1	TRANSCRIPT OF AUDIO RECORDING OF
2	NFPA TECHNICAL MEETING
3	DAY ONE
4	JUNE 8, 2022
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	ATKINSON-BAKER, A VERITEXT COMPANY
20	(800) 288-3376
21	
22	
23	
24	TRANSCRIBED BY: MARY HARLOW
25	FILE NO: 5285364
	Page 1
	Atlainson Polar A Varitant Company

1	A P P E A R A N C E S
1 2	APPLARANCES
3	
3	TAMES SOLTHWEST IV
4	JAMES GOLINVEAUX
4	
5	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKERS
6	
_	RODGER REISWIG
7	
8	FRANKLIN SWITZER
9	
	JOHN OLSEN
10	
11	TED JABLKOWSKI
12	
	GERARD ALSTON
13	
14	MARCELO HIRSCHLER
15	
	JAMES CONRAD
16	
17	KEVIN CHONG
18	
	BARRY BADDERS
19	
20	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKERS
21	
	JOHN STAHL
22	
23	ART PARKER
2 4	
25	SHAMIM RASHID-SUMAR
	Page 2

1	DICK DAVIS
2	
	BEN CALDWELL
3	
4	BILL FISKE
5	
	NORRIS HARVEY
6	
7	JAMES BIGGINS
8	
	BILL PFISTER
9	
10	CHRIS SEARLES
11	
	MATTHEW PACE
12	
13	ANDREW TANNER
14	THE REAL THINKS
	HOWARD HOPPER
15	HOWARD HOLLER
16	RICK SWAN
17	RICK SWAN
1 /	MERTON BUNKER
18	MERION BONKER
19	BRIAN SCHOLL
20	BRIAN SCHOLL
20	
ე 1	SHARON BONESTEEL
21	
2 2	DAVE RAYBORN
2 3	
24	
25	DAVE BERNZWEIG
	Page 3

1	KENNETH BUSH
2	
	BRADFORD CRONIN
3	
4	TOP MYERS
5	
	BILL KOFFEL
6	
7	JIM PETERKIN
8	
9	
10	TERRY VICTOR
11	
12	
13	CHAD BEEBE
14	
15	
16	LENNON PEAKE
17	
18	
19	KEVIN HALL
2 0	
21	
2 2	CHUCK STORMER
2 3	
2 4	
25	DAVE DESJUNET (PHONETIC)
	Page 4

1	
2	TRANSCRIPT OF AUDIO RECORDING OF
3	NFPA TECHNICAL MEETING
4	DAY ONE
5	JUNE 8, 2022
6	
7	JAMES GOLINVEAUX: Now we're live. Good
8	afternoon, and welcome, ladies and gentlemen to the
9	2022 NFPA Technical Meeting. I am James Golinveaux,
10	and it is my distinct pleasure to serve as your
11	Standards Council Chair, and to take part in this
12	year's meeting.
13	Before we go any further, I would like to
14	introduce, on my far left, Dawn Michele Bellis, who
15	will serve as Secretary of the Standards Council, and
16	Suzanne Gallagher on my immediate left, who is NFPA
17	Counsel.
18	As you know, the NFPA Standards Development
19	Process is a consensus process that encourages the
20	participation of all facets of the industry, trades,
21	government, enforcers, and anyone interested in
22	improving the safety, and reducing fire loss.
23	Through this process, countless volunteers
24	share their expertise and time to ensure that the NFPA
25	Standards are developed, and updated, revised to
	Dage 5

address the safety concerns and technologies. It is
my pleasure to recognize some of the outstanding NFPA
participants who have gone above and beyond to further
NFPA's mission. Please join me in thanking each award
recipient, and recognizing the stellar contributions
each has made to the NFPA Standards.

The first award category is the Special
Achievement Award. The Special Achievement Award is
presented to recognize significant contributions of a
Committee member to a single project that has enhanced
the NFPA's Standards Development Process. We have one
Special Achievement Award that will be presented
today. The award goes to James B. Biggins of CAC
Specialty Natural Resources, Manhattans, Illinois. I
would like to ask James to please come to the podium.

(applause)

today for his active role with the Energy Storage
Systems Project. He has been a consistent and strong
advocate in promoting Energy Storage Systems Project.
In 2016, the rapidly progressing and emerging
technology of stationary energy storage systems was
brought to the attention of NFPA as a safety concern
for the public, and industry. As these systems began
to find their way to the marketplace, it was quickly

Page 6

2.1

2.4

noted that an industry standard was needed to address
life safety and property protection. Recognizing this
need, the Standards Council approved the project, and
in January of 2016, put a call for membership to
development NFPA 855, Standard for the Installation of
Stationary Energy Storage Systems, a standard that
would provide the much needed guidance on how these
systems should be protected to reduce risk of life and
property.

James was given the critical and challenging role of Chair of this new committee, which he guided through draft development, the first draft, and second draft, resulting in the issuance of the first edition, published in 2020.

Because of James' work on NFPA 855, he has been given the same charge for NFPA 200, another new Standard focused on the hanging and bracing of fire protection systems.

In addition to his Chair responsibilities, he also serves as an active member on other technical committees, including Energy Storage Systems, Internal Combustion Engines from 1992 to present. He acted as Chair from 1993 to 2003. The Technical Correlating Committee on Automatic Sprinkler Systems, 2006 to the present. He has also served on the following

Page 7

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

2.5

1	associated technical committee - technical committees,
2	excuse me: Private Water Supply Piping Systems from
3	1996 to present, being Chair from 2006 to 2010.
4	Hanging and Bracing of Water Based Fire Protection
5	Systems, 1998 to present, and Chair from 2010 to 2019.
6	Finally, he also served on the Technical Committee on
7	Fire Protection for Nuclear Facilities from 1990
8	through 2011.
9	JAMES GOLINVEAUX: So please join me in
LO	congratulating James on his Special Achievement Award.
L1	(applause)
L2	JAMES GOLINVEAUX: This concludes the Special
L 3	Achievement Awards. Now for the Committee Service
L4	Awards. The Committee Service Award is given to a
L5	technical committee member for continuous and
L6	exemplary service on one or more committees over a
L7	substantial period of time, and in recognition and
L8	appreciation of a distinguished service to the NFPA in
L9	the development of NFPA Codes and Standards. I am
20	pleased to present this award today to the following
21	worthy individuals.
22	Our first recipient of Committee Service Award
23	is Gerard Back (phonetic).
24	FEMALE SPEAKER: Gerard G. Back of Jensen
25	Hughes in Baltimore, Maryland, serves on the Technical
	Page 8

1	Committees on Energy Storage Systems, from 2020 until
2	present; Airport Facilities, 2017 to present; Aircraft
3	Rescue and Firefighting, 2014 to present;
4	Shipbuilding, Repair, and Layup, 2014 to present;
5	Water Mist Fire Suppression Systems, 2013 until the
6	present; Foam, 2010 to the present; and Merchant
7	Vessels, 1997 to the present.
8	JAMES GOLINVEAUX: Thank you, Gerard, for your
9	many years of service to NFPA, and the Standards
10	Development process.
11	(applause)
12	JAMES GOLINVEAUX: Now let's welcome the
13	Committee Service Award winner, Arnold Dix (phonetic).
14	Accepting on behalf of Arnold is Norris Harvey, Chair
15	of NFPA 502.
16	FEMALE SPEAKER: Arnold Dix of School of
17	Medicine, UWS, Burwick, Victoria, Australia, serves on
18	the Technical Committees on Road, Tunnel, and Highway
19	Fire Protection, 2006 to present; Fixed Guideway
20	Transit and Passenger Rail Systems, 2005 to present.
21	JAMES GOLINVEAUX: Thank you - thank you to
22	Arnold for his many years of service to the NFPA
23	Standards Development Process, and thank you, Norris,
24	for accepting on Arnold's behalf. The next Committee
25	Service Award goes to Peter Lawrenson (phonetic).
	Page 9

1	Peter, please join me on stage.
2	FEMALE SPEAKER: Peter Lawrenson of Martin
3	Thermal Engineering Incorporated in San Luis Obispo,
4	California, serves on the Technical Committee on
5	Merchant Vessels. He's been on this committee from
6	1994 to the present.
7	JAMES GOLINVEAUX: Apparently, Peter is not
8	present, so I will accept this on Peter's behalf.
9	Please join me in congratulating Peter on his award.
10	(applause)
11	JAMES GOLINVEAUX: And it's going home with me.
12	The next recipient of the NFPA Committee Service Award
13	is John McDonald. John, please join me on stage.
14	(applause)
15	FEMALE SPEAKER: John McDonald of the US
16	General Services Administration in Potomac, Maryland,
17	serves on the Technical Committees on: Aircraft,
18	Rescue and Firefighting, 2009 to present; Ambulances,
19	2009 to present; Fire Department Apparatus, 1991 to
20	present; and Emergency - try that again - Emergency
21	Vehicle Technicians Professional Qualifications, 2005
22	to present.
23	JAMES GOLINVEAUX: Thank you, John, for your
24	years of service to NFPA and the Standards Development
25	Process.

Page 10

1	(applause)
2	JAMES GOLINVEAUX: Next, we have Franklin
3	Switzer. Franklin, please join me on stage.
4	(applause)
5	FEMALE SPEAKER: Franklin Switzer of Safe, Inc.
6	in Big Flats, New York, serves on the Technical
7	Committees on: Fluid Heaters, 2012 to present; Gas
8	Process Safety, 2011 to present. He's been the Chair
9	since 2013. The National Fuel Gas Code, 2009 to
10	present; Ovens and Furnaces, 2002 to present, and
11	Chair since 2016. He also serves on the Boiler
12	Combustion Systems Hazard Technical Committees on
13	Fundamentals of Boiler Combustion Systems, 2003 to
14	present; Single Burner Boilers, 2003 to present; and
15	Multiple Burner Boilers, 2003 to present.
16	JAMES GOLINVEAUX: Thank you, Franklin, for
17	your years of service to the NFPA and the Standards
18	Development Process.
19	(applause)
20	JAMES GOLINVEAUX: We have nine other Committee
21	Service Award recipients who are not here with us
22	today. We would like to acknowledge them and thank
23	them for their service, despite their absence.
24	FEMALE SPEAKER: The remaining NFPA Technical
25	Committee members receiving awards today are Steven
	Page 11

1	Austin of Cumberland Valley Volunteer Fireman's
2	Association, Emergency Responder Safety Institute in
3	Newark, Delaware. Steven serves on the Correlating
4	Committee on Professional Qualifications, and these
5	associated Technical Committees: Traffic Control
6	Incident Management Professional Qualifications, from
7	2010 as Chair, to the present. Fire Investigator
8	Professional Qualifications, 1986 through 2006. He
9	was Chair from 1990 to 2000.
10	Oops. Next, we have Robert J. Athanis
11	(phonetic). He's retired FDNY, for SAFE-IR, Inc., out
12	of Montgomery, New York. Robert serves on the
13	Correlating Committee on Fire and Emergency Protective
14	Clothing and Equipment. He's been on the Committee
15	from 2012 to present; and the Associated Technical
16	Committee on Electronic Safety Equipment, from 2003 to
17	the present. He was Chair since 2012.
18	Dr. Sandy Bogucki, Yale University Emergency
19	Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut. Dr. Bogucki serves
20	on the Technical Committees on: Emergency Responders
21	Occupational Health, from 2019 to present; Emergency
22	Medical Services Protective Clothing and Equipment,
23	2015 to present; and Fire Service Occupational Safety,
24	1996 to present.
25	Rupert P. Chandler, US Joiner, LLC, Crozet,
	Page 12

1	Virginia. Rupert serves on the Technical Committee on
2	Merchant Vessels. He's been on the Committee since
3	1994.
4	William D. Cummings, Lakeside Fire, LLC,
5	Belgrade, Maine. William serves on the Technical
6	Committee on Merchant Vessels since 1994, and he's
7	been Chair since 2014. He also serves on the
8	Technical Committee on Smoke Management Systems, from
9	1993 to 1994.
10	Rob Early of the Compressed Gas Association in
11	Alma, New York. Rob serves on the Technical
12	Committees on: Vehicular Alternative Fuel Systems,
13	2018 to present; Oxygen Enriched Atmospheres, 2018 to
14	present; Hazardous Chemicals, 2018 to present;
15	Building Code and Safety To Life, Industrial Storage,
16	and Miscellaneous Occupancies, since 2018; Hydrogen
17	Technologies from 2012 to present; Gas Process Safety
18	from the same time period; Industrial Medical Gasses,
19	2006 to present, and he's serving as the Chair since
20	2018. He also serves on the Technical Committee on
21	Electrical Equipment and Chemical Atmospheres. That
22	stint ran from 2015 through 2017.
23	Katherine Fagerlund, EFK Consulting, North
24	Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. Katherine serves
25	on the Technical Committee on Fixed Guideway Transit
	Page 13

1	and Passenger Rail Systems, 2003 to present.
2	Craig E. Hofmeister, The Fire Consultants,
3	Inc., Apex, North Carolina. Craig serves on the
4	Technical Committees on: Merchant Vessels, from 2006
5	to present; as well as Laboratories Using Chemicals,
6	2003 to present. He also serves on the Technical
7	Committee on Telecommunications, from 2008 through
8	2012.
9	And finally, Dr. Richard J. Martin, of Martin
10	Thermal Engineering, Incorporated, San Luis Obispo,
11	California. Dr. Martin serves on the Technical
12	Committee on Fluid Heaters. He has been a member
13	since 2010.
14	JAMES GOLINVEAUX: Again, let's show our thanks
15	and appreciation for these award recipients and their
16	efforts.
17	(applause)
18	JAMES GOLINVEAUX: Thank you for that sincere
19	appreciation. I'm going to go off script here just
20	for a second. It's been a couple of years since we've
21	had a live Technical Meeting, and I want to remind the
22	makers of the motion to please make your way to a
23	green microphone when it is your turn to make the
24	motion. The Moderator will recognize you. When you
25	make the motion, identify yourself, your company
	Page 14

and/or affiliation, then move your motion, move to accept your motion. And then wait for the Moderator to obtain a second. After the Moderator will obtain a second to your motion if there is a second, the Moderator will then return to you as the microphone, to present your argument or your statement in support of your motion. And they'll follow the procedures from there.

against, the green microphones, obviously, are speaking for the motion. The red microphones are against the motion. Each and every time you come to a microphone, you need to state your name, your company affiliation, and whether you're speaking for, or against. The, the transcript of this doesn't have an - a video of you, at what color microphone you're at. So, state your name, your company and/or affiliation, and state whether you're speaking for or against the motion, then begin your argument. So just to try to keep it a little bit smother, I wanted to go off script a little bit.

I'll now to turn the, the meeting over to

Rodger Reiswig, the Presiding Officer who will proceed
with the Order of Business for the 2022 Technical

Meeting. Thank you.

Page 15

2.1

2.4

RODGER REISWIG: Thank you, James. As
introduced, I am Rodger Reiswig. And as Presiding
Officer, I declare that a quorum is present for
purposes of conducting business. Let me remind you,
being a safety organization, NFPA is always concerned
for your safety. While you are here, we want you to
be safe, so please pay close attention to the
following safety procedures.

The fire alarm signal at the Boston Convention and Exhibition Center is an initial positive alarm sequence, a long tone, followed by a series of four beep tones, repeated four times over the public address system, and the flashing strobe lights on the fire alarm beacons will activate. If you hear the alarm, please quietly leave the room, using the exit nearest you. Remember, the nearest exit may be behind you.

If there is an emergency requiring evacuation, a three pattern temporal code sounds until the building is cleared for re-occupancy. If the facility requires evacuation without alarm activation, a long tone will be generated by the Public Safety Command Center, through the facility emergency public address system, followed by specific instructions for the evacuation. Convention Center staff will help anyone

Page 16

2.

2.1

2.4

needing special assistance.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

2.5

During the Technical Meeting, the use of recording devices of any type is prohibited. The votes cast at the Technical Meeting, in conjunction with the debate, are an integral and important contribution to NFPA's consensus process. Through motions, debate, and voting, you, our NFPA membership, make recommendations to the Standards Council. The majority vote results today are for the sole purpose of providing recommendations to the Standards Council prior to the issuance of any Standards.

Any appeal based upon Technical Meeting actions must be filed with the Standards Council by June 29, 2022 - that is 20 days following the adjournment of this meeting. An appeal for any amendment passed at this meeting which fails Technical Committee or Correlating Committee ballot, shall be filed no later than five days after publication of the Technical Committee Ballot Results in accordance with Section 1.6.2(v) of the regulations.

Typically, results of amendment ballots are published within 20 days of the Technical Meeting adjournment. The Standards Council decision on issuance is based upon the entire record before it, including the Pre-Technical Meeting Position

Page 17

1	Statements submitted, debate, and resulting votes at
2	the Technical Meeting. The Standards Council will
3	meet on August 10th through the 12th, 2022, to hear
4	appeals and make final determinations on issuing
5	Standards.
6	Today's sessions will include Certified
7	Amending Motions, CAMs, on NFPA 86, 130, 285, 502,
8	855, and 25, in that order, as posted in the agenda,
9	or at NFPA.org/2022 Tech Session.
10	Before we move onto the Certified Amending
11	Motions for consideration and debate today, let's be
12	certain that everyone's voting application is working.
13	For anyone who is a member with voting rights at
14	today's session who has yet to download the
15	application for voting, please do so at this time.
16	Staff is in the rear of the room, and they can assist
17	you.
18	Any registered, eligible voting member who does
19	not have a smart phone device may request use of a
20	limited number of voting devices at the back table.
21	These members will also be required to log into the
22	app with an assigned key code, and complete the test
23	question prior to submission of the first vote.
24	So to verify that the app is in working order,
25	please scroll down, and select 'Call the Question'. I
	Page 18

1	will ask that you vote, choosing either Yes or No
2	after I announce the voting is open. I'll give you a
3	few seconds to get there, for those that are not. All
4	right. So voting is now open. Please cast a vote,
5	Yes or No, for Call of the Question. Voting will
6	close in five seconds. Voting is now closed. You
7	will see the results now appear, as will results
8	throughout the session, on the center screen. So in
9	this case, we had a Yes of 147, and a No of 21.
10	So now that you're comfortable with the
11	process, and your voting device is ready, let's begin.
12	The first report under consideration is that of
13	the Technical Committee on Ovens and Furnaces. Here
14	to present the Committee Report is Committee Chair,
15	Franklin R. Switzer, Jr. of SAFE, Incorporated, Big
16	Flats, New York. The Committee Report, that is the
17	first and second draft reports, is located on the
18	Document Information Page for NFPA 86, on NFPA's
19	website. All Certified Amending Motions are
20	identified in the NFPA Technical Meeting, Tech Session
21	Agenda, included in the report of the Motions
22	Committee, and we - and will be displayed behind me on
23	the screen as they are under debate.
24	The text, in which case is a little larger, so
25	an indication of this will be a page on which you can
	Page 19

1	locate the complete text within the report of the
2	Motions Committee, will be indicated.
3	Mr. Switzer will be stepping down as Chair due
4	to the tenure policy, and I would like to express our
5	thanks to Franklin for his leadership. Thank you,
6	Sir. Mr. Switzer, will you present the Chair Report,
7	please.
8	FRANKLIN SWITZER: Yes. The report of the
9	Technical Committee on Ovens and Furnaces is presented
10	as found in the first draft report, and second draft
11	reports for the annual 2022 of NFPA 86, Standards for
12	Ovens and Furnaces. The revisions were submitted to
13	letter ballot of the responsible committee -
14	committees, in accordance with the regulations
15	governing the development of NFPA Standards. The
16	reports and ballots results can be found on the Next
17	Edition tab of the Document Information Page for NFPA
18	86, at www.nfpa.org/86next.
19	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you, Mr. Switzer. Let's
20	now proceed with the discussion on Certified Amending
21	Motion on NFPA 86-6. Is Mr. John Olsen in the room?
22	JOHN OLSEN: Hello. My name is John Olsen. I
23	am Director of Engineering and New Product Development
24	for Thermal Products Solutions. We represent company
25	brands Baker Furnace, Blue M, Gruenberg, Lindberg/MPH,
	Page 20

1	Lunaire, Penny, and Wisconsin Oven.
2	RODGER REISWIG: I just need a motion, Sir.
3	JOHN OLSEN: So my motion is to reject the
4	changes to NFPA 86, specifically the elimination of
5	the exception of 5.3.1. The removal of explosion
6	relief shall not be required on ovens and furnaces
7	with shell construction having 3/16s of an inch for .3
8	- I mean, 4.8 millimeters, or heavier steel plate.
9	RODGER REISWIG: All right, Sir. Hold on a
10	second. So there is a motion on the floor to reject
11	Second Revision Number 7. Is there a second?
12	MALE SPEAKER: I second.
13	RODGER REISWIG: We do have a second. Please
14	proceed with the discussion on the motion.
15	JOHN OLSEN: Okay. I have six points that my
16	colleagues and I put together regarding the motion
17	substantiation. Number One is, there does not appear
18	to be a need for removing the 3/16ths plate exception
19	for explosion relief. Experience has shown us that
20	there has been, when there has many deflagration
21	events in an oven or furnace with 3/16ths plate, steel
22	construction, the exterior shell remains intact.
23	Number Two - on many pieces of equipment, there
24	is not sufficient area available on ovens or furnace
25	shell to place explosion relief. This occurs when the
	Page 21

oven or furnace has accessories that require penetration through the wall or the roof, or frequent structural members of the exterior shell. So we use the 3/16ths to make the oven safer.

Number Three - the NFPA guidelines state that explosion relief panels should not exceed five pounds per square foot in weight. For conventional oven designs that utilize the sheet metal line - interior and outer skins with mineral wool insulation, this is achievable. However, for higher temperature designs, heavier construction utilizing 3/16ths is required. With that insulation of 9 to 12 inches, the weight of these designs can be in excess of 15 to 20 pounds. And then when we use for castable linings, it can exceed a hundred pounds per square foot, making them ineffective, and potentially dangerous for explosion relief.

And then for higher temperatures that require plate construction - there are no furnace or oven shell designs available that provide explosion relief, that offer sufficient insulating characteristics.

These insulation materials, such as cast linings, or factory brick, and ceramic modules, cannot be design with explosion relief, since their weight requires substantial attachment points that would be too stout

Page 22

2.1

2.4

1	to break away during deflagration.
2	Number Five - the ovens operating above 1200
3	degrees or higher, the explosion relief - oh. That's
4	the one minute, or the
5	RODGER REISWIG: One minute.
6	JOHN OLSEN: Okay. They would pose significant
7	danger if there's a deflagration event, because those
8	high temperature, for a factory environment, the, the
9	furnaces operate up to like, 2000 degrees or higher.
10	That radiant heat from that exposed surface after it's
11	- could spontaneously cause combustion of nearby
12	materials.
13	And then our Sixth point was that considering
14	that ignition of flammable surfaces, you know, that -
15	our ovens that use this construction go over 700
16	degrees, usually there is no buildup of fumes, or
17	potential explosive materials, because it's operating
18	above the temperature, and the fumes are consumed as
19	they're being emitted.
20	And summary - removing the explosion relief
21	exception for the 3/16ths plate construction would
22	make the equipment less safe.
23	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Mr. Switzer, would
24	you like to offer the Committee's position?
25	FRANKLIN SWITZER: Yes. Though it has existed
	Page 23

in NFPA 86 for many years, the Committee has been
unable to substantiate the exemption of explosion
relief for ovens and furnaces with a 3/16ths shell
plate, or equivalent strength construction. The
3/16ths plate, thick plate's construction was first
introduced to the NFPA Standard in 1985, under Section
2-2.3.1, Exception Number One. However, there is no
mention of it in the Report on Committee's - on, on
comments from the '84 Fall meeting, and no
substantiation for adding it was ever documented.
The '86, '85 edition, 1985 edition was first,
the first time that NFPA 86-A and 86-B were actually
combined together into a single document, which is
when this requirement came to be.
Furthermore, the requirement to be reinforced
with structural steel beams or buck stays does not
specify an intended strength or spacing. Therefore,
the requirement is perceived to have an indeterminate
effect on the effectiveness of the shell thickness.
Since the Standard is not a design handbook, as stated
in 81.5, as the Committee's position that these
requirements should be left to the furnace designer to
determine when required.
In addition, the requirements for Class C and D
furnaces were removed from this section at this time,

Page 24

1 because those types of furnaces are covered elsewhere 2. in the Standard, under separate requirements. 3 RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. With that, we will open debate up for the motion. Please provide your 4 5 name, affiliation, and whether you are speaking in 6 support of, or against the motion. Microphone Two, did you want to speak again, or --8 JOHN OLSEN: Yeah. My name is John Olsen, with 9 TPS. I am not sure how to respond. All I know is 10 that the - experience has shown us over the last 30 11 years, when (unintelligible) --12 RODGER REISWIG: Sir, I'm sorry. I need you to 13 state whether you're for or against the motion. 14 JOHN OLSEN: I am - oh, sorry. I am for the 15 motion to reject that elimination. The - what we've 16 found is when looking at thinner wall ovens with 17 explosion relief, the ovens, when there is a 18 deflagration event, the explosion relief materials 19 come off, but the oven usually requires substantial 20 repair, or furnace. And we also have seen where, even 21 with the explosion relief under that thinner 22 construction, the equipment could pose risk for, you 23 know, people standing nearby because of the, the way The 3/16ths, however, 2.4 that the ovens are constructed. 2.5 we have not seen one actually fail, and it holds up Page 25

1	much better to these deflagration events. But if you
2	were to try to weaken it or add enough explosion
3	relief area, and try to comply with the rest of those
4	codes, we cannot come up with a design that actually
5	will make the equipment safer. So the 3/16ths does
6	survive under deflagration events.
7	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Are there any
8	further discussions on Motion 86-6 to reject Second
9	Revision No. 7? Mr. Switzer, would you like to offer
10	any final comments?
11	MALE SPEAKER: I think we have a commenter
12	coming up.
13	RODGER REISWIG: Oh, I'm sorry. Microphone
14	Number Five.
15	TED JABLKOWSKI: Good afternoon, my esteemed
16	colleagues. My name is Ted Jablkowski. I represent
17	Fives North American Combustion, and I'm here to speak
18	against this motion.
19	I did some research. I've been on NFPA 86
20	since 1999, and a current member. And I did some
21	research to try to bring some of the Committee's prior
22	work on this topic to light. And so, in the 1999
23	edition, this was Section 3.3.1. And in the 2003
24	Report on Proposals, my colleague, Al Underys of A.
25	Finkl and Sons, put forth a, a input to eliminate the
	Page 26

-	
1	language, having 3/16ths inch or heavier steel plates,
2	shells - excuse me - reinforced with structural steel
3	beams and buck stays that support and retain
4	refractory or insulating materials required for
5	temperature endurance - which makes them unsuitable
6	for the installation of explosion relief.
7	Those are the words that Mr. Underys brought
8	forward to remove, specifically to remove this 3/16th
9	inch thickness requirement.
10	And in the substantiation, he offered that the
11	current exception mandates a certain type of
12	construction and material, instead of considering the
13	engineering concepts that the prescribed construction
14	is trying to achieve. And then he went on to offer
15	calculations. He brought up the spacing that's not
16	defined, and ultimately, calculated a uniform load of
17	a hundred pounds per cubic feet.
18	Well, in 2003, the Committee rejected this
19	proposal. And their substantiation for rejecting was
20	
21	RODGER REISWIG: One minute.
22	TED JABLKOWSKI: The practice of not allowing
23	explosion relief was based on the use of heavy
24	refractories. Accepting the proposal would extend the
25	elimination of explosion relief to those that do not
	Page 27

1	use refractory linings. Now, in some of our meetings,
2	people ask, 'What is a buck stay, anyway?' Webster
3	defines it as, 'Either of two connecting garters used
4	on each side of the masonry structure of a furnace or
5	flue, to take the thrust of an arch.'
6	So we have requirements that are impossible to
7	substantiate. And I respectfully ask that you all in
8	attendance respect the work of the '86 Technical
9	Committee, and vote against this motion. Thank you.
10	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Microphone Two,
11	are you there to speak, or - if you're going to come
12	to the mic or if you're going to speak, I need you
13	to come to the microphones and be prepared. I don't
14	know if you're standing next to it, or looking
15	JOHN OLSEN: Yeah. I'm, I'm standing here,
16	mainly. My name is John Olsen. I am with TPS. I'm
17	here to - for the motion to reject the changes by
18	eliminating the exception. I'm not familiar with the
19	process. So I thought since it's such a long ways to
20	walk, that I'd just stand here, and be able to keep
21	things moving quickly, and respond.
22	Based off of our, you know, many years of
23	experience, myself engineering the equipment and
24	actually visiting sites where this is installed, many
25	times the explosion relief, I - we do understand the

Page 28

intention to make the equipment safer. However, in,
you know, removing the 3/16ths, it would actually
cause, you know, more companies to go with a thinner
wall construction, when applicable, and would be a
less safe design over time.

So my main purpose is to just be standing by to address any of those concerns. We do see that the 3/16ths construction does survive even after repeated deflagration events, and that with - when we do use the explosion relief on the thinner wall construction, that there has been injuries, and there has been people who have actually been seriously injured by, you know, the equipment when, you know, an event like this happens.

So we've seen that the 3/16ths is a much safer construction when applicable. And we design the equipment, as much as we can, to comply with explosion relief. But the way that the Code is written, if that is eliminated, we would find it difficult to build or design the ovens to match the current Code, if NFPA 86 is changed to eliminate that exception. Thank you.

RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Are there any further discussion on Motion 86-6, to reject Second Revision No. 7? Mr. Switzer, would you like to make any final comments?

Page 29

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

2.5

FRANKLIN SWITZER: I guess the only thing I'll
reiterate is that the - as far as the Committee is
concerned, we are not prohibiting the use of 3/16ths
plate. We are merely taking it from the Standard, and
we're not - we, we don't see it's been substantiated
in any way scientifically. And therefore, with the
buck stay also not defined, there is an indeterminate
amount of effect, and it can be achieved through the
design conditions that a furnace, a furnace designer
would do, and it would be up to them to determine what
they want to put in place.

RODGER REISWIG: Thank you for your final comments. Before we let - before we vote, let me restate the motion. The motion on the floor is to reject Second Revision No. 7. To vote, touch the Vote button. If you wish to vote in support of the motion, and recommend the text, touch Yes. If you wish to vote against the motion and the recommended text, touch No. Please record your vote now. Voting will close in five seconds. Voting is now closed. Thank you.

The results of the vote - 46 Yes, or in support, 103 against, or No. So the motion has failed. Are there any other further discussions on NFPA 86? Seeing none, we will move to go on to the

Page 30

2.1

2.4

20.011+	6~~6~~+D
next	Standard.

2.1

2.4

2.5

I will call, now call the next Standard on the
agenda, NFPA 130. The next report under consideration
is that of the Technical Committee on Fixed Guideway
Transit and Passenger Rail Systems. Here to present
the Committee Report is Committee Chair, Gerard Alston
of ARUP, New York, New York. The Committee Report,
that is the First and Second Draft Reports, is located
on the Document Information Page for NFPA 130, on the
NFPA website. All Certified Amending Motions are
identified in the NFPA Technical Meeting Tech Session
Agenda, included in the report on the Motions - of the
Motions Committee, and will be displayed behind me on
the screen as they are under debate. Mr. Alston, will
you present the Chair Report?

GERARD ALSTON: Yes. Thank you. The report of the Technical Committee on Fixed Guideway Transit and Passenger Rail Systems is presented as found in the First Draft Report, and Second Draft Report, the 2023 Edition of NFPA 130, Standard for Fixed Guideway Transit and Passenger Rail Systems.

The revisions were submitted to letter ballot of the responsible Technical Committees, and Correlating Committee in accordance with the regulations governing the developing of NFPA

Page 31

1	Standards. The reports and ballot results can be
2	found on the Next Edition tab of the Document
3	Information Page for NFPA 130, at
4	www.nfpa.orgdoc#next.
5	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Let's now proceed
6	with the discussion on Certified Amending Motion 130-
7	9. David Mammarella, are you in the, in the audience?
8	Certified Amending Motion No. 16 appears on our
9	agenda, however, no authorized individual has signed
10	in, or approached the microphone to make the motion.
11	Seeing that the maker of the motion, nor an identified
12	designated representative has approached to make the
13	motion for No. 16, in accordance with NFPA Rules,
14	Convention Rules at Section 2.7, the motion may not be
15	considered by the assembly as Certified Amending
16	Motion, and is removed from the agenda. We will now,
17	now move on to the next motion.
18	MALE SPEAKER: 130-9.
19	RODGER REISWIG: I'm sorry, it was 130-9, for
20	Second Revision No. 16. Let me say that again.
21	Sorry. Certified Amending Motion 130-9 appeared on
22	our agenda. However, no authorized individual has
23	approached the microphones to make that motion.
24	Seeing that the maker of the motion, nor an identified
25	designated representative has approached to make
	Page 32

1	Motion 130-9, in accordance with NFPA Rules,
2	Convention Rules, Section 2.7, the motion may not be
3	considered by the assembly as a Certified Amending
4	Motion, and is removed from the agenda. We will now
5	move to the next motion. Sorry about that.
6	Now let's proceed with discussion on Certified
7	Amending Motion 130-3.
8	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Marcelo Hirschler, GBH
9	International for NFPA, and I move 130-3, please.
10	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. There is a motion
11	on the floor to reject Second Revision No. 19, and any
12	related portions of First Revision. Is there a
13	second?
14	FEMALE SPEAKER: Second.
15	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. We do have a
16	second. Please proceed with the discussion on the
17	motion.
18	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Marcelo Hirschler, GBH
19	International for NFPA. I'm a member of the NFPA 150
20	
21	RODGER REISWIG: Are you for or against the
22	motion?
23	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: I am, I'm the maker of the
24	motion. I'm for the motion.
25	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you.
	Page 33

MARCELO HIRSCHLER: And a - I'm a member of
NFPA 130 Committee, but not speaking for the
Committee, of course. This motion recommends deleting
some duplication, and potentially misleading
information. It's cables are power and control
cables; they're not communications cables, or ethernet
cables. That's abundantly clear from what is stated
in the NEC. NFPA Codes and Standards should not
contain information that can be misleading. The body
of the Standard states that the requirement in
8.6.7.1.2 applied to low voltage power and control
wires and cables less than 100 volts, and 150 DC. If
the requirements apply to power and control cables,
they clearly do not apply to communication and
ethernet cables, since communication and ethernet
cables are neither power, nor control cables.
The supposed clarification leads to potential
confusion because a user might interpret it as stating
that communication and ethernet cables could be power
or control cables, which they are not.
The Committee Chair's statement says that
anecdotally, someone heard that people might confuse
one type of cable for another. If we're going to add
information to a Standard because of some anecdote
that someone heard that maybe someone does not know

Page 34

1	what a power cable is, then we would be filling
2	Standards with a lot of extraneous information. If we
3	believe such information is necessary because of
4	anecdotes, should we also tell users (unintelligible)
5	130 that trains are not busses, and that the
6	requirements in the Standard don't apply to busses?
7	Obviously not. Where do responses to anecdotes end?
8	The last two sentences of the new Section are
9	severely misleading. The purported fact that, as the
10	sentence states communication and ethernet cables use
11	thin insulation and jackets that do not comply with
12	your thickness and performance requirements of a
13	standard 7.1.2 is of no consequence since, as
14	explained above, such cables are not required to
15	comply with the Standards
16	RODGER REISWIG: One minute.
17	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: re - in A.8.6.7.1.2.
18	Bananas also don't comply with this Standard, and
19	we're not saying that you need to comply with bananas.
20	The requirements do not need to say things that don't
21	apply. The final sentence brings in another purported
22	fact, which is also of no consequence. Cables are not
23	required to comply with 8.6.7.1.2. Also
24	(unintelligible) material (unintelligible) which is
25	relevant. Consequently as has been stated above, the
	Page 35

language in the Annex Section is both unnecessary and 1 2 misleading, introduces inappropriate information for 3 (unintelligible) Standard. Thank you. Thank you. Mr. Alston, would 4 RODGER REISWIG: 5 you like to offer the Committee's position? 6 GERARD ALSTON: Thank you. Yes. Dr. 7 Hirschler's motion relates to informational Annex language developed to clarify the application of 8 9 Section 8.6.7.1.2, which pertains to low voltage, 10 power, and control wires and cables. The additional 11 language identified a representative list of cable 12 types, which were - to which the requirements were not 13 intended to apply, and would not be able to satisfy 14 the requirements. This list is provided in, in front 15 of you in the text, and involves CAT 5, CAT 6, and, 16 and similar type cables. 17 Dr. Hirschler does not refute the intent of the 18 clarifying language, but just simply that it is 19 unnecessary on the basis that the charging statement 20 of Section 8.6.7.1.2 is clear with respect to the 21 scope and applicability to low power (unintelligible) 22 cables. However, the reality is, it is indeed being 23 misapplied to the cable types being identified within 2.4 Therefore, it was the majority opinion of the Annex. 2.5 the Committee that such clarification would be of Page 36

value to the rolling stock manufacturing industry in clarifying the extent and scope of the Section 8.6.7.1.2. The voting was, out of 29 eligible, 23 affirmative and one negative, that being Dr. Hirschler.

He goes on to say that including clarifying

He goes on to say that including clarifying information could lead to confusion in classifying, or characterizing communication and ethernet cables as low voltage power, and control wires and cables, insomuch that a specific exception is being made. However, it is the intent of the informational Annex material to clarify that such cables cannot be considered as such. In doing so, the Committee was attempting to make the interpretation, application, and enforcement of the Standard clearer as it is utilized and applied by practitioners with a wide range of expertise.

The final points to which Dr. Hirschler has taken issue is the additional information to why requirements such as those identified in the section cannot apply to ethernet and communications cable.

While the list is not exhaustive - that is conceded, as is the list of cable types, additional context is being provided as to why the attempted enforcement of the section would be inappropriate. As evidenced by

Page 37

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

1 the near consensus vote, it was the Committee's 2. opinion that such information was of value to the 3 industry. 4 RODGER REISWIG: Thank you, Mr. Alston. With 5 that, we will open debate on the motion. provide your name, affiliation, and whether you are 6 7 speaking in support of, or against the motion. 8 Microphone Number Two. 9 MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Marcelo Hirschler, GBH International for NFPA, and in support of the motion. 10 11 Note that the Chair did not reference what is in his 12 Chair's statement, that there's anecdotal information 13 that people mischaracterize. I don't think anyone who understands what a cable is will not know that a 14 15 communication cable or an ethernet cable is not a 16 power cable. It's clear - it's clear - the - if we're 17 going to start putting, every time we say something 18 that we have to clarify, then our Standards go in - on 19 forever. Then, also we have, including but not 20 limited - these laundry lists mean that we have some 2.1 material - some designations that are there. Does 22 that mean they're all of them? No, they're not. And 23 we're going to next thing - same information, the same 2.4 type of information in the, in the next motion. 25 This is a list of some products that happen to Page 38

1	be those of interest to the maker of the original
2	public input. Maybe they're - they're all - and I'm
3	pretty sure they're not all. So what do people do
4	with a list of what things don't apply to, and
5	consequently don't need to be included in here. Thank
6	you.
7	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Microphone Number
8	One.
9	JAMES CONRAD: Oh, thank you. My name's James
10	Conrad. I work for RFCC, and been active in the 130
11	Committee for about 10 or 15 years, and I speak in -
12	against the motion. The reason I speak against the
13	motion is we added this informational note into the
14	Annex because over the last several cycles, the car
15	business has changed. And if you read 130 8.6.7.1.2,
16	it really addresses to UL Standards - UL 83 and UL 44.
17	These communication cables that we're talking
18	about in this CAM is really to let the users of this
19	Standard know that 130 didn't address them properly.
20	And this was a quick way of fixing it to say, 'No,
21	these do not apply, don't need to comply with UL 83 or
22	44.' And as it says there, they don't. The
23	insulation's usually thinner, and they don't - they
24	have their own Standards.
25	And we're still working on trying to get
	Page 39

1	Chapter Eight a little further along over the next
2	couple cycles, to address all types of cables that are
3	currently being used. But it is a revolving door. As
4	the industry changes, and more and more different
5	types of cables are used on these sophisticated cars
6	now, we're going to have more and more notes to guide
7	the people. And again, I speak in - against the
8	motion. Thank you.
9	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Microphone Number
10	Five.
11	KEVIN CHONG: My name is Kevin Chong. In this
12	capacity, I'm speaking on behalf of my own company,
13	MKC Engineering. I previously submitted
14	RODGER REISWIG: Are you for or against the
15	motion, Sir?
16	KEVIN CHONG: Sorry. Against the motion.
17	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you.
18	KEVIN CHONG: I previously submitted
19	information to NFPA 130, in conjunction with Katherine
20	Fagerlund and Harold Locke, because of the specifics
21	of the wire and cable. I worked on all the SkyTrain
22	projects in Vancouver, British Columbia. The reason
23	I'm against it is, obviously with ethernet cabling,
24	there are situations where we use power over ethernet,
25	and those are the types of situations where the
	Do 40
	Page 40

1	confusion can arise. So as a result, I think the, the
2	fact that this is an Informational Annex. It does
3	help the people that are a little confused about
4	whether POE is considered power by NFPA 130. Thank
5	you.
6	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Are there any
7	further dis Microphone Number Two.
8	MARCELA HIRSCHLER: Marcela Hirschler, GBH
9	International for NFPA, and for the motion. It's
10	interesting - since both Jim Conrad and the, the
11	gentleman who just spoke, they say this is a, a real
12	problem. But neither of them have submitted a public
13	info, public comment to actually address what they
14	think is a problem, by fixing the Standard - not the
15	Annex, which is information and can be ignored. And
16	when we put into the Annex information that is
17	potentially misleading, we are causing a disservice.
18	Thank you.
19	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Are there any
20	further discussions for Motion 130-3 to Reject Second
21	Revision No. 19, and Any Related Portions of First
22	Revisions? Mr. Alston, would you like the - to make a
23	final comment?
24	GERARD ALSTON: No. No, nothing further at
25	this time.

