, within 4 hours of removal from conditioning as described in ASTM D1776 /D1776M, *Standard Practice for Conditioning and Testing Textiles*.

9.2.15.8.2 The thickness of each specimen shall be measured in accordance with ASTM D1777, *Standard Test Method for Thickness of Textile Materials*, within 4 hours of removal from conditioning as described in ASTM D1776 /D1776M, *Standard Practice for Conditioning and Testing Textiles*, and provided in the report.

9.2.15.8.3 The weight of each specimen shall be measured in accordance with the method in ASTM D3776/D3776M, *Standard Test Methods for Mass Per Unit Area (Weight) of Fabric*, within 4 hours of removal from conditioning as described in ASTM D1776 /D1776M, *Standard Practice for Conditioning and Testing Textiles*, and provided in the report.

9.2.16.3.3 The thickness of each specimen shall be measured in accordance with ASTM D1777, *Standard Test Method for Thickness of Textile Materials*, within 4 hours of removal from conditioning as described in ASTM D1776 /D1776M, *Standard Practice for Conditioning and Testing Textiles*.

9.2.16.3.4 The weight of each specimen shall be measured in accordance with the method in ASTM D3776/D3776M, *Standard Test Methods for Mass Per Unit Area (Weight) of Fabric*, within 4 hours of removal from conditioning as described in ASTM D1776 /D1776M, *Standard Practice for Conditioning and Testing Textiles*.

9.7.1.3.3 The thickness of each specimen shall be measured in accordance with ASTM D1777, *Standard Test Method for Thickness of Textile Materials*, within 4 hours of removal from conditioning as described in ASTM D1776 /D1776M, *Standard Practice for*

Conditioning and Testing Textiles.

9.7.1.3.4 The weight of each specimen shall be measured in accordance with the method in ASTM D3776/D3776M, *Standard Test Methods for Mass Per Unit Area (Weight) of Fabric*, within 4 hours of removal from conditioning as described in ASTM D1776 /D1776M, *Standard Practice for Conditioning and Testing Textiles*.

Substantiation:

Delete 8.1.27 and insert new 8.2.8 – Revising the text in the contamination removal test that is referenced in 8.1.27. During the second draft, the language was modified to indicate the incorrect test method. In addition, the performance requirement for garment materials is being moved to Section 8.2 to indicate necessary testing on the structural materials only as this test has never been performed using proximity materials and the ability to test those materials has not been established or validated by any labs.

Delete 8.4.17 and insert new 8.5.11 – Moving 8.4.17 section: This test reference is in the performance requirement section that covers both structural and proximity firefighting helmets (8.4). The statement only references helmet ear covers and should therefore be moved to the performance requirement section that covers structural firefighting helmets only (8.5). This TIA moves this test reference to 8.5.

Adding textile-based for helmets: This TIA also adds the clarification of textile-based suspension and retention system materials. The intention of this requirement and the capability of this testing is for textile-based materials. This clarification will eliminate the evaluation of foam, plastic, etc. components that cannot be evaluated with this testing and were not intended for evaluation. The use of the wording "textile-based" is aligned with the wording in 7.4.9, Acceptable Levels of Restricted Substances for helmet materials.

Revise 8.7.6, 8.7.7, 8.7.8, Table A.9.1(c), Table A.9.1(h), and G.3.4.5, insert new 9.2.3, and delete 9.2.17 – Correcting test method section number and name: SR-13 contained language to change the glove body flame performance requirement in 8.7.6 from specifying test method 9.4 Flame Resistance Test 3 to 9.2.17 Small-Scale Flash Fire Exposure Test. SR-13 did not pass ballot. As a result, 8.7.6 reverted back to the language in the 2018 edition. At the same time, SR-82 removed 9.4 Flame Resistance Test 3. SR-82 did pass ballot. Therefore, 8.7.6 references a test method that is no longer in the revised draft standard.