Page 41

1	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Before we vote,
2	let me restate the motion. The motion on the floor is
3	to Reject Second Revision No. 19, and Any Related
4	Portions of First Revisions. To vote, touch the Vote
5	button. If you wish to vote in support of the motion
6	and recommend the screen - the text on Screen One,
7	vote Yes. If you wish to vote against the motion, and
8	recommend the text on Screen Two, touch No. Please
9	record your vote now. Voting will close in five
10	seconds. Voting is now closed. Oh, sorry - looking
11	up here for the screen. 394 in support, and 121
12	against, No. The motion has failed.
13	Let's proceed with the discussion on Certified
14	Amending Motion 130-5. Microphone Number Two.
15	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Marcelo Hirschler, GBH
16	International for NFPA, and I move the - accept Motion
17	130.5, please.
18	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. There is a motion
19	on the floor to Accept an Identifiable Part of Public
20	Comment No. 11. Is there a second?
21	MALE SPEAKER: Second.
22	RODGER REISWIG: We do have a second. Please
23	proceed with the discussion on the motion.
24	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Marcelo Hirschler, GBH
25	International for NFPA, and in support of the motion.
	Page 42

I'm a member of NFPA 130, but I don't speak for the
Committee. This motion is associated with the
previous one. This says - it proposes to eliminate
this sentence. It gives examples of type of cable
that potentially are used in trains. The - it - some
examples of designations for cables potentially using
- include Category A, etc., etc.

The list of examples of categories that are potentially used - what does that mean? Whenever a Code of Standard contains a list of examples, that brings the potential for confusion, because the list is necessarily incomplete. The sentence states that those type of cables are potentially used. Well, we, we just discussed in the previous section that they're not used in the application which is covered by this section.

So the question now - does this mean that any cable of that designation must be accepted by the AHA? Clearly, that does not - it's not what it means. Does it means that other (unintelligible) cable cannot be used for the application? Again, clearly, that's not what it means. The list clearly says it contains some examples. How I can a user approach this information? Does a user have to look at every cable designation and see whether it is included in the list? And what

Page 43

2.1

2.4

happens	if	it's	not	included?

2.4

2.5

The Technical Committee dealt with another
note, with another list of examples, in the case of
categories with UL designation that's actually found
in the NEC, by deleting that, for - with PC 17, with a
statement, 'The addition of exemplary installation
instructions are unnecessary, should not be included,
as not all examples can be added, and examples might
be misleading.' I fully agree with that sentence, and
that sentence applies to this exactly, here, too.
Same concept applies to this list, and it should not
be - this sentence should be deleted, just like the
Committee did in PC 17. That's what this CAM
proposes. Thank you.

RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Microphone Number One.

JAMES CONRAD: Yeah. Thank you. James Conrad, RSCC (unintelligible) Cable Company, and I speak against the motion on the floor. The reason we added this is the same argument as last time. Cars - train cars are becoming very sophisticated, and more and more different types of category cables are being, you know, required, you know, by the different car builders. And we feel that we have to continue to put these notes in there to guide the users of the

Page 44

1	Standard, what we really mean. And yes, we will
2	continue working on the 130 Standard to try to address
3	all these cables in the future. Thank you.
4	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. And I just
5	realized that I should have went to the Chair next.
6	Mr. Alston, I
7	GERARD ALSTON: That's fine.
8	RODGER REISWIG: apologize for that.
9	Please, could you offer the Committee's statement?
10	GERARD ALSTON: Sorry. Very similar issue as
11	to the last one, in terms of providing a list. Well,
12	again, to acknowledge - it may not be exhaustive, it
13	is providing the characteristics and type of, of cable
14	that is being contemplated by the section under
15	consideration. Again, this voting was nearly
16	unanimous, 29 eligible, 25 affirmative, including the
17	submitter of the, the, the Amending Motion here today.
18	With respect to the, to the list, Dr. Hirschler
19	contends that the Committee disposed of other lists
20	during the current cycle. However, this example is
21	dissimilar, in that it was referring to UL
22	designations for electrical circuit integrity systems.
23	In such case, the, the listing was unnecessary as it
24	was redundant to the statement that circuit integrity
25	systems be tested as a complete assembly. The FHIT
	Page 45

1	identifier is associated with a particular cable
2	system, and identifies the particular installation
3	instructions, as would be necessary for any system to
4	comply with its tested conditions and certification.
5	He also asserts that stating cables potentially
6	used in a rail transportation vehicle offers a
7	loophole that all cables may be contemplated for use
8	in rolling stock that would comply to applicable
9	requirements, would forcibly be required to be
LO	accepted by NIHA. However, any such cable would still
L1	be subject to the requirements of Section 86711 of the
L2	Standard, which establishes flame spread and smoke
L3	release criteria for cables and installation in
L 4	rolling stock.
L5	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you, Mr. Alston. And
L6	again, I apologize for going out of turn.
L 7	GERARD ALSTON: That's
L8	RODGER REISWIG: I'm sure I'll lose a strike
L9	from that one. So with that, we will open up debate
20	on the motion. Please provide your name, affiliation,
21	and whether you are speaking in support, or against
22	the motion. Microphone Number Two.
23	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Marcelo Hirschler, GBH
24	International for, for NFPA, and in support of the
25	motion. It's very interesting. This list is the same
	Page 46

list that we discussed in the previous motion. The
previous motion, we said it's not covered. Now we're
saying, again, these are potentially used, but we
don't know what the hell they're used for, because
they're not covered by the Standard. So we put a - in
one, say - Annex note, we say, 'Make sure that you,
you realize that these cables are not covered by the
requirements.' Now we say they can be used. But we
don't cover them in the requirements. What, what,
what does that mean? What does a user do with that
information? We have a list of cables that are not
covered, but we don't know what they cover. And yes,
this information is being brought forward by the
(unintelligible) Company, who clearly has an interest
in this. And - but they have not brought any public
input that addresses what to do with these cables,
other than say they're used, but we won't know what to
do, what, what to do about them. Thank you.
RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Are there any
other further discussions on Motion 130-5, to Accept
an Identifiable Part of Public Comment No. 11? Mr.
Alston, would you like some final comments?
GERARD ALSTON: No, nothing further at this
time.
RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. So before we vote,
Page 47

1	let me restate the motion. The motion on the floor,
2	for 130-5 is to Accept an Identifiable Part of Public
3	Comment No. 11. Touch Vote to vote now. If you wish
4	to vote in support of the motion and recommend the
5	text on Screen One, touch Yes. If you wish to vote
6	against the motion and recommend the text on Screen
7	Two, touch No. Please record your vote. Voting will
8	close in five seconds. Voting is now closed. The
9	results are 54 yes, in support, and 162 against. The
10	motion has failed.
11	Let's now proceed with the discussion on
12	Certified Amending Motions NFPA 130-2. Microphone
13	Number Two.
14	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Marcelo Hirschler, GBH
15	International for NFPA, and I move CAM No. 2.
16	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. There is a motion
17	on the floor to Accept an Identifiable Part of Public
18	Comment No. 6. Is there a second?
19	MALE SPEAKER: Second.
20	RODGER REISWIG: We do have a second. Please
21	proceed with the discussion on the motion.
22	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Thank you. Marcelo
23	Hirschler, GBH International for NFPA, and in support
24	of the motion. NFPA's - the 130 is required for
25	several editions, at least until 2010, that all wires
	Page 48

and cables, and all stations meet a certain fire test
namely the cable fire, trade fire test for flame
spread and smoke in IEEE 1202 UL 1685. The Committee
chose to delete this requirement for cables in open
stations. This is a decrease in fire safety, and
these - this motion seeks to reverse that decrease.

The Chair's statement is that wires and cables must meet the requirements of the National Electrical Code. But the National Electrical Code has no specific requirement for (unintelligible), for generation transform. In fact, the NEC states, among the things that are not covered - installation of railways for generation, transformation, transmission, energy storage, or distribution of (unintelligible) operation of rolling stock or installation used exclusively for signaling and communications purposes - is not covered. So in other words, when we take this out, nothing is covered.

So NFPA 130 requires that open stations be constructed of Type Two construction, noncombustible construction; also requires in all stations, including open stations, be no noncombustible furnishings unless a fire assessment be made; also requires that all rubbish containers, and all lockers and so on, so forth, be constructed of noncombustible material.

Page 49

2.

2.1

2.4

Now, suddenly, after many years of requiring
the same fire tests for wires and cables in all other
stations, the new edition decide fire safety is not
important, and can be decreased. There has not been
any fire hazard assessment done to demonstrate that
the change is acceptable. In fact, normally any time
you change to increase the fire hazard and eliminate a
fire test requirement, inevitably that increases fire
hazard, and it needs to be based on new evidence. No
new evidence was submitted.
The public input on which this revision was
based only
RODGER REISWIG: One minute.
MARCEL HIRSCHLER: provided editorial change
to correct an, an omission. My PC was rejected with a
simple statement, 'Cables are installed in open
stations, open trainways do not pose a significant
flame spread and smoke release hazard, and do not
require additional protection.' How does the
Committee know this? They haven't done anything about
it.
The Chair's statement, I already discussed it.
This CAM simply proposes to reinstate the longstanding
requirement for wires and cables. The Chair's

Page 50

statement about wires and cables encased in

noncombustible raceways has nothing to do with this.
This CAM does not address wires and cable encased in
concrete - simply addresses open stations. The
(unintelligible) issue. Evidence has shown that when
you have product associated with a project, and they
need to need some - most of your requirements in one
area, than another, there's potential for confusion,
and mix-up of product. That potential is eliminated
if we keep the requirements the same for all. Thank
you.
RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Mr. Alston, would
you like to present the Committee's position?
GERARD ALSTON: Thank you. Yes. This area of
wires and cables in Chapter 12 is hotly contested
through, through this edition, and was an area of
significant debate within the Technical Committee and
its Task Groups. Dr. Hirschler has expressed his
opposition to the Committee action, which was to carve
out two exceptions from the requirements of Section
12-2-1 of the 2020 edition, which requires all cables
- all wires and cables to achieve certain
- all wires and cables to achieve certain (unintelligible) and total smoke release criteria when
(unintelligible) and total smoke release criteria when

The two exceptions which were identified,
encasement in concrete and use in open stations,
recognized both alternative means for mitigating fire
hazards, and the different level of risk associated
with open versus enclosed stations.

And to go over the history a little bit of the, of the requirements - these requirements originally applied only to vital circuits in the original editions, and that was expanded to all circuits within the stations as of the 2010 edition, for simplification in interpretation, and enforcement, not for a specific safety reason. The substantiation provided for the expanded scope was first given in the 2003 edition, and applied only to trainways or tunnels, to refer to all wire in the trainways, instead of trying to differentiate between specific wiring, for ease in interpretation.

The same requirements were not expanded to stations until 2010, a cycle in which there was significant effort expended to principally harmonize and make consistent requirements across stations, trainways, and tunnels, and the Emergency Ventilation chapters, rather than address a specific safety need.

Accordingly, the requirements for fire testing of wires and cables have not always been in NFPA 130

Page 52

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

2.5

as Dr. Hirschler contends. Previously, the fire
hazard posed by wire and cable installation for all
circuits has been historically addressed through
installation methods where all conductors are required
to be enclosed in their entirety, in noncombustible
armor sheets, conduits, or enclosed raceways. This is
still the case.

This is an approach that is consistent with the installation requirements of NFPA 70 for Wiring and Spaces, used for environmental air, (unintelligible).

Dr. Hirschler also contends that the proposal omits any safety requirements for wires and cables in open stations. This is untrue, as they are still required to comply to NFPA 70. The section that he was citing refers to traction power, and data and communication cables, which are dealt with separately.

What was vital and considered through much debate within the (unintelligible) Committee and respective Task Groups was the fire risk profile for open versus enclosed stations. An open station is defined as one that is constructed such that it is directly open to the atmosphere, and smoke and heat are allowed to disperse directly into the atmosphere without accumulation, and without impacting tenability and egress routes. The dispersal is effectively

Page 53

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

2.5

1 natural ventilation control for a fire heat - fire 2 generated heat and smoke. The relative fire risks of open and closed 3 facilities are addressed elsewhere in the Standard, as 4 5 well, such as with differing requirements for interior finish in enclosed versus open stations, and also 6 requirements for emergency ventilation in enclosed 8 stations. The differentiation is also evident in the 9 requirements for open versus enclosed trainways. 10 Therefore, the actions taken by the Committee 11 to identify the two exceptions to the section - to 12 Section 12.2.1, Flame Spread and Smoke Production 13 Requirements of Wires and Cables were carefully considered, factoring fire risk factors, mitigating 14 15 measures, and alternative means of protection. 16 The voting on this proposal included 23 17 affirmative, one negative out of 29 eligible, at first revision; and 25 affirmative and four not returned at 18 19 second revision. 20 RODGER REISWIG: Thank you, Mr. Alston. With 2.1 that, we will open debate on the motion. Please 22 provide your name, affiliation, and whether you're 23 speaking in support of, or against the motion. 2.4 Microphone Number Two. 25 MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Marcelo Hirschler, GBH Page 54

1	International for NFPA, and for the motion. I want to
2	point out three things. Number one - Mr the
3	Chairman said that the NFPA 70 governs these, but NFPA
4	70 explicitly excludes trains, and not just power
5	cables, but also insulation used for signaling and
6	communication purposes. So in actual fact, when we
7	eliminate oh, wires and cables in open stations, we're
8	eliminating all requirements. The NEC has nothing.
9	Number two - you keep bringing up the encased
10	in concrete, which is not part of this motion.
11	Encased in concrete still remains. So please, rebut
12	the, the issues that were brought to the table.
13	And number three - yes, the - this issue was
14	discussed, and the Committee considered it. But the
15	Committee did not do any fire hazard assessment. And
16	the, the requirements for open stations on every other
17	combustible are exactly the same as the requirements
18	for closed stations, on every other combustible. Only
19	exception is wires and cables. So clearly, this is
20	causing any - a decrease in fire safety. Thank you.
21	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Microphone Number
22	One.
23	JAMES CONRAD: Thank you, Mr. Chair. My name's
24	James Conrad with RSCC Wire and Cable, and I speak in
25	opposition of the motion on the floor. This has been
	Page 55

a heavily debated issue for many Code cycles now. And
my colleague, Mr. Hirschler and I have come to
disagree on a few things. The important thing to
remember, and Gerard gave some history - if you look
back through NFPA 130, the idea was, you know, when
you're in a tunnel or in a underground trainway, we
had to maybe heighten the flammability and smoke
requirements of wire and cable.

And Mr. Hirschler's statement that these cables will be dangerous is, is - I don't, I don't agree with that. These cables have to meet the National Electric Code. We say that right in 130. We say all cables must meet the National Electric Code, except as amended herein.

And over the many cycles, it was always intended to only add additional flame and smoke requirements for underground stations and trainways. It got mixed up in the rewrite, when we tried to combine all the electricals into one chapter, which is what we have now. And we're just trying to correct that and go back.

There is no need, other than the National Electric Code, a UL listed, or some type of listed product to an industry standard - like a building wire you have in here - yeah, to meet for open stations.

Page 56

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

2.5

1	You do not need the additional flame and smoke
2	requirements that we say you need for the enclosed
3	underground stations or trainways. And I speak
4	against the motion. Thank you.
5	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Microphone Number
6	Five.
7	KEVIN CHONG: Thank you, Mr. Chair. My name's
8	Kevin Chong, and again, I'm representing MKC
9	Engineering. My prior proposals to NFPA 130 were
10	based on many of these issues, and in one
11	RODGER REISWIG: Sorry - for or against the
12	motion, please.
13	KEVIN CHONG: Sorry. Speaking against the
14	motion. I wanted to mention that we also follow the
15	Building Code. And the Building Code is generally
16	where the original fire and smoke developed, and flame
17	spread ratings come from. And I originally made the,
18	the prior proposals because of the difficulty we had
19	meeting the specific requirements, especially as
20	mentioned just a little bit ago - the fire protected
21	cables. So - thank you.
22	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Microphone Number
23	Two.
24	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Thank you. Marcelo
25	Hirschler, GBH International for NFPA, and for the
	Dava 57
	Page 57

1	motion. We just heard that they follow the Building
2	Code. Building Code doesn't have wire and cable
3	requirements. The wire and cable requirements in the
4	Building Code sends you to the NEC, the National
5	Electrical Code, NFPA 70. Whether you're talking
б	about the International Building Code, the IBC, or
7	you're talking about NFPA 5000 - both of them will
8	send you - so if you're looking for requirements for
9	wire and cable in the, in the Building Code, you're
10	looking in the wrong place.
11	Number two - we, we heard twice, three times
12	that you go to the NEC for the requirements for wire
13	and cable. There are no requirements for wire and
14	cable in the NEC, for trains. I read you the section
15	that exclude - explicitly excludes them. So yes,
16	maybe you, you shouldn't do, do things. But don't
17	come up with the argument that that's because the NEC
18	has it. The NEC explicitly excludes anything to do
19	with rail. Thank you.
20	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Are there any
21	further discussions on Motion 130-2 to Accept an
22	Identifiable Part of Public Comment No. 6? With that,
23	Mr. Alston, would you like an opportunity for final
24	comment?
25	GERARD ALSTON: Just two points. Dr. Hirschler
	Page 58

keeps going back and saying that I'm arguing the
intent on the encased in concrete. I am not. I was
referring to installation methods within conduit,
noncombustible conduit, as is recognized in NFPA 70,
for installation in, in tunnels or shafts, and the
like; or alternative, FT-4, FT-6 type cable.
What was my other point? Oh - in, in - in
addition, in terms of the, the level of fire safety

addition, in terms of the, the level of fire safety within enclosed stations, that remains heightened from the adoption and - of smoke production requirements back in the 2003-2006 edition. So enclosed stations remain at a heightened level of safety, as had preceded it. The only exception here is to the different level of hazard and fire risk associated with open stations. So - thank you.

RODGER REISWIG: Thank you, Mr. Alston. Before we vote, let me restate the motion. The motion on the floor is to Accept an Identifiable Part of Public Comment No. 6. To vote, touch the Vote button. If you wish to vote in support of the motion and the recommended text on Screen One, vote Yes. If you wish to vote against the motion and the recommended text on Screen Two, touch No. Record your vote now, please. Voting will end in five seconds. Voting is now closed. Thank you.

Page 59

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

2.5

1	MALE SPEAKER: (unintelligible)
2	RODGER REISWIG: Oh.
3	MALE SPEAKER: the voting device
4	(unintelligible) work.
5	RODGER REISWIG: Hold on a second.
6	MALE SPEAKER: (unintelligible) isn't working.
7	(various voices, overlapping)
8	RODGER REISWIG: All right. Hold up. Let's go
9	ahead, and we'll revote. Everybody clear out. And is
10	there - I'll wait for NFPA, for the staff to give us
11	indication on - to proceed. Hold on. Yeah. All
12	right. Let's go ahead and revote. Voting is open
13	now. All right. Five seconds. And voting is closed.
14	Thank you. The results are 52 in favor, or support,
15	and 169 against. The motion has failed.
16	With that, we will move on to the next Standard
17	on the agenda, NFPA 285.
18	FEMALE SPEAKER: (unintelligible)
19	RODGER REISWIG: There was no - there was no
20	(unintelligible)
21	FEMALE SPEAKER: (unintelligible)
22	RODGER REISWIG: Okay. The next report under
23	consideration is that of the Technical Committee on
24	Fire Tests. Here to present the Committee Report is
25	Committee Chair, Barry Badders, of Intertek Testing
	Page 60

1	Services of Elmendorf, Texas.
2	The Committee Report, that is the First and
3	Second Draft Reports, is located on the, on the
4	Document Information Page for NFPA 285, on the NFPA
5	website. All Certified Amending Motions are
6	identified in the NFPA Technical Meeting Tech Session
7	Agenda included in the report of the Motions
8	Committee, and will be displayed behind me on the
9	screens as they are under debate. Mr. Badders will be
10	stepping down as Chair, due to the tenure policy, and
11	I would like to express our thanks to Barry for his
12	leadership. Thank you, Sir.
13	BARRY BADDERS: Thank you.
14	(applause)
15	RODGER REISWIG: Mr. Badders, could you present
16	the Chair's Report, please.
17	BARRY BADDERS: Certainly. The Report of the
18	Technical Committee on Fire Tests is presented as
19	found in the First Draft Report, and Second Draft
20	Report for the 2023 Edition of NFPA 285, Standard Fire
21	Test Method for Evaluation of Fire Propagation
22	Characteristics of Exterior Wall Assemblies Containing
23	Combustible Components. The revisions were submitted
24	to letter ballot of the responsible Committee, in
25	accordance with the regulations governing the
	Page 61

1	development of NFPA Standards. The reports, and
2	ballot results can be found in the Next Edition Tab of
3	the Document Information page for NFPA 285 at
4	www.nfpa.org/285next. Thank you.
5	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you, Sir. Let's now
6	proceed with the discussion on Certified Amending
7	Motions for NFPA 285. The next motion for NFPA 285-7
8	appeared on our agenda. However, the authorized maker
9	of the motion, the designated representative, has
L O	notified NFPA that this motion will not be pursued.
L1	Therefore, in accordance with the rules, Convention
L2	Rules at Section 2.7, the motion may not be considered
L3	by the assembly, and is removed from the agenda, and
L 4	we will now move to the next motion. Mr. Stahl, are
L5	you there?
L6	JOHN STAHL: Yes, I'm here.
L7	RODGER REISWIG: I don't know where here is.
L8	Oh. If you would like to say something about the
L9	motion, we'll give you a few seconds.
20	JOHN STAHL: Yes, I'm John Stahl. My company
21	is Preferred Solutions Incorporated, in Cleveland,
22	Ohio. We are a spray foam insulation manufacturer for
23	the past 38 years. I am recommending adoption of my
24	particular motion. And as I understand it, I'm
25	supposed to indicate that my motion is to Reject an
	Page 62

1	Identifiable Part of Second Revision 7
2	RODGER REISWIG: I'm sorry, Sir.
3	JOHN STAHL: Yeah.
4	RODGER REISWIG: Could you hold on a second?
5	JOHN STAHL: Yes.
6	RODGER REISWIG: NFPA was informed you were not
7	going to pursue the motion?
8	FEMALE SPEAKER: (unintelligible)
9	JOHN STAHL: Are, are we on
10	RODGER REISWIG: 285.
11	JOHN STAHL: Number
12	RODGER REISWIG: 285-7.
13	JOHN STAHL: Oh, -7. No. I, I am withdrawing
14	that particular recommendation, and the reason is that
15	when I made the original proposal, it was only to
16	eliminate the last four words in this sentence. And I
17	apparently, because of procedural methods that I
18	apparently overlooked, the motion became to eliminate
19	the entire sentence. And so I am not interested in
20	eliminating the entire sentence. So on that basis,
21	I'm requesting withdrawal, because it's also been
22	related to two other motions. Thank you.
23	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. So with this
24	motion not being pursued, and by the rules of the
25	Convention, cannot be acted upon. Now let's proceed
	Page 63

1	with the discussion on Certified Amending Motion 285-
2	12. Mr. Stahl.
3	JOHN STAHL: Yes. John Stahl, Preferred
4	Solutions, Inc., recommending adoption of this
5	particular recommendation. And I guess the
6	RODGER REISWIG: There is a motion on the
7	floor. Let me get, let me get a second. There's a
8	motion on the floor to Reject an Identifiable Part of
9	Second Revision No. 7 and Related Portions of First
10	Revision No. 15. Is there a second?
11	MALE SPEAKER: Second.
12	RODGER REISWIG: We do have a second. Please
13	proceed with the discussion.
14	JOHN STAHL: Yes. There's just some real quick
15	background, because some people may not be familiar
16	with the importance of NFPA 285. It's essentially a
17	test that measures the vertical propagation of a fire
18	up the side of tall buildings, to try to eliminate
19	issues that happened, like with the Grenfell Tower.
20	Over the years, engineering judgments have been used
21	to probably qualify over 50% of the assemblies that
22	are being installed, because there's thousands of
23	combinations that can actually be tested.
24	So the purpose of Annex B, which is a very good
25	Annex, a very good first cut, is now being recommended
	Page 64

1	in general. And my whole reason for proposing what I
2	am is that there are some inconsistencies, which has
3	been acknowledged by the Committee. But I would - my
4	goal here is just to try to at least eliminate one
5	inconsistency.
6	The way that you qualify products that have not
7	actually been 285 tested is to do comparative fire
8	tests, where you test materials that have not actually
9	been 285 tested, compared to materials that were. And
10	if the fire properties of the non-tested 285 materials
11	are equal or better than what actually was tested,
12	then those are acceptable.
13	Many fire protection engineers, and fire
14	protection organizations have different knowledge,
15	different viewpoints, and so there's not been any
16	consistency of writing these engineering judgments.
17	And that's the whole purpose of Annex B. So that's
18	the good, the good part.
19	Normally, and for many products that are
20	actually in this Annex, you are allowed to take
21	comparative fire test data from any generic
22	RODGER REISWIG: One minute.
23	JOHN STAHL: product that is in that, in
24	that list. So if you have a polystyrene foam, then
25	you can run comparative tests on anyone's foam, and
	Page 65

1	show that it's been tested, and show that it's better.
2	This is not true, for some reason, for spray foam
3	insulation. The requirements for spray foam
4	insulation is you always have to stay within that
5	manufacturer's product. And it's not true for these
6	other products. So there's some discrimination here.
7	And I presented this information to the Committee.
8	They did make some changes in wording, but the, the
9	wording for the spray foam insulation remains the
10	same. So I am making this proposal to eliminate the
11	need to limit testing within a manufacturer's brand.
12	Thank you.
13	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Mr. Badders, would
14	you like to offer the Committee's position?
15	BARRY BADDERS: Yes, please. For many years,
16	engineering judgments have been used to extend data
17	5 37777 005 13 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	from NFPA 285 assembly test the systems that have not
18	been fully tested. In 2018, the Fire Test Committee
18 19	
	been fully tested. In 2018, the Fire Test Committee
19	been fully tested. In 2018, the Fire Test Committee developed a Task Group to come up with guidance for
19 20	been fully tested. In 2018, the Fire Test Committee developed a Task Group to come up with guidance for engineers to use when developing these judgments. The
19 20 21	been fully tested. In 2018, the Fire Test Committee developed a Task Group to come up with guidance for engineers to use when developing these judgments. The Task Group worked for over four years and held dozens
19 20 21 22	been fully tested. In 2018, the Fire Test Committee developed a Task Group to come up with guidance for engineers to use when developing these judgments. The Task Group worked for over four years and held dozens of meetings, all of which were open for any observers

Page 66

The Committee added the language into the new
Annex B as non-mandatory guidance during the First
Draft. The Annex describes when substitutions can be
made without compromising test results, and what types
of substitutions would cross the line and require the
assembly to tested. The ballot during the first draft
passed with zero negative votes. One public comment
was, was received to try to move the language to the
body of the Standard, but the Committee did not accept
that comment. The Second Revision was developed to
further revise the Annex, and passed.

The two Certified Amending Motions related to 285 involve two sections within the Annex language related to spray polyurethane foam plastic SPF insulation. CAM 285-12 involves Section B.8.5.1.1.3. This section prohibits substitution of SPF from one manufacturer based on test data from a different manufacturer. Similar requirements are included for other types of insulation, including XPS, poly-iso, and EPS.

In summary, the Committee has been fully supportive of the Annex language. SPF has not been treated differently than other types of insulation, and no public comments were received related to SPF.

There were many opportunities for participation in the

Page 67

2.1

2.4

1	four years it took to develop this Annex. Thank you.
2	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you, Mr. Badders. With
3	that, we will open debate on the motion. Please
4	provide your name, affiliation, and whether you are
5	speaking in support of, or against the motion.
6	Microphone Number Five.
7	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Marcelo Hirschler, GBH
8	International, for NFPA, and against the motion. I am
9	a member of NFPA Fire Test Committee, of course. I am
10	not speaking for the NFPA Fire Test Committee. Just
11	to clarify, the - Mr. Stahl said that you use
12	individual tests on individual materials to qualify
13	them for NFPA 285. That is not true. You do not.
14	The only way that you qualify an assembly is by
15	running the NFPA 285 test as a whole.
16	What the Annex does is give you permission to
17	replace some materials, if one material has been
18	tested in an assembly, and another one has not been
19	tested. And in this particular case what we're
20	talking about is testing to ASTM E1354. Some of you
21	may know that as the (unintelligible), which has been
22	found (unintelligible) is used for assessing
23	difference in fire performance properties individual
24	materials.
25	The - Barry Badders pointed out that there was
	Page 68

1	one public comment, just to make this into a mandatory
2	section. That was my public comment. I failed. I
3	fully support what the Committee did. It was not my
4	first preference, but it, it is what the Committee
5	did. It is what the consensus does.
6	What, what, what we know is why we are strongly
7	in opposition to replacing one material from
8	Manufacturer A by a material that Manufacturer B says
9	is identical, is that we have no idea whether
L O	Manufacturer's A material and Manufacturer B's
L1	material have the same fire performance, have the same
L2	composition. We cannot know that. So it's, it's not
L3	acceptable to replace one by another.
L 4	And as was pointed out also, this is not
L 5	something that applies only to SPF foam. It applies
L6	to various other materials, as well. And the
L 7	gentleman said that he submitted information to the
L8	Committee. I participated in all the meetings of the
L9	Committee. He never participated in the meetings of
20	the Committee. He, you know, did not provide public
21	input, or public comments. Now, it's too late.
22	Please disapprove. Thank you.
23	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Microphone Number
24	Six.
25	JOHN STAHL: Well, just a couple - John Stahl,
	Dogg (0)
	Page 69

1	Preferred Solutions, Inc., proponent. Just a couple
2	of
3	RODGER REISWIG: Speaking for or against the
4	motion?
5	JOHN STAHL: Speaking for my motion. Just a
6	couple of comments in rebuttal to some of the comments
7	that were made by others. There definitely are
8	sections in the Annex that allow materials to be
9	tested from all manufacturers. Secondly, I did attend
L O	the meetings. There's actually word changes that were
L1	made as a result of my input to the meetings. And
L2	while there are inconsistencies in the Annex, it's
L3	still a very good Annex, very much needed. My whole
L 4	goal is only to reduce some of the conflicts, and some
L5	of the inconsistencies. Thank you.
L6	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Microphone Number
L7	Five.
L8	ART PARKER: Good afternoon. My name is Art
L9	Parker with Jensen Hughes. I'm speaking in opposition
20	to the motion on the floor. I'm the principle voting
21	member for Jensen Hughes on the Fire Test Committee,
22	and I'm speaking for myself. I was also actively
23	involved in the Task Group, and the Committee work
24	over the last few years on developing the new Annex B
25	information to be included into NFPA 285.
	Dawa 70
	Page 70

The Annex sections being addressed by this
motion states that each foam manufacturer must conduct
testing on their own product to demonstrate compliance
with the applicable Code requirements - in this case,
NFPA 285. We cannot use one manufacturer's data to be
used to qualify another manufacturer's product,
because as Dr. Hirschler said, we cannot be completely
sure that each foam product will perform exactly the
same way and obtain the same testing results.

When evaluating exterior wall assemblies containing a specific product, I need to have testing data on that specific product to ensure that I have an understanding of how the foam performs, to be able to establish that compliance. This reference testing data that forms the basis for, for a technically sound engineering judgment. Removal of this section would imply or allow use of another manufacturer's proprietary testing data to support another manufacturer's product performance, which is not technically appropriate.

Through the years of experience of testing, I know that some foams, for example, inter-mass (phonetic) more than others, and this may have an impact on the fire performance of the test assembly being evaluated. I'm also pretty confident that no

Page 71

2.1

2.4

1	product manufacturer would want their specific foam
2	product fire testing data to be used by a competitor
3	to gain acceptance for their foam product. In a fire
4	testing world, every manufacturer needs to conduct
5	their own testing to demonstrate the fire performance
6	of their product, so as to alleviate any questions of
7	suitability or qualification. Based on this, I urge
8	the membership to oppose the motion, and uphold the
9	Fire Test Committee's work over the last four years,
10	and by leaving this section in the Annex as developed.
11	Thank you.
12	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. And back to Number
13	Five, Microphone Number Five.
14	SHAMIM RASHID-SUMAR: Shamim Rashid-Sumar, also
15	principle voting member for the Technical Committee on
16	Fire Tests, speaking on behalf of myself and my
17	organization, the National Ready Mixed Concrete
18	Association. I wanted
19	RODGER REISWIG: Are you speaking for, or
20	against the motion?
21	SHAMIM RASHID-SUMAR: I apologize. Speaking
22	against the motion.
23	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you.
24	SHAMIM RASHID-SUMAR: I want to stress, on a
25	couple of points that our Committee Chair, as well as
	Page 72

1	some of the previous speakers said, because it's very
2	important. The language that you see that's being
3	stricken here in this Amended Motion - it actually
4	appears in other places in the proposed Appendix. It
5	is not just for SPF. The same language appears in the
6	proposed Appendix for XPS insulation - that's extruded
7	polystyrene foam plastic insulation. It appears for
8	polyisocyanurate foam plastic insulation, and it
9	appears for EPS - expanded polystyrene foam plastic
10	insulation. There's no discrimination here.
11	So I'm going to ask the question - why is this
12	language being stricken for SPF insulation, and not
13	for the other types of insulation that I mentioned?
14	We need to hold SPF insulation to the same standard as
15	all of the other types of insulation that this
16	Appendix covers. Striking this language will result
17	in a reduction of life safety. Therefore, I
18	respectfully request the membership to vote No. Thank
19	you.
20	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. We'll go over to
21	Microphone Three.
22	DICK DAVIS: Dick Davis, FM Global, speaking in
23	opposition to the motion. I'm a member of the Fire
24	Test Committee, but I'm speaking for myself and not on
25	behalf of the Committee.

There are - I don't want to reiterate what's
already been said. As our partner mentioned, there
are some issues associated with who owns the data from
the large scale tests, that the comparative tests are
being, you know, compared against. And I think it's
important that we get this document out. There has
been a lot of unjustified substitutions of components,
for either the cladding, the insulation, or water
resistive barrier in the assemblies that have passed
this intermediate scale test. And I urge everyone to
vote against this motion.
RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Number Five,
Microphone Five.
BEN CALDWELL: Hello. My name is Ben Caldwell
I'm an architect at Skidmore, Owens, and Merrill -

BEN CALDWELL: Hello. My name is Ben Caldwell I'm an architect at Skidmore, Owens, and Merrill - against the motion. And I'm also on the Fire Test Committee, a principle on the Fire Test Committee.

The Annex B Guide language has been carefully crafted to help write a credible NFPA 285 engineering judgment, assessing component substitutions based on a tested assembly. This engineering judgment is then used to assure the authority having jurisdiction, and the design professionals, that the deviations of the proposed product from the actual test is minimal, and known.

Page 74

2.4

2.5

This Amending Motion is asking that the spray
foam products by different manufacturers, that are
proprietary and almost certainly of a different
composition, to be deemed as equal. If accepted, this
Amending Motion introduces an unknown element into an
engineering judgment. We now have uncertainty within
an engineering judgment, and that uncertainty - and,
and - and excuse me - and uncertainty even that the,
the engineering judgment is valid. This defeats the
whole purpose of an engineering judgment. Please
reject this Amending Motion. Please vote No.
RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Microphone Number
One.
BILL FISKE: Thank you. I am Bill Fiske from
Intertek, and I'm speaking against this motion. As
noted, I am employed by Intertek, as is the Committee
Chair, and as most people know, independent testing
laboratories are strongly bound to client
confidentiality. And interchangeable test results
inevitably results in giving away confidential test
data, and the testing laboratories can - unknowingly,
and without their participation - violate the rules
that govern them in ISO IEC 17025, and in OSHA's

Page 75

regulations governing independent laboratories. Thank

you.

1	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Are there any
2	further discussions on Motion 285-12, to Reject an
3	Identifiable Part of Second Revision No. 7 and Related
4	Portions of First Revision No. 15? Mr. Badders, would
5	you like to make any final comments?
6	BARRY BADDERS: Only that I encourage the
7	membership to support the Committee.
8	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Before we vote,
9	let me restate the motion. The motion on the floor is
10	to Reject an Identifiable Part of Second Revision No.
11	7, and Related Portion of First Revision No. 15. To
12	vote, touch the Vote button. If you wish to vote in
13	support of the motion and the recommended text on
14	Screen One, touch Yes. If you wish to vote against
15	the motion and the recommended text on Screen Two,
16	touch No. Please record your vote now. Five seconds.
17	And voting's now closed. So we have - the motion has
18	failed - 7 in support, or Yes; and 209 reject, or vote
19	No. Thank you.
20	Let's, let's proceed with the discussion on
21	Certified Amending Motion 285-13. Microphone Number
22	Six.
23	JOHN STAHL: John Stahl, Preferred Solutions,
24	Incorporated. This is basically just another section
25	dealing with not allowing products to be compared with
	Page 76

1	various manufacturers.
2	RODGER REISWIG: The motion, Sir?
3	JOHN STAHL: Yeah, the motion is - my motion is
4	to support this particular item, which is to Reject an
5	Identifiable Part of Second Revision 7, and Related
6	Portion of First Revision No. 15.
7	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. There's a motion
8	on the floor to Reject an Identifiable Part of Second
9	Revision 7, and Related Portion of First Revision No.
10	15. Is there a second?
11	MALE SPEAKER: Second.
12	RODGER REISWIG: We have a second. Please
13	proceed with your discussion, Sir.
14	JOHN STAHL: I have not too much else to offer,
15	other than what I had mentioned before. But it is a
16	fact that there are various products in this Annex - I
17	can mention fire retardant treated wood; I can mention
18	mats; I can mention water barriers, etc., that are
19	permitted to be compared to various manufacturers. So
20	there is inconsistency, just for that reason, you
21	know, alone. And unfortunately, there hasn't really
22	been presented any technical information that
23	justifies why you shouldn't be able to compare actual
24	fire test data on generic reports. The materials do
25	not know what their name is, who the manufacturer is.

Page 77

1	So by limiting the ability to compare fire test data
2	from, from comparative product seems to be, to me, be
3	fair, very fair and reasonable. Thank you.
4	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Mr. Badders, would
5	you like to offer the Committee's position?
6	BARRY BADDERS: Yes, certainly. Similar to the
7	previous motion, this CAM addresses the same concept,
8	only in a different section of a newly developed
9	Annex. The Committee has been fully supportive of
10	this non-mandatory Annex language. The SPF has not
11	been treated differently than other types of
12	insulation. No public comments were received related
13	to SPF. There were many opportunities for
14	participation in the four years it took to develop the
15	Annex. Thank you.
16	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. With that, we will
17	open debate on the motion. Please provide your name,
18	affiliation, and whether you are speaking in support
19	of, or against the motion. Microphone Number Five.
20	ART PARKER: Good afternoon. My name is Art
21	Parker with Jensen Hughes. I'm speaking in opposition
22	to the motion. Again, as we've already stated, SPF
23	products are not being treated any differently than
24	other products that are in an exterior wall assembly.
25	Everybody's specific foam data, or product data needs
	Page 78

1	to be evaluated for their own family of products, and
2	we don't intermix this. We've heard a lot of the
3	arguments. We don't need to reiterate them. But I'd
4	urge the, the motion to fail. Thank you.
5	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. I'll stay at
6	Microphone Number Five.
7	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Marcelo Hirschler, GBH
8	International for NFPA, and against the motion. I'm
9	not going to repeat all the things that we said
10	before. This is identical to the previous motion.
11	Please be consistent in your vote. Vote, vote it
12	down, just like you did the previous one. It's
13	identical. Thank you.
14	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. And I'll still
15	stay at Microphone Number Five.
16	SHAMIM RASHID-SUMAR: Shamim Rashid-Sumar,
17	National Ready Mixed Concrete Association, speaking
18	against the motion. Again, all of the same points as
19	before. Striking this language will result in the
20	reduction of life safety. Urging you to vote No once
21	again. Thank you.
22	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. And Microphone
23	Number Five.
24	BEN CALDWELL: Hello, again. Ben Caldwell,
25	Architect, Skidmore, Owens and Merrill, speaking
	Page 79

1 against the motion. And again, accepting this motion 2 introduces an unknown element into engineering 3 judgments, and I urge everybody here to vote No. 4 Thank you. 5 RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Are there any 6 further discussion on Motion 285-13, to Reject an Identifiable Part of Second Revision No. 7, and 8 Related Portion of First Revision No. 15? Mr. 9 Badders, would you like to offer any final comments? BARRY BADDERS: Certainly. Once again, I 10 11 encourage the membership to support the Committee. Thank you. 12 13 RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Before we vote, let me restate the motion. The motion on the floor is 14 15 to Reject an Identifiable Part of Second Revision No. 16 7, and Related Portion of First Revision No. 15. To, 17 to vote, touch the Vote button. If you wish to vote in support of the motion and the recommended text on 18 19 Screen One, touch Yes. If you wish to vote against 20 the motion and the recommended text on Screen Two, 21 touch No. Record your vote now, please. Voting will 22 close in five seconds. Voting is now closed. 23 you. We have two in support, in favor of the motion. We have 215 against the motion. The motion has 2.4 25 failed. Page 80

We will now move on to the next Standard, No.
502. The next report under consideration is that of
the Technical Committee on Road Tunnels and Highway
Fire Protection. Here to present the Committee Report
is Committee Chair, Norris Harvey of Mott MacDonald,
from Selden, New York.

The Committee Report, that is the First and Second Draft Reports, is located on the Document Information Page for NFPA 502, on the NFPA website. All Certified Amending Motions are identified in the NFPA Tech - Technical Meeting, Tech Session Agenda included in the report of the Motions Committee, and will be displayed behind me on the screen as they are under debate. There are others that are too extensive. In that case, the page will be identified as we move through this. Mr. Harvey, before we start, we would like to offer you - well, we - would you like to offer the Chair Report?

NORRIS HARVEY: Um-hmm. Thank you. The report of the Technical Committee on Road Tunnel and Highway Fire Protection is presented as found in the First Draft Report, and Second Draft Report for the 2023 Edition of NFPA 502, Road Tunnels, Bridges, and Other Limited Access Highways. The revisions were submitted to letter ballot of the responsible Technical

Page 81

2.1

2.4

2.5

1	Committees, and Correlating Committee in accordance
2	with regulations governing the development of NFPA
3	Standards. The reports and ballot results can be
4	found on the Next Edition tab of the Document
5	Information Tab for NFPA 502, at the web, web address.
6	RODGER REISWIG: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Harvey.
7	Let's now proceed with the discussion on the Certified
8	Amending Motions for NFPA 502. First up is 502-2.
9	Microphone Number Two.
10	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Marcelo Hirschler, GBH
11	International for NFPA, and I make a motion, CAM
12	Number 502-2.
13	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. There is a motion
14	on the floor to Accept Public Comment No. 2 - No. 12,
15	I'm sorry - Public Comment No. 12. Is there a second?
16	FEMALE SPEAKER: Second.
17	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. We do have a
18	second. Please proceed with the discussion.
19	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Thank you. This is Marcelo
20	Hirschler, GBH International for NFPA. This is a
21	complex issue, so let me try to explain. Well,
22	throughout all the NFPA Codes and Standards, we have
23	various ways in which describe what is a
24	noncombustible material. One is, the material that
25	has - do nothing. It doesn't ignite, doesn't burn,
	Page 82

1 doesn't support combustion, or release flammable 2 vapors - any, any of that. 3 But the most common thing, way in which we, we describe whether material is noncombustible, is by 4 5 testing. We test to ASTM E-136. And throughout all 6 the NFPA documents, including NFPA 130, including NFPA 1, and, and we - if a material passes ASTM E-136, it is noncombustible. 8 9 But in order to pass ASTM E-136, you can have 10 flame; you can lose mass; you can lose and have some 11 results of the (unintelligible) combusted. So that means that if this is the definition of 12 13 noncombustible, and then you go to Section 4.8 that 14 says, 'For this Standard, noncombustible is something 15 that passes ASTM E-136,' that's inconsistent, because 16 Section 4.8 tells you that all you need to do is pass 17 ASTM E-136. So if you pass ASTM E-136, you will not 18 comply with this definition. 19 And before this change was made, the - this 20 section was together in 4.8, so there were various 21 options in 4.8. One was the material doesn't ignite 22 and doesn't do anything. One, does it pass ASTM 136. 23 One, does it pass the ISO standard. And one, does it past the BS standard. All of those are testing. 2.4 2.5 But the other one is this - this is one option. Page 83

1 This is not the entire thing. And the Standard we 2. discussed just before --3 RODGER REISWIG: One minute. MARCELO HIRSCHLER: -- this, which was ASTM -4 5 sorry, NFPA 130, which is the companion Standard to 6 this Standard, has that distinction very clearly. There is a section in the Standard, in Chapter Four, that describes all the various ways in which you can 8 9 be noncombustible, and there is no definition. That 10 definition just simply leads you to that. 11 the same here, but this particular comment changes that, and makes the definition inconsistent with the 12 13 Standard. Thank you. 14 RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Before I go to the Chair, I just want to clarify. I believe I misspoke. 15 16 So we're at Motion 502-2, and this is to Accept Public 17 Comment No. 12 - just to clarify. Mr. Harvey, would 18 you like to comment, or offer the Committee's 19 position? 20 NORRIS HARVEY: First, I would want to Okay. 21 say thank you to Dr. Hirschler for bringing this to our attention. Dr. Hirschler made a public comment 22 23 for the First Revision, to remove Annex language related to the definition of noncombustible material. 2.4 2.5 The Committee agreed with Dr. Hirschler and removed Page 84

_		_
+ha	λ nnov	language.
r_{11}	AIIIICY	Tallyuaye,

2.1

2.4

In the process of reviewing the change, the Committee determined to remove the reference to NFPA 502, Section 4.8, and add a definition in NFPA 502 Section 3.3.44, 'A material that in the form in which it is used, and under the conditions anticipated, will not ignite, burn, support combustion, or release flammable vapors when subjected to fire or heat.' The voting was 27 affirmative, two no response - a near unanimous decision.

Dr. Hirschler is objecting to the addition of the definition in this location. Part of the justification to reject this motion is the assertion that this language is not consistent with language in NFPA documents, such as NFPA 1, 101, 5000, or 130. Upon review of NFPA 101, the stated definition of noncombustible material refers to Section 4.6.13, which states, 'Noncombustible material, or material with any of the following, shall be considered a noncombustible material. The material in the form in which it is used and under the conditions anticipated, will not ignite, burn, support combustion, or release flammable vapors when subjected to fire or heat.' This definition is identical to the definition inserted by the Committee and, and NFPA 502, Section

Page 85

3.3.44, and is not considered inconsistent with other NFPA documents, such as NFPA 101.

The Committee's stated substantiation for the addition of the definition follows. The definition should not be a reference to the main body of the Standard. Therefore, definition of noncombustible material was added, Annex language deleted as the information is redundant, and the same information is brought under Section 4.8.

The Committee respectfully requests the CAM motion to be rejected.

RODGER REISWIG: Thank you, Mr. Harvey. With that, we will open debate on the motion. Please provide your name, affiliation, and whether you are speaking in support of, or against the motion. I'll start at Microphone Number Six.

DICK DAVIS: Dick Davis, FM Global, speaking in support of the motion. I'm speaking on my own behalf, but I am a member of the Fire Test Committee, and the Building Construction Committee, which is responsible for NFPA 220 and, and portions of the NFPA 5000, which includes this definition. And in NFPA 220, the -which I have in front of me, for noncombustible material, it says, 'See 4.15.' And - in which it, it elaborates on how you would achieve that, which as

Page 86

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

2.5

1	Marcelo indicated, would be by passing either ASTM E-
2	136, or E-2652.
3	So as this is proposed, this would be
4	consistent with what is NFPA 220 and 5000 for the
5	definition of noncombustible, and the performance
6	requirements, which do not belong in the definition
7	section.
8	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Marcelo, did you
9	want to talk?
10	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Yes.
11	RODGER REISWIG: Microphone Number Two.
12	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Marcelo Hirschler, GBH
13	International for NFPA, and in support of the motion.
14	The Chairman wrote - read a little bit of the
15	definition of noncombustible in NFPA 5000. He read
16	the first section, not all four sections. NFPA 5000,
17	like NFPA 101, like NFPA 130, like NFPA 1, like NFPA
18	98, and I keep go - yeah, I can go through all, all
19	these Standards, gives you various options for what is
20	noncombustible. NFPA 502, by putting this in the
21	revision, cuts out this option. It has to be this or
22	nothing. And then, in 4.8, it says - 'No, ignore all
23	that that I said in, in the definition. Use all -
24	everything else, not what I said in the definition.'
25	So the - adding this in the definition is
	Page 87

1	inconsistent with the - its own Standard, and
2	inconsistent with all other NFPA documents. Thank
3	you.
4	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Are there any
5	further discussions on Motion 502-2, to Accept Public
6	Comment No. 12? Seeing none - Mr. Harvey, would you
7	like any final comments?
8	NORRIS HARVEY: Yes. Just real quick. Dr.
9	Hirschler has stated that there's inconsistency with
10	other Standards. The Committee determined, and by a
11	near unanimous vote without objection, that they would
12	prefer to see the definition, which is the same - it
13	is an intent. That definition is further clarified in
14	Section 4.8. So we, we don't find an inconsistency.
15	Thank you.
16	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you, Mr. Harvey.
17	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Mr. Chair?
18	RODGER REISWIG: Go ahead. I'll, I'll let you
19	have one minute, Mr. Hirschler.
20	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Thank you. Marcelo
21	Hirschler, GBH International for NFPA, in support.
22	There is inconsistency between 3.3.45 and 4.8. They
23	are in opposition. Thank you.
24	RODGER REISWIG: Mr. Harvey, would you like to
25	comment?

Page 88

1	NORRIS HARVEY: I just stated that we do not
2	agree with that position.
3	RODGER REISWIG: All right, thank you. Before
4	we vote, let me restate the motion. The motion on the
5	floor is to Accept Public Comment No. 12. To vote,
6	touch the Vote button. If you wish to vote in support
7	of the motion and the recommended text on Screen One,
8	touch Yes. If you wish to vote against the motion and
9	recommend the recommended text on Screen Two, touch
10	No. Please record your vote now. Voting will close
11	in five seconds. Voting is now closed. Thank you.
12	We have 106 in support, or affirmative. We have 94
13	against. This motion has passed.
14	Let's now proceed with the discussion on
15	Certified Amending Motion 502-4. Microphone Number
16	Two.
17	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Sorry, give me, give me one
18	minute, please.
19	RODGER REISWIG: Sure.
20	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Thank you very much.
21	Marcelo Hirschler, GBH International for NFPA, and I
22	move CAM 502-4, please.
23	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. There is a motion
24	on the floor to Accept an Identifiable Part of Public
25	Comment No. 13. Is there a second?
	Page 89

1 FEMALE SPEAKER: Second. 2 RODGER REISWIG: We do have a second. Please 3 proceed with the discussion. 4 MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Thank you. Marcelo 5 Hirschler, GBH International for NFPA, and in support 6 of the motion. This is a companion to what we just What you just did is delete the definition for 8 4.8. Now what I'm - what this motion does is put it 9 into 4.8 as one of the options. 10 So Section 4.8 of 4, 502 explains that there 11 are several options for a material to be 12 noncombustible for use in the standard, as for use in 13 most other NFPA Codes and Standards. Unless this motion approve, the option of the material in the 14 15 formula which is used - will not ignite etc., is not 16 one of the options. Without that addition, materials 17 would have to be tested, one of the prior tests there, irrespective of whether we know that it's 18 19 noncombustible. Most other NFPA documents, including 20 the Companion Standard in NFPA 130, as well as various 2.1 others - I'm not going to have to go to the list -22 include those materials (unintelligible) no response 23 to a thermal insult. Language proposed has a critical 2.4 issue that's resolved by this CAM. Thank you very 25 much. Page 90

1	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Mr. Harvey, would
2	you like to offer the Committee's position?
3	NORRIS HARVEY: Hmm. In light of the previous
4	pass of the motion for 502-2, on Motion 502-2, I wish
5	to not oppose this, this motion. It, it's, it's
6	necessary
7	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you.
8	NORRIS HARVEY: too.
9	RODGER REISWIG: With that, we will open debate
10	on the motion. Please provide your name, affiliation,
11	and whether you are speaking in support of, or against
12	the motion. I'll start at Microphone Number Two.
13	SHAMIM RASHID-SUMAR: Yes, thank you. This is
14	Shamim Rashid-Sumar, speaking for the motion,
15	representing the National Ready Mixed Concrete
16	Association. The - this amendment is extremely
17	necessary. Without it, now the definition is going to
18	be incomplete. So based on your previous action, I
19	urge the membership to vote Yes.
20	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Just seeing some
21	people walking around. Want to make sure -
22	(unintelligible). Okay. Are there any further
23	discussions for Motion 502-4, to Accept an
24	Identifiable Part of Public Comment No. 13? Mr.
25	Harvey, would you like any final comments?
	Page 91

1	NORRIS HARVEY: No. Thank you.
2	RODGER REISWIG: All right. Thank you. Before
3	we vote, let me restate the motion. The motion on the
4	floor is to Accept an Identifiable Part of Public
5	Comment No. 13. To vote, touch the Vote button. If
6	you wish to vote in support of the motion and the
7	recommended text found on page 256, please touch Yes.
8	If you wish to vote against the motion and the
9	recommended text found on page 256, touch No. Please
10	record your vote now. Hold on a second. We know
11	it's, it's coming up here. We'll give you time.
12	Okay? How about five more seconds. A few more
13	seconds, just in case. Is it working? All right.
14	Thank you. Voting's closed. We have 194 in support
15	of the motion, and 15 against the motion. The motion
16	has passed.
17	Let's now proceed with the discussion on
18	Certified Amending Motion 502-9. Microphone Number
19	Two.
20	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Please give me a couple
21	seconds.
22	RODGER REISWIG: When you are ready, Sir.
23	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Thank you very much.
24	Marcelo Hirschler, GBH International for NFPA, and
25	maker of the motion CAM 502-9, please.
	Page 92

1	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. There's a motion
2	on the floor to Accept an Identifiable Part of Public
3	Comment No. 13. Is there a second?
4	FEMALE SPEAKER: Second.
5	MALE SPEAKER: Second.
6	RODGER REISWIG: We do have a second. Please
7	proceed with the discussion on the motion.
8	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
9	Again, this is a weird thing about testing, and I'm a
10	fire testing geek, so I, I apologize to the group.
11	EN-13501-1 contains two different sets of requirements
12	based on the ISO 1182 Noncombustible (unintelligible).
13	One of them is Class A-1, the other one is Class A-2.
14	Both of them are within Class A of EN 13501-1.
15	Class A-2 is roughly equivalent to what, in the
16	NFPA system, is limited combustible materials, because
17	it allows a very significant amount of burning to
18	happen when tested to the ISO 1182. On the hand,
19	Class A material - one - sorry, Class A-1 materials
20	are similar materials to comply with ASTM
21	(unintelligible) 136, which it was just discussed
22	before.
23	NFPA 502 does not allow limited combustible
24	materials for any application. But if we don't
25	specify that has to be EN 13501-1, Class A-1. If we
	Page 93

1	allow Class A-2 materials, then we would allow limited
2	combustible materials everywhere. That is not
3	consistent with NFPA state to - 502 states otherwise.
4	The statement by the Committee Chair addresses BS 54 -
5	476, which is not part of this motion. It's not being
6	addressed.
7	Fact that the Committee was not aware that
8	Class A-1 and Class A-2 materials are different is a
9	reflection of the fact that I'm a fire test geek, and
10	very familiar, probably too familiar with the subtle
11	differences in fire test requirements. This CAM is
12	simply clarification to ensure that the correct
13	(unintelligible) criteria used are those in EN 13501-
14	1, which is the European Standard for reaction of fire
15	requirements in Europe. It contains, as said before,
16	two (unintelligible) materials, A-1, and A-2. We need
17	to make sure that A-1 is used, which is the equivalent
18	of what we use here in this country, for ASTM E136.
19	That criteria for A-1 is very similar to ASTM E136.
20	Criteria for A-2 is similar to
21	RODGER REISWIG: One minute.
22	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: (unintelligible)
23	combustible materials. If the information approved by
24	the Committee is approved, means NFPA 502 would in
25	practice, allow materials similar to limited

Page 94

1	combustible materials for every application
2	(unintelligible) and so on, which is not what, what
3	you want. Thank you very much.
4	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Mr. Harvey, would
5	you like to offer the Committee's position?
6	NORRIS HARVEY: Sure. I'd like to emphasize
7	that the, the NFPA 502 Committee is, is - has 30
8	voting members, 10 of which are international in
9	nature. The 502 Standard is truly an international
L O	standard, and it is recognized globally. And hence
L1	the, the desire to bring international standards into
L2	the, into the body of the Standard.
L3	My written statement here is CAM Number 9, NFPA
L4	502, 2023, would like to add text to NFPA 502, Section
L5	4.8.4. The current proposed language is
L6	(unintelligible) from the NFPA 502, Next Edition
L7	website, and represents what is currently proposed for
L8	the Standard, which is - I won't get into reading all
L9	of that to you.
20	The proposed change, according to CAM Number 9
21	is to add for Class A-1 as shown below, as Marcelo
22	just elaborated on. When the Committee discussed PC
23	13 and created the creation of SR-1, the discussion
24	only revolved around the parts of PC 13 concerning the
25	applicability of test standards. The Committee
	Page 95

1	decided to keep BS 476 in the requirements, and
2	created a second revision updating the Subsection 3,
3	the split testing requirements, to clarify that either
4	BS 476-4, or EN 13501 needs to be met, and not both.
5	Inclusion of for Class A-1 was not focus - not
6	a focus of discussion. And I'll leave it at that.
7	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you, Mr. Harvey. With
8	that, we will open debate on the motion. Please
9	provide your name, affiliation, and whether you are
10	speaking in support of, or against the motion.
11	Microphone Number Two.
12	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Marcelo Hirschler, GBH
13	International for NFPA, and for the motion. Just to
14	clarify what the Chairman just said - they didn't
15	think about whether use A-1 or A-2. I understand
16	that. I'm a - and a, a number of people are not fire
17	test geeks like I am. I, I know a lot more about what
18	the individual fire tests require and don't require.
19	If we don't specify which it is, we're going to put
20	materials in there that we don't want. I have no
21	objection to BS 476. It is not part of the, of the
22	CAM, it's not part of the motion. I have no objection
23	to the fact that this is an international standard,
24	absolutely, and it is - I am very happy that you
25	include the European, the (unintelligible) 13501-1. I
	Page 96

1	just want to make clear that you don't want to start
2	including materials that burn more than you want. So
3	please, allow you to put A-1, and please support the
4	motion. Thank you.
5	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Is there any
6	further discussion on Motion 502-9 to Accept an
7	Identifiable Part of Public Comment Number 13? Mr.
8	Harvey, would you like to offer any final comments?
9	NORRIS HARVEY: No further comment.
10	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Before we vote,
11	let me restate the motion. The motion on the floor is
12	to Accept an Identifiable Part of Public Comment No.
13	13. To vote, touch the Vote button. If you wish to
14	vote in support of the motion and the recommended text
15	on page 263 of the report of the Motions Committee,
16	touch Yes. If you wish to vote against the motion and
17	the recommended text as shown on page 263, touch No.
18	Please record your vote now. Five seconds. Voting is
19	now closed. We have 141 in favor, support of the
20	motion, and we have 34 against the motion. The motion
21	has passed.
22	Let's proceed with the discussion on Certified
23	Amending Motion 502-7.
24	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Give me one - a couple of
25	minutes, please.
	Daga 07
	Page 97

1	RODGER REISWIG: Yes, Sir.
2	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: I'm sorry.
3	RODGER REISWIG: It's okay.
4	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Thank you. Marcelo
5	Hirschler, GBH International for NFPA, and the maker
6	of - I move CAM 502-7, please.
7	RODGER REISWIG: There is a motion on the floor
8	to Accept Public Comment No. 18. Is there a second?
9	MALE SPEAKER: Second.
10	FEMALE SPEAKER: Second.
11	RODGER REISWIG: We do have a second. Please
12	proceed with the discussion on the motion.
13	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: This CAM addresses
14	something completely different, but still, still in
15	the terms of fire testing, which is my thing. This
16	addresses fire time temperature curves. The time
17	temperature curve in the RWS Standard - report from
18	Efectis - was developed specifically for fire safety
19	of tunnels, has been in NFPA 502 for multiple editions
20	- not just any curve, but it's the only standard time
21	temperature curve that's severe enough to make it
22	suitable for tunnels, as stated before. It has been
23	the required for curve for many editions.
24	Recently ASTM Committee E-5, Committee on Fire
25	Standards, developed ASTM E-3134, which is entitled,
	Page 98

1	Specification for Transportation Tunnel Structural
2	Components of Passive Fire Protection System -
3	contains the exact same temperature curve as the RWS
4	does, the same acceptance criteria, critical ones
5	being transmission of heat, and spalling. By
6	referencing ASTM 3134, NFPA 502 references a consensus
7	standard, rather than a proprietary test method.
8	In fact, the Technical Committee accepted that.
9	They deleted reference to the RWS curve, and to the
10	associated report in the first draft, in the Reference
11	Standards. Therefore, this now retain the reference,
12	and it's inconsistent between one section and the
13	other section. There is any consistent within the
14	Standard.
15	The mention of the RWS curve needs to be
16	deleted from this section, and its necessary
17	reference, the ASTM 3134, which has already been
18	accepted by the Committee, into the section on
19	Informational references.
20	The CAM also does one more thing. It deletes
21	any reference to potential alternate tests. That is
22	a, a safety measure, because it has been shown that
23	the RWS curve, or the ASTM 3134 curve, which is, which

Page 99

is the same, is the most severe fire resistance test

curve available, and it was specifically designed for

24

25

1	the fire resistance in tunnels. It was specifically
2	designed for fire resistance in tunnels. Therefore,
3	it should not be acceptable to offer a less suitable
4	alternative, lower fire safety, unless
5	RODGER REISWIG: One minute.
6	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: an engineering analysis
7	is done, which is consistent with existing language,
8	also. Let me clarify. This CAM does not add any new
9	requirements or an engineering analysis. If you could
10	read that, it has the exact same (unintelligible)
11	engineering analysis as before - no change in that.
12	This CAM does, does do - make two changes.
13	First, it deletes the RSW curve, which the
14	Committee already deleted in part, but not in total,
15	and replace it with the ASTM curve which has the exact
16	same curve, and is the consensus standard. And two,
17	it deletes the unsafe statement that instead of the
18	RWS curve, you could use another recognized standard -
19	because we know that no other recognized standard is
20	as appropriate as the RWS curve. Thank you.
21	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. With that, Mr.
22	Harvey, would you like to offer the Committee's
23	position?
24	NORRIS HARVEY: Thank you. Okay. Dr.
25	Hirschler would like the acceptance of Public Comment
	Page 100

Т	No. 18, which has the changes as shown on the screen.
2	And the Committee disagree with Dr. Hirschler's
3	recommending, recommendation, with the following
4	justification.
5	The proposed change restricts the scope of the
6	clause to specific sub-elements of structural fire
7	durability, which is contrary to the current language.
8	The Standard already contains specific requirements re
9	compliance in the clause 7.3.3, 7.3.4, and 7.3.6. But
10	the proposed language requires an engineering analysis
11	to demonstrate that the curve was applicable, which is
12	restricting AHJ. This requirement is not the same as
13	the AHJ accepting a curve, as an engineering analysis.
14	One of the members of the Committee was
15	actually on the standard that established the ASTM
16	3431 time temperature curve standard. There were 27
17	affirmative votes, with, with no - two no responses.
18	And, and so the Committee expressed intent was to
19	maintain the language as - in terms of rejecting the
20	motion that's on the table.
21	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. With that, we will
22	open debate on the motion. Please provide your name,
23	affiliation, and whether you are speaking in support
24	of, or against the motion. Microphone Number Two.
25	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Marcelo Hirschler, GBH
	Page 101

1	International for NFPA, and for the motion. I, I'm
2	not sure how often I, I need to say it - please read
3	the, the language. The language says unless
4	acceptable to the AHJ following engineer analysis
5	today, and unless an engineering analysis acceptable
6	to AHJ with my change. That is exactly the same. So
7	there's nothing new about engineering analysis, that -
8	and the Annex of NFPA 502 as accepted, already says
9	that E-3134 is identical to RWS. And RWS has been
10	deleted in part, but only in part, and not in total.
11	So what this does, it makes consistent what is
12	in the body of, of NFPA 502, what's in the Annex of -
13	Annex of 502. If not, we're going to have a Standard
14	referenced, but not referenced in, in part, a Standard
15	included in one part, but the reference not included.
16	This just brings consistency, and doesn't add any new
17	requirements. ASTM E-3134, and Barry Badders was -
18	who was here earlier - was the person who moved this
19	through ASTM E5. E-3134 has the exact same fire curve
20	as the RWS curve. Thank you.
21	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Microphone Number
22	One.
23	JAMES CONRAD: Thank you. James Conrad, RSCC,
24	and I speak in opposition to the motion on the floor.
25	I am a voting member of NFPA 502. This was heavily
	Page 102

1	debated. And as the Chair stated, this is truly an
2	international standard. We have numerous countries.
3	And when we start talking specific US, or North
4	America standards, especially on the fire test curves,
5	we get a lot of pushback, and a lot of debate. And
6	after numerous debates, we decided to leave the, the
7	statement that's in there for rejecting it. That fits
8	well within our committee, and again, I urge you to
9	speak against, or vote against the motion. Thank you.
10	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Microphone Number
11	Four.
12	BARRY BADDERS: Yes. Barry Badders with
13	Intertek, in support of the motion. Just like
14	Marcelo, I know fire testing, been involved with this
15	for 20 years. And I was leader of the Task Group that
16	developed E-3134, which is based on the RSW curve. E-
17	3134 is a consensus standard, and a consensus process.
18	This is just not a reference to a specific curve. It
19	has details in it that, that could be important. And,
20	and I think the membership should take that into
21	consideration so we're not just referencing a time
22	temperature curve - we're actually referencing a
23	consensus standard. So I encourage you to support the
24	motion. Thank you.
25	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Microphone Number
	Page 103

1	Two.
2	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Marcelo Hirschler, GBH
3	International for NFPA, and in support. One other
4	comment I wanted to point out. The RWS time
5	temperature curve is not a Standard. And that's one
б	of the things, one of the reasons why ASTM E-5 under
7	Barry Badders put this, the effort into developing a
8	standard.
9	I fully agree that NFPA 502 is an international
10	standard. It's adopted everywhere, which is why, in
11	all our NFPA Standards, we want to adopt Standards
12	included in there, not just propriety curves. RSW is
13	a propriety curve. Thank you.
14	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Are there any
15	further discussion on Motion 502-7 to Accept Public
16	Comment No. 18? Seeing none, Mr. Harvey, would you
17	like to add any final comments?
18	NORRIS HARVEY: Yes, I do. A couple of things.
19	First thing - strictly speaking, ASTM 34, 3431, or
20	3134, time temperature curve is not identical to the
21	RWS time temperature curve. There are some
22	differences between the two. The ASTM 3134 Standards
23	is a young standard, and one of the things that was in
24	the perspective of the Committee was the UL listing,
25	yeah, or the listed, listing requirements and, and the
	Page 104

1	additional burden of, of testing an introduction of a
2	very young Standard would, would bring to the
3	tunneling community. The RWS curve is internationally
4	recognized, and there is a testing standard for it,
5	which is the effect - which has just been revised in
6	the past year or two by Efectis.
7	So we tabled the inclusion of the ASTM curve to
8	allow it some time to mature, and to - and also to
9	just give the community time to recognize that there
10	is a new standard out there, and move in that
11	direction.
12	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you, Mr. Harvey. With
13	that, before we vote, let me restate the motion. The
14	motion on the floor is to Accept Public Comment No.
15	18. To vote, touch the Vote button. If you wish to
16	vote in support of the motion and the recommended text
17	on Screen One, vote Yes. If you wish to vote against
18	the motion and the recommended text on Screen Two,
19	touch No. Please record your vote now. Voting will
20	close in five seconds. Voting is now closed. We have
21	108 in support. We have 80 against. The motion has
22	passed.
23	With that, we will now proceed with our next
24	Certified Amending Motion, 502-5 on our agenda.
25	Microphone Number Two.

1	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Thank you. Marcelo
2	Hirschler, GBH International for NFPA, and I move CAM
3	5, please.
4	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. There is a motion
5	on the floor to Accept Public Comment No. 17. Is
6	there a second?
7	FEMALE SPEAKER: Second.
8	RODGER REISWIG: We do have a second. Please
9	proceed with the discussion on the motion.
10	MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
11	Marcelo Hirschler, GBH International for NFPA, and in
12	support of the motion. This CAM addresses the
13	exposure time during fire resistant rating - fire
14	resistance testing. The required exposure time of two
15	hours or 120 minutes has been in the Standard until
16	the 2017 Edition, and represents a clear demarcation
17	of fire safety. An exposure time of 120 minutes means
18	a fire resistance rating of two hours. Clearly, if
19	the exposure time is permitted to be shorter, meaning
20	the lower fire resistance rating is allowed, fire
21	safety is lowered.
22	The change that was made for the 2020 Edition
23	was intended to correlate with Section 732, which
24	requires an engineering analysis be made for any
25	deviation from the door exposure time. Clearly, no
	Page 106

engineer analysis required to increase the exposure time, because a high exposure time, or a higher fire resistance rating will clearly improve fire safety. However, the use of a lower exposure time, as would be permitted by the approved language, is a lowering of fire safety. And the language approved for Section 7.3.3 does not require an engineering analysis.

The - excuse me - thus, it forces the AHJ to determine whether a lower exposure time and lower fire safety is acceptable, without any associated guidance, or any information on how much lower the exposure time would be acceptable. Is a fire exposure time of one minute acceptable? Clearly not. But the Standard does not say that it is not, and provides no information to the AHJ as to what minimal exposure time is needed. This CAM requires the exposure time in the fire resistance test must be no less than two hours, or 120 minutes, meaning the fire resistance rating must be at least two hours.

If you notice, the only difference we're here - is that it says there, 'or other time acceptable to the (unintelligible)' and that's perfectly fine, as long as the time is not less than 120 minutes. Thank you.

RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Mr. Harvey, would

Page 107

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

2.5

1 you like to offer the Committee's position? 2 NORRIS HARVEY: Yes. Thank you. All right. 3 So, Dr. Hirschler is objecting to the potential reduction of the minimum fire exposure time of 120 4 5 The Committee disagrees with Dr. Hirschler's The Committee views the Standard NFPA 502 6 position. as a performance standard, augmented with prescriptive 8 elements. All highway tunnels are bespoke, and the 9 Committee's intent is to provide flexibility to the Authority Having Jurisdiction for fit-for-purpose 10 11 application. 12 The language in the Standard provides the 13 ability for change via an approval process which directly involves the AHJ, if a change is established. 14 15 We're not reducing the 120 minute time period, as stated. It's not being reduced, but only at the 16 17 approval of the AHJ. The Committee's substantiation for rejection -18 for rejecting the motion is, the proposed P.I. does 19 20 not improve the existing language, and removes the 2.1 option of AHJ approval for shorter time periods for 22 special cases, therefore is rejected. And the voting, 23 again, was 27 affirmative, and two no response. 2.4 Committee respectfully disagrees with Dr. Hirschler's 25 NITMAM, and requests for a rejection of this CAM.

Page 108

1 RODGER REISWIG: Thank you, Mr. Harvey. With 2 that, we will open debate on the motion. Please provide your name, affiliation, and whether you are 3 speaking in support of, or against the motion. We'll 4 5 start with Microphone Number Two. 6 MARCELO HIRSCHLER: Thank you. Marcelo 7 Hirschler, GBH International for NFPA, and in support 8 of the motion. Just want to point, point out that 9 this allows the AHJ full leverage to choose any time, but it establishes a minimum. We should not have a 10 11 fire resistance rating less than two hours. 12 what we always had. If you take the language that the 13 Committee approves, the AHJ could choose any time that 14 it wants. That's not - and typically, the AHJ is not 15 as technically versed as the members of the Committee, 16 or as geeky as a fire testing idiot like me. why I'm insisting that the minimum time should be 120 17 18 minutes, two hours. Thank you. 19 RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Are there any 20 further discussion on Motion 502-5, to Accept Public 2.1 Comment No. 17? Mr. Harvey, would you like to add any 22 final comments. 23 NORRIS HARVEY: Yes. I'd just like to 24 reinforce that this, this, as it stands right now, 25 this does not reduce the two hour fire exposure Page 109

1	requirement. Okay.
2	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Before we vote,
3	let me restate the motion. The motion on the floor is
4	to Accept Public Comment No. 17. To vote, touch the
5	Vote button. If you wish to vote in support of the
6	motion and the recommended text on Screen One, vote
7	Yes. If you wish to vote against the motion, and
8	recommended the text on Screen Two, touch No. Please
9	record your vote now.
10	FEMALE SPEAKER: (unintelligible)
11	MALE SPEAKER: (unintelligible)
12	RODGER REISWIG: We're working on it.
13	FEMALE SPEAKER: (unintelligible)
14	RODGER REISWIG: All right. Try it now.
15	Please record your vote now. Five seconds. And
16	voting is closed. With that, we have 137 in support
17	of the motion, and we have 68 against. That motion
18	has passed.
19	Before we move on, are there any further
20	discussions of NFPA 502? Seeing none - before we move
21	on to the next Standard, let's take a 10 minute break.
22	Ten minutes, and we'll start back up with Document No.
23	855.
24	(BREAK IS TAKEN)
25	RODGER REISWIG: All right. Let's go ahead and
	Page 110

1	find our seats, and get restarted.
2	(background voices)
3	RODGER REISWIG: All right. Let's go ahead and
4	get started. The next report under consideration is
5	that of the Technical Committee on Energy Storage
6	Systems - Energy Storage Systems. Here to present the
7	Committee Report is Committee Chair, James Biggins of
8	CAC Specialty Natural Resources, Manhattan, Illinois.
9	The Committee Report, that is, the First and
LO	Second Draft Reports, is located on the Document
l1	Information Page for NFPA 855, on the NFPA website.
L2	All Certified Amending Motions are identified in the
L3	NFPA Technical Committee, that's the Tech Session
L 4	Meeting and Agenda included in the report of the
15	Motions Committee, and will be displayed behind me on
16	the screen as they are under debate; or if the text is
L7	too extensive, will display the page.
L8	Mr. Biggins, will present the Chair Report,
L9	please? Oh, please take your seats if you could.
20	This gets a little confusing, so we know who's at the
21	microphone and not. Thank you. Sorry, Mr. Biggins.
22	JAMES BIGGINS: Okay. The report of the
23	Technical Committee on Energy Storage Systems is
24	presented as found in the First Draft Report, and
25	Second Draft Report for the 2022 Edition of NFPA 855,
	Page 111

1	Standard for the Installation of Stationary Energy
2	Storage Systems.
3	The revisions were submitted to letter ballot
4	of the responsible Committee, in accordance with the
5	regulations governing the development of NFPA
6	Standards. The reports and ballot results can be
7	found on the Next Edition Tab of the Document
8	Information Page for NFPA 855, at
9	www.nfpa.org/855next.
10	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you, Sir. With that,
11	let's now proceed with the discussion on the Certified
12	Amending Motions for NFPA 855. We'll start with
13	Number Three. Microphone Number Two.
14	BILL PFISTER: Good afternoon. Bill Pfister
15	with the Edison Electrical Institute, and I move CAM
16	855-3, also known as Public Comment 71.
17	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. There is a motion
18	on the floor to Accept Public Comment No. 71. Is
19	there a second?
20	MALE SPEAKER: Second.
21	RODGER REISWIG: We do have a second. Please
22	proceed with the discussion on the motion.
23	BILL PFISTER: Again, Bill Pfister with the
24	Edison Electrical Institute, in support of the motion.
25	I am here to discuss the handling of energy storage
	Page 112

systems within the appropriate code-making bodies. To
quote the esteemed Michael Johnston in a recent
article, 'The demarcation between NFPA's National
Electrical Code, and IEEE's National Electrical Safety
Code is the service point.'

Differentiating the line side covered by NEC - excuse me, NESC, and the load side for premises wiring, covered by NEC. Utilities are on the line side. This supports the logical conclusion that energy storage should be under the - energy storage under the exclusive control of an electric utility should be handled within the NESC.

We've been making this point for at least four years. NFPA has largely been ignoring this point for almost as many years. Three years ago at the Technical Meeting in San Antonio, this issue was supported by the majority of the fire protection experts during the floor vote. Then the 855 Technical Committee effectively overruled that voice. Then we appealed to the Standards Council, where we were again overruled.