This TIA changes the referenced test method section and name for all three glove flame performance requirements (8.7.6 - 8.7.8) to 9.2.17 Flame Resistance Test 3.

Additionally, this TIA is being submitted to add Flame Resistance Test 3 back into the new edition under section number 9.2.17. The language in this TIA is the same language for Flame Resistance Test 3 from the 2018 edition except for updated section number references to align with the new edition, plus clarification language regarding char length determination that was approved under FR-90.

The existing 9.2.17 Small-Scale Flash Fire Exposure Test is deleted due to there being no performance requirements utilizing this test method.

Tables A.9.1(c) and A.9.1(h) are updated in accordance with these changes.

List item (1) in G.3.4.5 is deleted because the referenced section will be deleted and the modification is unnecessary since the handform is specified in G.3.4.4.

Finally, external nonvisual/machine-readable tags are added to 8.7.6. FR-89 included the addition of external nonvisual/machine-readable tags for glove body flame resistance evaluation but failed ballot. When SR-13 did not pass ballot, the requirement for external nonvisual/machine-readable tags was again lost. This does not appear to have been the intention based on the statements from the negative voters.

Revise 9.1.5 – Editorial corrections to indicate the correct reference to 9.1.21 multi-environment conditioning for outer shells instead of 9.1.12 laundering. Also indicating this applies to a conditioning procedure instead of a testing procedure. Also reformatted text for clarity of the products that apply to this section.

Revise 8.13.11 – Rearranged language so that testing does not appear to be compulsory. Testing is only required if data is requested (by an AHJ, for example) to be reported in the User Information. Also moved this to Section 8.14 to apply to the structural hoods only since this aligns the requirements with the garments.

Revise Table 9.1.12.3(a), 9.1.12.5, and 9.1.12.6 – During the first draft meeting, section 9.1.12 was updated to reflect wash temperatures and cycles that were supposed to align the preconditioning more closely with what actually happens during product use. During the second revision, the committee reverted that decision and moved this wash method back to only being used on gloves. As a result, the wash procedure should have been reverted as well. These revisions change the conditioning requirements back to those that were in the 2018 edition of NFPA 1971.

Revise 9.1.18.4 – Updating the mandrels to align with current equipment used in the lab as well as what is required in order to test the specimens as outlined in the tear resistance test method. Also adding a description and annex that includes figures for where to take the measurements for clarity.

Revise 9.1.21.2 – Samples updated to reflect outer shells instead of composites, since the sample being tested under this conditioning is outer shells and not composites. Adding correction to reference for flexing. Also adding period for which samples need to be conditioned after heat exposure and when they are flexed. Since the samples are heated when they are removed from the oven, it is impossible to do flexing immediately. In order to add consistency to the procedure, adding a minimum of 4-hour conditioning between steps will ensure the samples are brought back to room temperature before flexing occurs.

This TIA changes the cross-reference from 9.1.12 (front-load wash) to 9.1.2 (top-load wash) in 9.1.12.2(1) due to the changes substantiated in SR-31.

Delete 9.2.4.4.1 – During the editing process related to FR-71 and/or SR-21, both for footwear Flame Resistance Test 4, language from this flame test was inadvertently added to the apparatus section of 9.2.4 Heat and Thermal Shrinkage Resistance Test. This language does not apply. This TIA removes this language.

Revise 9.2.4.8 [section title only] – This section no longer relates to moisture barriers.

Revise 9.2.4.9.1.2 – This test method refers to ASTM F2894, which then refers to AATCC 135 for marking specimens for shrinkage. The benchmarks referenced in AATCC 135 are 10-inch benchmarks and not 12-inch.

Revise 9.2.4.14.13 – Text was added to this section under FR-97 that referred to a height per footwear size methodology that was added to 7.10.3 under FR-95. However, the height per footwear size methodology was removed under SR-160 and 7.10.3 reverted to requiring one height for all footwear sizes. The change in text under this TIA removes the reference to a height per size methodology that is no longer in the standard and instead inserts the specific water line height that applies (25 mm below the minimum footwear height of 250 mm). This TIA does not change the way the waterline is determined.