However, the Standards Council acknowledged and corrected some inappropriate participation on the 855

Technical Committee. Of course, that inappropriate participation included the loudest voice opposing

Page 113

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

2.5

utilities. But it was too late. The damage was 1 2. already done. NFPA was effectively unwilling to 3 revisit the utility coverage issue. 4 I have the greatest respect for the men and 5 women who dedicate their careers in making our, our 6 lives safer through development, application, and enforcement of codes and standards. I also deeply 8 respect the organizations that make this happen - like 9 NFPA, IEEE, and IFC. Unfortunately, I believe this is an example when the code development --10 11 RODGER REISWIG: One minute. 12 BILL PFISTER: -- didn't work as it should. 13 Even if we win a floor vote today, I see no path where NFPA and the whole of the 855 Technical Committee 14 15 would acknowledge that energy storage under the 16 exclusive control of utilities should be handled 17 within NSC - NESC. 18 Seeing no path forward, my purpose here today is solely to enter these remarks on the record, in 19 20 hopes that we can learn from this experience and do 2.1 better in the future. With that, I respectfully 22 withdraw Motions 855-3 and 4. 23 RODGER REISWIG: I think that may be out of 2.4 order. We had a motion, and seconded. Hold on a 25 second. All right. So that would be out of order, Page 114

1 Sir. So you can - your motion has been made; it's 2. been seconded. It's now on the floor. So I'm going 3 to turn to Mr. Biggins, if you would like to offer a 4 Committee position. 5 JAMES BIGGINS: In light of EEI's request, I am 6 going to just vary from what I was going to say, and say that the Committee does - did recognize the electric utilities' position. And the Committee's 8 9 position has always been that while a lot of things 10 were addressed within the National Electric Safety 11 Code, the primary issues of personnel and first 12 responder safety were not. And that is primarily the 13 reason the Committee has always felt that all 14 electrical energy storage systems should be treated 15 the same way, regardless who is the entity controlling 16 them. 17 RODGER REISWIG: Mr. Biggins, thank you. 18 NFPA, it's about the process, and the process has now 19 been unfolded. So thank you for that. And with that, 20 we will open debate of the motion. Please provide 2.1 your name, affiliation, and whether you are speaking 22 in support or against the motion. Are there any 23 further discussions on the Motion for 855-3, to Accept Public Comment No. 71. 2.4 2.5 MALE SPEAKER: Microphone One. Page 115

RODGER REISWIG: Oh, sorry. Microphone Number One.

CHRIS SEARLES: Chris Searles, CGS and Associates, Vice Chair of the IEEE Energy Storage and Stationary Battery Committee. Since the motion is on the floor, I'd like to go on record. Speaking on the consensus of the Officers of the Energy Storage and Stationary Battery Committee, three years ago in San Antonio, we were a part of the speakers who were very active in wanting to modify the scope. And of course, the result was that no scope was put into the Standard. However, I think in light of the fact that 855 has proven itself to have tenets that are very important to energy storage - and energy storage really is becoming much more complex than it used to be, because we're dealing in things that go beyond just the grid, and renewables, and EVs, and vehicle to grid, and other things.

But with respect to the electric utilities
having a total exemption, I think recent incidents
prove the fact that there needs to be some control.
And as was stated, the Committee broadened itself,
brought in a lot of people from the different
technologies, and interests, and Bill Biggins has done
a great job, really, in managing 855. And so, for the

Page 116

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

1	Energy Storage and Stationary Battery Committee, we
2	say that we do not object, and would vote No on this.
3	RODGER REISWIG: Sir, before you go away - I
4	neglected stop you. Could you say if you're for, or
5	against the motion, please?
6	CHRIS SEARLES: I just said I'm against it.
7	RODGER REISWIG: Okay. I just wanted to make
8	sure, capture it on the record. Thank you. Is there
9	- Microphone Number One.
10	MATTHEW PACE: Yeah. I'm Matthew Pace with
11	Pacific Northwest National Laboratories, speaking
12	against the motion. I would like to request that the
13	room honor the request of the submitter, just through
14	the error of the - opening this motion, that this be
15	rejected. Energy storage systems have no idea what
16	side of the fence they sit on. We've seen failures
17	that result in injuries, and fatalities around the
18	world, not under the NESC.
19	But more importantly, the NESC is not adopted
20	in all states, and it's critical that we provide best
21	practices for all installations. Thank you.
22	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Just give a second
23	here. So with that, are there any further discussions
24	on Motion 855-3 to Accept Public Comment No. 71? Mr.
25	- Mr. Biggins, would you like to offer any final
	Page 117

1 comments? 2 JAMES BIGGINS: Nothing further to add. RODGER REISWIG: All right. Seeing none -3 before we vote, let's restate the motion. The motion 4 5 on the floor is to Accept Public Comment No. 71. vote, touch the Vote button. If you wish to vote in 6 support of the motion and recommended with the text on 8 Screen One, touch Yes. If you wish to vote against 9 the motion and recommended text on Screen Two, touch Please vote now. We'll have five seconds to end 10 11 voting. Voting's now closed. 43 in the affirmative, 12 and 200 against. That makes the motion failed. 13 All right, for the next motion, Certified Amending Motion 855-4, the motion has appeared on our 14 15 agenda. However, the authorized maker of the motion 16 has notified NFPA that this motion will not be 17 pursued. Therefore, in accordance with NFPA Rules, Convention Rules, at Section 2.7, the motion may not 18 19 be considered by the assembly, and is removed from the 20 agenda. We will now move to the next motion. 2.1 Let's proceed with the next Certified Amending 22 Motion, 855-5. Microphone Number Four. 23 ANDREW TANNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I am Andrew Tanner, representing Yotta Energy. I move to 2.4 25 Accept CAM 855-5, to Accept Public Comment No. 177.

1	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. There is a motion
2	on the floor to Accept Public Comment No. 177. Is
3	there a second?
4	MALE SPEAKER: Second.
5	MALE SPEAKER: Second.
6	RODGER REISWIG: We have a second. Please
7	proceed with the discussion on the motion.
8	ANDREW TANNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and good
9	afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Andrew
10	Tanner, and I speak for the motion. I am an engineer
11	with over 20 years of experience developing renewable
12	energy technologies. I have an esteemed career that
13	includes numerous awards, such as the Australian
14	Global Australian of the Year Award for Renewable
15	Energy.
16	Yotta Energy is an Austin based manufacturer
17	currently in discussion with the US Department of
18	Energy to scale manufacturing here in the US, and
19	create American jobs. Unlike large, containerized
20	energy storage technologies that the vast majority of
21	you visualize when you hear energy storage, Yotta
22	manufactures a briefcase-sized energy storage unit
23	that has the capacity of only one kilowatt hour. It
24	is explicitly designed to install beneath solar
25	modules, and is therefore, distributed across rooftops
	Page 119
	Page 119

in a decentralized nature.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

Our technology has been validated by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, the Renewable Energy Test Center, and the Southwest Research Institute. Further, UL 9548 testing of our LFP based energy storage technology demonstrated no external flaming during fire and explosion testing.

The CAM proposed seeks to address an oversight in the Standard for small, foam factor energy storage technologies that are installed on rooftops in a decentralized architecture and demonstrate no external flaming during fire and explosion testing. Currently, the Standard allows for centralized systems that do externally flame on rooftops, but failed to concede a safe, decentralized architectures.

The bar of producing no external flaming is extremely high. Indeed, this is the bar that an energy storage system must meet to be installed indoor, and in the basement --

RODGER REISWIG: One minute.

ANDREW TANNER: -- of a home. The section referenced in the CAM is impractical for new and innovative decentralized technologies. The CAM does not erode fire safety on a rooftop. In fact, it does the exact opposite. It raises the bar. This approach

1 delivers separation of small, foam factor energy 2. storage technology - an approach which is a pillar of 3 Fire Code. Over the past few months, we have engaged with 4 5 numerous Technical Committee members, a number of whom 6 will speak in favor of the CAM today, and recognize oversight during the process. Ladies and gentlemen, we appreciate your consideration of this CAM today so 8 9 that the Standard can keep pace with the innovation of 10 new, safe, decentralized energy storage technology. 11 Thank you, Chair. 12 RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Mr. Biggins, would 13 you like to offer the Committee's position? Yes, I would. Mr. Tanner's 14 JAMES BIGGINS: 15 proposed a change to add an additional section to NFPA 16 855 that would allow energy storage systems to be 17 installed on roofs without needing to meet specific 18 rooftop requirements, provided that each is less than 20 kilowatt hours in size, and demonstrate through 19 20 fire testing that the ESS will demonstrate no flaming 2.1 outside of the energy storage system itself. The Technical Committee took the position - is 22 23 that systems greater than 20 kilowatt hours in

that systems greater than 20 kilowatt hours in aggregate, connected to rooftop PV systems, are covered through changes made to Section 1.3 of the

Page 121

2.4

2.5

Standard, addressing the application of the Standard to include threshold quantities for outdoor areas. Additionally, the Technical Committee was concerned that there might be other requirements in the Standard impacted by this proposed revision. Thank you, Sir. With that, we RODGER REISWIG: will open debate on the motion. Please provide your name, affiliation, and whether you are speaking in support of, or against the motion. Microphone One. HOWARD HOPPER: Howard Hopper, UL. On this motion, I'm representing the International Association of Fire Chiefs, Fire and Life Safety Section. We're speaking against the motion. When energy storage systems are provided on rooftops, NFPA 855 includes some well thought out

When energy storage systems are provided on rooftops, NFPA 855 includes some well thought out requirements addressing firefighting operations, and firefighter safety up on the rooftops. This includes providing firefighters access to the rooftop, having a, a standpipe outlet available, making sure the ESS is not too close to the access point so that they're not walking in immediately to a, a unit that may be in - having an event, and also having service walkways so they can deploy the hoses, drag hoses, and conduct firefighting operations.

There's - and there's also restrictions in the

Page 122

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

2.5

rooftop requirements that you can't place the ESS too close to the edge of the roof, because if it's too close, you have more likely, that if you have ESS event, that it may impact adjacent properties. So allowing exceptions to all of these requirements for some of these units is, is real, really kind of overreaching. If there was just a single 20-kilowatt hour unit up there, that would be one thing. But the proposal, as written, would allow an unlimited, aggregate quantity of energy storage up on the roof, and it would exempt all of the firefighting safety capabilities. So the, the concept has merit to address the safety of these, but the execution of the motion is a little bit too far reaching. Because of that the Fire and Life Safety Section would urge you to support firefighter safety, and to vote against the motion. Thank you. RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. I'll move to Microphone Number Four. MATTHEW PACE: My name is Matthew Pace. I'm with the Pacific Northwest National Laboratories.

MATTHEW PACE: My name is Matthew Pace. I'm with the Pacific Northwest National Laboratories. I am speaking for the motion. As a Technical Committee member on 855, and a former 23-year firefighter, I have a unique perspective on this.

Page 123

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

This language - and it's really important that
the folks in the room understand that there's a
difference between the proponent, his company, and his
product, and the language that's being proposed. We
need to vote on the language, not whether or not their
product will do what it says. All right. I wish them
the best of luck.

The real key here is we need to provide opportunities for energy storage situations - applications outdoors, wherever possible. It's - there's known risks that under thermal runaway, there are flammable gasses released. So when I look at this language, I see somebody looking for an application where it has to be approved by the AHJ, and it has to meet the indoor requirements for an energy storage system, and it has to be a small battery, for an outdoor installation. That is a very high bar that currently is not in 855.

So, from the National Labs, we would like to see more opportunities for energy storage to be installed in a safe manner. Integrating in a PV array was never considered by 855. The language on the rooftop requirement only addressed large enclosures, close together. And unfortunately, during this last revision, we didn't get any public inputs to change

Page 124

2.

2.1

2.4

1 it. We didn't, as a committee, didn't put any in to 2. change the rooftop requirements. 3 And so now we have some innovative new technology that doesn't have - even have an 4 5 opportunity for the next three years to apply their, 6 their technology. 7 So, you know, what I'm going to be doing today, and I ask all of you to consider, is the language 8 9 right there still allows the AHJ to look at the installation --10 11 RODGER REISWIG: One minute. 12 MATTHEW PACE: -- and provide an energy storage 13 system that cannot have any fire come outside of it. What we heard earlier by my good friend and colleague 14 15 from UL is stating their concern about more than one; 16 said we're not concerned about one - but it's many of 17 them. Well, the UL 9548 requirements sets a, a 18 separation standard. If we're saying that you can't 19 have them three feet apart, or you can't trust the 20 large scale fire test of it, that's a problem with the 2.1 product standard that needs to be addressed. That's not the job of this Standard. We cite the standards, 22 23 the product safety standards, and I urge your support of this. 2.4 Thank you. 2.5 RODGER REISWIG: Move over to Microphone Number Page 125

Τ	Inree.
2	RICK SWAN: Rick Swan, International
3	Association of Firefighters, speaking against the
4	motion. And what we'd like to do, the International
5	Association of Firefighters, would echo the
6	understanding and the words spoken by the
7	International Association of Fire Chiefs, the first
8	speaker. And while I understand the need and the
9	importance of supporting new technology, as the last
10	speaker just spoke, and I respect what, what - as -
11	what Matt was saying this, is that if these things are
12	small and are, you know, going to be a few places
13	around the tops of the roof, there's no reason why
14	that they cannot also be required to meet the
15	requirements of 4.4.4.2. And some of those are very
16	important - that - about having access around - for
17	the firefighters to make, and, and service folks to
18	make access; to have a, a spot where they can come up,
19	and not have these energy storage boxes around. And,
20	and, and having them away from the, the edges of the
21	roof.
22	There's some simple things here that, that the
23	current requirement, the current Standard,
24	4.4.4.4.2s requires, and the - if they're small
25	enough, there's no reason why they can't meet that -
	Page 126

the current requirements, as it states also. We would respectfully ask you to vote against.

RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Microphone Number Four.

MERTON BUNKER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Merton Bunker, Merton Bunker and Associates, Stafford,

Virginia, speaking for the motion. 855 is not

currently written to address a distributed technology,

and it's preventing innovation. Okay - the previous

person at the microphone just indicated that there are

several things that should be done. Some of those

cannot be done on an open rooftop for a distributed

system. Case in point is a radiant energy sensing

fire detection system cannot be used on a rooftop.

Lighting, and sunlight, and other sources of IR and UV

trans-- or radiation, would cause nuisance alarms

frequently. So that would not even be possible, okay.

So, the rejection of PC 177 results in the prohibition of systems that would be tested and found safe, with zero flaming. So that would obviate the need for many of those, if not all of those things that are listed in 4.4.4.2. Energy storge indoors in inherently more dangerous than on a rooftop, due to buildup of gasses. And yet, we're prohibiting this from being installed outdoors.

Page 127

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

So we are - we are stifling innovation. The,
the proposed requirement is so stringent that no one
can currently meet it. It's a very high bar. And it
is not product specific. So it gives no advantage to
any one company. So we should not be preventing
technology that, that promotes clean energy in this
time of the energy crisis.
And lastly, we have a safety built into this
thing, where it's got to be approved by the AHJ. So
with all of those things, I urge your support for this
motion. Thank you, Sir.
RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Go to Microphone
Number One.
BRIAN SCHOLL: Thank you. My name's Brian
Scholl. I'm with the Phoenix Fire Department, and I'm
against this proposal. I am on 855, but not speaking
for the Committee. This motion creates a huge hazard
to our firefighters, as it allows large battery
systems to be installed on roofs without providing a
water supply through the standpipes on the roof to
waser supper succession succession succession successions
safely put out a fire involving these batteries.
safely put out a fire involving these batteries.
safely put out a fire involving these batteries. As a committee, we decided that battery systems
safely put out a fire involving these batteries. As a committee, we decided that battery systems on roofs should be required to have certain fire and

Page 128

1 to vote no to this motion. Thank you. 2 RODGER REISWIG: Microphone Number Six. 3 SHARON BONESTEEL: Sharon Bonesteel, Salt River 4 Project, speaking in support of the motion. One of 5 the big challenges that we are going to have moving 6 forward is that 859 - 855 cannot keep up with the technology. Our Code process can't keep up with the speed of changing technology. We have to put some 8 9 trust in the skills that we require in our AHJs. Why 10 do we require building officials and fire officials to 11 be so well trained, and so well educated, if we're not 12 going to rely upon their judgment in certain areas such as this, to say, 'Well, you know, if it's a 13 14 building that high, it's going to need a standpipe on 15 the roof anyway. So, you know, we've got it covered.' 16 I think this is one good example of where we've 17 got enough criteria in there to maintain the 18 firefighters' safety, and still encourage innovative 19 technology. Thank you. 20 RODGER REISWIG: Microphone Number Three. 2.1 DAVE RAYBORN: Yes. Dave Rayborn, Cape Coral 22 Fire Department, 43-year veteran, and I'm speaking 23 against this. I actually had to read some of this just to see where we were, so I can bring myself up to 2.4 2.5 speed. I am also an AHJ. I also use these Codes as a Page 129

reference. So for me to be well referenced in everything in NFPA Codes to make a decision on my own is a little tough. So I go by what subject matter experts put into the Code. And taking this out of the Code is going to put our firefighters at risk, and I cannot allow that, personally. So I implore you to vote against this. Thank you.

RODGER REISWIG: Microphone Number Four.

ANDREW TANNER: My name is Andrew Tanner, and I

ANDREW TANNER: My name is Andrew Tanner, and a speak for this motion. I would like to, to highlight to everybody in this room that the first words in this, this CAM here is, 'Where approved by the AHJ.' Okay. Now, as it turns out, for our technology, we install on the racking of, of PD (phonetic) equipment. So we are bound by the rules that are, that are stipulated for the installation of a solar array on a rooftop. So it is completely impractical, and illogical that any AHJ is going to accept that our battery, and any other distributed battery, would be installed within four feet of the perimeter of a rooftop.

Now, this Standard actually provides relief for the results of UL-9548 testing, when it comes to egress. It's allowed to reduce from 10 feet to three feet, based on the outcome of the Standard.

Page 130

2.4

2.5

Now, what we are saying here is, if you reach
this extremely high bar where you don't externally
flame, then you - and you're under 20 kilowatt hours -
that you're going to be afforded relief. And you
heard from Matthew Pace from PNNL. You heard from
Merton Bunker. These are experts. Right? Like,
please don't fear innovation. Please help it - adopt
it. Thank you very much.
RODGER REISWIG: Microphone Number Three.
DAVE BERNZWEIG: Dave Bernzweig, International
Association of Firefighters. I don't want to beat
this death, but you know, 4.4.4.2
RODGER REISWIG: I'm sorry. Are you against,
or for the motion?
DAVE BERNZWEIG: I'm speaking against the
motion. Section 4.4.4.2 are safeguards for the Fire
Service, right. There are nine requirements in there,
and all of those are, are meant to keep us safe when
we are operating on rooftops. Now, there is more than
just external flaming from the box. There is gas
accumulation, (unintelligible). There are a lot of
requirements of that - and, and this industry is still
developing. You know, we're looking at 855 to provide
safety for the Fire Service. And by, by having a
carve-out like this, it actually makes us, you know,
Page 131

less safe. So - against the motion. Thank you.
RODGER REISWIG: Microphone Number Four.
MERTON BUNKER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Merton Bunker, Merton Bunker and Associates, Stafford,
Virginia. Many of the requirements for egress would
already be satisfied, because you would need to leave
spaces between the equipment for
RODGER REISWIG: I'm
MERTON BUNKER: service
RODGER REISWIG: I'm sorry. Can you say if
you're for or against the motion?
MERTON BUNKER: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm for the
motion. So, many of the requirements for egress would
already be satisfied because they would need to leave
some room for servicing and maintenance of the
equipment. So I think the issue of, of egress for
firefighters' access is really a moot point. Thank
you.
RODGER REISWIG: Are there any further
RODGER REISWIG: Are there any further discussions on Motion 855-5, to Accept Public Comment
discussions on Motion 855-5, to Accept Public Comment
discussions on Motion 855-5, to Accept Public Comment No. 177? Seeing none. Mr. Biggins, would you like to
discussions on Motion 855-5, to Accept Public Comment No. 177? Seeing none. Mr. Biggins, would you like to make any final comments?
discussions on Motion 855-5, to Accept Public Comment No. 177? Seeing none. Mr. Biggins, would you like to make any final comments? JAMES BIGGINS: Yes. I just want to say that

1	we've had Committee members speaking on both sides.
2	So you know, it - I'm not going to say, you know,
3	support or don't support. I'll let the group decide.
4	RODGER REISWIG: Thank you. Before we vote,
5	let me restate the motion. The motion on the floor is
6	to Accept Public Comment No. 177. To vote, touch the
7	Vote button. If you wish to vote in support of the
8	motion and the recommended text on Screen One, touch
9	Yes. If you wish to vote against the motion and
10	recommended the text on Screen Number Two, touch No.
11	Please record your vote now. Okay. We'll have about
12	five seconds. Voting's now closed. Voting is 110 in
13	support of the motion, and 143 against the motion.
14	The motion has failed.
15	With that, before we move on to the next
16	standard, I would like to introduce Kenneth Bush,
17	Member of the Standards Council, and Chair of the
18	Motions Committee, who will be the Presiding Officer
19	for motions before the NFPA membership for NFPA 25
20	today, and will open debate on motions tomorrow for
21	NFPA 70. Thank you.
22	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you, Rodger. Good
23	afternoon. And as introduced, I'm Kenneth Bush. It
24	is my distinct pleasure to act as the Presiding
25	Officer for the final NFPA Standard with Certified
	Page 133

1 Attending Motions under consideration here today. 2 The last report under consideration today is 3 that of the Technical Committee on Inspection, 4 Testing, and Maintenance of Water Based Systems. The 5 Motions Committee received a request from the 6 Healthcare Section Board to amend the order of CAMS 25-10, 25-2, and 25-3, as approved and posted in the 8 The Motions Committee considered the request, agenda. 9 and has made the decision to proceed with the debate and vote of these motions in the order as posted in 10 11 However, for the assembly's information, the agenda. 12 and for clarity, please note that these three motions 13 are related, and comments offered on one CAM may 14 reference and describe the potential impact of one CAM 15 in the context of the three. 16 Here to present the Committee Report is 17 Committee Chair Bradford Cronin of the Newport Fire 18 Department, Newport, Rhode Island. The Committee 19 Report, that is the First and Second Draft Reports, is located on the Document Information Page for NFPA 25, 20 2.1 on the NFPA website. Mr. Cronin, would you please present the Committee - the Chair Report. 22 23 Thank you, Ken. BRADFORD CRONIN: The report 2.4 of the Committee on Inspection Testing and Maintenance 25 of Water Based Systems is presented as found in the Page 134

1	First Draft Report, and Second Draft Report, for the
2	annual 2022 cycle of NFPA 25, Standard for the
3	Inspection, Testing, and Maintenance of Water Based
4	Fire Protection Systems. The revisions were submitted
5	to letter ballot of the responsible Technical
6	Committee, in accordance with the regulations
7	governing the development of NFPA Standards. The
8	reports and ballots can be found on the Next Edition
9	Tab of the Document Information Page for NFPA 25, at
10	www.nfpa.org/25next.
11	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Let's proceed with
12	the discussion on Certified Amending Motion 25-10.
13	Microphone Number Four, please.
14	TOP MYERS: Two.
15	KENNETH BUSH: Sorry. Microphone Number Two.
16	TOP MYERS: My name is Top Myers, and I'm with
17	Myers Risk Services, and I move to reject Second
18	Revision No. 4.
19	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. There is a motion on
20	the floor to Reject Second Revision No. 4. Is there a
21	second.
22	MALE SPEAKER: Second. Second.
23	KENNETH BUSH: We do have a second. Please
24	proceed with discussion on the motion.
25	TOP MYERS: We recognize that there is an issue
	Page 135

from time to time with compatibility, and CPVC in
buildings, which have resulted in unfortunate water
damage and things of that nature. We also are very
concerned that this does not belong in Chapter 4 of
NFPA 25, which is an Inspection, and, and Testing, and
Maintenance Standard, versus an Installation Standard,
because this is more of an installation issue.
To further clarify the difficulty, an owner
would have the responsibility to handle all of this.
Underwriters Laboratories and - formed a Task Group.
Their Standard UL 1821B, and that was done in 2015.
That Task Group was made up of a number of people that
were from the industry, from the manufacturers of CPP
piping and fittings, from Factory Mutual, and, and a
lot of other people, such as myself. That started in
2015. It's now 2022, and we're still in the process
of trying to figure out a good way in which to test
various products for their compatibility with CPVC.
So, if some of the best experts in CPVC pipe
and fittings in the country have not answered that
solution, I'm not sure that it's fair to put it on the
owners to have that responsibility. Thank you.
KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Mr. Cronin, would
you like to offer the Committee's position?
BRADFORD CRONIN: Yes. Thank you. And, and
Page 136

thank you, Top as the submitter of this CAM. The
proposed CAM seeks to remove new Section 4.1.3 on
nonmetallic sprinkler pipe. This section was added to
make the owner or their representatives aware of the
compatibility challenges between nonmetallic pipe and
other components and material that may intentionally
or unintentionally come in contact with nonmetallic
sprinkler pipe. It is the position of the Committee
that it is the owner or their representative's
responsibility to know what is taking place in their
building, and to identify these changes.
New Section 4.1.3 also lets the owner know wha

New Section 4.1.3 also lets the owner know what this needs to considered - that this needs to be considered before they do something that may affect sprinkler system piping. New Section 4.1.3 passed ballot with only four negative votes. Thank you.

KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. With that, we will open debate on the motion. Please provide your name, affiliation, and whether you are speaking in support or against the motion. Microphone Number Four.

BILL KOFFEL: Bill Koffel, Koffel Associates speaking for the motion, speaking for myself. I am the submitter of CAM 25-2, which is - addresses this issue in a different way, which did receive support of a majority of the Committee; failed ballot by one

Page 137

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

2.5

1	vote. However, as the Chair indicated, 25-10, 25-2,
2	25-3 are all related. The interested parties that
3	we've been able to identify have all gotten together
4	and have agreed to support, to the best of my
5	knowledge, 25-10 and 25-3. Based upon that agreement,
6	I am letting the NFPA know that I will not be pursuing
7	25-2, in favor of accepting this motion for 25-10 and
8	for 25-3.
9	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number
10	Six.
11	JIM PETERKIN: Thank you. Jim Peterkin, TLC
12	Engineering Solutions, speaking in support of the
13	motion. I'm also speaking on behalf of the Healthcare
14	Section. The Healthcare Section Board met at the
15	Annual Section Business Meeting, and voted to support
16	this motion.
17	As the proponent pointed out, there is just too
18	many unknown products for any owner to know what these
19	issues would be and how to address them. So I think
20	we've agreed to try to develop Task Groups to look at
21	this issue over the next cycle to try to develop a
22	better solution than as proposed in this current
23	language. So I would urge support of the motion.
24	Thank you.
25	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number
	Page 138

Two.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

with Johnson Controls, and I'm speaking for myself, and I'm in favor of the motion. This is our first attempt in NFPA 25 to address this incompatibility issue. And I'm, I'm telling you right now, it's not ready for prime time. So I support Top's motion to get this language out of the Standard as it exists right now, based on the Second Draft Report. And we're going to put together, as Bill said, Bill Koffel said, a Task Group to work on what's the best way to address this incompatibility issue.

There are issues where it's, it's really tough to identify what materials might come in contact, either during construction or after construction, with nonmetallic pipes. And those materials can cause failures in, in nonmetallic pipes. CPVC is, is one of the issues specifically, of a product that has had numerous incompatibility products come in contact with it. And in some cases, it's after the fact that the installation contractor has installed the CPVC, and some kind of a bioside, or some CAT-5 cable comes in contact with the CPVC pipe after the fact, and causes a failure.

It's very onerous for the owners to be

1	responsible to identify those incompatibilities. It's
2	very onerous for anyone, at this stage of the game, to
3	know what might be compatible, what might not be
4	compatible. We need to address this in a different
5	way than to have this new section in Chapter 4, and we
6	have all the interested parties agreeing to do that.
7	So I ask the membership to please vote in favor of
8	this motion, and let's go back and do this right the
9	next time around. Thank you.
10	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number
11	Six.
12	CHAD BEEBE: Chad Beebe, American Society for
13	Healthcare Engineering of the American Hospital
14	Association, speaking in support of the motion - one
15	of the interested parties that Mr. Koffel mentioned.
16	I think we have a really good plan. I just wanted to
17	make sure it was really clear here. We don't believe
18	it's up to the owners to identify this, but we also
19	don't believe that it's up to the contractors. I
20	think we have a really good plan here to, between
21	cycles, to get together, and come up with an approach
22	that works for everybody, and really addresses this
23	going forward. Thank you.
24	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Is there any further
25	discussion on Motion 25-10 to Reject Second Revision
	Page 140

1	No. 4? Mr. Cronin, any final comments?
2	BRADFORD CRONIN: No, thank you.
3	KENNETH BUSH: Okay. Seeing none, we will go
4	onto a vote. Before we vote, let me restate the
5	motion. The motion on the floor is to Reject Second
6	Revision No. 4. To vote, touch the Vote button. If
7	you wish to vote in support of the motion and
8	recommend the text on Screen One, touch Yes. If you
9	wish to vote against the motion and recommend the text
10	on Screen Two, touch No. Please record your vote.
11	The voting will close in five seconds. The voting is
12	closed. The results of the vote are 189 in support of
13	the motion, and recommend the text on Screen One, and
14	16 against the motion and recommend the text on Screen
15	Two. The motion has passed.
16	Let us now proceed with discussion on Certified
17	Amending Motion No. 25-2. Microphone Number Four.
18	BILL KOFFEL: Bill Koffel, Koffel Associates,
19	submitter of 25-2. As previously noted, I will not
20	make this motion.
21	MALE SPEAKER: Not pursued, right?
22	KENNETH BUSH: Okay. This motion on NFPA 25,
23	25-2 appeared on our agenda. However, the authorized
24	maker of the motion has notified NFPA that this motion
25	will not be pursued. Therefore in accordance with the
	Page 141

1	NFPA Rules, Convention Rules A-twenty - sorry - 20,
2	Section 2.7, the motion may not be considered by the
3	assembly, and is removed from the agenda. We will now
4	move on to the next motion.
5	The next motion on the agenda is a Certified
6	Amending Motion 25-3. Microphone Number Four.
7	LENNON PEAKE: Hi. I'm Lennon Peake with
8	Koffel, and I would like to make a motion to Accept an
9	Identifiable Part of Committee Comment No. 3.
10	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. There is a motion on
11	the floor to Accept an Identifiable Part of Committee
12	Comment No. 3. Is there a second?
13	MALE SPEAKER: Second.
14	KENNETH BUSH: We do have a second. Please
15	proceed with discussion on the motion.
16	LENNON PEAKE: Thank you. During the First
17	Draft stage, there was some language proposed via
18	Committee input that is very similar to what you see
19	on the screen if this motion passes. And the
20	Committee Statement said, clarifying that what is in
21	contact with the pipe must be subjecting the pipe to
22	weight to be an issue. So the Committee went on
23	record saying that items touching the pipe were not as
24	much of a concern as items, items that were being
25	supported by the pipe.

1	So then in the Second Draft stage, this whole
2	issue of compatibility got raised. And since the
3	compatibility then, in the Second Draft stage became
4	part of this section, that's why it ended up failing
5	the vote.
6	So, so what I'm trying to do is have this part
7	be accepted. And I've dropped the issue of the
8	compatibility. I've worked with the American Society
9	of Healthcare Engineering Regulatory Affairs Committee
10	to draft this language. They published a white paper
11	in 2020 that took a lot of data from hospitals, which
12	are very heavily regulated. And this issue has been
13	causing them to spend an enormous amounts of money
14	just for compliance, without - with this section -
15	without really providing very much life safety.
16	The Committee has gone on record saying that
17	items touching the pipe are not an issue. So I urge
18	you to support this motion. The healthcare industry
19	has been wasting enormous amounts of money on this
20	issue. And once again, I please urge you to support
21	the motion. Thank you.
22	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Mr. Cronin, would
23	you like to offer the Committee's position?
24	BRADFORD CRONIN: Yes. Thank you. This CAM
25	seeks to remove the language, 'subjected to external

1	loads by materials either resting on the pipe, or hung
2	from the pipe'. This language has existed in the
3	Standard for a couple of decades, remaining unchanged.
4	This language provides the inspector with a task that
5	can be accomplished during a visual inspection from
6	the ground. The issue was considered as a Second
7	Revision, but failed ballot by the Committee. Thank
8	you.
9	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. With that, we will
10	open debate on the motion. Please provide your name,
11	affiliation, and whether you are speaking in support
12	or against the motion. Microphone Number Six, please.
13	JIM PETERKIN: Thank you. Jim Peterkin, TLC
14	Engineering Solutions, speaking in support of the
15	motion; again, also as Chair of the Healthcare
16	Section. The Healthcare Section met, and at the
17	annual Business Meeting, and voted to support the
18	motion. Just to further what Lennon has said - this
19	is NFPA 25, which the sprinkler inspectors may come
20	out and do an inspection, and look at these items, and
21	know what's truly being supported, or what's just
22	touching the pipe.
23	Problem is that there's other agencies out
24	there that healthcare is subjected to, that they come
25	out and look at this, and interpret it - is it

1	touching the pipe. It could be ductwork insulation
2	next to the pipe, but it's touching the pipe. And
3	it's not causing any structural load on the sprinkler
4	pipe, but yet we're having to spend, again - as, as he
5	pointed out, enormous amounts, enormous amounts of
6	money for something that does not affect life safety,
7	and is causing a lot of concern in hospitals. So I
8	again, would urge your support for the motion. Thank
9	you.
10	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number
11	Four.
12	BILL KOFFEL: Bill Koffel, Koffel Associates,
13	speaking for the motion, speaking for myself. This
14	was the item that really started the whole discussion
15	in the 25 Committee, to address the concerns of the
16	healthcare industry. In the Second Revision, we not
17	only had the proposed text, but also added the issue
18	of incompatibility. As Lennon indicated, the item
19	failed ballot. It failed ballot by one vote. And if
20	you look at the ballots, it appears that many of the
21	negative ballots were associated with the
22	compatibility issue, not with this issue.
23	If you support the motion, the language in NFPA
24	25 will be consistent with the language in NFPA 13,
25	the Installation Standard. As it stands today, the
	Page 145

1	language in 25 is more restrictive than the language
2	in the Installation Standard. I encourage your
3	support.
4	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number
5	Two.
6	KEVIN HALL: Thank you. Kevin Hall with the
7	American Fire Sprinkler Association, speaking in
8	support of the motion. Echoing the comments of Bill
9	Koffel, this does correlate now with NFPA 13, and
10	unlike the nonmetallic sprinkler pipe, this is a
11	inspection that can be performed with reasonable
12	certainty. Thank you.
13	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number
14	Six.
15	CHAD BEEBE: Chad Beebe, American Society for
16	Healthcare Engineering, in support of the motion.
17	Obviously, the - the, the term 'resting on' is very
18	subjective. We're very in support of, of removing
19	this out. I did want to address the fact that the
20	white paper that was mentioned by Lennon Peake is
21	available on ASHE's website, if anybody in this room
22	is, is interested in taking a look at it, it is
23	available for free download at ASHE.org. I encourage
24	your support. Thank you.
25	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number
	Page 146

1	Six
_	

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

2.5

CHUCK STORMER: Hello. Chuck Stormer, USGSA, speaking in support of the motion. The Committee's position fails to provide for pre-action systems, and any type of initiating systems that are on sprinkler pipe. I would submit that the - having the weight of the, of the non-system component is what primarily impacts the pipe in a negative manner.

KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number Two.

TERRY VICTOR: Terry Victor with Johnson

Controls, speaking in favor of the motion, speaking

for myself. As a long-term member of NFPA 25, I agree

with, with Chairman Cronin there that this language

has been in there for quite a while. That doesn't

make, mean that it's good language. So the changes

that are being made here are consistent with what

really, we should be looking for. We don't want non
system components being supported off the sprinkler

pipe. That's the intent. This does correlate with

NFPA 13, as Mr. Koffel said, and I would encourage you

to vote in favor of this, this motion. So, thank you.

KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Is there any further

discussion on Motion 25-3, to Accept an Identifiable

Part of Committee Comment No. 3? Mr. Chair, any final

Page 147

1	comments?
2	BRADFORD CRONIN: No, thank you.
3	KENNETH BUSH: Seeing none, we will move to a
4	vote. Before we vote, let me restate the motion. The
5	motion on the floor is to Accept an Identifiable Part
6	of Committee Comment Number Three. To vote, touch the
7	Vote button. If you wish to vote in support of the
8	motion and recommend the text on Screen One, touch
9	Yes. If you wish to vote against the motion and
L O	recommend the text on Screen Two, touch No. Please
L1	record your vote. The voting will close in five
L2	seconds. The voting is closed. The results of the
L3	vote are 209 in support of the motion and recommend
L 4	the text on Screen One, and 13 against the motion and
L 5	recommend the text on Screen Two. The motion has
L6	passed.
L 7	Let's proceed with discussion on Certified
L8	Amending Motion 25-17. Microphone Number Six.
L9	JIM PETERKIN: Thank you. Jim Peterkin, TLC
20	Engineering Solutions, and I would like to move CAM
21	25-17.
22	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. There is a motion on
23	the floor to Reject an Identifiable Part of Second
24	Revision No. 13. Is there a second?
25	MALE SPEAKER: Second.
	Page 148

1	FEMALE SPEAKER: Second.
2	KENNETH BUSH: We do have a second. Please
3	proceed with the discussion on the motion.
4	JIM PETERKIN: Thank you, Chair - or Speaker.
5	Yeah, this motion here seems like it's pretty minor.
6	But we're concerned with, with the results of what
7	this motion will do, or this new section will do.
8	It's added a, a requirement at the Table, that's
9	already in the text. And it's, and it's included a
10	frequency of annual. And the text clearly says that
11	it's something that is - shall be maintained - so it's
12	something that's continuously. And again, we're
13	speaking on the - behalf of hospitals. This is
14	something that is continuously monitored and, and
15	inspected.
16	And our fear is that by putting the word
17	'annually' in there, there are some users that are
18	going to say, 'Well, I only have to check it once a
19	year.' Or, 'The inspector checked it, and it was, it
20	was fine.' It, it could be that, yeah, the day they
21	looked at it, it was fine. But the day before, or the
22	day after, it may not be. So it really needs to be a
23	continuous process, and we think that adding the word
24	'annual' will actually be detrimental in, in this
25	case. So I urge your support. Thank you.