Revise 9.2.7.7.2 - 9.1.14 is Glove Pouch 1 and does not include a barrier seam. 9.1.15 is Glove Pouch 2 and does include a barrier seam and also references a standardized composite. 9.2.7.7.2 (Conductive Heat Resistance Test 1) should reference 9.1.14 as the barrier seam should not be present in this test and the actual composite used in the finished construction is what is being tested.

Revise 9.2.15.9.4 through 9.2.15.9.5 – A standardized wetting procedure already exists. Referenced 9.1.9 Wet Conditioning Procedure 2 for Glove Composites, with modifications. The reference to a "spacer" implies a reference to the 6.4 mm thick upper mounting plate as defined in ASTM F2731. Removal of this mounting plate removes the means of holding the specimen in place. Methods of holding the specimen in place are proposed, with a minimum thickness and tolerance.

Insert new 7.1.15 and 7.1.16 and delete 9.2.10.2.1.1 and 9.2.10.2.1.2 – The design requirements related to reinforcement composites is buried within the test method instead of in the design requirements where it should be. This change moves that already existing requirement into the design chapter. This is an editorial move only as the requirement was already present.

Revise 9.3.1.2.4 – Correcting reference. 9.1.22 refers to footwear conditioning, however this should be 9.1.21.

Revise 9.3.8.4.1, 9.3.9.4.1, A.9.3.9.4.2, and A.9.3.9.5.4 – The Helmet Retention System Test (9.3.8) was changed under FR-74 to include aligning the test fixture with the test fixture specified in the Helmet Shell Retention Test (9.3.9). However, 9.3.8.4.1 still contains a reference to the test fixture in 9.3.10. This was an editorial error in previous editions and was not caught during the changes made in FR-74. For the text fixture specified in 9.3.9 Helmet Retention System Test to be correct, the test fixture specified in 9.3.8 Helmet Shell Retention Test also has to be correct.

In A.9.3.9.4.2, replacing the figure of the test set up from the 2018 edition with the test set up under FR-74. This replacement figure was proposed in the original public input (No. 269) but inadvertently left out of FR-74.

The last statement in A.9.3.9.5.4 no longer applies with the test fixture changes made under FR-74.

Revise 2.3.5 and 9.3.19.4.1 – The reference to ASTM D5034 is inappropriate considering the revision to A-A-55126C. A-A-55126C references ASTM D5035, which specifies a grip width of at least 10 mm wider than the specimen. ASTM D5034 specifies 1x1 or a 1x2 inch grips. Specimens are to be tested in the provided width per 9.3.19.4.1. A-A-55126C specifies requirements for materials up to 4 inches in width.

ASTM D5034 does not accommodate materials wider than 1 inch. ASTM D5035 is appropriate for all materials, including those exceeding 1 inch in width.

Revise 9.4.2.4.1 – During the update under SR-36, the test method was updated to show the correct title for ASTM D751. However, there are over 30 methods within ASTM D751 and therefore this revision is being added to be clear about which part of ASTM D751 applies.

Revise 9.7.2.2 – Updating conditioning to be consistent with testing as it is performed in other PPE standards, and within this document for other tests.

Revise 8.5.4, 8.6.10, and 9.8.2 [section title only] – During editing of the second draft, the incorrect term "Trim" was used, but this test method applies to Faceshield/Goggle Component Lenses. This TIA replaces the word Trim with the naming convention used for other faceshield/goggle component lens tests.

Revise 9.8.11.4.3.3 – There was no minimum added during the revision process and if there is no minimum, then no blackout would be required. Added a minimum level so that there is consistency with the materials used.

Revise 9.8.11.4.4 – Surrogate items within this standard are all required to be certified to this standard, and therefore that clarification is being added to this section as well.

Revise 9.8.12.4.1.1 – Updating the conditioning procedure to perform according to AATCC LP1 as this is the method that replaces AATCC 135 for the laundering portion of that standard.