Page 149

1	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Mr. Cronin, would
2	you like to offer the Committee's position?
3	BRADFORD CRONIN: Yes, thank you. So the
4	proposed CAM seeks to remove the line, 'sprinkler
5	clearance to storage' from the Table 5.1.1.2. The
6	Table was updated to align with the requirements and
7	changes made to Chapter 5 during the revision cycle.
8	For clearance to storage, the Table points to 5.2.1.2,
9	which is correct. However, as indicated by the
10	submitter, Section 5.2.1.2 does not specifically
11	mention an annual frequency.
12	Committee added the frequency to the Table to
13	ensure that someone was looking at the issue at least
14	during the annual inspection. Again, this passed
15	ballots, and this passed with no negative comments.
16	Thank you.
17	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. With that, we will
18	open debate on the motion. Please provide your name,
19	affiliation, and whether you are speaking in support
20	of or against the motion. Microphone Number Six.
21	DAVE DESJUNET: Yes, thank you. Dave Desjunet
22	(phonetic), with Douglas Hospitals, speaking on behalf
23	of the Healthcare Section, in favor of the motion. So
24	as we've heard from the Chair, we do have two
25	different statements - one in the Table, and then one
	Page 150

these things have to be maintained on an ongoing basis, and a specific requirement to inspect these on an annual basis, quite frankly, one could consider that fool's work. You're inspecting something that the Code already requires to be maintained constantly. So to throw a date in that conflicts with the body of the, of the document, could actually confuse it, and make it worse than what we currently have. So the Healthcare Section recommends that you vote in favor of this motion. KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number Two.
basis, and a specific requirement to inspect these on an annual basis, quite frankly, one could consider that fool's work. You're inspecting something that the Code already requires to be maintained constantly. So to throw a date in that conflicts with the body of the, of the document, could actually confuse it, and make it worse than what we currently have. So the Healthcare Section recommends that you vote in favor of this motion. KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number Two.
an annual basis, quite frankly, one could consider that fool's work. You're inspecting something that the Code already requires to be maintained constantly. So to throw a date in that conflicts with the body of the, of the document, could actually confuse it, and make it worse than what we currently have. So the Healthcare Section recommends that you vote in favor of this motion. KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number Two.
that fool's work. You're inspecting something that the Code already requires to be maintained constantly. So to throw a date in that conflicts with the body of the, of the document, could actually confuse it, and make it worse than what we currently have. So the Healthcare Section recommends that you vote in favor of this motion. KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number Two.
the Code already requires to be maintained constantly. So to throw a date in that conflicts with the body of the, of the document, could actually confuse it, and make it worse than what we currently have. So the Healthcare Section recommends that you vote in favor of this motion. KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number Two.
So to throw a date in that conflicts with the body of the, of the document, could actually confuse it, and make it worse than what we currently have. So the Healthcare Section recommends that you vote in favor of this motion. KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number Two.
the, of the document, could actually confuse it, and make it worse than what we currently have. So the Healthcare Section recommends that you vote in favor of this motion. KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number Two.
make it worse than what we currently have. So the Healthcare Section recommends that you vote in favor of this motion. KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number Two.
Healthcare Section recommends that you vote in favor of this motion. KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number Two.
of this motion. KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number Two.
KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number Two.
Two.
TERRY VICTOR: Terry Victor with Johnson
Controls, speaking in favor of the motion. When Mr.
Peterkin first submitted this NITMAM and we saw that
it was certified as a CAM, I looked at it, and I'm
<pre>it was certified as a CAM, I looked at it, and I'm like, 'I don't understand what he's trying to do here,</pre>
like, 'I don't understand what he's trying to do here,
like, 'I don't understand what he's trying to do here, because he's not trying to delete the requirement to
like, 'I don't understand what he's trying to do here, because he's not trying to delete the requirement to perform this inspection. He's just trying to take it
like, 'I don't understand what he's trying to do here, because he's not trying to delete the requirement to perform this inspection. He's just trying to take it out of the Table.' And I said, 'That doesn't

Page 151

1 getting at here, he's correct, and there's no reason 2 to put 'annual' in the Table when it doesn't belong in 3 the text. It's really an error. So I think by removing this reference in the 4 5 Table, it more accurately reflects what's supposed to 6 be done - annually, and at all times. I think this is a, a mistake, and the Committee was in error, and I 8 support the, the motion. 9 KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Is there any further discussion on Motion 25-17 to Reject an Identifiable 10 11 Part of Second Revision No. 13? Mr. Chair, any final comments? 12 13 BRADFORD CRONIN: I do not have any further 14 Thank you. comments. 15 Seeing none, we will move on to KENNETH BUSH: a vote. Before we vote, let me restate the motion. 16 17 The motion on the floor is to Reject an Identifiable 18 Part of Second Revision No. 13. To vote, touch the 19 Vote button. If you wish to vote in support of the 20 motion and recommend the text on Screen One, text -21 vote - I'm sorry - touch Yes. If you wish to vote 22 against the motion and recommend the text on Screen 23 Two, touch No. Please record your vote. The voting will close in five seconds. Voting is closed. 2.4 2.5 results of the vote are 140 in support of the motion Page 152

1	and recommend the text on Screen One, and 68 against
2	the motion and recommend the text on Screen Two. The
3	motion has passed.
4	Let us proceed with discussion on Certified
5	Attending Motion 25-16. Microphone Number
6	MALE SPEAKER: Six.
7	KENNETH BUSH: Six.
8	JIM PETERKIN: Thank you, Speaker. Jim
9	Peterkin, TLC Engineering Solutions, and I'd like to
10	move 25-16.
11	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. There is a motion on
12	the floor to Reject Second Revision No. 2. Is there a
13	second?
14	MALE SPEAKER: Second.
15	KENNETH BUSH: We do have a second. Please
16	proceed with the discussion on the motion.
17	JIM PETERKIN: Yes. I promise, this is my last
18	one. The - what we're concerned here is that this
19	section was added based on some anecdotal evidence.
20	And there's really no technical data to support this
21	change, and it would add something into the Standard
22	that would require ongoing inspection, testing and
23	maintenance that may or may not be justified.
24	What we think, or what we're proposing is,
25	let's look at this at the next cycle, and the American
	Page 153

Society of Healthcare Engineering has agreed that we
will poll our hospitals, and we will provide technical
data to find out if this truly is a problem, and other
industries we can poll, as well. But we've committed
to do that, and come back to the Committee with
technical data to say, 'Yes, this is a problem, and,
and we need to do this.' Or, 'No, it's not, and let's
not waste our time and money on something that isn't
an issue.' So I would urge your support for this
motion. Thank you.
KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Mr. Cronin, would
you like to offer the Committee's position?
BRADFORD CRONIN: Yes, thank you. This
proposed CAM seeks to remove a new section, 5.2.1.1.1,
and its subset on a new inspection requirement for
concealed sprinklers. This requirement was added
based on anecdotal data provided around the failure of
concealed sprinklers in the field. The anecdotal data
stated that sprinklers showing no signs of
degradation, either on the cover plate or the
surrounding ceiling of the concealed sprinkler, were
prematurely discharging.
The Technical Committee realizes that this
inspection request goes beyond the normal visual
inspection from the ground, and may require the use of
Page 154

ladders or lifts in some installations. Due to the
possible need for ladders or lifts, the inspection
frequency was set at five years, the same frequency
for other ITM activities that may require ladders or
lifts. The requirement also limits the inspection to
a sample area, versus trying to remove and inspect the
sprinkler under every cover plate. The new section
and its subset passed ballot with eight negative
votes. Thank you.

KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. With that, we will now open debate on the motion. Please provide your name, affiliation, and whether you are speaking in support of or against the motion. Microphone Number Four.

BILL KOFFEL: Bill Koffel, Koffel Associates, speaking in support of the motion, speaking for myself. Up until about an hour and a half ago, I was in a different position. I was prepared to speak against this item. I chaired the NFPA 25 Committee in the past revision cycle, and that's at the point where the contractor came to us and said, 'There is a problem.' And in fact, the contractor came to us and said, 'The Committee needs to address this, and tell contractors - do we have to do this inspection, or do we not have to do this inspection.' And we added an

Page 155

2.1

2.4

	June 15, 2022
1	Annex note.
2	This cycle, the Committee went a little bit
3	further - again, recognizing that our data sample's
4	relatively small, but recognizing that it's an also an
5	issue - decided to put in a five year inspection
6	frequency for a representative sample.
7	What I heard, and what I was told about an hour
8	and a half ago is that ASHE is willing to step up to
9	the plate and collect data, and present it to the
10	Committee. If there are other user groups in the
11	audience, I would encourage you to do the same, so
12	that we can expand that data to occupancies other than
13	hospitals.
14	I would note two things. There will still be
15	an Annex note that will address this. And the Annex
16	note says if there's evidence of corrosion on the
17	cover plate, then the inspection should be done. But
18	I don't have to do it if there's no evidence of
19	corrosion on the cover plate assembly.
20	Lastly, just for your information, Chair, if
21	this motion passes, which I hope it does, there is the
22	need for a follow-up motion to Reject an Identifiable
23	Part of Second Revision 13.

Page 156

Thank you. Microphone Number

Six.

24

25

KENNETH BUSH:

CHAD BEEBE: Chad Beebe, with the American
Society for Healthcare Engineering, speaking in
support of the motion. As you've heard, the data was
a very small sample. It was very anecdotal. I think
we need to have better data to make Code changes. As
you heard two previous presenters say, ASHE would be
willing to do a study out of the nation's hospitals -
about 90% of the hospitals are our members. We would
be more than happy to collect as much data as we
possibly can from that pool to bolster this data, and
make a decision for next cycle. I urge your support
for this motion, and, and then take this out. Thank
you.
KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number
Six.
DAVE DESJUNET: Thank you. Dave Desjunet,
Wentworth Douglas Hospitals, speaking on behalf of the

DAVE DESJUNET: Thank you. Dave Desjunet,
Wentworth Douglas Hospitals, speaking on behalf of the
Healthcare Section, in favor of the motion. By all
means, if there's a problem with sprinkler heads,
Healthcare wants to take care of it. What we have
right now is we're trying to fix a problem that we
don't even know exists. So there's a commitment to
validate if there's an issue. And if there's in fact
an issue that is substantiated by technical data, then
let's make the change. But not - let's not create

Page 157

2.1

1	Code requirements just in case there might be a				
2	problem. I urge you to vote in favor of the motion.				
3	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Microphone Number				
4	Six.				
5	CHUCK STORMER: Chuck Stormer, USGSA, speaking				
6	in support of the motion. In my experience, I've only				
7	seen one cover plate that was adhered to a ceiling.				
8	There has been no loss history associated with this.				
9	5.2.2 - or excuse me, 5.2.1.1.1 already discusses if				
10	there is problems with the sprinkler, loading,				
11	corrosion, whatever, then it just gets replaced. So I				
12	would support that - I'll talk with Frable (phonetic)				
13	and see if we can get some data from our buildings, as				
14	well.				
15	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Is there any further				
16	discussion on Motion 25-16 to Reject Second Revision				
17	No. 2? Mr. Chair, any final comments?				
18	BRADFORD CRONIN: No, thank you.				
19	KENNETH BUSH: Seeing none, we will move on to				
20	a vote. Before we vote, let me restate the motion.				
21	The motion on the floor is to Reject Second Revision				
22	No. 2. To vote, touch the Vote button. If you wish				
23	to vote in support of the motion and recommend the				
24	text on Screen One, touch Yes. If you wish to vote				
25	against the motion and recommend the, the text on				
	Page 158				

1	Screen Two, touch No. Please vote.				
2	(background voices)				
3	KENNETH BUSH: Okay. Hold on. Okay. Thank				
4	you for your patience. We're working on it. Please				
5	record your vote now.				
6	(background voices)				
7	KENNETH BUSH: Okay - still not working?				
8	MALE SPEAKER: No.				
9	KENNETH BUSH: Still working to resolve the				
10	issue.				
11	FEMALE SPEAKER: It worked.				
12	MALE SPEAKER: It's working now.				
13	KENNETH BUSH: Okay. Please record your vote				
14	now.				
15	(background voices)				
16	KENNETH BUSH: Still not working?				
17	MALE SPEAKER: No.				
18	(background voices)				
19	KENNETH BUSH: Okay. If you're having issues				
20	with your voting machines, would you please raise your				
21	hand? Yeah - just hold. Take your hands down. Thank				
22	you.				
23	(background voices)				
24	KENNETH BUSH: Voting now? Okay. Please				
25	record your vote now.				
	Page 159				

1	(background voices)					
2	KENNETH BUSH: Still having issues?					
3	(background voices)					
4	KENNETH BUSH: Anyone still having problems,					
5	please raise your hand. I see one.					
6	FEMALE SPEAKER: (unintelligible)					
7	KENNETH BUSH: Anyone else having problems,					
8	please raise your hand. Okay. Voting - the voting					
9	will be closed. Voting is closed. The results of the					
10	vote are 147 in support of the motion and recommend					
11	the text on Screen One; and, and 80 against the motion					
12	and recommend the text on Screen Two. The motion has					
13	passed.					
14	(background voices)					
15	FEMALE SPEAKER: Oh, yes. (unintelligible)					
16	KENNETH BUSH: Okay. Okay. The next motion on					
17	NFPA 25 appeared on our agenda. However, the					
18	authorized maker of the motion has notified NFPA that					
19	this motion will not be pursued. Therefore, in					
20	accordance with the NFPA Rules, Convention Rules at					
21	Section 2.7, the motion may not be considered by the					
22	assembly, and is removed from the agenda.					
23	The next motion on NFPA 25, that's 25-18,					
24	appear on the agenda. However, the authorized maker					
25	of the motion has notified NFPA that this motion will					
	Page 160					

1	not be pursued. Therefore, in accordance with NFPA					
2	Rules, Convention Rules at Section 2.7, the motion may					
3	not be considered by the assembly, and is removed from					
4	the agenda. Microphone Number Four?					
5	BILL KOFFEL: Bill Koffel, Koffel Associates,					
6	speaking for myself. I have a follow-up motion					
7	related to the action on CAM 25-16.					
8	KENNETH BUSH: Okay. There is a motion on the					
9	floor to have a follow-up motion made on 25-16. As					
10	Presiding Officer, I have determined that this is a					
11	valid motion. A follow-up motion requires two					
12	seconds. Do we have a second?					
13	SEVERAL SPEAKERS: Second.					
14	KENNETH BUSH: Okay. Do we have a second -					
15	second?					
16	SEVERAL SPEAKERS: Second.					
17	KENNETH BUSH: All right. Please proceed with					
18	discussion on the motion.					
19	BILL KOFFEL: The motion is to Reject an					
20	Identifiable Part of Second Revision 13. That is					
21	Table 5.1.1.2. Under inspection, there was a line					
22	added that said, 'Sprinklers (concealed) frequency					
23	every five years' - referenced paragraph 5.2.1.1.1.					
24	My motion is to reject that Identifiable Part.					
25	There's no related First Revision text.					
	Page 161					

1	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. Mr. Chair, would you					
2	like to offer the Committee position?					
3	BRADFORD CRONIN: If the language does not					
4	appear in the body of the Standard, then the language					
5	should not appear in the Table for the chapter. Thank					
6	you.					
7	KENNETH BUSH: Thank you. With that, we will					
8	now open debate on the motion. Please provide your					
9	name, affiliation, and whether you are speaking in					
10	support of, or against the motion. Microphone Number					
11	Four.					
12	BILL KOFFEL: Bill Koffel, Koffel Associates,					
13	speaking for myself, speaking in favor of the motion.					
14	I think the Chair just told us everything we need to					
15	know. We deleted the paragraph. It should be deleted					
16	from the table.					
17	KENNETH BUSH: Is there any further discussion					
18	on the follow-up to a motion for CAM 25-16? Mr.					
19	Chair, any final comments?					
20	BRADFORD CRONIN: No, thank you.					
21	KENNETH BUSH: Seeing none, we will move to a					
22	vote. Before we vote, let me restate the motion. The					
23	motion on the floor is a follow-up motion to CAM 25-					
24	16. To vote, touch the Vote button. If you wish to					
25	vote in support of the motion and recommend the text					
	Page 162					

1	on Screen One				
2	FEMALE SPEAKER: (unintelligible)				
3	(background voices)				
4	KENNETH BUSH: Okay. It'll be coming up.				
5	MALE SPEAKER: Just a point of order. Point of				
6	order.				
7	KENNETH BUSH: Yes.				
8	MALE SPEAKER: We just need to clarify that you				
9	need to scroll all the way down to the very end. The				
10	next to the last line says Follow-Up Motion. That's				
11	the button you need to push.				
12	KENNETH BUSH: Okay. You heard what was				
13	stated. Scroll all the way down for the Follow-Up				
14	vote Motion. If you wish to vote in support of the				
15	motion and recommend text, touch Yes. If you wish to				
16	vote against the motion and recommended on Screen Two,				
17	touch No. Please record your vote. The voting will				
18	close in five seconds.				
19	MALE SPEAKER: (unintelligible) on the screen.				
20	It's the old motion.				
21	KENNETH BUSH: Voting is closed. The results				
22	of the vote are 168 in favor of the motion; 20 against				
23	the motion. The follow-up motion has passed.				
24	MALE SPEAKER: (unintelligible)				
25	(background voices)				
	Page 163				

1	KENNETH BUSH: Is there any final discussion on
2	NFPA 25? Anything else? Okay. Thank you. With
3	that, this officially concludes today's agenda for the
4	2022 Association Technical Meeting. I want to thank
5	you for your participation, interest, and support. I
6	now declare today's meeting adjourned. Please note
7	that the meeting will begin promptly at 8 a.m.
8	tomorrow. Have a good evening.
9	(applause)
10	
11	(END OF MEETING - DAY ONE)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 164

1	TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
	I, MARY HARLOW, attest that the foregoing proceedings
4	
	provided to me via audio were transcribed by me to the
5	
	best of my ability.
6	
	I further attest that I am not a relative or employee
7	
8	to any attorney or party nor financially interested in
9	
10	this action.
11	
12	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of
13	
14	the state of California that the foregoing is true and
15	
16	correct.
17	
18	Dated this 23rd day of June, 2022.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Mary Harlow
24	
25	MARY HARLOW
	Page 165

[1 - 23]

1	130 18:7 31:3,9,20	177 118:25 119:2	14:6 26:23 27:18
	32:3,6 34:2 35:5	127:18 132:21	52:14
1 83:7 85:15 87:17	39:10,15,19 40:19	133:6	2003-2006 59:11
93:13,19,25 94:8	41:4 43:1 45:2	18 98:8 101:1	2005 9:20 10:21
94:14,16,17,19	48:24 49:19 52:25	104:16 105:15	2006 7:24 8:3 9:19
95:21,23 96:5,15	56:5,12 57:9 83:6	1821b 136:11	12:8 13:19 14:4
97:3	84:5 85:15 87:17	189 141:12	2008 14:7
1.3 121:25	90:20	19 33:11 41:21	2009 10:18,19 11:9
1.6.2 17:20	130-2 48:12 58:21	42:3	2010 8:3,5 9:6
10 39:11 95:8	130-3 33:7,9 41:20	194 92:14	12:7 14:13 48:25
110:21 130:24	130-5 42:14 47:20	1985 24:6,11	52:10,19
100 34:12	48:2	1986 12:8	2011 8:8 11:8
101 85:15,16 86:2	130-9 32:18,19,21	1990 8:7 12:9	2012 11:7 12:15,17
87:17	33:1	1991 10:19	13:17 14:8
103 30:23	130.5 42:17	1992 7:22	2013 9:5 11:9
106 89:12	13501 94:13 96:4	1993 7:23 13:9	2014 9:3,4 13:7
108 105:21	13501 94.13 90.4 13501-1 93:11,14	1994 10:6 13:3,6,9	2015 12:23 13:22
10th 18:3	93:25 96:25	1996 8:3 12:24	136:11,16
11 42:20 47:21	136 83:5,7,9,15,17	1997 9:7	2016 6:21 7:4
48:3	83:17,22 87:2	1998 8:5	11:11
110 133:12	93:21	1999 26:20,22	2017 9:2 13:22
1182 93:12,18	137 110:16		106:16
12 22:12 51:14	140 152:25	2	2018 13:13,13,14
64:2 82:14,15	140 132.23 141 97:19	2 48:15 82:14	13:16,20 66:18
84:17 88:6 89:5	143 133:13	93:13,15 94:1,8,16	2019 8:5 12:21
12-2-1 51:20	143 193.13 147 19:9 160:10	94:20 96:15	2020 7:14 9:1
12.2.1 54:12	15 22:13 39:11	153:12 158:17,22	51:20 106:22
120 106:15,17	64:10 76:4,11	2-2.3.1 24:7	143:11
107:18,23 108:4	77:6,10 80:8,16	2.7 32:14 33:2	2022 1:4 5:5,9
108:15 109:17	92:15	62:12 118:18	15:24 17:14 18:3
1200 23:2	150 33:19 34:12	142:2 160:21	18:9 20:11 111:25
1202 49:3	16 32:8,13,20	161:2	135:2 136:16
121 42:11	141:14 162:24	20 17:14,22 22:13	164:4 165:18
12th 18:3	162 48:9	103:15 119:11	2023 31:19 61:20
13 89:25 91:24	168 163:22	121:19,23 123:8	81:22 95:14
92:5 93:3 95:23	1685 49:3 51:24	131:3 142:1	209 76:18 148:13
95:24 97:7,13	169 60:15	163:22	21 19:9
145:24 146:9	17 44:5,13 106:5	200 7:16 118:12	21 19.9 215 80:24
147:21 148:14,24	109:21 110:4	2000 12:9 23:9	220 86:21,22 87:4
152:11,18 156:23	17025 75:23	2002 11:10	23 37:3 54:16
161:20	11045 13.23	2003 7:23 11:13,14	123:24
		11:15 12:16 14:1	12J.27

[23rd - 800]

23rd 165:18	285-13 76:21 80:6	4.4.4.4.2 s 126:24	502-4 89:15,22
25 18:8 45:16	285-7 62:7 63:12	4.6.13 85:17	91:23
54:18 133:19	285next 62:4	4.8 21:8 83:13,16	502-5 105:24
134:20 135:2,9	288-3376 1:20	83:20 85:4 87:22	109:20
136:5 139:5	29 17:13 37:3	90:9,10	502-7 97:23 98:6
141:22 144:19	45:16 54:17	4.8. 83:21 86:9	104:15
145:15,24 146:1		88:14,22 90:8	502-9 92:18,25
147:13 155:19	3	4.8.4. 95:15	97:6
160:17,23 162:23	3 21:7 96:2 142:9	43 118:11 129:22	52 60:14
164:2	142:12 147:25	43 118.11 129.22 44 39:16	52 60.14 5285364 1:25
25-10 134:7	3.3.1. 26:23	44. 39:10	54 48:9 94:4
135:12 138:1,5,7	3.3.44 85:5 86:1	44. 39.22 46 30:22	
140:25	3.3.45 88:22	476 94:5 96:1,21	6
25-16 153:5,10	3/16s 21:7	476 94:3 96:1,21 476-4 96:4	6 36:15 48:18
158:16 161:7,9	3/16th 27:8		58:22 59:6,19
162:18	3/16ths 21:18,21	5	68 110:17 153:1
25-17 148:18,21	22:4,11 23:21	5 36:15 98:24	7
152:10	24:3,5 25:24 26:5	104:6 106:3	7 21:11 26:9 29:24
25-18 160:23	27:1 29:2,8,15	139:22 150:7	30:15 63:1,13
25-16 100:23 25-2 134:7 137:23	30:3	5.1.1.2. 150:5	64:9 76:3,11,18
	30 25:10 95:7	161:21	77:5,9 80:7,16
138:1,7 141:17,19 141:23	3134 98:25 99:6,17	5.2.1.1.1 154:14	7.1.2 35:13
	99:23 102:9,17,19	158:9	7.3.3 101:9 107:7
25-3 134:7 138:2,5	103:16,17 104:20	5.2.1.1.1. 161:23	7.3.4 101:9
138:8 142:6	104:22	5.2.1.2 150:8,10	7.3.6. 101.9
147:24	34 97:20 104:19	5.2.2 158:9	7.3.6. 101.9 70 53:9,14 55:3,4
256 92:7,9	3431 101:16	5.3.1. 21:5	58:5 59:4 133:21
25next 135:10	104:19	50 64:21	700 23:15
262 51:25	38 62:23	5000 58:7 85:15	700 23.13 71 112:16,18
263 97:15,17	394 42:11	86:21 87:4,15,16	115:24 117:24
2652 87:2	4	502 9:15 18:7 81:2	113:24 117:24
27 85:9 101:16	4 59:6 90:10	81:9,23 82:5,8	732 106:23
108:23	114:22 135:18,20	85:4,4,25 87:20	
27585 165:24	136:4 140:5 141:1	90:10 93:23 94:3	8
285 18:7 60:17	141:6	94:24 95:7,9,14,14	8 1:4 5:5 164:7
61:4,20 62:3,7	4.1.3 137:2,12,15	95:16 98:19 99:6	8.6.7.1.2 34:11
63:10 64:1,16	4.15. 157.2,12,13	102:8,12,13,25	36:9,20 39:15
65:7,9,10 66:17	4.4.4.2 131:12,16	104:9 108:6	8.6.7.1.2. 35:23
67:13 68:13,15	4.4.4.2 131:12,16 4.4.4.2. 126:15	110:20	37:3
70:25 71:5 74:19		502-2 82:8,12	80 105:21 160:11
285-12 67:15 76:2	4.4.4.4.2. 127:22	84:16 88:5 91:4,4	800 1:20
		,	

[81.5 - adoption]

81.5 24:21	able 28:20 36:13	accurately 152:5	addition 7:19
83 39:16,21	71:13 77:23 138:3	achievable 22:10	24:24 44:6 59:8
855 7:5,15 18:8	absence 11:23	achieve 27:14	85:11 86:4 90:16
110:23 111:11,25	absolutely 96:24	51:21 86:25	additional 36:10
112:8,12 113:18	abundantly 34:7	achieved 30:8	37:19,23 50:19
113:23 114:14	accept 10:8 15:2	achievement 6:8,8	56:16 57:1 105:1
116:13,25 121:16	42:16,19 47:20	6:12 8:10,13	121:15
122:15 123:24	48:2,17 58:21	acknowledge	additionally 122:3
124:18,22 127:7	59:18 67:9 82:14	11:22 45:12	address 6:1 7:1
128:16 129:6	84:16 88:5 89:5	114:15	16:13,23 29:7
131:23	89:24 91:23 92:4	acknowledged	39:19 40:2 41:13
855-3 112:16	93:2 97:6,12 98:8	65:3 113:22	45:2 51:2 52:23
114:22 115:23	104:15 105:14	act 133:24	82:5 120:8 123:13
117:24	104:13 103:14	acted 7:22 63:25	127:8 138:19
855-4 118:14	110:4 112:18	action 51:18 91:18	139:5,12 140:4
855-5 118:22,25	115:23 117:24	147:4 161:7	145:15 146:19
132:20	118:5,25,25 119:2	165:10	155:23 156:15
855next 112:9	130:18 132:20	actions 17:12	addressed 53:3
859 129:6	133:6 142:8,11	54:10	54:4 71:1 94:6
86 18:7 19:18	147:24 148:5	activate 16:14	115:10 124:23
20:11,18 21:4	acceptable 50:6	activation 16:21	125:21
24:1,12,12 26:19	65:12 69:13 100:3	active 6:18 7:20	addresses 39:16
29:20 30:25	102:4,5 107:10,12	39:10 116:10	47:16 51:3 78:7
86-6 20:21 26:8	107:13,21	actively 70:22	94:4 98:13,16
29:23	acceptance 72:3	activities 155:4	106:12 137:23
86711 46:11	99:4 100:25	actual 55:6 74:24	140:22
86next 20:18	accepted 43:18	77:23	addressing 122:1
9	46:10 75:4 99:8	add 26:2 34:23	122:16
9 22:12 32:7 95:13	99:18 102:8 143:7	56:16 85:4 95:14	adhered 158:7
95:20	accepting 9:14,24	95:21 100:8	adjacent 123:4
90 157:8	27:24 80:1 101:13	102:16 104:17	adjourned 164:6
94 89:12	138:7	109:21 118:2	adjournment
9548 120:5 125:17	access 81:24	121:15 153:21	17:14,23
130:23	122:18,20 126:16	added 39:13 44:8	administration
98 87:18	126:18 132:17	44:19 67:1 86:7	10:16
	accessories 22:1	137:3 145:17	adopt 104:11
a	accomplish 151:23	149:8 150:12	131:7
a.8.6.7.1.2. 35:17	accomplished	153:19 154:16	adopted 104:10
a.m. 164:7	144:5	155:25 161:22	117:19
ability 78:1 108:13	accumulation	adding 24:10	adoption 59:10
165:5	53:24 131:21	87:25 149:23	62:23 64:4

[advantage - apart]

1001	7 04 07	20.4.44.20.24	
advantage 128:4	agreed 84:25	38:4 41:22,24	andrew 3:13
advocate 6:20	138:4,20 154:1	45:6,7,10 46:15,17	118:23,24 119:8,9
affairs 143:9	agreeing 140:6	47:22,23 51:11,13	120:21 130:9,9
affect 137:14	agreement 138:5	54:20 58:23,25	anecdotal 38:12
145:6	aha 43:18	59:16	153:19 154:17,18
affiliation 15:1,14	ahead 60:9,12	alternate 99:21	157:4
15:17 25:5 38:6	88:18 110:25	alternative 13:12	anecdotally 34:22
46:20 54:22 68:4	111:3	52:3 54:15 59:6	anecdote 34:24
78:18 86:14 91:10	ahj 101:12,13	100:4	anecdotes 35:4,7
96:9 101:23 109:3	102:4,6 107:8,15	ambulances 10:18	annex 36:1,7,24
115:21 122:8	108:14,17,21	amend 134:6	37:11 39:14 41:2
137:19 144:11	109:9,13,14	amended 56:14	41:15,16 47:6
150:19 155:12	124:14 125:9	73:3	64:24,25 65:17,20
162:9	128:9 129:25	amending 18:7,10	67:2,3,11,13,22
affirmative 37:4	130:18	19:19 20:20 31:10	68:1,16 70:8,12,13
45:16 54:17,18	ahj.' 130:12	32:6,8,15,21 33:3	70:24 71:1 72:10
85:9 89:12 101:17	ahjs 129:9	33:7 42:14 45:17	74:18 77:16 78:9
108:23 118:11	air 53:10	48:12 61:5 62:6	78:10,15 84:23
afforded 131:4	aircraft 9:2 10:17	64:1 67:12 75:1,5	85:1 86:7 102:8
afternoon 5:8	airport 9:2	75:11 76:21 81:10	102:12,13 156:1
26:15 70:18 78:20	al 26:24	82:8 89:15 92:18	156:15,15
112:14 119:9	alarm 16:9,10,14	97:23 105:24	announce 19:2
133:23	16:15,21	111:12 112:12	annual 20:11
agencies 144:23	alarms 127:16	118:14,21 135:12	135:2 138:15
agenda 18:8 19:21	align 150:6	141:17 142:6	144:17 149:10
31:3,12 32:9,16,22	alleviate 72:6	148:18	150:11,14 151:5
33:4 60:17 61:7	allow 70:8 71:17	amendment 17:15	annually 152:6
62:8,13 81:11	93:23 94:1,1,25	17:21 91:16	annually' 149:17
105:24 111:14	97:3 105:8 121:16	america 103:4	annual' 149:24
118:15,20 134:8	123:9 130:6	american 26:17	152:2
134:11 141:23	allowed 53:23	119:19 140:12,13	answered 136:20
142:3,5 160:17,22	65:20 106:20	143:8 146:7,15	anticipated 85:6
160:24 161:4	130:24	153:25 157:1	85:21
164:3	allowing 27:22	amount 30:8	antonio 113:16
aggregate 121:24	76:25 123:5	93:17	116:9
123:10	allows 93:17 109:9	amounts 143:13	anybody 146:21
ago 57:20 113:15	120:13 125:9	143:19 145:5,5	anyone's 65:25
116:8 155:17	128:18	analysis 100:6,9	anyway 28:2
156:8	alma 13:11	100:11 101:10,13	129:15
agree 44:9 56:10	alston 2:12 31:6	102:4,5,7 106:24	apart 125:19
89:2 104:9 147:13	31:14,16 36:4,6	107:1,7	

[apex - authority]

apex 14:3	125:5	art 2:23 70:18,18	140:14 146:7
apologize 45:8	appreciate 121:8	78:20,20	164:4
46:16 72:21 93:10	appreciation 8:18	article 113:3	assure 74:22
app 18:22,24	14:15,19	arup 31:7	astm 68:20 83:5,7
apparatus 10:19	approach 43:23	ashe 156:8 157:6	83:9,15,17,17,22
apparently 10:7	53:8 120:25 121:2	ashe.org. 146:23	84:4 87:1 93:20
63:17,18	140:21	ashe's 146:21	94:18,19 98:24,25
appeal 17:12,15	approached 32:10	asking 75:1	99:6,17,23 100:15
appealed 113:20	32:12,23,25	assemblies 61:22	101:15 102:17,19
appeals 18:4	appropriate 71:20	64:21 71:10 74:9	104:6,19,22 105:7
appear 19:7 21:17	100:20 113:1	assembly 32:15	athanis 12:10
160:24 162:4,5	approval 108:13	33:3 45:25 62:13	atkinson 1:19
appeared 32:21	108:17,21	66:17 67:6 68:14	atmosphere 53:22
62:8 118:14	approve 90:14	68:18 71:24 74:21	53:23
141:23 160:17	approved 7:3	78:24 118:19	atmospheres
appears 32:8 73:4	94:23,24 107:5,6	142:3 156:19	13:13,21
73:5,7,9 145:20	124:14 128:9	160:22 161:3	attachment 22:25
appendix 73:4,6	130:12 134:7	assembly's 134:11	attempt 139:5
73:16	approves 109:13	assertion 85:13	attempted 37:24
applause 6:16	arch.' 28:5	asserts 46:5	attempting 37:14
8:11 9:11 10:10	architect 74:15	assessing 68:22	attend 66:23 70:9
10:14 11:1,4,19	79:25	74:20	attendance 28:8
14:17 61:14 164:9	architecture	assessment 49:23	attending 134:1
applicability	120:11	50:5 55:15	153:5
36:21 95:25	architectures	assigned 18:22	attention 6:23
applicable 29:4,16	120:15	assist 18:16	16:7 84:22
46:8 71:4 101:11	area 21:24 26:3	assistance 17:1	attest 165:3,6
application 18:12	51:7,13,15 155:6	associated 8:1	attorney 165:8
18:15 36:8 37:14	areas 122:2	12:5,15 43:2 46:1	audience 32:7
43:15,21 93:24	129:12	51:5 52:4 59:14	156:11
95:1 108:11 114:6	arguing 59:1	74:3 99:10 107:10	audio 1:1 5:2
122:1 124:13	argument 15:6,19	145:21 158:8	165:4
applications	44:20 58:17	associates 116:4	augmented 108:7
124:10	arguments 79:3	127:6 132:4	august 18:3
applied 34:11	armor 53:6	137:21 141:18	austin 12:1 119:16
37:16 52:8,14	arnold 9:13,14,16	145:12 155:15	australia 9:17
applies 44:10,11	9:22	161:5 162:12	australian 119:13
69:15,15	arnold's 9:24	association 12:2	119:14
apply 34:13,14	array 124:21	13:10 72:18 79:17	authority 74:22
35:6,21 36:13	130:16	91:16 122:11	108:10
37:21 39:4,21		126:3,5,7 131:11	

[authorized - break]

	1	1	1
authorized 32:9	112:6 135:5	beebe 4:13 140:12	112:23,23 114:12
32:22 62:8 118:15	137:16,25 144:7	140:12 146:15,15	116:24 137:21,21
141:23 160:18,24	145:19,19 155:8	157:1,1	139:10,10 141:18
automatic 7:24	ballots 17:21	beep 16:12	141:18 145:12,12
available 21:24	20:16 135:8	began 6:24	146:8 155:15,15
22:20 99:25	145:20,21 150:15	behalf 9:14,24	161:5,5,19 162:12
122:19 146:21,23	baltimore 8:25	10:8 40:12 72:16	162:12
award 6:4,7,8,8,12	bananas 35:18,19	73:25 86:18	bioside 139:22
6:13 8:10,14,20,22	bar 120:16,17,25	138:13 149:13	bit 15:20,21 52:6
9:13,25 10:9,12	124:17 128:3	150:22 157:17	57:20 87:14
11:21 14:15	131:2	belgrade 13:5	123:15 156:2
119:14	barrier 74:9	believe 35:3 84:15	blue 20:25
awards 8:13,14	barriers 77:18	114:9 140:17,19	board 134:6
11:25 119:13	barry 2:18 60:25	151:2	138:14
aware 94:7 137:4	61:11,13,17 66:15	bellis 5:14	bodies 113:1
b	68:25 76:6 78:6	belong 87:6 136:4	body 34:9 67:9
b 6:13 24:12 64:24	80:10 102:17	152:2	86:5 95:12 102:12
65:17 67:2 69:8	103:12,12 104:7	ben 3:2 74:14,14	151:8 162:4
70:24 74:18	based 8:4 17:12,24	79:24,24	bogucki 12:18,19
b.8.5.1.1.3. 67:15	27:23 28:22 50:9	beneath 119:24	boiler 11:11,13
back 8:23,24	50:12 57:10 67:17	bernzweig 3:25	boilers 11:14,15
18:20 56:5,21	72:7 74:20 91:18	131:10,10,15	bolster 157:10
59:1,11 66:24	93:12 103:16	bespoke 108:8	bonesteel 3:20
72:12 110:22	119:16 120:5	best 117:20 124:7	129:3,3
140:8 154:5	130:25 134:4,25	136:19 138:4	boston 16:9
background 64:15	135:3 138:5 139:9	139:11 165:5	bound 75:18
111:2 159:2,6,15	153:19 154:17	better 26:1 65:11	130:15
159:18,23 160:1,3	basement 120:19	66:1 114:21	box 131:20
160:14 163:3,25	basically 76:24	138:22 157:5	boxes 126:19
badders 2:18	basis 36:19 63:20	beyond 6:3 116:16	bracing 7:17 8:4
60:25 61:9,13,15	71:15 151:4,5	154:24	bradford 4:2
61:17 66:13,15	batteries 128:21	big 11:6 19:15	134:17,23 136:25
68:2,25 76:4,6	battery 116:5,8	129:5	141:2 143:24
78:4,6 80:9,10	117:1 124:16	biggins 3:7 6:13	148:2 150:3
	128:18,22 130:19	111:7,18,21,22	152:13 154:13
102:17 103:12,12 104:7	130:19	115:3,5,17 116:24	158:18 162:3,20
baker 1:19 20:25	beacons 16:14	117:25 118:2	brand 66:11
ballot 17:17,19	beams 24:16 27:3	121:12,14 132:21	brands 20:25
20:13 31:22 32:1	beat 131:11	132:23	break 23:1 110:21
61:24 62:2 67:6	becoming 44:21	bill 3:4,8 4:5 75:14	110:24
81:25 82:3 112:3	116:15	75:14 112:14,14	
01.23 02.3 112.3			

[brian - cat]

brian 3:19 128:14	burning 93:17	38:14,15,15,16	120:8,22,23 121:6
128:14	burwick 9:17	40:21 43:4,18,20	120:8,22,23 121:0
brick 22:23	bush 4:1 133:16	43:24 44:18 45:13	134:13,14 137:1,2
bridges 81:23	133:22,23 135:11	46:1,10 49:2 51:2	137:23 143:24
briefcase 119:22	135:15,19,23	53:2 55:24 56:8	148:20 150:4
bring 26:21 95:11	136:23 137:17	58:2,3,9,13,14	151:18 154:14
105:2 129:24	138:9,25 140:10	59:6 139:22	161:7 162:18,23
bringing 55:9	140:24 141:3,22	cables 34:5,6,6,7	cams 18:7 134:6
84:21	140.24 141.3,22	34:12,13,15,16,16	canada 13:24
brings 35:21 43:11	142:10,14 143:22	34:19,20 35:10,14	can't 123:1 125:18
102:16 151:1	144.9 143.10	35:22 36:10,16,22	125:19 126:25
british 13:24	140.4,13,23 147.9	37:8,9,12 39:17	123.19 120.23
40:22	147.23 148.3,22	40:2,5 43:6,13	
broadened 116:22	·	, , ,	capabilities 123:12
brought 6:23 27:7	151:13 152:9,15 153:7,11,15	44:22 45:3 46:5,7 46:13 47:7,11,16	
27:15 47:13,15	153:7,11,15		capacity 40:12
55:12 86:9 116:23	154:11 155:10	49:1,4,7 50:2,16	
bs 83:24 94:4 96:1		50:24,25 51:14,20 51:21 52:25 53:12	cape 129:21
	158:3,15,19 159:3		capture 117:8
96:4,21	159:7,9,13,16,19	53:16 54:13 55:5	car 39:14 44:23
buck 24:16 27:3	159:24 160:2,4,7	55:7,19 56:9,11,12	care 157:20
28:2 30:7	160:16 161:8,14	57:21	career 119:12
build 29:19	161:17 162:1,7,17	cabling 40:23	careers 114:5
builders 44:24	162:21 163:4,7,12	cac 6:13 111:8	carefully 54:13
building 13:15	163:21 164:1	calculated 27:16	74:18
16:20 56:24 57:15	business 15:24	calculations 27:15	carolina 14:3
57:15 58:1,2,4,6,9	16:4 39:15 138:15	caldwell 3:2 74:14	cars 40:5 44:20,21
86:20 129:10,14	144:17	74:14 79:24,24	carve 51:18
137:11	busses 35:5,6	california 10:4	131:25
buildings 64:18	button 30:16 42:5	14:11 165:14	case 19:9,24 44:3
136:2 158:13	59:19 76:12 80:17	call 7:4 18:25 19:5	45:23 53:7 68:19
buildup 23:16	89:6 92:5 97:13	31:2,2	71:4 81:15 92:13
127:24	105:15 110:5	cam 39:18 44:13	127:13 149:25
built 128:8	118:6 133:7 141:6	48:15 50:23 51:2	158:1
bunker 3:17 127:5	148:7 152:19	67:15 78:7 82:11	cases 108:22
127:6,6 131:6	158:22 162:24	86:10 89:22 90:24	139:20
132:3,4,4,9,12	163:11	92:25 94:11 95:13	cast 17:4 19:4
burden 105:1	b's 69:10	95:20 96:22 98:6	22:22
burn 82:25 85:7	c	98:13 99:20 100:8	castable 22:14
85:22 97:2	c 2:1 24:24	100:12 106:2,12	cat 36:15,15
burner 11:14,15	cable 34:23 35:1	107:16 108:25	139:22
	36:11,23 37:21,23	112:15 118:25	

[categories - close]

categories 43:8	157:1,1	changes 21:4	citing 53:15
44:4	chair 5:11 7:11,19	28:17 40:4 66:8	cladding 74:8
category 6:7 43:7	7:23 8:3,5 9:14	70:10 84:11	clarification 34:17
44:22	11:8,11 12:7,9,17	100:12 101:1	36:25 94:12
cause 23:11 29:3	13:7,19 19:14	121:25 137:11	clarified 88:13
127:16 139:16	20:3,6 31:6,15	147:16 150:7	clarify 36:8 37:12
causes 139:23	38:11 45:5 55:23	157:5	38:18 68:11 84:15
causing 41:17	57:7 60:25 61:10	changing 129:8	84:17 96:3,14
55:20 143:13	72:25 75:17 81:5	chapter 40:1	100:8 136:8 163:8
145:3,7	81:18 84:15 88:17	51:14 56:19 84:7	clarifying 36:18
ceiling 154:21	94:4 103:1 111:7	136:4 140:5 150:7	37:2,6 142:20
158:7	111:18 116:4	162:5	clarity 134:12
center 16:10,23,25	118:23 119:8	chapters 52:23	class 24:24 93:13
19:8 120:4	121:11 133:17	characteristics	93:13,14,15,19,19
centralized 120:13	134:17,22 138:1	22:21 45:13 61:22	93:25 94:1,8,8
ceramic 22:23	144:15 147:25	characterizing	95:21 96:5
certain 18:12	149:4 150:24	37:8	classifying 37:7
27:11 49:1 51:21	152:11 156:20	charge 7:16	clause 101:6,9
51:24 128:23	158:17 162:1,14	charging 36:19	clean 128:6
129:12	162:19	check 149:18	clear 34:7 36:20
certainly 61:17	chaired 155:19	checked 149:19	38:16,16 60:9
75:3 78:6 80:10	chairman 55:3	chemical 13:21	97:1 106:16
certainty 146:12	87:14 93:8 96:14	chemicals 13:14	140:17
certificate 165:1	106:10 127:5	14:5	clearance 150:5,8
certification 46:4	132:3 147:14	chiefs 122:12	cleared 16:20
certified 18:6,10	chair's 34:21	126:7	clearer 37:15
19:19 20:20 31:10	38:12 49:7 50:22	chong 2:17 40:11	clearly 34:14
32:6,8,15,21 33:3	50:24 61:16	40:11,16,18 57:7,8	43:19,21,22 47:14
33:6 42:13 48:12	challenges 129:5	57:13	55:19 84:6 106:18
61:5 62:6 64:1	137:5	choose 109:9,13	106:25 107:3,13
67:12 76:21 81:10	challenging 7:10	choosing 19:1	149:10
82:7 89:15 92:18	chandler 12:25	chose 49:4	cleveland 62:21
97:22 105:24	change 50:6,7,14	chris 3:10 116:3,3	client 75:18
111:12 112:11	83:19 85:2 95:20	117:6	close 16:7 19:6
118:13,21 133:25	100:11 101:5	chuck 4:22 147:2	30:20 42:9 48:8
135:12 141:16	102:6 106:22	147:2 158:5,5	80:22 89:10
142:5 148:17	108:13,14 121:15	circuit 45:22,24	105:20 122:20
151:18 153:4	124:25 125:2	circuits 52:8,9	123:2,3 124:24
cgs 116:3	153:21 157:25	53:3	141:11 148:11
chad 4:13 140:12	changed 29:21	cite 125:22	152:24 163:18
140:12 146:15,15	39:15		

[closed - committee's]

10.6.20.20	02:22 04:2 22	70.6676.579.12	05.25 07.15 00.24
closed 19:6 30:20	93:23 94:2,23	70:6,6 76:5 78:12	95:25 97:15 98:24
42:10 48:8 54:3	95:1	80:9 88:7 91:25	98:24 99:8,18
55:18 59:25 60:13	combustion 7:22	97:8 104:17	100:14 101:2,14
76:17 80:22 89:11	11:12,13 23:11	109:22 118:1	101:18 103:8
92:14 97:19	26:17 83:1 85:7	132:22 134:13	104:24 108:5,6,24
105:20 110:16	85:22	141:1 146:8 148:1	109:13,15 111:5,7
118:11 133:12	come 6:15 15:12	150:15 152:12,14	111:7,9,13,15,23
141:12 148:12	25:19 26:4 28:11	158:17 162:19	112:4 113:19,24
152:24 160:9,9	28:13 56:2 57:17	commitment	114:14 115:4,7,13
163:21	58:17 66:19	157:22	116:5,8,22 117:1
clothing 12:14,22	125:13 126:18	committed 154:4	121:5,22 122:3
code 11:9 13:15	137:7 139:14,19	committee 6:10	123:23 125:1
16:19 18:22 29:18	140:21 144:19,24	7:11,24 8:1,6,13	128:17,22 133:1
29:20 43:10 49:9	154:5	8:14,15,22 9:13,24	133:18 134:3,5,8
49:9 56:1,12,13,23	comes 130:23	10:4,5,12 11:20,25	134:16,17,18,22
57:15,15 58:2,2,4	139:22	12:4,13,14,16 13:1	134:24 135:6
58:5,6,9 71:4	comfortable 19:10	13:2,6,8,20,25	137:8,25 142:9,11
113:1,4,5 114:10	coming 26:12	14:7,12 17:16,17	142:18,20,22
115:11 121:3	92:11 163:4	17:19 19:13,14,14	143:9,16 144:7
129:7 130:4,5	command 16:22	19:16,22 20:2,9,13	145:15 147:25
151:7,24 157:5	comment 41:13,23	24:1 27:18 28:9	148:6 150:12
158:1	42:20 47:21 48:3	30:2 31:4,6,6,7,13	152:7 154:5,23
codes 8:19 26:4	48:18 58:22,24	31:17,24 34:2,3,21	155:19,23 156:2
34:8 82:22 90:13	59:19 67:7,10	36:25 37:13 39:11	156:10 162:2
114:7 129:25	69:1,2 82:14,15	43:2 44:2,13	committees 7:21
130:2	84:11,17,18,22	45:19 49:3 50:20	8:1,16 9:1,18
colleague 26:24	88:6,25 89:5,25	51:16,18 53:18	10:17 11:7,12
56:2 125:14	91:24 92:5 93:3	54:10 55:14,15	12:5,20 13:12
colleagues 21:16	97:7,9,12 98:8	60:23,24,25 61:2,8	14:4 20:14 31:23
26:16	100:25 104:4,16	61:18,24 65:3	82:1
collect 156:9 157:9	105:14 106:5	66:7,18,24 67:1,9	committee's 23:24
color 15:16	109:21 110:4	67:21 68:9,10	24:8,21 26:21
columbia 13:24	112:16,18 115:24	69:3,4,18,19,20	36:5 38:1 45:9
40:22	117:24 118:5,25	70:21,23 72:15,25	51:12 66:14 72:9
combinations	119:2 132:20	73:24,25 74:17,17	78:5 84:18 86:3
64:23	133:6 142:9,12	75:16 76:7 78:9	91:2 95:5 100:22
combine 56:19	147:25 148:6	80:11 81:3,4,5,7	108:1,9,18 115:8
combined 24:13	commenter 26:11	81:12,20 82:1	121:13 136:24
combusted 83:11	comments 24:9	84:25 85:3,25	143:23 147:3
combustible 55:17	26:10 29:25 30:13	86:10,19,20 88:10	150:2 154:12
55:18 61:23 93:16	47:22 67:24 69:21	94:4,7,24 95:7,22	

[common - containers]

common 83:3	39:21 46:4,8	conductors 53:4	103:21 111:4
communication	53:14 83:18 93:20	conduit 59:3,4	121:8 134:1,2
34:14,15,19 35:10	component 74:20	conduits 53:6	considered 32:15
37:8 38:15 39:17	147:7	confident 71:25	33:3 37:13 41:4
53:16 55:6	components 61:23	confidential 75:20	53:17 54:14 55:14
communications	74:7 99:2 128:24	confidentiality	62:12 85:19 86:1
34:6 37:21 49:16	137:6 147:19	75:19	118:19 124:22
community 105:3	composition 69:12	conflicts 70:14	134:8 137:13,14
105:9	75:4	151:8	142:2 144:6
companies 29:3	compressed 13:10	confuse 34:22	160:21 161:3
companion 84:5	compromising	151:9	considering 23:13
90:6,20	67:4	confused 41:3	27:12
company 1:19	concealed 154:16	confusing 111:20	consistency 65:16
14:25 15:13,17	154:18,21 161:22	confusion 34:18	102:16
20:24 40:12 44:18	concede 120:14	37:7 41:1 43:11	consistent 6:19
47:14 62:20 124:3	conceded 37:22	51:7 151:2	52:21 53:8 79:11
128:5	concept 44:11	congratulating	85:14 87:4 94:3
comparative 65:7	78:7 123:13	8:10 10:9	99:13 100:7
65:21,25 74:4	concepts 27:13	conjunction 17:4	102:11 145:24
78:2	concern 6:23	40:19	147:17
compare 77:23	125:15 142:24	connected 121:24	constantly 151:7
78:1	145:7	connecticut 12:19	constructed 25:24
compared 65:9	concerned 16:5	connecting 28:3	49:20,25 53:21
74:5 76:25 77:19	30:3 122:3 125:16	conrad 2:15 39:9	construction 21:7
compatibility	136:4 149:6	39:10 41:10 44:17	21:22 22:11,19
136:1,18 137:5	153:18	44:17 55:23,24	23:15,21 24:4,5
143:2,3,8 145:22	concerning 95:24	102:23,23	25:22 27:12,13
compatible 140:3	concerns 6:1 29:7	consensus 5:19	29:4,8,10,16 49:20
140:4	145:15	17:6 38:1 69:5	49:21 86:20
competitor 72:2	concludes 8:12	99:6 100:16	139:15,15
complete 18:22	164:3	103:17,17,23	consultants 14:2
20:1 45:25	conclusion 113:9	116:7	consulting 13:23
completely 71:7	concrete 51:3 52:2	consequence	consumed 23:18
98:14 130:17	55:10,11 59:2	35:13,22	contact 137:7
complex 82:21	72:17 79:17 91:15	consequently	139:14,19,23
116:15	conditions 30:9	35:25 39:5	142:21
compliance 71:3	46:4 85:6,21	consider 125:8	contain 34:9
71:14 101:9	conduct 71:2 72:4	151:5	containerized
143:14	122:23	consideration	119:19
comply 26:3 29:17	conducting 16:4	18:11 19:12 31:3	containers 49:24
35:11,15,18,19,23		45:15 60:23 81:2	

[containing - davis]

	101.11 12 16
containing 61:22 coral 129:21 crafted 74:18	101:11,13,16
71:11 correct 50:15 craig 14:2,3	102:19,20 103:16
contains 43:10,22 56:20 94:12 150:9 create 119:19	103:18,22 104:5
93:11 94:15 99:3	104:13,20,21
101:8 corrected 113:23 created 95:23 96:2	105:3,7
contemplated correlate 106:23 creates 128:17	curves 98:16
45:14 46:7 146:9 147:20 151:2	103:4 104:12
contends 45:19 correlating 7:23 creation 95:23	cut 64:25
53:1,11 12:3,13 17:17 credible 74:19	cuts 87:21
contested 51:14 31:24 82:1 crisis 128:7	cycle 45:20 52:19
context 37:23 corrosion 156:16 criteria 46:13	135:2 138:21
134:15 156:19 158:11 51:22 94:13,19,20	150:7 153:25
continue 44:24 council 5:11,15 99:4 129:17	155:20 156:2
45:2 7:3 17:8,10,13,23 critical 7:10 90:23	157:11
continuous 8:15 18:2 113:20,22 99:4 117:20	cycles 39:14 40:2
149:23 133:17 cronin 4:2 134:17	56:1,15 140:21
continuously counsel 5:17 134:21,23 136:23	d
149:12,14 countless 5:23 136:25 141:1,2	d 13:4 24:24
contractor 139:21 countries 103:2 143:22,24 147:14	
155:21,22 country 94:18 148:2 150:1,3	damage 114:1
contractors 136:20 152:13 154:11,13	136:3
140:19 155:24 couple 14:20 40:2 158:18 162:3,20	danger 23:7
contrary 101:7 69:25 70:1,6 cross 67:5	dangerous 22:16
contribution 17:6 72:25 92:20 97:24 crozet 12:25	56:10 127:23
contributions 6:5 104:18 144:3 cubic 27:17	data 53:15 65:21
6:9 course 34:3 68:9 cumberland 12:1	66:16 67:17 71:5
control 12:5 34:5 113:24 116:10 cummings 13:4	71:12,15,18 72:2
34:11,13,16,20 cover 47:9,12 current 26:20	74:3 75:21 77:24
36:10 37:9 54:1 154:20 155:7 27:11 29:20 45:20	78:1,25,25 143:11
113:11 114:16	153:20 154:3,6,17
116:21 coverage 114:3 126:23,23 127:1	154:18 156:3,9,12
controlling 115:15 covered 25:1 138:22	157:3,5,9,10,24
controls 139:3 43:15 47:2,5,7,12 currently 40:3	158:13
147:12 151:16	date 151:8
convention 16:9 113:8 121:25 120:12 124:18	dated 165:18
16:25 32:14 33:2 covered. ' 129:15 127:8 128:3	dave 3:22,25 4:25
62:11 63:25 covers 73:16 151:10	129:21,21 131:10
118:18 142:1	131:10,15 150:21
160:20 161:2 cpvc 136:1,18,19 98:23 99:3,9,15,23	150:21 157:16,16
conventional 22:7 139:17,21,23 99:23,25 100:13	david 32:7
100:15,16,18,20	davis 3:1 73:22,22
100.13,10,10,20	86:17,17

[dawn - differentiation]

dawn 5:14	decreased 50:4	density 51:25	developed 5:25
day 1:3 5:4 149:20	dedicate 114:5	department 10:19	36:8 57:16 66:19
149:21,22 164:11	deemed 75:4	119:17 128:15	66:23 67:10 72:10
165:18	deeply 114:7	129:22 134:18	78:8 98:18,25
days 17:14,18,22	defeats 75:9	deploy 122:23	103:16
dc 34:12	defined 27:16 30:7	describe 82:23	developing 31:25
dealing 76:25	53:21	83:4 134:14	66:20 70:24 104:7
116:16	defines 28:3	describes 67:3	119:11 131:23
dealt 44:2 53:16	definitely 70:7	84:8	development 5:18
death 131:12	definition 83:12	design 22:23 24:20	6:11 7:5,12 8:19
debate 17:5,7 18:1	83:18 84:9,10,12	26:4 29:5,16,20	9:10,23 10:24
18:11 19:23 25:4	84:24 85:4,12,16	30:9 74:23	11:18 20:15,23
31:14 38:5 46:19	85:24,24 86:4,4,6	designated 32:12	62:1 82:2 112:5
51:16 53:18 54:21	86:22 87:5,6,15,23	32:25 62:9	114:6,10 135:7
61:9 68:3 78:17	87:25 88:12,13	designation 43:18	deviation 106:25
81:14 86:13 91:9	90:7 91:17	43:24 44:4	deviations 74:23
96:8 101:22 103:5	definition.' 87:24	designations 38:21	device 18:19 19:11
109:2 111:16	deflagration 21:20	43:6 45:22	60:3
115:20 122:7	23:1,7 25:18 26:1	designed 99:25	devices 17:3 18:20
133:20 134:9	26:6 29:9	100:2 119:24	dick 3:1 73:22,22
137:18 144:10	degradation	designer 24:22	86:17,17
150:18 155:11	154:20	30:9	didn't 39:19 96:14
162:8	degrees 23:3,9,16	designs 22:8,10,13	114:12 124:25
debated 56:1	delaware 12:3	22:20	125:1,1
103:1 132:24	delete 49:4 90:7	desire 95:11	difference 68:23
debates 103:6	151:20	desjunet 4:25	107:20 124:3
decades 144:3	deleted 44:12 86:7	150:21,21 157:16	differences 94:11
decentralized	99:9,16 100:14	157:16	104:22
120:1,11,15,23	102:10 162:15,15	despite 11:23	different 40:4
121:10	deletes 99:20	details 103:19	44:22,23 52:4
decide 50:3 133:3	100:13,17	detection 127:14	59:14 65:14,15
decided 96:1	deleting 34:3 44:5	determinations	67:17 75:2,3 78:8
103:6 128:22	delivers 121:1	18:4	93:11 94:8 98:14
156:5	demarcation	determine 24:23	116:23 137:24
decision 17:23	106:16 113:3	30:10 107:9	140:4 150:25
85:10 130:2 134:9	demonstrate 50:5	determined 85:3	155:18
157:11	71:3 72:5 101:11	88:10 161:10	differentiate 52:16
declare 16:3 164:6	120:11 121:19,20	detrimental	differentiating
165:12	demonstrated	149:24	113:6
decrease 49:5,6	120:6	develop 68:1	differentiation
55:20		78:14 138:20,21	54:8

[differently - effect]

differently 67:23	149:3 152:10	83:22 102:16	dropped 143:7
78:11,23	153:4,16 158:16	125:4 147:15	ductwork 145:1
differing 54:5	161:18 162:17	151:22 152:2	due 20:3 61:10
difficult 29:19	164:1	doing 37:13 125:7	127:23 155:1
difficulty 57:18	discussions 26:8	don't 28:13 30:5	duplication 34:4
136:8	30:24 41:20 47:20	35:6,18,20 38:13	durability 101:7
direction 105:11	58:21 76:2 88:5	39:4,5,21,22,23	
directly 53:22,23	91:23 110:20	43:1 47:4,9,12	e
108:14	115:23 117:23	56:10 58:16 62:17	e 2:1,1 14:2 83:5,7
director 20:23	132:20	74:1 79:2,3 88:14	83:9,15,17,17 87:1
dis 41:7	dispersal 53:25	93:24 96:18,19,20	87:2 98:24,25
disagree 56:3	disperse 53:23	97:1 131:2,7,11	102:9,17,19
101:2	display 111:17	133:3 140:17,19	103:16,16 104:6
disagrees 108:5,24	displayed 19:22	147:18 151:19	e1354 68:20
disapprove 69:22	31:13 61:8 81:13	156:18 157:22	e136 94:18,19
discharging	111:15	door 40:3 106:25	e5 102:19
154:22	disposed 45:19	douglas 150:22	earlier 102:18
discrimination	disservice 41:17	157:17	125:14
66:6 73:10	dissimilar 45:21	download 18:14	early 13:10
discuss 112:25	distance 51:24	146:23	ease 52:17
discussed 43:14	distinct 5:10	dozens 66:21	echo 126:5
47:1 50:22 55:14	133:24	dr 12:18,19 14:9	echoing 146:8
84:2 93:21 95:22	distinction 84:6	14:11 36:6,17	edge 123:2
132:24	distinguished 8:18	37:4,18 45:18	edges 126:20
discusses 158:9	distributed 119:25	51:17 53:1,11	edison 112:15,24
discussion 20:20	127:8,12 130:19	58:25 71:7 84:21	edition 7:13 20:17
21:14 29:23 32:6	distribution 49:14	84:22,25 85:11	24:11,11 26:23
33:6,16 42:13,23	dix 9:13,16	88:8 100:24 101:2	31:20 32:2 50:3
48:11,21 62:6	document 19:18	108:3,5,24	51:15,20 52:10,14
64:1,13 76:20	20:17 24:13 31:9	draft 7:12,12,13	59:11 61:20 62:2
77:13 80:6 82:7	32:2 61:4 62:3	19:17 20:10,10	81:23 82:4 95:16
82:18 89:14 90:3	74:6 81:8 82:4	31:8,19,19 61:3,19	106:16,22 111:25
92:17 93:7 95:23	110:22 111:10	61:19 66:25,25	112:7 135:8
96:6 97:6,22	112:7 134:20	67:3,6 81:8,22,22	editions 48:25
98:12 104:15	135:9 151:9	99:10 111:10,24	52:9 98:19,23
106:9 109:20	documented 24:10	111:25 134:19	editorial 50:14
112:11,22 119:7	documents 83:6	135:1,1 139:9	educated 129:11
119:17 135:12,24	85:15 86:2 88:2	142:17 143:1,3,10	eei's 115:5
140:25 141:16	90:19	drafts 66:23	efectis 98:18 105:6
142:15 145:14	doesn't 15:15 58:2	drag 122:23	effect 24:19 30:8
147:24 148:17	82:25,25 83:1,21		105:5
	,,		

[effectively - event]

effectively 53:25	elmendorf 61:1	enforcement	equipment 12:14
113:19 114:2	emergency 10:20	37:15,24 52:11	12:16,22 13:21
effectiveness	10:20 12:2,13,18	114:7	21:23 23:22 25:22
24:19	12:20,21 16:18,23	enforcers 5:21	26:5 28:23 29:1
effort 52:20 104:7	52:22 54:7	engaged 121:4	29:13,17 130:14
efforts 14:16	emerging 6:21	engineer 102:4	132:7,16
efk 13:23	emitted 23:19	107:1 119:10	equivalent 24:4
egress 53:25	emphasize 95:6	engineering 10:3	93:15 94:17
130:24 132:5,13	employed 75:16	14:10 20:23 27:13	erode 120:24
132:16	employee 165:6	28:23 40:13 57:9	error 117:14
eight 40:1 155:8	en 93:11,14,25	64:20 65:16 66:16	152:3,7
either 19:1 28:3	94:13 96:4	71:16 74:19,21	especially 57:19
74:8 87:1 96:3	encased 50:25	75:6,7,9,10 80:2	103:4
139:15 144:1	51:2 55:9,11 59:2	100:6,9,11 101:10	ess 121:20 122:19
154:20	encasement 52:2	101:13 102:5,7	123:1,3
elaborated 95:22	enclosed 52:5 53:5	106:24 107:7	essentially 64:16
elaborates 86:25	53:6,20 54:6,7,9	138:12 140:13	establish 71:14
electric 56:11,13	57:2 59:9,11	143:9 144:14	established 101:15
56:23 113:11	enclosures 124:23	146:16 148:20	108:14
115:8,10 116:19	encourage 76:6	153:9 154:1 157:2	establishes 46:12
electrical 13:21	80:11 103:23	engineers 65:13	109:10
45:22 49:8,9 58:5	129:18 146:2,23	66:20	esteemed 26:15
112:15,24 113:4,4	147:21 156:11	engines 7:22	113:2 119:12
115:14	encourages 5:19	enhanced 6:10	ethernet 34:6,15
electricals 56:19	ended 143:4	enormous 143:13	34:15,19 35:10
electronic 12:16	endurance 27:5	143:19 145:5,5	37:8,21 38:15
element 75:5 80:2	energy 6:18,20,22	enriched 13:13	40:23,24
elements 101:6	7:6,21 9:1 49:14	ensure 5:24 71:12	europe 94:15
108:8	111:5,6,23 112:1	94:12 150:13	european 94:14
eligible 18:18 37:3	112:25 113:10,10	enter 114:19	96:25
45:16 54:17	114:15 115:14	entire 17:24 63:19	evacuation 16:18
eliminate 26:25	116:4,7,14,14	63:20 84:1	16:21,25
29:21 43:3 50:7	117:1,15 118:24	entirety 53:5	evaluated 71:25
55:7 63:16,18	119:12,15,16,18	entitled 98:25	79:1
64:18 65:4 66:10	119:20,21,22	entity 115:15	evaluating 71:10
eliminated 29:19	120:3,4,6,9,18	environment 23:8	evaluation 61:21
51:8	121:1,10,16,21	environmental	evening 164:8
eliminating 28:18	122:14 123:10	53:10	event 23:7 25:18
55:8 63:20	124:9,15,20	eps 67:20 73:9	29:13 122:22
elimination 21:4	125:12 126:19	equal 65:11 75:4	123:4
25:15 27:25	127:13,22 128:6,7		

[events - felt]

events 21:21 26:1	execution 123:14	explosive 23:17	factoring 54:14
26:6 29:9	exemplary 8:16	exposed 23:10	factors 54:14
everybody 60:9	44:6	exposure 51:23	factory 22:23 23:8
80:3 130:11	exempt 123:11	106:13,14,17,19	136:14
140:22	exemption 24:2	106:25 107:1,2,4,9	fagerlund 13:23
everybody's 78:25	116:20	107:11,12,15,16	40:20
everyone's 18:12	exhaustive 37:22	108:4 109:25	fail 25:25 79:4
evidence 50:9,10	45:12	express 20:4 61:11	failed 30:24 42:12
51:4 153:19	exhibition 16:10	expressed 51:17	48:10 60:15 69:2
156:16,18	existed 23:25	101:18	76:18 80:25
evidenced 37:25	144:2	extend 27:24	118:12 120:14
evident 54:8	existing 100:7	66:16	133:14 137:25
evs 116:17	108:20	extensive 81:15	144:7 145:19,19
exact 99:3 100:10	exists 139:8	111:17	failing 143:4
100:15 102:19	157:22	extent 37:2	fails 17:16 147:4
120:25	exit 16:15,16	exterior 21:22	failure 139:24
exactly 44:10	expand 156:12	22:3 61:22 71:10	154:17
55:17 71:8 102:6	expanded 52:9,13	78:24	failures 117:16
example 45:20	52:18 73:9	external 120:6,11	139:17
71:22 114:10	expended 52:20	120:16 131:20	fair 78:3,3 136:21
129:16	experience 21:19	143:25	fall 24:9
examples 43:4,6,8	25:10 28:23 71:21	externally 120:14	familiar 28:18
43:10,23 44:3,8,8	114:20 119:11	131:2	64:15 94:10,10
exceed 22:6,15	158:6	extraneous 35:2	family 79:1
exception 21:5,18	expertise 5:24	extremely 91:16	far 5:14 30:2
23:21 24:7 27:11	37:17	120:17 131:2	123:15
28:18 29:21 37:10	experts 113:18	extruded 73:6	fatalities 117:17
55:19 59:13	130:4 131:6	f	favor 60:14 80:23
exceptions 51:19	136:19		97:19 121:6 138:7
52:1 54:11 123:5	explain 82:21	facets 5:20	139:4 140:7
excess 22:13	explained 35:14	facilities 8:7 9:2 54:4	147:12,22 150:23
exclude 58:15	explains 90:10		151:11,16 157:18
excludes 55:4	explicitly 55:4	facility 16:20,23 fact 35:9,22 41:2	158:2 162:13
58:15,18	58:15,18 119:24	49:11 50:6 55:6	163:22
exclusive 113:11	explosion 21:5,19	77:16 94:7,9	fdny 12:11
114:16	21:25 22:6,16,20	96:23 99:8 116:12	fear 131:7 149:16
exclusively 49:16	22:24 23:3,20	116:21 120:24	feel 44:24
excuse 8:2 27:2	24:2 25:17,18,21	139:20,23 146:19	feet 27:17 125:19
75:8 107:8 113:7	26:2 27:6,23,25	155:22 157:23	130:20,24,25
158:9	28:25 29:10,17	factor 120:9 121:1	felt 115:13
	120:7,12	140.7 121.1	

[female - foam]

female 2:5 6:17	50.5 7 9 9 50.2 24	finaman'a 12.1	fixed 9:19 13:25
	50:5,7,8,8 52:3,24	fireman's 12:1	
8:24 9:16 10:2,15	53:1,19 54:1,1,3	first 6:7 7:12,13	31:4,17,20
11:5,24 33:14	54:14 55:15,20	8:22 18:23 19:12	fixing 39:20 41:14
60:18,21 63:8	57:16,20 59:8,14	19:17 20:10 24:5	flame 46:12 49:2
82:16 90:1 93:4	60:24 61:18,20,21	24:11,12 31:8,19	50:18 54:12 56:16
98:10 106:7	64:17 65:7,10,13	33:12 41:21 42:4	57:1,16 83:10
110:10,13 149:1	65:13,21 66:18	52:13 54:17 61:2	120:14 131:3
159:11 160:6,15	68:9,10,23 69:11	61:19 64:9,25	flames 51:24
163:2	70:21 71:24 72:2	66:24 67:2,6 69:4	flaming 120:7,12
fence 117:16	72:3,5,9,16 73:23	76:4,11 77:6,9	120:16 121:20
fhit 45:25	74:16,17 77:17,24	80:8,16 81:7,21	127:20 131:20
field 154:18	78:1 81:4,21 85:8	82:8 84:20,23	flammability 56:7
figure 136:17	85:23 86:19 93:10	87:16 99:10	flammable 23:14
file 1:25	94:9,11,14 96:16	100:13 104:19	83:1 85:8,23
filed 17:13,17	96:18 98:15,16,18	111:9,24 115:11	124:12
filling 35:1	98:24 99:2,24	126:7 130:11	flashing 16:13
final 18:4 26:10	100:1,2,4 101:6	134:19 135:1	flats 11:6 19:16
29:25 30:12 35:21	102:19 103:4,14	139:4 142:16	flexibility 108:9
37:18 41:23 47:22	106:13,13,17,18	151:17 161:25	floor 21:10 30:14
58:23 76:5 80:9	106:20,20 107:2,3	fiske 3:4 75:14,14	33:11 42:2,19
88:7 91:25 97:8	107:6,9,12,17,18	fit 108:10	44:19 48:1,17
104:17 109:22	108:4 109:11,16	fits 103:7	55:25 59:18 64:7
117:25 132:22	109:25 113:17	fittings 136:14,20	64:8 70:20 76:9
133:25 141:1	120:7,12,24 121:3	five 17:18 19:6	77:8 80:14 82:14
147:25 152:11	121:20 122:12,12	22:6 23:2 26:14	89:5,24 92:4 93:2
158:17 162:19	123:15 125:13,20	30:20 40:10 42:9	97:11 98:7 102:24
164:1	126:7 127:14	48:8 57:6 59:24	105:14 106:5
finally 8:6 14:9	128:15,21,23	60:13 68:6 70:17	110:3 112:18
financially 165:8	129:10,22 131:16	72:13,13 74:12,13	113:18 114:13
find 6:25 29:19	131:24 134:17	76:16 78:19 79:6	115:2 116:6 118:5
88:14 111:1 154:3	135:4 146:7	79:15,23 80:22	119:2 133:5
fine 45:7 107:22	firefighter 122:17	89:11 92:12 97:18	135:20 141:5
149:21	123:17,24	105:20 110:15	142:11 148:5,23
fine. ' 149:20	firefighters 122:18	118:10 133:12	152:17 153:12
finish 54:6	126:3,5,17 128:18	141:11 148:11	158:21 161:9
finkl 26:25	130:5 131:11	152:24 155:3	162:23
fire 5:22 7:17 8:4	firefighters'	156:5 161:23	flue 28:5
8:7 9:5,19 10:19	129:18 132:17	163:18	fluid 11:7 14:12
12:7,13,23 13:4	firefighting 9:3	fives 26:17	fm 73:22 86:17
14:2 16:9,14 49:1	10:18 122:16,24	fix 157:21	foam 9:6 62:22
49:2,2,5,23 50:2,3	123:12	1221	65:24,25 66:2,3,9

[foam - go]

67:14 69:15 71:2	four 16:11,12	25:1	geeks 96:17
71:8,13 72:1,3	54:18 63:16 66:21	furnishings 49:22	geeky 109:16
73:7,8,9 75:2	68:1 72:9 78:14	further 5:13 6:3	general 10:16 65:1
78:25 120:9 121:1	84:7 87:16 103:11	26:8 29:23 30:24	generally 57:15
foams 71:22	113:13 118:22	40:1 41:7,20,24	generated 16:22
focus 96:5,6	123:20 127:4	47:20,23 58:21	54:2
focused 7:17	130:8,20 132:2	67:11 76:2 80:6	generation 49:11
folks 124:2 126:17	135:13 137:16,20	88:5,13 91:22	49:13
follow 15:7 57:14	141:17 142:6	97:6,9 104:15	generic 65:21
58:1 156:22 161:6	145:11 155:14	109:20 110:19	77:24
161:9,11 162:18	161:4 162:11	115:23 117:23	gentleman 41:11
162:23 163:10,13	frable 158:12	118:2 120:5	69:17
163:23	franklin 2:8 11:2,3	132:19 136:8	gentlemen 5:8
followed 16:11,24	11:5,16 19:15	140:24 144:18	119:9 121:7
following 7:25	20:5,8 23:25 30:1	147:23 152:9,13	gerard 2:12 8:23
8:20 16:8 17:14	frankly 151:5	156:3 158:15	8:24 9:8 31:6,16
85:19 101:3 102:4	free 146:23	162:17 165:6	36:6 41:24 45:7
follows 86:4	frequency 149:10	furthermore	45:10 46:17 47:23
fool's 151:6	150:11,12 155:3,3	24:15 132:25	51:13 56:4 58:25
foot 22:7,15	156:6 161:22	future 45:3 114:21	getting 152:1
forces 107:8	frequent 22:2	g	give 19:2 60:10
forcibly 46:9	frequently 127:17	g 8:24	62:19 68:16 89:17
foregoing 165:3	friend 125:14	gain 72:3	89:17 92:11,20
165:14	front 36:14 86:23	gallagher 5:16	97:24 105:9
forever 38:19	ft 59:6,6	game 140:2	117:22
form 85:5,20	ft4 51:23	garters 28:3	given 7:10,16 8:14
formed 136:10	fuel 11:9 13:12	gas 11:7,9 13:10	52:13
former 123:24	full 66:24 109:9	13:17 131:20	gives 43:4 87:19
forms 71:15	fully 44:9 66:18	gasses 13:18	128:4
formula 90:15	67:21 69:3 78:9	124:12 127:24	giving 75:20
forth 26:25 49:25	104:9	gbh 33:8,18 38:9	global 73:22 86:17
forward 27:8	fumes 23:16,18	41:8 42:15,24	119:14
47:13 114:18	fundamentals	46:23 48:14,23	globally 95:10
129:6 140:23	11:13	54:25 57:25 68:7	go 5:13 14:19
found 20:10,16 25:16 31:18 32:2	furnace 20:25	79:7 82:10,20	15:20 23:15 29:3 30:25 38:18 52:6
44:4 61:19 62:2	21:21,24 22:1,19	87:12 88:21 89:21	56:21 58:12 60:8
68:22 81:21 82:4	24:22 25:20 28:4 30:9,9	90:5 92:24 96:12	60:12 73:20 83:13
92:7,9 111:24	furnaces 11:10	98:5 101:25 104:2	
112:7 127:19	19:13 20:9,12	106:2,11 109:7	84:14 87:18,18 88:18 90:21
134:25 135:8	21:6 23:9 24:3,25	geek 93:10 94:9	110:25 111:3
154.25 155.0	21.0 23.7 24.3,23		110.23 111.3

[go - hirschler]

1166161170	4 4 114 4	1 06 24 157 0	1 4 22 10 52 22
116:6,16 117:3	greatest 114:4	happy 96:24 157:9	heat 23:10 53:22
128:12 130:3	green 14:23 15:10	harlow 1:24 165:3	54:1,2 99:5
140:8 141:3	grenfell 64:19	165:25	heat.' 85:8,23
goal 65:4 70:14	grid 116:17,18	harmonize 52:20	heaters 11:7 14:12
goes 6:13 9:25	ground 144:6	harold 40:20	heavier 21:8 22:11
37:6 154:24	154:25	harvey 3:5 9:14	27:1
going 10:11 14:19	group 66:19,21	81:5,16,19 82:6	heavily 56:1
28:11,12 34:23	70:23 93:10	84:17,20 86:12	102:25 143:12
38:17,23 40:6	103:15 133:3	88:6,8,16,24 89:1	heavy 27:23
46:16 59:1 63:7	136:10,12 139:11	91:1,3,8,25 92:1	heighten 56:7
73:11 79:9 90:21	groups 51:17	95:4,6 96:7 97:8,9	heightened 59:9
91:17 96:19	53:19 138:20	100:22,24 104:16	59:12
102:13 115:2,6,6	156:10	104:18 105:12	held 66:21
125:7 126:12	gruenberg 20:25	107:25 108:2	hell 47:4
129:5,12,14 130:5	guess 30:1 64:5	109:1,21,23	hello 20:22 74:14
130:18 131:4	guidance 7:7	hasn't 77:21	79:24 147:2
133:2 139:10	66:19 67:2 107:10	haven 12:19	help 16:25 41:3
140:23 149:18	guide 40:6 44:25	haven't 50:20	74:19 131:7
golinveaux 2:3 5:7	74:18	hazard 11:12 50:5	he's 10:5 11:8
5:9 8:9,12 9:8,12	guided 7:11	50:7,9,18 53:2	12:11,14 13:2,6,19
9:21 10:7,11,23	guidelines 22:5	55:15 59:14	151:19,20,21,25
11:2,16,20 14:14	guideway 9:19	128:17	151:25 152:1
14:18	13:25 31:4,17,20	hazardous 13:14	hi 142:7
good 5:7 26:15	h	hazards 52:4	high 23:8 107:2
64:24,25 65:18,18	half 155:17 156:8	heads 157:19	120:17 124:17
70:13,18 78:20		health 12:21	128:3 129:14
112:14 119:8	hall 4:19 146:6,6	healthcare 134:6	131:2
125:14 129:16	hand 93:18 159:21	138:13,14 140:13	higher 22:10,18
133:22 136:17	160:5,8	143:9,18 144:15	23:3,9 107:2
140:16,20 147:16	handbook 24:20	144:16,24 145:16	highlight 130:10
164:8	handle 136:9	146:16 150:23	highway 9:18 81:3
gotten 138:3	handled 113:12	151:11 154:1	81:20 108:8
govern 75:23	114:16	157:2,18,20	highways 81:24
governing 20:15	handling 112:25	hear 16:14 18:3	hirschler 2:14
31:25 61:25 75:24	hands 159:21	119:21	33:8,8,18,18,23
82:2 112:5 135:7	hanging 7:17 8:4	heard 34:22,25	34:1 35:17 36:17
government 5:21	happen 38:25	58:1,11 79:2	37:5,18 38:9,9
governs 55:3	93:18 114:8	125:14 131:5,5	41:8,8 42:15,15,24
great 116:25	happened 64:19	150:24 156:7	42:24 45:18 46:23
greater 121:23	happens 29:14	157:3,6 163:12	46:23 48:14,14,22
0	44:1		48:23 50:14 51:17

[hirschler - inconsistency]