Revise 9.8.12.11 – Aligns with top-loading wash method changes found in 9.1.2 under SR-31. Specific Requirements for Testing Nonvisual/Machine-Readable Tags is a new section introduced under FR-152 that addresses testing of nonvisual/machine-readable tags. This new section addressed the specific requirements utilized for traditional labels for garments but did not consider the specific requirements utilized for traditional labels for hoods (in 9.8.12.8), gloves (in 9.8.12.9), or footwear (in 9.8.12.10). The specific specimen preparation and test requirements for nonvisual/machine-readable tags for hoods, gloves, and footwear should align with the specific requirements for traditional labels for these product types. These revisions add the same specific sample preparation and test requirements specified for traditional labels for these product types found under the respective sections referenced above.

Revise 9.9.1.4.1, 9.9.3.2, and 9.11.2.3 – This TIA changes the cross-references from 9.1.12 (front-load wash) to 9.1.2 (top-load wash) due to the changes substantiated in SR-31.

Revise 9.11.2.2 – Changing the necessary specimens from three to six since there are two tests to perform, according to 9.11.4 where three are required for item (3) and three are required for item (4).

Revise A.9.4.3.4.2 – The type of hydraulic fluid specified in 9.4.3, Liquid Penetration Resistance Test, was changed under SR-52. The committee statement for SR-52 is as follows: Phosphate ester based fire-resistant hydraulic fluid is not a "common fire ground hazard" as would be required for this list (Annex B - Description of Performance Requirements and Test Methods for NFPA 1971 states in B.2 Garments, 7.1.15 - "The Liquid Penetration Resistance Test is used to evaluate whether or not the garment's moisture barrier and seams resist penetration of liquids meant to be representative of those commonly encountered on the fireground."). Phosphate ester based fire-resistant hydraulic fluid has been phased out by hydraulic rescue tool manufacturer (since 2008), and is not commonly used in any form of application outside the aviation industry. Current tactics and practices of pump-&-roll extinguishment for aviation incidents ensures that any such low frequency occurrence poses little risk from this hazard. As such this specific fluid hazard is not representative of the common hazards structural firefighting PPE will encounter. However, a more common hydraulic fluid used in rescue tools is specified in its place. This TIA removes the annex item related to the use of phosphate ester based hydraulic fluids.

Revise 9.9.3.4 – Extraction procedures were revised to reflect 9.1.12 procedures in NFPA 1970, with modifications related to align soap, ballast, etc. with NFPA 1851, considering the Second draft language specifies no drying procedures, no washer capacity, and no wash load. Ballast material was specified by referencing the appropriate Section in NFPA 1851. Surrogate garments were specified by referencing the appropriate Section in NFPA 1851.

Revise 9.1.18.2, 9.2.4.3.3, 9.2.7.3.2, 9.2.7.3.3, 9.2.10.3.2, 9.2.14.3.5, 9.2.14.3.6, 9.2.15.8.2, 9.2.15.8.3, 9.2.16.3.3, 9.2.16.3.4, 9.7.1.3.3, and 9.7.1.3.4 – Adding a time frame for which the specimens must be measured after removal from conditioning. Since conditioning may impact the results, it is important to obtain measurements before the specimen is brought back to ambient.

Emergency Nature: The NFPA Standards contains an error or an omission that was overlooked during the regular revision process.

The changes in this TIA are all corrections or revisions to the standard that allow the testing labs and certification organizations the ability to consistently apply the requirements to products they are testing and certifying. The technical content being changed was all discussed during the regular revision cycle, but due to the consolidation of the document and the reorganization of the paragraphs, several cross references or supporting information was missed. Without these changes, there would be significant room for interpretation of how to apply the standard.

Anyone may submit a comment by the closing date indicated above. Please identify the TIA number, state whether you SUPPORT or OPPOSE the TIA along with your comment, and forward to the Secretary, Standards Council. SUBMIT A COMMENT