52.1 11 54.25 25	156.12 157.7 0 17	identifier 46:1	immuatical
53:1,11 54:25,25	156:13 157:7,8,17	identifies 46:2	impractical 120:22 130:17
56:2 57:24,25 58:25 68:7,7 71:7	hotly 51:14 hour 109:25		
79:7,7 82:10,10,19	119:23 123:8	identify 14:25 54:11 137:11	improve 107:3 108:20
82:20 84:4,21,22	155:17 156:7	138:3 139:14	
84:25 85:11 87:10			improving 5:22
	hours 106:15,18	140:1,18 idiot 109:16	inappropriate 36:2 37:25 113:23
87:12,12 88:9,17	107:18,19 109:11	iec 75:23	113:24
88:19,20,21 89:17	109:18 121:19,23	ieee 49:3 51:23	
89:20,21 90:4,5	131:3 howard 3:14	114:9 116:4	inch 21:7 27:1,9 inches 22:12
92:20,23,24 93:8			
94:22 96:12,12	122:10,10	ieee's 113:4	incident 12:6
97:24 98:2,4,5,13	huge 128:17	ifc 114:9	incidents 116:20
100:6,25 101:25	hughes 8:25 70:19	ignite 82:25 83:21	include 18:6 43:7
101:25 104:2,2	70:21 78:21	85:7,22 90:15	90:22 96:25 122:2
106:1,2,10,11	hundred 22:15	ignition 23:14	128:24,25
108:3 109:6,7	27:17	ignore 87:22	included 19:21
hirschler's 56:9	hung 144:1	ignored 41:15	31:12 39:5 43:25
hirschler's 36:7	hydrogen 13:16	ignoring 113:14	44:1,7 54:16 61:7
101:2 108:5,24	i	illinois 6:14 111:8	67:18 70:25 81:12
historically 53:3	ibc 58:6	illogical 130:18	102:15,15 104:12
history 52:6 56:4	idea 56:5 69:9	immediate 5:16	111:14 113:25
158:8	117:15	immediately 122:21	149:9
hmm 81:19 91:3	identical 69:9		includes 86:22
hofmeister 14:2	79:10,13 85:24	impact 71:24	119:13 122:15,17
hold 21:9 60:5,8	102:9 104:20	123:4 134:14	including 7:21
60:11 63:4 73:14	identifiable 42:19	impacted 122:5	17:25 37:6 38:19
92:10 114:24	47:21 48:2,17	impacting 53:24	45:16 49:21 67:19
159:3,21	58:22 59:18 63:1	impacts 147:8	83:6,6 90:19 97:2
holds 25:25	64:8 76:3,10 77:5	implore 130:6	inclusion 96:5
home 10:11	77:8 80:7,15	imply 71:17	105:7
120:21	89:24 91:24 92:4	importance 64:16	incompatibilities
honor 117:13	93:2 97:7,12	126:9	140:1
hope 156:21	142:9,11 147:24	important 17:5	incompatibility
hopes 114:20	148:5,23 152:10	50:4 56:3 73:2	139:5,12,19
hopper 3:14	152:17 156:22	74:6 103:19	145:18
122:10,10	161:20,24	116:14 124:1	incomplete 43:12
hoses 122:23,23	identified 19:20	126:16	91:18
hospital 140:13	31:11 32:11,24	importantly	inconsistencies
hospitals 143:11	36:11,23 37:20	117:19	65:2 70:12,15
145:7 149:13	52:1 61:6 81:10	impossible 28:6	inconsistency 65:5
150:22 154:2	81:15 111:12		77:20 88:9,14,22

$[inconsistent \hbox{-} international] \\$

inconsistent 83:15	38:12,23,24 40:19	156:17 161:21	integral 17:5
84:12 86:1 88:1,2	41:15,16 43:23	inspection.'	integrating 124:21
99:12	47:11,13 61:4	155:25	integrity 45:22,24
incorporated 10:3	62:3 66:7 69:17	inspector 144:4	intended 24:17
14:10 19:15 62:21	70:25 77:22 81:9	149:19	36:13 56:16
76:24	82:5 86:8,8 94:23	inspectors 144:19	106:23
increase 50:7	107:11,15 111:11	install 119:24	intent 36:17 37:11
107:1	112:8 134:11,20	130:14	59:2 88:13 101:18
increases 50:8	135:9 156:20	installation 7:5	108:9 147:20
independent 75:17	informational	27:6 44:6 46:2,13	intention 29:1
75:24	36:7 37:11 39:13	49:12,15 53:2,4,9	intentionally
indeterminate	41:2 99:19	59:3,5 112:1	137:6
24:18 30:7	informed 63:6	124:17 125:10	inter 71:22
indicate 62:25	inherently 127:23	130:16 136:6,7	interchangeable
indicated 20:2	initial 16:10	139:21 145:25	75:19
87:1 127:10 138:1	initiating 147:5	146:2	interest 39:1 47:14
145:18 150:9	injured 29:12	installations	164:5
indication 19:25	injuries 29:11	117:21 155:1	interested 5:21
60:11	117:17	installed 28:24	63:19 138:2 140:6
individual 32:9,22	innovation 121:9	50:16 64:22	140:15 146:22
68:12,12,23 96:18	127:9 128:1 131:7	120:10,18 121:17	165:8
individuals 8:21	innovative 120:23	124:21 127:25	interesting 41:10
indoor 120:19	125:3 129:18	128:19 130:20	46:25
124:15	input 26:25 39:2	139:21	interests 116:24
indoors 127:22	47:16 50:11 69:21	institute 12:2	interior 22:8 54:5
industrial 13:15	70:11 142:18	112:15,24 120:5	intermediate
13:18	inputs 124:25	instructions 16:24	74:10
industries 154:4	inserted 85:25	44:7 46:3	intermix 79:2
industry 5:20 6:24	insisting 109:17	insulating 22:21	internal 7:21
7:1 37:1 38:3 40:4	insomuch 37:10	27:4	international 33:9
56:24 131:22	inspect 151:4	insulation 22:9,12	33:19 38:10 41:9
136:13 143:18	155:6	22:22 35:11 55:5	42:16,25 46:24
145:16	inspected 149:15	62:22 66:3,4,9	48:15,23 55:1
ineffective 22:16	inspecting 151:6	67:15,19,23 73:6,7	57:25 58:6 68:8
inevitably 50:8	inspection 134:3	73:8,10,12,13,14	79:8 82:11,20
75:20	134:24 135:3	73:15 74:8 78:12	87:13 88:21 89:21
info 41:13	136:5 144:5,20	145:1	90:5 92:24 95:8,9
information 19:18	146:11 150:14	insulation's 39:23	95:11 96:13,23
20:17 31:9 32:3	151:21 153:22	insult 90:23	98:5 102:1 103:2
34:5,9,24 35:2,3	154:15,24,25	intact 21:22	104:3,9 106:2,11
36:2 37:7,19 38:2	155:2,5,24 156:5		109:7 122:11

[international - judgment]

1060 47 101 10	145 17 00 00	**1 00 00 70 2 07 6	. 221527
126:2,4,7 131:10	145:17,22,22	i'd 28:20 79:3 95:6	james 2:3,15 3:7
internationally	150:13 156:5	109:23 116:6	5:7,9 6:13,15,17
105:3	157:23,24 159:10	153:9	7:10 8:9,10,12 9:8
interpret 34:18	issue.' 154:9	i'll 15:22 19:2 30:1	9:12,21 10:7,11,23
144:25	issues 55:12 57:10	46:18 60:10 79:5	11:2,16,20 14:14
interpretation	64:19 74:3 115:11	79:14 86:15 88:18	14:18 16:1 39:9,9
37:14 52:11,17	138:19 139:13,18	88:18 91:12 96:6	44:17,17 55:23,24
intertek 60:25	159:19 160:2	123:19 133:3	102:23,23 111:7
75:15,16 103:13	issuing 18:4	158:12	111:22 115:5
introduce 5:14	item 77:4 145:14	i'm 14:19 25:12	118:2 121:14
133:16	145:18 155:19	26:13,17 28:15,15	132:23
introduced 16:2	items 142:23,24,24	28:16,18 32:19	james' 7:15
24:6 133:23	143:17 144:20	33:19,23,24 34:1	january 7:4
introduces 36:2	itm 155:4	39:2 40:12,23	jensen 8:24 70:19
75:5 80:2	itself.' 151:25	43:1 46:18 57:8	70:21 78:21
introduction	it'll 163:4	59:1 62:16,20,24	jim 4:7 41:10
105:1	it's 10:11 14:20	63:2,21 70:19,20	138:11,11 144:13
investigator 12:7	23:10,17 28:19	70:22 71:25 73:11	144:13 148:19,19
involve 67:13	30:5 34:5 38:16	74:15,16 75:15	149:4 153:8,8,17
involved 70:23	38:16 41:9 43:19	78:21 79:8 82:15	job 116:25 125:22
103:14	44:1 46:25 47:2	86:18 90:8,21	jobs 119:19
involves 36:15	63:21 64:16 66:1	93:9 94:9 96:16	john 2:9,21 10:13
67:15 108:14	66:1,5 69:12,12,21	98:2 102:1 109:17	10:13,15,23 20:21
involving 128:21	70:12 73:1 74:5	115:2 117:6,10	20:22,22 21:3,15
ir 12:11 127:15	79:12 90:18 91:5	122:11 123:21	23:6 25:8,8,14
irrespective 90:18	91:5 92:11,11	125:7 128:15,15	28:15,16 62:16,20
island 134:18	94:5 96:22 98:3	129:22 131:13,15	62:20 63:3,5,9,11
isn't 60:6 154:8	98:20 99:12	132:8,10,12,12	63:13 64:3,3,14
iso 67:19 75:23	104:10 108:16	133:2,23 135:16	65:23 69:25,25
83:23 93:12,18	115:1,2,18 117:20	136:21 138:13	70:5 76:23,23
issuance 7:13	123:2 124:1,10	139:2,3,4,6,6	77:3,14
17:11,24	125:16 127:9	142:7 143:6	johnson 139:3
issue 37:19 45:10	128:3,9 129:13,14	151:18 152:21	147:11 151:15
51:4 55:13 56:1	130:24 136:16,21	i've 26:19 143:7,8	johnston 113:2
82:21 90:24	139:6,13,13,20,25	158:6	join 6:4 8:9 10:1,9
113:16 114:3	140:1,19 145:2,3	j	10:13 11:3
132:16 135:25	147:16 149:5,8,9,9	j 12:10 14:9	joiner 12:25
136:7 137:24	149:11,11 151:24	jablkowski 2:11	jr 19:15
138:21 139:6,12	152:3 154:7 156:4	26:15,16 27:22	judgment 71:16
142:22 143:2,7,12	159:12 163:20	jackets 35:11	74:20,21 75:6,7,9
143:17,20 144:6		Jackets 33.11	75:10 129:12

[judgments - light]

judgments 64:20	163:21 164:1	1	lead 37:7
65:16 66:16,20	kevin 2:17 4:19	<u>l</u>	leader 103:15
80:3	40:11,11,16,18	laboratories 14:5	
june 1:4 5:5 17:13		75:18,21,24	leadership 20:5 61:12
•	57:7,8,13 146:6,6	117:11 123:22	
165:18	key 18:22 124:8	136:10	leads 34:17 84:10
jurisdiction 74:22	kilowatt 119:23	laboratory 120:3	learn 114:20
108:10	121:19,23 123:8	labs 124:19	leave 16:15 96:6
justification 85:13	131:3	ladders 155:1,2,4	103:6 132:6,14
101:4	kind 123:7 139:22	ladies 5:8 119:9	leaving 72:10
justified 153:23	know 5:18 23:14	121:7	left 5:14,16 24:22
justifies 77:23	25:9,23 28:14,22	lakeside 13:4	lennon 4:16 142:7
k	29:2,3,13,13 34:25	language 27:1	142:7,16 144:18
katherine 13:23	38:14 39:19 44:23	36:1,8,11,18 67:1	145:18 146:20
13:24 40:19	44:23 47:4,12,17	67:8,13,22 73:2,5	letter 20:13 31:22
keep 15:20 28:20	50:20 56:5 62:17	73:12,16 74:18	61:24 81:25 112:3
51:9 55:9 87:18	68:21 69:6,12,20	78:10 79:19 84:23	135:5
96:1 121:9 129:6	71:22 74:5 75:17	85:1,14,14 86:7	letting 138:6
129:7 131:18	77:21,25 90:18	90:23 95:15 100:7	let's 9:12 14:14
keeps 59:1	92:10 96:17	101:7,10,19 102:3	18:11 19:11 20:19
ken 134:23	100:19 103:14	102:3 107:5,6	32:5 33:6 42:13
kenneth 4:1	111:20 125:7	108:12,20 109:12	48:11 60:8,12
133:16,22,23	126:12 129:13,15	124:1,4,5,13,22	62:5 63:25 76:20
135:11,15,19,23	131:12,23,25	125:8 138:23	76:20 82:7 89:14
136:23 137:17	132:24,24 133:2,2	139:8 142:17	92:17 97:22
138:9,25 140:10	137:10,12 138:6	143:10,25 144:2,4	110:21,25 111:3
140:24 141:3,22	138:18 140:3	145:23,24 146:1,1	112:11 118:4,21
140:24 141:3,22	144:21 157:22	147:14,16 162:3,4	135:11 140:8
144:9 145:10	162:15	large 74:4 119:19	148:17 153:25
146:4,13,25 147:9	knowledge 65:14	124:23 125:20	154:7 157:25,25
147:23 148:3,22	138:5	124.23 123.20	level 52:4 59:8,12
147.23 148.3,22	known 74:25	largely 113:14	59:14
151:13 152:9,15	112:16 124:11	larger 19:24	leverage 109:9
151:13 152:9,15	koffel 4:5 137:21	lastly 128:8	lfp 120:5
153:7,11,15	137:21,21 139:10	156:20	life 7:2,8 13:15
	140:15 141:18,18	late 69:21 114:1	73:17 79:20
156:24 157:14	141:18 142:8		122:12 123:16
158:3,15,19 159:3	145:12,12,12	laundry 38:20	128:24 143:15
159:7,9,13,16,19	146:9 147:21	lawrenson 9:25	145:6
159:24 160:2,4,7	155:15,15,15	10:2	lifts 155:1,2,5
160:16 161:8,14	161:5,5,5,19	laws 165:12	light 26:22 91:3
161:17 162:1,7,17	162:12,12,12	layup 9:4	115:5 116:12
162:21 163:4,7,12	102.12,12		110.0 110.12

[lighting - marcelo]

lighting 127:15	location 85:12	luis 10:3 14:10	managing 116:25
lights 16:13	locke 40:20	lunaire 21:1	mandates 27:11
limit 66:11	lockers 49:24	m	mandatory 67:2
limited 18:20	log 18:21	m 20:25	69:1 78:10
38:20 81:24 93:16	logical 113:9	macdonald 81:5	manhattan 111:8
93:23 94:1,25	long 16:11,21	machines 159:20	manhattans 6:14
limiting 78:1	28:19 107:23	main 29:6 86:5	manner 124:21
limits 155:5	147:13	maine 13:5	147:8
lindberg 20:25	longstanding	maintain 101:19	manufacturer
line 22:8 67:5	50:23	129:17	62:22 67:17,18
113:6,8 150:4	look 43:24 56:4	maintained	69:8,8,10 71:2
161:21 163:10	124:12 125:9		72:1,4 77:25
linings 22:14,22	138:20 144:20,25	149:11 151:3,7 maintenance	119:16
28:1	145:20 146:22		manufacturers
list 36:11,14 37:22	153:25	132:15 134:4,24 135:3 136:6	70:9 75:2 77:1,19
37:23 38:25 39:4	looked 149:21	153.3 150.0	136:13
43:8,10,11,22,25	151:18		manufacturer's
44:3,11 45:11,18	looking 25:16	majority 17:9 36:24 113:17	66:5,11 69:10
46:25 47:1,11	28:14 42:10 58:8	119:20 137:25	71:5,6,17,19
65:24 90:21	58:10 124:13	maker 32:11,24	manufactures
listed 56:23,23	131:23 147:18	33:23 39:1 62:8	119:22
104:25 127:22	150:13	92:25 98:5 118:15	manufacturing
listing 45:23	loophole 46:7	141:24 160:18,24	37:1 119:18
104:24,25	lose 46:18 83:10	makers 14:22	marcel 50:14
lists 38:20 45:19	83:10	making 22:15	marcela 41:8,8
little 15:20,21	loss 5:22 158:8	66:10 113:1,13	marcelo 2:14 33:8
19:24 40:1 41:3	lot 35:2 74:7 79:2	114:5 122:19	33:8,18,18,23 34:1
52:6 57:20 87:14	96:17 103:5,5	male 2:20 21:12	35:17 38:9,9
111:20 123:15	115:9 116:23	26:11 32:18 42:21	42:15,15,24,24
130:3 156:2	131:21 136:15	48:19 60:1,3,6	46:23,23 48:14,14
live 5:7 14:21	143:11 145:7	64:11 77:11 93:5	48:22,22 54:25,25
lives 114:6	loudest 113:25	98:9 110:11	57:24,24 68:7,7
llc 12:25 13:4	low 34:11 36:9,21	112:20 115:25	79:7,7 82:10,10,19
load 27:16 113:7	37:9	112:20 113:23	82:19 84:4 87:1,8
145:3	lower 100:4	141:21 142:13	87:10,12,12 88:17
loading 158:10	106:20 107:4,9,9	148:25 153:6,14	88:20,20 89:17,20
loads 144:1	107:11	159:8,12,17 163:5	89:21 90:4,4
locate 20:1	lowered 106:21	163:8,19,24	92:20,23,24 93:8
located 19:17 31:8	lowering 107:5	mammarella 32:7	94:22 95:21 96:12
61:3 81:8 111:10	luck 124:7	management 12:6	96:12 97:24 98:2
134:20		13:8	98:4,4,13 100:6
		13.0	

[marcelo - minor]

101 27 27 102 11			
101:25,25 103:14	mcdonald 10:13	70:21 72:15 73:23	38:8 39:7 40:9
104:2,2 106:1,1,10	10:15	86:19 102:25	41:7 42:14 44:15
106:11 109:6,6	mean 21:8 38:20	123:24 133:17	46:22 48:12 54:24
marketplace 6:25	38:22 43:9,17	147:13	55:21 57:5,22
martin 10:2 14:9,9	45:1 47:10 147:16	members 11:25	68:6 69:23 70:16
14:11	meaning 106:19	18:21 22:3 95:8	72:13 73:21 74:13
mary 1:24 165:3	107:18	101:14 109:15	75:12 76:21 78:19
165:25	means 43:19,20,22	121:5 133:1 157:8	79:6,15,22 82:9
maryland 8:25	52:3 54:15 83:12	membership 7:4	86:16 87:11 89:15
10:16	94:24 106:17	17:7 72:8 73:18	91:12 92:18 96:11
masonry 28:4	157:19	76:7 80:11 91:19	101:24 102:21
mass 71:22 83:10	meant 131:18	103:20 133:19	103:10,25 105:25
match 29:20	measure 99:22	140:7	109:5 111:21
material 27:12	measures 54:15	men 114:4	112:13 115:25
35:24 37:12 38:21	64:17	mention 24:8	116:1 117:9
49:25 68:17 69:7	medical 12:22	57:14 77:17,17,18	118:22 122:9
69:8,10,11 82:24	13:18	99:15 150:11	123:20 125:25
82:24 83:4,7,21	medicine 9:17	mentioned 57:20	127:3,10 128:12
84:24 85:5,17,18	12:19	73:13 74:2 77:15	129:2,20 130:8
85:18,20,20 86:7	meet 18:3 49:1,8	140:15 146:20	131:9 132:2
86:24 90:11,14	56:11,13,25	merchant 9:6 10:5	135:13,15 137:20
93:19 137:6	120:18 121:17	13:2,6 14:4	138:9,25 140:10
materials 22:22	124:15 126:14,25	merely 30:4	141:17 142:6
23:12,17 25:18	128:3	merit 123:13	144:12 145:10
27:4 65:8,9,10	meeting 1:2 5:3,9	merrill 74:15	146:4,13,25 147:9
68:12,17,24 69:16	5:12 14:21 15:22	79:25	148:18 150:20
70:8 77:24 90:16	15:25 17:2,4,12,15	merton 3:17 127:5	151:13 153:5
90:22 93:16,19,20	17:16,22,25 18:2	127:6,6 131:6	155:13 156:24
93:24 94:1,2,8,16	19:20 24:9 31:11	132:3,4,4,9,12	157:14 158:3
94:23,25 95:1	57:19 61:6 66:25	met 96:4 138:14	161:4 162:10
96:20 97:2 139:14	66:25 81:11	144:16	microphones
139:16 144:1	111:14 113:16	metal 22:8	15:10,11 28:13
mats 77:18	138:15 144:17	method 61:21 99:7	32:23
matt 126:11	164:4,6,7,11	methods 53:4 59:3	millimeters 21:8
matter 130:3	meetings 28:1	63:17	mineral 22:9
matthew 3:11	66:22 69:18,19	mic 28:12	minimal 74:24
117:10,10 123:21	70:10,11 132:25	michael 113:2	107:15
123:21 125:12	member 6:10 7:20	michele 5:14	minimum 108:4
131:5	8:15 14:12 18:13	microphone 14:23	109:10,17
mature 105:8	18:18 26:20 33:19	15:5,9,13,16 25:6	minor 149:5
	34:1 43:1 68:9	26:13 28:10 32:10	

[minute - move]

minute 23:4,5	moot 132:17	86:18 87:13 88:5	142:8,10,15,19
27:21 35:16 50:13	motion 14:22,24	89:4,4,7,8,13,15	143:18,21 144:10
65:22 84:3 88:19	14:25 15:1,2,4,7	89:23 90:6,8,14	144:12,15,18
89:18 94:21 100:5	15:11,12,19 20:21	91:4,4,5,10,12,14	145:8,13,23 146:8
107:13 108:15	21:2,3,10,14,16	91:23 92:3,3,6,8	146:16 147:3,12
110:21 114:11	25:4,6,13,15 26:8	92:15,15,15,18,25	147:22,24 148:4,5
120:20 125:11	26:18 28:9,17	93:1,7 94:5 96:8	148:8,9,13,14,15
minutes 97:25	29:23 30:14,14,16	96:10,13,22 97:4,6	148:18,22 149:3,5
106:15,17 107:18	30:18,23 32:6,8,10	97:11,11,14,16,20	149:7 150:18,20
107:23 108:5	32:11,13,14,16,17	97:20,20,23 98:7	150:23 151:12,16
107:23 100:3	32:21,23,24 33:1,2	98:12 101:20,22	150:23 131:12,10
misapplied 36:23	33:4,5,7,10,17,22	101:24 102:1,24	152:22,25 153:2,3
miscellaneous	33:24,24 34:3	103:9,13,24	152:22,23 133:2,3
13:16	36:7 38:5,7,10,24	104:15 105:13,14	153.5,11,10
mischaracterize	39:12,13 40:8,15	105:16,18,21,24	155:16 156:21,22
38:13	40:16 41:9,20	106:4,9,12 108:19	157:3,12,18 158:2
misleading 34:4,9	42:2,2,5,7,12,14	109:2,4,8,20 110:3	158:6,16,20,21,23
35:9 36:2 41:17	42:16,18,23,25	110:3,6,7,17,17	158:25 160:10,11
misleading.' 44:9	43:2 44:19 45:17	112:17,22,24	160:12,16,18,19
mission 6:4	46:20,22,25 47:1,2	114:24 115:1,20	160:21,23,25,25
misspoke 84:15	47:20 48:1,1,4,6	115:22,23 116:5	161:2,6,8,9,11,11
mist 9:5	48:10,16,21,24	117:5,12,14,24	161:18,19,24
mistake 152:7	49:6 54:21,23	118:4,4,7,9,12,13	162:8,10,13,18,22
mitigating 52:3	55:1,10,25 57:4,12	118:14,14,15,16	162:23,23,25
54:14	57:14 58:1,21	118:18,20,22	163:10,14,15,16
mix 51:8	59:17,17,20,22	119:1,7,10 122:7,9	163:20,22,23,23
mixed 56:18 72:17	60:15 62:7,9,10,12	122:11,13 123:14	motions 17:7 18:7
79:17 91:15	62:14,19,24,25	123:17,23 126:4	18:11 19:19,21
mkc 40:13 57:8	63:7,18,24 64:1,6	127:7 128:11,17	20:2 31:10,12,13
moderator 14:24	64:8 68:3,5,8 70:4	129:1,4 130:10	48:12 61:5,7 62:7
15:2,3,5	70:5,20 71:2 72:8	131:14,16 132:1	63:22 67:12 81:10
modify 116:10	72:20,22 73:3,23	132:11,13,20	81:12 82:8 97:15
modules 22:23	74:11,16 75:1,5,11	133:5,5,8,9,13,13	111:12,15 112:12
119:25	75:15 76:2,9,9,13	133:14 135:12,19	114:22 133:18,19
money 143:13,19	76:15,17,21 77:2,3	135:24 137:18,20	133:20 134:1,5,8
145:6 154:8	77:3,7 78:7,17,19	137:22 138:7,13	134:10,12
monitored 149:14	78:22 79:4,8,10,18	138:16,23 139:4,7	mott 81:5
montgomery	80:1,1,6,14,14,18	140:8,14,25 141:5	move 15:1,1 18:10
12:12	80:20,23,24,24	141:5,7,9,13,14,15	30:25 32:17 33:5
months 121:4	82:11,13 84:16	141:17,20,22,24	33:9 42:16 48:15
	85:13 86:11,13,15	141:24 142:2,4,5,6	60:16 62:14 67:8

[move - nfpa]

81:1,16 89:22	120:3 123:22	116:21 125:21	32:3,13 33:1,9,19
98:6 105:10 106:2	124:19	137:13,13 149:22	33:19 34:2,8
110:19,20 112:15	nation's 157:7	155:23	38:10 40:19 41:4
118:20,24 123:19	natural 6:14 54:1	negative 37:4	41:9 42:16,25
125:25 133:15	111:8	54:17 67:7 137:16	43:1 46:24 48:12
135:17 142:4	nature 95:9 120:1	145:21 147:8	48:15,23 49:19
148:3,20 152:15	136:3	150:15 155:8	51:25 52:25 53:9
153:10 158:19	near 38:1 85:9	neglected 117:4	53:14 55:1,3,3
162:21	88:11	neither 34:16	56:5 57:9,25 58:5
moved 102:18	nearby 23:11	41:12	58:7 59:4 60:10
moving 28:21	25:23	nesc 113:7,12	60:17 61:4,4,6,20
129:5	nearest 16:16,16	114:17 117:18,19	62:1,3,7,7,10 63:6
mph 20:25	nearly 45:15	never 69:19	64:16 66:17 68:8
multiple 11:15	nec 34:8 44:5	124:22	68:9,10,13,15
66:23 98:19	49:11 55:8 58:4	new 7:11,16 11:6	70:25 71:5 74:19
mutual 136:14	58:12,14,17,18	12:12,19 13:11	79:8 81:9,9,11,23
myers 4:4 135:14	113:6,8	19:16 20:23 31:7	82:2,5,8,11,20,22
135:16,16,17,25	necessarily 43:12	31:7 35:8 50:3,9	83:6,6,6 84:5 85:3
n	necessary 35:3	50:10 67:1 70:24	85:4,15,15,16,25
n 2:1	46:3 91:6,17	81:6 100:8 102:7	86:2,2,21,21,22
name 15:13,17	99:16	102:16 105:10	87:4,13,15,16,17
20:22 25:5,8	need 7:3 15:13	120:22 121:10	87:17,17,17,20
26:16 28:16 38:6	21:2,18 25:12	125:3 126:9 137:2	88:2,21 89:21
40:11 46:20 54:22	28:12 35:19,20	137:12,15 140:5	90:5,13,19,20
68:4 70:18 74:14	39:5,21 51:6,6	149:7 154:14,15	92:24 93:16,23
77:25 78:17,20	52:23 56:22 57:1	155:7	94:3,24 95:7,13,14
86:14 91:10 96:9	57:2 66:11 71:11	newark 12:3	95:16 96:13 98:5
101:22 109:3	73:14 79:3 83:16	newly 78:8	98:19 99:6 102:1
115:21 119:9	94:16 102:2 124:5	newport 134:17	102:8,12,25 104:3
122:8 123:21	124:8 126:8	134:18	104:9,11 106:2,11
130:9 135:16	127:21 129:14	nfpa 1:2 5:3,9,16	108:6 109:7
137:18 139:2	132:6,14 140:4	5:18,24 6:2,6,23	110:20 111:11,11
144:10 150:18	154:7 155:2	7:5,15,16 8:18,19	111:13,25 112:5,8
155:12 162:9	156:22 157:5	9:9,15,22 10:12,24	112:12 113:14
name's 39:9 55:23	162:14 163:8,9,11	11:17,24 16:5	114:2,9,14 115:18
57:7 128:14	needed 7:1,7 70:13	17:7 18:7 19:18	118:16,17 121:15
national 11:9 49:8	107:16	19:20 20:11,15,17	122:15 130:2
49:9 56:11,13,22	needing 17:1	20:21 21:4 22:5	133:19,19,21,25
58:4 72:17 79:17	121:17	24:1,6,12 26:19	134:20,21 135:2,7
91:15 113:3,4	needs 50:9 72:4	29:20 30:25 31:3	135:9 136:5 138:6
115:10 117:11	78:25 96:4 99:15	31:9,10,11,20,25	139:5 141:22,24
113.10 117.11			

[nfpa - open]

140 1 144 10	1646	140 6 10 150 20	- CC 15 02 16 2
142:1 144:19	164:6	148:6,18 150:20	officer 15:23 16:3
145:23,24 146:9	noted 7:1 75:16	151:13 153:5	133:18,25 161:10
147:13,21 155:19	141:19	155:13 156:24	officers 116:7
160:17,18,20,23	notes 40:6 44:25	157:14 158:3	officially 164:3
160:25 161:1	notice 107:20	161:4 162:10	officials 129:10,10
164:2	notified 62:10	numerous 103:2,6	oh 23:3 25:14
nfpa.org 18:9	118:16 141:24	119:13 121:5	26:13 39:9 42:10
nfpa's 6:4,11 17:6	160:18,25	139:19	55:7 59:7 60:2
19:18 48:24 113:3	nsc 114:17	0	62:18 63:13
niha 46:10	nuclear 8:7	obispo 10:3 14:10	111:19 116:1
nine 11:20 131:17	nuisance 127:16	object 117:2	132:12 160:15
nitmam 108:25	number 18:20	objecting 85:11	ohio 62:22
151:17	21:11,17,23 22:5	108:3	okay 21:15 23:6
non 65:10 67:2	23:2 24:7 26:14	objection 88:11	60:22 82:6 84:20
78:10 147:7,18	38:8 39:7 40:9	96:21,22	91:22 92:12 98:3
noncombustible	41:7 42:14 44:15	observers 66:22	100:24 110:1
49:20,22,25 51:1	46:22 48:13 54:24	obtain 15:3,3 71:9	111:22 117:7
53:5 59:4 82:24	55:2,9,13,21 57:5	obtain 13.3,3 /1.9 obviate 127:20	127:9,17 130:13
83:4,8,13,14 84:9	57:22 58:11 63:11		133:11 141:3,22
84:24 85:17,18,20	68:6 69:23 70:16	obviously 15:10	159:3,3,7,13,19,24
86:6,23 87:5,15,20	72:12,13 74:12	35:7 40:23 146:17	160:8,16,16 161:8
90:12,19 93:12	75:12 76:21 78:19	occupancies 13:16	161:14 163:4,12
nonmetallic 137:3	79:6,15,23 82:9,12	156:12	164:2
137:5,7 139:16,17	86:16 87:11 89:15	occupancy 16:20	old 163:20
146:10	91:12 92:18 95:13	occupational	olsen 2:9 20:21,22
normal 154:24	95:20 96:11,16	12:21,23	20:22 21:3,15
normally 50:6	97:7 101:24	occurs 21:25	23:6 25:8,8,14
65:19	102:21 103:10,25	offer 22:21 23:24	28:15,16
norris 3:5 9:14,23	105:25 109:5	26:9 27:14 36:5	omission 50:15
81:5,19 84:20	112:13,13 116:1	45:9 66:14 77:14	omits 53:12
88:8 89:1 91:3,8	117:9 118:22	78:5 80:9 81:17	once 79:20 80:10
92:1 95:6 97:9	121:5 123:20	81:18 84:18 91:2	143:20 149:18
100:24 104:18	125:25 127:3	95:5 97:8 100:3	onerous 139:25
108:2 109:23	128:13 129:2,20	100:22 108:1	140:2
north 13:23 14:3	130:8 131:9 132:2	115:3 117:25	ones 99:4
26:17 103:3	133:10 135:13,15	121:13 136:24	ongoing 151:3
northwest 117:11	136:12 137:20	143:23 150:2	153:22
123:22	138:9,25 140:10	154:12 162:2	on' 146:17
note 38:11 39:13	141:17 142:6	offered 27:10	oops 12:10
44:3 47:6 134:12	144:12 145:10	134:13	open 19:2,4 25:4
156:1,14,15,16	146:4,13,25 147:9	offers 46:6	38:5 46:19 49:4
	1.0,10,20 1177		20.2 10.17 17.1

[open - people]

49:19,22 50:16,17	163:5,6	oxygen 13:13	participation 5:20
51:3 52:2,5 53:13	organization 16:5	p	67:25 75:22 78:14
53:20,20,22 54:3,6	72:17	p 2:1,1 12:25	113:23,25 164:5
54:9,21 55:7,16	organizations	p.i. 108:19	particular 46:1,2
56:25 59:15 60:12	65:14 114:8	pace 3:11 117:10	62:24 63:14 64:5
66:22 68:3 78:17	original 39:1 52:8	117:10 121:9	68:19 77:4 84:11
86:13 91:9 96:8	57:16 63:15	123:21,21 125:12	parties 138:2
101:22 109:2	originally 52:7	131:5	140:6,15
115:20 122:7	57:17	pacific 117:11	partner 74:2
127:12 133:20	osha's 75:23	123:22	parts 95:24
137:18 144:10	outcome 130:25	page 19:18,25	party 165:8
150:18 155:11	outdoor 122:2	20:17 31:9 32:3	pass 83:9,16,17,22
162:8	124:17	61:4 62:3 81:9,15	83:23 91:4
opening 117:14	outdoors 124:10	92:7,9 97:15,17	passed 17:15 67:7
operate 23:9	127:25	111:11,17 112:8	67:11 74:9 89:13
operating 23:2,17	outer 22:9	134:20 135:9	92:16 97:21
131:19	outlet 122:19	panels 22:6	105:22 110:18
operation 49:15	outside 121:21	panels 22.0 paper 143:10	137:15 141:15
operations 122:16	125:13	146:20	148:16 150:14,15
122:24	outstanding 6:2	paragraph 161:23	153:3 155:8
opinion 36:24 38:2	oven 21:1,21 22:1	162:15	160:13 163:23
opportunities	22:4,7,19 25:19	parker 2:23 70:18	passenger 9:20
67:25 78:13 124:9	ovens 11:10 19:13	70:19 78:20,21	14:1 31:5,18,21
124:20	20:9,12 21:6,24	part 5:11 42:19	passes 83:7,15
opportunity 58:23	23:2,15 24:3	47:21 48:2,17	142:19 156:21
125:5	25:16,17,24 29:20	55:10 58:22 59:18	passing 87:1
oppose 72:8 91:5	overlapping 60:7	63:1 64:8 65:18	passive 99:2
opposing 113:25	overlooked 63:18	76:3,10 77:5,8	path 114:13,18
opposite 120:25	overreaching	80:7,15 85:12	patience 159:4
opposition 51:18	123:7	89:24 91:24 92:4	pattern 16:19
55:25 69:7 70:19	overruled 113:19	93:2 94:5 96:21	pay 16:7
73:23 78:21 88:23	113:21	96:22 97:7,12	pc 44:5,13 50:15
102:24	oversight 120:8	100:14 102:10,10	95:22,24 127:18
optical 51:24	121:7	102:14,15 116:9	pd 130:14
option 83:25 87:21	owens 74:15 79:25	142:9,11 143:4,6	peake 4:16 142:7,7
90:14 108:21	owner 136:8 137:4	147:25 148:5,23	142:16 146:20
options 83:21	137:9,12 138:18	152:11,18 156:23	penalty 165:12
87:19 90:9,11,16	owners 136:22	161:20,24	penetration 22:2
order 15:24 18:8	139:25 140:18	participants 6:3	penny 21:1
18:24 83:9 114:24	owns 74:3	participated 69:18	people 25:23 28:2
114:25 134:6,10		69:19	29:12 34:22 38:13
		07.17	

[people - possibly]

20 2 40 7 41 2	120.15	55 10 50 00 51 15	110.5
39:3 40:7 41:3	phoenix 128:15	57:12 59:23 61:16	point.' 113:5
64:15 75:17 91:21	phone 18:19	64:12 66:15 68:3	pointed 68:25
96:16 116:23	phonetic 4:25 8:23	69:22 75:10,11	69:14 138:17
136:12,15	9:13,25 12:11	76:16 77:12 78:17	145:5
perceived 24:18	71:23 130:14	79:11 80:21 82:18	points 21:15 22:25
perfectly 107:22	150:22 158:12	86:13 89:10,18,22	37:18 58:25 72:25
perform 71:8	pieces 21:23	90:2 91:10 92:7,9	79:18 150:8
151:21	pillar 121:2	92:20,25 93:6	policy 20:4 61:10
performance	pipe 136:19 137:3	96:8 97:3,3,18,25	poll 154:2,4
35:12 68:23 69:11	137:5,8 139:23	98:6,11 101:22	poly 67:19
71:19,24 72:5	142:21,21,23,25	102:2 105:19	polyisocyanurate
87:5 108:7	143:17 144:1,22	106:3,8 109:2	73:8
performed 146:11	145:1,2,2,4 146:10	110:8,15 111:19	polystyrene 65:24
performs 71:13	147:6,8,20	111:19 112:21	73:7,9
perimeter 130:20	pipes 139:16,17	115:20 117:5	polyurethane
period 8:17 13:18	pipe' 144:2	118:10 119:6	67:14
108:15	piping 8:2 136:14	122:7 131:7,7	pool 157:10
periods 108:21	137:15	133:11 134:12,21	portion 76:11 77:6
perjury 165:12	place 21:25 30:11	135:13,23 137:18	77:9 80:8,16
permission 68:16	58:10 123:1	140:7 141:10	portions 33:12
permitted 77:19	137:10	142:14 143:20	41:21 42:4 64:9
106:19 107:5	places 73:4 126:12	144:10,12 148:10	76:4 86:21
person 102:18	plan 140:16,20	149:2 150:18	pose 23:6 25:22
127:10	plastic 67:14 73:7	152:23 153:15	50:17
personally 130:6	73:8,9	155:11 159:1,4,13	posed 53:2
personnel 115:11	plate 21:8,18,21	159:20,24 160:5,8	position 17:25
perspective	22:19 23:21 24:4	161:17 162:8	23:24 24:21 36:5
104:24 123:25	24:5 30:4 154:20	163:17 164:6	51:12 66:14 78:5
pertains 36:9	155:7 156:9,17,19	pleased 8:20	84:19 89:2 91:2
peter 9:25 10:1,2,7	158:7	pleasure 5:10 6:2	95:5 100:23 108:1
10:9	plates 27:1	133:24	108:6 115:4,8,9
peterkin 4:7	plate's 24:5	pnnl 131:5	121:13,22 136:24
138:11,11 144:13	please 6:4,15 8:9	podium 6:15	137:8 143:23
144:13 148:19,19	10:1,9,13 11:3	poe 41:4	147:4 150:2
149:4 151:17	14:22 16:7,15	point 23:13 55:2	154:12 155:18
153:8,9,17	18:15,25 19:4	59:7 104:4 109:8	162:2
peter's 10:8	20:7 21:13 25:4	109:8 113:13,14	positive 16:10
pfister 3:8 112:14	30:19 33:9,16	122:20 127:13	possible 124:10
112:14,23,23	38:5 42:8,17,22	132:17 155:20	127:17 155:2
114:12	45:9 46:20 48:7	163:5,5	possibly 157:10
	48:20 54:21 55:11	,	

[posted - proposals]

1001247	12 21 22 24 12 12	151 1 154 2 6	
posted 18:8 134:7	12:21,23,24 13:13	151:1 154:3,6	production 54:12
134:10	13:14,14,17,19	157:19,21 158:2	59:10
potential 23:17	14:1,5,6 15:6 16:3	problem.' 155:22	products 20:24
34:17 43:11 51:7	19:14 20:6 31:5	problems 158:10	38:25 65:6,19
51:8 99:21 108:3	31:15 51:12 60:24	160:4,7	66:6 75:2 76:25
134:14	61:15 81:4 111:6	procedural 63:17	77:16 78:23,24
potentially 22:16	111:18 134:16,22	procedures 15:7	79:1 136:18
34:4 41:17 43:5,6	156:9	16:8	138:18 139:19
43:9,13 46:5 47:3	presented 6:9,12	proceed 15:23	professional 10:21
potomac 10:16	20:9 31:18 61:18	20:20 21:14 32:5	12:4,6,8
pounds 22:6,13,15	66:7 77:22 81:21	33:6,16 42:13,23	professionals
27:17	111:24 134:25	48:11,21 60:11	74:23
power 34:5,11,13	presenters 157:6	62:6 63:25 64:13	profile 53:19
34:16,19 35:1	presiding 15:23	76:20 77:13 82:7	progressing 6:21
36:10,21 37:9	16:2 133:18,24	82:18 89:14 90:3	prohibited 17:3
38:16 40:24 41:4	161:10	92:17 93:7 97:22	prohibiting 30:3
53:15 55:4	pretty 39:3 71:25	98:12 105:23	127:24
practice 27:22	149:5	106:9 112:11,22	prohibition
94:25	preventing 127:9	118:21 119:7	127:19
practices 117:21	128:5	134:9 135:11,24	prohibits 67:16
practitioners	previous 43:3,14	141:16 142:15	project 6:10,19,20
37:16	47:1,2 73:1 78:7	148:17 149:3	7:3 51:5 129:4
pre 17:25 147:4	79:10,12 91:3,18	153:4,16 161:17	projects 40:22
preceded 59:13	127:9 157:6	proceedings 165:3	promise 153:17
prefer 88:12	previously 40:13	process 5:19,19,23	promotes 128:6
preference 69:4	40:18 53:1 141:19	6:11 9:10,23	promoting 6:20
preferred 62:21	primarily 115:12	10:25 11:8,18	promptly 164:7
64:3 70:1 76:23	147:7	13:17 17:6 19:11	propagation 61:21
prematurely	primary 115:11	28:19 85:2 103:17	64:17
154:22	prime 139:7	108:13 115:18,18	properly 39:19
premises 113:7	principally 52:20	121:7 129:7	properties 65:10
prepared 28:13	principle 70:20	136:16 149:23	68:23 123:4
155:18	72:15 74:17	producing 120:16	property 7:2,9
prescribed 27:13	prior 17:11 18:23	product 20:23	proponent 70:1
prescriptive 108:7	26:21 57:9,18	51:5,8 56:24	124:3 138:17
present 7:22,25	90:17	65:23 66:5 71:3,6	proposal 27:19,24
8:3,5,20 9:2,2,3,4	private 8:2	71:8,11,12,19 72:1	53:11 54:16 63:15
9:6,6,7,19,20 10:6	probably 64:21	72:2,3,6 74:24	66:10 123:9
10:8,18,19,20,22	94:10	78:2,25 124:4,6	128:16,25
11:7,8,10,10,14,14	problem 41:12,14	125:21,23 128:4	proposals 26:24
11:15 12:7,15,17	125:20 144:23	139:18	57:9,18

[proposed - realizes]

proposed 73:4,6	provided 36:14	161:1	racking 130:14
74:24 87:3 90:23	37:24 50:14 52:13	pursuing 138:6	radiant 23:10
95:15,17,20 101:5	121:18 122:14	push 163:11	127:13
101:10 108:19	154:17 165:4	pushback 103:5	radiation 127:16
120:8 121:15	provides 107:14	put 7:4 21:16	rail 9:20 14:1 31:5
122:5 124:4 128:2	108:12 130:22	26:25 30:11 41:16	31:18,21 46:6
137:2 138:22	144:4	44:24 47:5 90:8	58:19
142:17 145:17	providing 17:10	96:19 97:3 104:7	railways 49:13
150:4 154:14	45:11,13 122:18	116:11 125:1	raise 159:20 160:5
proposes 43:3	128:19 143:15	128:21 129:8	160:8
44:14 50:23	public 6:24 16:12	130:4,5 136:21	raised 143:2
proposing 65:1	16:22,23 39:2	139:10 152:2	raises 120:25
153:24	41:12,13 42:19	156:5	ran 13:22
proprietary 71:18	47:15,21 48:2,17	putting 38:17	range 37:17
75:3 99:7	50:11 58:22 59:18	87:20 149:16	rapidly 6:21
propriety 104:12	67:7,24 69:1,2,20	pv 121:24 124:21	rashid 2:25 72:14
104:13	69:21 78:12 82:14	q	72:14,21,24 79:16
protected 7:8	82:15 84:16,22	_	79:16 91:13,14
57:20	88:5 89:5,24	qualification 72:7	rating 106:13,18
protection 7:2,18	91:24 92:4 93:2	qualifications 10:21 12:4,6,8	106:20 107:3,19
8:4,7 9:19 54:15	97:7,12 98:8	qualify 64:21 65:6	109:11
65:13,14 81:4,21	100:25 104:15	68:12,14 71:6	ratings 57:17
99:2 113:17 135:4	105:14 106:5	quantities 122:2	rayborn 3:22
protection.' 50:19	109:20 110:4	quantity 123:10	129:21,21
protective 12:13	112:16,18 115:24	quantity 123.10 question 18:23	reach 131:1
12:22	117:24 118:5,25	19:5 43:17 73:11	reaching 123:15
prove 116:21	119:2 124:25	questions 72:6	reaction 94:14
proven 116:13	132:20 133:6	question' 18:25	read 39:15 58:14
provide 7:7 22:20	publication 17:18	quick 39:20 64:14	87:14,15 100:10
25:4 38:6 46:20	published 7:14	88:8	102:2 129:23
54:22 68:4 69:20	17:22 143:10	quickly 6:25 28:21	reading 95:18
78:17 86:14 91:10	purported 35:9,21	quietly 16:15	ready 19:11 72:17
96:9 101:22 108:9	purpose 17:9 29:6	quite 147:15 151:5	79:17 91:15 92:22
109:3 115:20	64:24 65:17 75:10	quorum 16:3	139:7
117:20 122:7	108:10 114:18	quote 113:2	real 41:11 64:14
124:8 125:12	purposes 16:4		88:8 123:6 124:8
131:23 137:18	49:16 55:6	r	reality 36:22
144:10 147:4	pursue 63:7	r 2:1 19:15	realize 47:7
150:18 154:2	pursued 62:10	raceways 51:1	realized 45:5
155:11 162:8	63:24 118:17	53:6	realizes 154:23
	141:21,25 160:19		

[really - reiswig]

modly 20.16.19	152.20 22 152.1 2	modundent 45.24	27.21 29.10 20.22
really 39:16,18	152:20,22 153:1,2	redundant 45:24	27:21 28:10 29:22
45:1 77:21 116:15	158:23,25 160:10	86:8	30:12 32:5,19
116:25 123:7	160:12 162:25	refer 52:15	33:10,15,21,25
124:1 132:17	163:15	reference 38:11	35:16 36:4 38:4
139:13 140:16,17	recommendation	71:14 85:3 86:5	39:7 40:9,14,17
140:20,22 143:15	63:14 64:5 101:3	99:9,10,11,17,21	41:6,19 42:1,18,22
145:14 147:18	recommendations	102:15 103:18	44:15 45:4,8
149:22 152:3	17:8,10	130:1 134:14	46:15,18 47:19,25
153:20	recommended	152:4	48:16,20 50:13
rear 18:16	30:18 59:21,22	referenced 102:14	51:11 54:20 55:21
reason 39:12	64:25 76:13,15	102:14 120:22	57:5,11,22 58:20
40:22 44:19 52:12	80:18,20 89:7,9	130:1 161:23	59:16 60:2,5,8,19
63:14 65:1 66:2	92:7,9 97:14,17	references 99:6,19	60:22 61:15 62:5
77:20 115:13	105:16,18 110:6,8	referencing 99:6	62:17 63:2,4,6,10
126:13,25 152:1	118:7,9 133:8,10	103:21,22	63:12,23 64:6,12
reasonable 78:3	163:16	referring 45:21	65:22 66:13 68:2
146:11	recommending	59:3	69:23 70:3,16
reasons 104:6	62:23 64:4 101:3	refers 53:15 85:17	72:12,19,23 73:20
rebut 55:11	recommends 34:3	reflection 94:9	74:12 75:12 76:1
rebuttal 70:6	151:11	reflects 152:5	76:8 77:2,7,12
receive 137:24	record 17:24	refractories 27:24	78:4,16 79:5,14,22
received 67:8,24	30:19 42:9 48:7	refractory 27:4	80:5,13 82:6,13,17
78:12 134:5	59:23 76:16 80:21	28:1	84:3,14 86:12
receiving 11:25	89:10 92:10 97:18	refute 36:17	87:8,11 88:4,16,18
recipient 6:5 8:22	105:19 110:9,15	regarding 21:16	88:24 89:3,19,23
10:12	114:19 116:6	regardless 115:15	90:2 91:1,7,9,20
recipients 11:21	117:8 133:11	registered 18:18	92:2,22 93:1,6
14:15	141:10 142:23	regulated 143:12	94:21 95:4 96:7
recognition 8:17	143:16 148:11	regulations 17:20	97:5,10 98:1,3,7
recognize 6:2,9	152:23 159:5,13	20:14 31:25 61:25	98:11 100:5,21
14:24 105:9 115:7	159:25 163:17	75:24 82:2 112:5	101:21 102:21
121:6 135:25	recording 1:1 5:2	135:6	103:10,25 104:14
recognized 6:17	17:3	regulatory 143:9	105:12 106:4,8
52:3 59:4 95:10	red 15:11	reinforce 109:24	107:25 109:1,19
100:18,19 105:4	reduce 7:8 70:14	reinforced 24:15	110:2,12,14,25
recognizing 6:5	109:25 130:24	27:2	111:3 112:10,17
7:2 156:3,4	reduced 108:16	reinstate 50:23	112:21 114:11,23
recommend 30:17	reducing 5:22	reiswig 2:6 15:23	115:17 116:1
42:6,8 48:4,6 89:9	108:15	16:1,2 20:19 21:2	117:3,7,22 118:3
141:8,9,13,14	reduction 73:17	21:9,13 23:5,23	119:1,6 120:20
148:8,10,13,15	79:20 108:4	25:3,12 26:7,13	121:12 122:6
. , ,		, ,	

[reiswig - requirements]

100 10 105 11 05	1 1 104 10	1 1 1 6 10	4 10 10
123:19 125:11,25	released 124:12	repeated 16:12	request 18:19
127:3 128:12	relevant 35:25	29:8	73:18 115:5
129:2,20 130:8	relief 21:6,19,25	replace 68:17	117:12,13 134:5,8
131:9,13 132:2,8	22:6,17,20,24 23:3	69:13 100:15	154:24
132:10,19 133:4	23:20 24:3 25:17	replaced 158:11	requesting 63:21
reiterate 30:2 74:1	25:18,21 26:3	replacing 69:7	requests 86:10
79:3	27:6,23,25 28:25	report 19:12,14,16	108:25
reject 21:3,10	29:10,18 130:22	19:21 20:1,6,8,10	require 22:1,18
25:15 26:8 28:17	131:4	24:8 26:24 31:3,6	50:19 67:5 96:18
29:23 30:15 33:11	rely 129:12	31:7,12,15,16,19	96:18 107:7 129:9
41:20 42:3 62:25	remain 59:12	31:19 60:22,24	129:10 153:22
64:8 75:11 76:2	remaining 11:24	61:2,7,16,17,19,20	154:25 155:4
76:10,18 77:4,8	144:3	81:2,4,7,12,18,19	required 18:21
80:6,15 85:13	remains 21:22	81:22,22 97:15	21:6 22:11 24:23
135:17,20 140:25	55:11 59:9 66:9	98:17 99:10 111:4	27:4 35:14,23
141:5 148:23	remarks 114:19	111:7,9,14,18,22	44:23 46:9 48:24
152:10,17 153:12	remember 16:16	111:24,25 134:2	53:4,14 98:23
156:22 158:16,21	56:4	134:16,19,22,23	106:14 107:1
161:19,24	remind 14:21 16:4	135:1,1 139:9	126:14 128:23
rejected 27:18	removal 21:5	reported 66:24	requirement
50:15 86:11	71:16	reports 19:17	24:14,15,18 27:9
108:22 117:15	remove 27:8,8	20:11,16 31:8	34:10 49:4,10
rejecting 27:19	84:23 85:3 137:2	32:1 61:3 62:1	50:8,24 51:25
101:19 103:7	143:25 150:4	77:24 81:8 82:3	101:12 110:1
108:19	154:14 155:6	111:10 112:6	124:23 126:23
rejection 108:18	removed 24:25	134:19 135:8	128:2 149:8 151:4
108:25 127:18	32:16 33:4 62:13	represent 20:24	151:20 154:15,16
related 33:12	84:25 118:19	26:16	155:5
41:21 42:3 63:22	142:3 160:22	representative	requirements
64:9 67:12,14,24	161:3	32:12,25 36:11	24:22,24 25:2
76:3,11 77:5,9	removes 108:20	62:9 156:6	28:6 34:13 35:6
78:12 80:8,16	removing 21:18	representatives	35:12,20 36:12,14
84:24 134:13	23:20 29:2 146:18	137:4	37:20 46:9,11
138:2 161:7,25	152:4	representative's	47:9 49:8 51:6,9
relates 36:7	renewable 119:11	137:9	51:19 52:7,7,18,21
relative 54:3 165:6	119:14 120:3,3	representing 57:8	52:24 53:9,12
relatively 156:4	renewables	91:15 118:24	54:5,7,9,13 55:8
release 46:13	116:17	122:11	55:16,17 56:8,17
50:18 51:22 83:1	repair 9:4 25:20	represents 95:17	57:2,19 58:3,3,8
85:7,22	repeat 79:9	106:16	58:12,13 59:10
			66:3 67:18 71:4

[requirements - rodger]

87:6 93:11 94:11	respective 53:19	results 17:9,19,21	revisit 114:3
94:15 96:1,3	respond 25:9	19:7,7 20:16	revolved 95:24
100:9 101:8	28:21	30:22 32:1 48:9	
100:9 101:8			revolving 40:3
	responder 12:2	60:14 62:2 67:4	revote 60:9,12
121:18 122:4,16	115:12	71:9 75:19,20	rewrite 56:18
123:1,6 124:15	responders 12:20	82:3 83:11 112:6	rfcc 39:10
125:2,17 126:15	response 85:9	127:18 130:23	rhode 134:18
127:1 131:17,22	90:22 108:23	141:12 148:12	richard 14:9
132:5,13 150:6	responses 35:7	149:6 152:25	rick 3:16 126:2,2
158:1	101:17	160:9 163:21	right 19:4 21:9
requirements.'	responsibilities	retain 27:3 99:11	56:12 60:8,12,13
47:8	7:19	retardant 77:17	89:3 92:2,13
requires 16:21	responsibility	retired 12:11	108:2 109:24
22:24 25:19 49:19	136:9,22 137:10	return 15:5	110:14,25 111:3
49:21,23 51:20	responsible 20:13	returned 54:18	114:25 118:3,13
101:10 106:24	31:23 61:24 81:25	reverse 49:6	124:6 125:9 131:6
107:16 126:24	86:20 112:4 135:5	review 85:16	131:17 139:6,9
151:7 161:11	140:1	reviewing 85:2	140:8 141:21
requiring 16:18	rest 26:3	revise 67:11	157:21 161:17
50:1	restarted 111:1	revised 5:25 105:5	rights 18:13
rescue 9:3 10:18	restate 30:14 42:2	revision 21:11	risk 7:8 25:22 52:4
research 26:19,21	48:1 59:17 76:9	26:9 29:24 30:15	53:19 54:14 59:14
120:4	80:14 89:4 92:3	32:20 33:11,12	130:5 135:17
resistance 99:24	97:11 105:13	41:21 42:3 50:11	risks 54:3 124:11
100:1,2 106:14,18	110:3 118:4 133:5	54:18,19 63:1	river 129:3
106:20 107:3,17	141:4 148:4	64:9,10 67:10	road 9:18 81:3,20
107:18 109:11	152:16 158:20	76:3,4,10,11 77:5	81:23
resistant 106:13	162:22	77:6,9,9 80:7,8,15	rob 13:10,11
resistive 74:9	resting 144:1	80:16 84:23 87:21	robert 12:10,12
resolve 159:9	146:17	96:2 122:5 124:25	rodger 2:6 15:23
resolved 90:24	restricting 101:12	135:18,20 140:25	16:1,2 20:19 21:2
resources 6:14	restrictions	141:6 144:7	21:9,13 23:5,23
111:8	122:25	145:16 148:24	25:3,12 26:7,13
respect 28:8 36:20	restrictive 146:1	150:7 152:11,18	27:21 28:10 29:22
45:18 114:4,8	restricts 101:5	153:12 155:20	30:12 32:5,19
116:19 126:10	result 41:1 70:11	156:23 158:16,21	33:10,15,21,25
respectfully 28:7	73:16 79:19	161:20,25	35:16 36:4 38:4
73:18 86:10	116:11 117:17	revisions 20:12	39:7 40:9,14,17
108:24 114:21	resulted 136:2	31:22 41:22 42:4	41:6,19 42:1,18,22
127:2	resulting 7:13	61:23 81:24 112:3	44:15 45:4,8
	18:1	135:4	46:15,18 47:19,25

[rodger - second]

48:16,20 50:13	rolling 37:1 46:8	_	142:23 143:16
51:11 54:20 55:21	46:14 49:15	S	
57:5,11,22 58:20	roof 22:2 123:2,11	s 2:1	says 34:21 39:22 43:3,22 69:8
1 ' '	· ·	safe 11:5 12:11	83:14 86:24 87:22
59:16 60:2,5,8,19	126:13,21 128:20	16:7 19:15 23:22	
60:22 61:15 62:5	129:15	29:5 120:15	102:3,8 107:21
62:17 63:2,4,6,10	roofs 121:17	121:10 124:21	124:6 149:10
63:12,23 64:6,12	128:19,23	127:20 131:18	156:16 163:10
65:22 66:13 68:2	rooftop 120:24	132:1	scale 74:4,10
69:23 70:3,16	121:18,24 122:18	safeguards 131:16	119:18 125:20
72:12,19,23 73:20	123:1 124:23	safely 128:21	scholl 3:19 128:14
74:12 75:12 76:1	125:2 127:12,14	safer 22:4 26:5	128:15
76:8 77:2,7,12	127:23 130:17,21	29:1,15 114:6	school 9:16
78:4,16 79:5,14,22	rooftops 119:25	safety 5:22 6:1,23	scientifically 30:6
80:5,13 82:6,13,17	120:10,14 122:15	7:2 11:8 12:2,16	scope 36:21 37:2
84:3,14 86:12	122:17 131:19	12:23 13:15,17	52:13 101:5
87:8,11 88:4,16,18	room 16:15 18:16	16:5,6,8,22 49:5	116:10,11
88:24 89:3,19,23	20:21 117:13	50:3 52:12,23	screen 19:8,23
90:2 91:1,7,9,20	124:2 130:11	53:12 55:20 59:8	31:14 42:6,6,8,11
92:2,22 93:1,6	132:15 146:21	59:12 73:17 79:20	48:5,6 59:21,23
94:21 95:4 96:7	roughly 93:15	98:18 99:22 100:4	76:14,15 80:19,20
97:5,10 98:1,3,7	routes 53:25	106:17,21 107:3,6	81:13 89:7,9
98:11 100:5,21	rscc 44:18 55:24	107:10 113:4	101:1 105:17,18
101:21 102:21	102:23	115:10,12 120:24	110:6,8 111:16
103:10,25 104:14	rsw 100:13 103:16	122:12,17 123:12	118:8,9 133:8,10
105:12 106:4,8	104:12	123:14,16,17	141:8,10,13,14
107:25 109:1,19	rubbish 49:24	125:23 128:8,24	142:19 148:8,10
110:2,12,14,25	rules 32:13,14	129:18 131:24	148:14,15 152:20
111:3 112:10,17	33:1,2 62:11,12	143:15 145:6	152:22 153:1,2
112:21 114:11,23	63:24 75:22	salt 129:3	158:24 159:1
115:17 116:1	118:17,18 130:15	sample 155:6	160:11,12 163:1
117:3,7,22 118:3	142:1,1 160:20,20	156:6 157:4	163:16,19
119:1,6 120:20	161:2,2	sample's 156:3	screens 61:9
121:12 122:6	run 65:25	sample s 130.3 san 10:3 14:10	script 14:19 15:21
123:19 125:11,25	runaway 124:11	113:16 116:8	scroll 18:25 163:9
127:3 128:12	running 68:15	sandy 12:18	163:13
129:2,20 130:8	rupert 12:25 13:1	satisfied 132:6,14	searles 3:10 116:3
131:9,13 132:2,8	rws 98:17 99:3,9	satisfy 36:13	116:3 117:6
132:10,19 133:4	99:15,23 100:18	saw 151:17	seats 111:1,19
133:22	100:20 102:9,9,20	saw 131.17 saying 35:19 47:3	second 7:12 14:20
role 6:18 7:11	104:4,21 105:3	59:1 125:18	15:3,4,4 19:17
		126:11 131:1	20:10 21:10,11,11
		120.11 131.1	

[second - signal]

21 12 12 26 0	110 10 122 12	. 20.07.20.11	106 17 101 17 04
21:12,13 26:8	118:10 133:12	seeing 30:25 32:11	126:17 131:17,24
29:23 30:15 31:8	141:11 148:12	32:24 88:6 91:20	132:9
31:19 32:20 33:11	152:24 161:12	104:16 110:20	services 10:16
33:13,14,16 41:20	163:18	114:18 118:3	12:22 61:1 135:17
42:3,20,21,22	secretary 5:15	132:21 141:3	servicing 132:15
48:18,19,20 54:19	section 17:19 24:6	148:3 152:15	serving 13:19
60:5 61:3,19 63:1	24:25 26:23 32:14	158:19 162:21	session 18:9,14
63:4 64:7,9,10,11	33:2 35:8 36:1,9	seeks 49:6 120:8	19:8,20 31:11
64:12 66:25 67:10	36:20 37:2,20,25	137:2 143:25	61:6 81:11 111:13
76:3,10 77:5,8,10	43:14,16 45:14	150:4 154:14	sessions 18:6
77:11,12 80:7,15	46:11 51:19 53:14	seen 25:20,25	set 155:3
81:8,22 82:15,16	54:11,12 58:14	29:15 117:16	sets 93:11 125:17
82:18 89:25 90:1	62:12 67:15,16	158:7	severe 98:21 99:24
90:2 92:10 93:3,4	69:2 71:16 72:10	selden 81:6	severely 35:9
93:5,6 96:2 98:8,9	76:24 78:8 83:13	select 18:25	shafts 59:5
98:10,11 106:6,7,8	83:16,20 84:7	send 58:8	shamim 2:25
111:10,25 112:19	85:4,5,17,25 86:9	sends 58:4	72:14,14,21,24
112:20,21 114:25	87:7,16 88:14	sensing 127:13	79:16,16 91:13,14
117:22 119:3,4,5,6	90:10 95:14 99:12	sentence 35:10,21	share 5:24
134:19 135:1,17	99:13,16,18	43:4,12 44:9,10,12	sharon 3:20 129:3
135:20,21,22,22	106:23 107:6	63:16,19,20	129:3
135:23 139:9	118:18 120:21	sentences 35:8	sheet 22:8
140:25 141:5	121:15,25 122:12	separate 25:2	sheets 53:6
142:12,13,14	123:16 131:16	separately 53:16	shell 21:7,22,25
143:1,3 144:6	134:6 137:2,3,12	separation 121:1	22:3,20 24:3,19
145:16 148:23,24	137:15 138:14,14	125:18	shells 27:2
148:25 149:1,2	138:15 140:5	sequence 16:11	shipbuilding 9:4
152:11,18 153:12	142:2 143:4,14	series 16:11	shorter 106:19
153:13,14,15	144:16,16 149:7	seriously 29:12	108:21
156:23 158:16,21	150:10,23 151:11	serve 5:10,15	shouldn't 58:16
161:12,13,14,15	153:19 154:14	served 7:25 8:6	77:23
161:16,20	155:7 157:18	serves 7:20 8:25	show 14:14 66:1,1
seconded 114:24	160:21 161:2	9:17 10:4,17 11:6	showing 154:19
115:2	sections 67:13	11:11 12:3,12,19	shown 21:19 25:10
secondly 70:9	70:8 71:1 87:16	13:1,5,7,11,20,24	51:4 95:21 97:17
seconds 19:3,6	see 19:7 29:7 30:5	14:3,6,11	99:22 101:1
30:20 42:10 48:8	43:25 73:2 86:24	service 8:13,14,16	side 28:4 64:18
59:24 60:13 62:19	88:12 114:13	8:18,22 9:9,13,22	113:6,7,9 117:16
76:16 80:22 89:11	124:13,20 129:24	9:25 10:12,24	sides 133:1
92:12,13,21 97:18	142:18 158:13	11:17,21,23 12:23	signal 16:9
105:20 110:15	160:5	113:5 122:22	

[signaling - specifically]

signaling 49:16	sixth 23:13	89:17 93:19 98:2	speaking 15:9,11
55:5	size 121:19	111:21 116:1	15:14,18 25:5
signature 165:24	sized 119:22	131:13 132:10,12	34:2 38:7 40:12
signed 32:9	skidmore 74:15	135:15 142:1	46:21 54:23 57:13
significant 6:9	79:25	152:21	68:5,10 70:3,5,19
23:6 50:17 51:16	skills 129:9	sound 71:15	70:22 72:16,19,21
52:20 93:17	skins 22:9	sounds 16:19	73:22,24 75:15
signs 154:19	skytrain 40:21	sources 127:15	78:18,21 79:17,25
similar 36:16	small 120:9 121:1	southwest 120:4	86:15,17,18 91:11
45:10 67:18 78:6	124:16 126:12,24	spaces 53:10 132:7	91:14 96:10
93:20 94:19,20,25	156:4 157:4	spacing 24:17	101:23 104:19
142:18	smart 18:19	27:15	109:4 115:21
simple 50:16	smoke 13:8 46:12	spalling 99:5	116:6 117:11
126:22	49:3 50:18 51:22	speak 25:7 26:17	122:8,13 123:23
simplification	53:22 54:2,12	28:11,12 39:11,12	126:3 127:7
52:11	56:7,16 57:1,16	40:7 43:1 44:18	128:16 129:4,22
simply 36:18	59:10	55:24 57:3 102:24	131:15 133:1
50:23 51:3 84:10	smother 15:20	103:9 119:10	137:19,22,22
94:12	society 140:12	121:6 130:10	138:12,13 139:3
sincere 14:18	143:8 146:15	155:18	140:14 144:11,14
single 6:10 11:14	154:1 157:2	speaker 6:17 8:24	145:13,13 146:7
24:13 123:8	solar 119:24	9:16 10:2,15 11:5	147:3,12,12
sir 20:6 21:2,9	130:16	11:24 21:12 26:11	149:13 150:19,22
25:12 40:15 61:12	sole 17:9	32:18 33:14 42:21	151:16 155:12,16
62:5 63:2 77:2,13	solely 114:19	48:19 60:1,3,6,18	155:16 157:2,17
92:22 98:1 112:10	solution 136:21	60:21 63:8 64:11	158:5 161:6 162:9
115:1 117:3 122:6	138:22	77:11 82:16 90:1	162:13,13
128:11	solutions 20:24	93:4,5 98:9,10	special 6:7,8,12
sit 117:16	62:21 64:4 70:1	106:7 110:10,11	8:10,12 17:1
sites 28:24	76:23 138:12	110:13 112:20	108:22
situations 40:24	144:14 148:20	115:25 119:4,5	specialty 6:14
40:25 124:9	153:9	126:8,10 135:22	111:8
six 21:15 69:24	somebody 124:13	141:21 142:13	specific 16:24
76:22 86:16 129:2	sons 26:25	148:25 149:1,4	37:10 49:10 52:12
138:10 140:11	sophisticated 40:5	153:6,8,14 159:8	52:16,23 57:19
144:12 146:14	44:21	159:11,12,17	71:11,12 72:1
147:1 148:18	sorry 25:12,14	160:6,15 163:2,5,8	78:25 101:6,8
150:20 153:6,7	26:13 32:19,21	163:19,24	103:3,18 121:17
156:25 157:15	33:5 40:16 42:10	speakers 2:5,20	128:4 151:4
158:4	45:10 57:11,13	73:1 116:9 161:13	specifically 21:4
	63:2 82:15 84:5	161:16	27:8 98:18 99:25

[specifically - storage]

100:1 139:18	stahl 2:21 62:14	standards 5:11,15	44:6 45:9,24 49:7
150:10	62:16,20,20 63:3,5	5:18,25 6:6,11 7:3	50:16,22,25 56:9
specification 99:1	63:9,11,13 64:2,3	8:19 9:9,23 10:24	94:4 95:13 100:17
specifics 40:20	64:3,14 65:23	11:17 17:8,10,11	103:7 142:20
specify 24:17	68:11 69:25,25	17:13,23 18:2,5	statements 18:1
93:25 96:19	70:5 76:23,23	20:11,15 32:1	150:25
speed 129:8,25	77:3,14	34:8 35:2,15	states 34:10 35:10
spend 143:13	stand 28:20	38:18 39:16,24	43:12 49:11 71:2
145:4	standard 7:1,5,6	62:1 82:3,22	85:18 94:3 117:20
spf 67:14,16,22,24	7:17 24:6,20 25:2	87:19 88:10 90:13	127:1
69:15 73:5,12,14	30:4 31:1,2,20	95:11,25 98:25	stating 34:18 46:5
78:10,13,22	34:10,24 35:6,13	99:11 103:4	125:15
split 96:3	35:18 36:3 37:15	104:11,11,22	station 53:20
spoke 41:11	39:19 41:14 43:10	112:6 113:20,22	stationary 6:22
126:10	45:1,2 46:12 47:5	114:7 125:22,23	7:6 112:1 116:5,8
spoken 126:6	54:4 56:24 60:16	133:17 135:7	117:1
spontaneously	61:20 67:9 73:14	standing 25:23	stations 49:1,5,19
23:11	81:1 83:14,23,24	28:14,15 29:6	49:21,22 50:3,17
spot 126:18	84:1,5,6,7,13 86:6	standpipe 122:19	51:3 52:2,5,10,19
spray 62:22 66:2,3	88:1 90:12,20	129:14	52:21 53:13,20
66:9 67:14 75:1	94:14 95:9,10,12	standpipes 128:20	54:6,8 55:7,16,18
spread 46:12 49:3	95:18 96:23 98:17	128:24,25	56:17,25 57:3
50:18 54:12 57:17	98:20 99:7,14	stands 109:24	59:9,11,15
sprinkler 7:24	100:16,18,19	145:25	stay 28:2 30:7
137:3,8,15 144:19	101:8,15,16	start 38:17 81:16	66:4 79:5,15
145:3 146:7,10	102:13,14 103:2	86:16 91:12 97:1	stays 24:16 27:3
147:5,19 150:4	103:17,23 104:5,8	103:3 109:5	steel 21:8,21 24:16
154:21 155:7	104:10,23 105:2,4	110:22 112:12	27:1,2
157:19 158:10	105:10 106:15	started 111:4	stellar 6:5
sprinklers 154:16	107:13 108:6,7,12	136:15 145:14	step 156:8
154:18,19 161:22	110:21 112:1	state 15:13,17,18	stepping 20:3
square 22:7,15	116:12 120:9,13	22:5 25:13 94:3	61:10
sr 95:23	121:9 122:1,1,4	165:14	steven 11:25 12:3
staff 16:25 18:16	125:18,21,22	stated 24:20 34:7	stifling 128:1
60:10	126:23 130:22,25	35:25 78:22 85:16	stint 13:22
stafford 127:6	133:16,25 135:2	86:3 88:9 89:1	stipulated 130:16
132:4	136:6,6,11 139:8	98:22 103:1	stock 37:1 46:8,14
stage 10:1,13 11:3	144:3 145:25	108:16 116:22	49:15
140:2 142:17	146:2 151:24	154:19 163:13	stop 117:4
143:1,3	153:21 162:4	statement 15:6	storage 6:18,20,22
		34:21 36:19 38:12	7:6,21 9:1 13:15

[storage - systems]

	I	I	
49:14 111:5,6,23	subjective 146:18	sunlight 127:15	supported 113:17
112:2,25 113:10	submission 18:23	supply 8:2 128:20	142:25 144:21
113:10 114:15	submit 147:6	support 15:6 25:6	147:19
115:14 116:4,7,14	submitted 18:1	27:3 30:16,23	supporting 126:9
116:14 117:1,15	20:12 31:22 40:13	38:7,10 42:5,11,25	supportive 67:22
119:20,21,22	40:18 41:12 50:10	46:21,24 48:4,9,23	78:9
120:6,9,18 121:2	61:23 69:17 81:24	54:23 59:20 60:14	supports 113:9
121:10,16,21	112:3 135:4	68:5 69:3 71:18	supposed 34:17
122:14 123:10	151:17	76:7,13,18 77:4	62:25 151:23
124:9,15,20	submitter 45:17	78:18 80:11,18,23	152:5
125:12 126:19	117:13 137:1,23	83:1 85:7,22	suppression 9:5
150:8	141:19 150:10	86:15,18 87:13	sure 25:9 39:3
storage' 150:5	subsection 96:2	88:21 89:6,12	46:18 47:6 71:8
storge 127:22	subset 154:15	90:5 91:11 92:6	89:19 91:21 94:17
stormer 4:22	155:8	92:14 96:10 97:3	95:6 102:2 117:8
147:2,2 158:5,5	substantial 8:17	97:14,19 101:23	122:19 136:21
stout 22:25	22:25 25:19	103:13,23 104:3	140:17
strength 24:4,17	substantiate 24:2	105:16,21 106:12	surface 23:10
stress 72:24	28:7	109:4,7 110:5,16	surfaces 23:14
stricken 73:3,12	substantiated 30:5	112:24 115:22	surrounding
strictly 104:19	157:24	118:7 122:9	154:21
strike 46:18	substantiation	123:16 125:23	survive 26:6 29:8
striking 73:16	21:17 24:10 27:10	128:10 129:4	suzanne 5:16
79:19	27:19 52:12 86:3	133:3,3,7,13	swan 3:16 126:2,2
stringent 128:2	108:18	137:19,24 138:4	switzer 2:8 11:3,5
strobe 16:13	substitution 67:16	138:12,15,23	19:15 20:3,6,8,19
strong 6:19	substitutions 67:3	139:7 140:14	23:23,25 26:9
strongly 69:6	67:5 74:7,20	141:7,12 143:18	29:24 30:1
75:18	subtle 94:10	143:20 144:11,14	synopsis 151:24
structural 22:3	suddenly 50:1	144:17 145:8,23	system 16:13,24
24:16 27:2 99:1	sufficient 21:24	146:3,8,16,18,24	46:2,3 93:16 99:2
101:6 145:3	22:21	147:3 148:7,13	120:18 121:21
structure 28:4	suitability 72:7	149:25 150:19	124:16 125:13
study 157:7	suitable 98:22	152:8,19,25	127:13,14 137:15
sub 101:6	100:3	153:20 154:9	147:7,19
subject 46:11	sumar 2:25 72:14	155:13,16 157:3	systems 6:19,20,22
130:3	72:14,21,24 79:16	157:11 158:6,12	6:24 7:6,8,18,21
subjected 85:8,23	79:16 91:13,14	158:23 160:10	7:24 8:2,5 9:1,5
143:25 144:24	summary 23:20	162:10,25 163:14	9:20 11:12,13
subjecting 142:21	67:21	164:5	13:8,12 14:1 31:5
			31:18,21 45:22,25

[systems - thank]

	I		
66:17 111:6,6,23	tech 18:9 19:20	tell 35:4 155:23	90:17 93:18
112:2 113:1	31:11 61:6 81:11	telling 139:6	127:19
115:14 117:15	81:11 111:13	tells 83:16	testing 52:24
120:13 121:16,23	technical 1:2 5:3,9	temperature	60:25 66:11 68:20
121:24 122:14	7:20,23 8:1,1,6,15	22:10 23:8,18	71:3,9,11,14,18,21
127:19 128:19,22	8:25 9:18 10:4,17	27:5 98:16,17,21	72:2,4,5 75:17,21
134:4,25 135:4	11:6,12,24 12:5,15	99:3 101:16	83:5,24 93:9,10
147:4,5	12:20 13:1,5,8,11	103:22 104:5,20	96:3 98:15 103:14
t	13:20,25 14:4,6,11	104:21	105:1,4 106:14
tab 20:17 32:2	14:21 15:24 17:2	temperatures	109:16 120:5,7,12
62:2 82:4,5 112:7	17:4,12,16,18,22	22:18	121:20 130:23
135:9	17:25 18:2 19:13	temporal 16:19	134:4,24 135:3
table 18:20 55:12	19:20 20:9 28:8	ten 110:22	136:5 153:22
101:20 149:8	31:4,11,17,23 44:2	tenability 53:24	tests 50:2 60:24
150:5,6,8,12,25	51:16 60:23 61:6	tenets 116:13	61:18 65:8,25
150.5,0,8,12,25	61:18 72:15 77:22	tenure 20:4 61:10	68:12 72:16 74:4
161:21 162:5,16	81:3,11,20,25 99:8	term 146:17	74:4 90:17 96:18
table.' 151:22	111:5,13,23	147:13	99:21
tabled 105:7	113:16,18,24	terms 45:11 59:8	texas 61:1
tabled 103.7 take 5:11 28:5	114:14 121:5,22	98:15 101:19	text 19:24 20:1
49:17 65:20	122:3 123:23	terry 4:10 139:2,2	30:17,18 36:15
103:20 109:12	134:3 135:5	147:11,11 151:15	42:6,8 48:5,6
110:21 111:19	153:20 154:2,6,23	151:15	59:21,22 76:13,15
	157:24 164:4	test 18:22 49:1,2	80:18,20 89:7,9
151:21 157:12,20 159:21	technically 71:15	50:8 61:21 64:17	92:7,9 95:14
taken 37:19 54:10	71:20 109:15	65:8,21 66:17,18	97:14,17 105:16
110:24	technicians 10:21	67:4,17 68:9,10,15	105:18 110:6,8
	technologies 6:1	70:21 71:24 72:9	111:16 118:7,9
talk 87:9 158:12	13:17 116:24	73:24 74:10,16,17	133:8,10 141:8,9
talking 39:17 58:5	119:12,20 120:10	74:24 75:19,20	141:13,14 145:17
58:7 68:20 103:3 tall 64:18	120:23	77:24 78:1 83:5	148:8,10,14,15
	technology 6:22	86:19 94:9,11	149:9,10 151:1
tanner 3:13	120:2,6 121:2,10	95:25 96:17 99:7	152:3,20,20,22
118:23,24 119:8	125:4,6 126:9	99:24 103:4	153:1,2 158:24,25
119:10 120:21	127:8 128:6 129:7	107:17 120:4	160:11,12 161:25
130:9,9	129:8,19 130:13	125:20 136:17	162:25 163:15
tanner's 121:14	ted 2:11 26:15,16	tested 45:25 46:4	thank 9:8,21,21,23
task 51:17 53:19	27:22	51:23,25 64:23	10:23 11:16,22
66:19,21 70:23	telecommunicati	65:7,9,10,11 66:1	14:18 15:25 16:1
103:15 136:10,12	14:7	66:18 67:6 68:18	20:5,19 23:23
138:20 139:11		68:19 70:9 74:21	25:3 26:7 28:9,10
144:4			

[thank - time]

29:21,22 30:12,20	112:10,17 115:17	104:5 107:22	128:10 136:3
31:16 32:5 33:10	115:19 117:8,21	109:11,14,16	151:3 156:14
33:15,25 36:3,4,6	117:22 118:23	111:13 124:4	think 26:11 38:13
38:4 39:5,7,9 40:8	119:1,8 121:11,12	125:20,21 143:4	41:1,14 74:5
40:9,17 41:4,6,18	122:6 123:18,19	147:20 149:8,12	96:15 103:20
41:19 42:1,18	125:24 127:3,5	155:20 160:23	114:23 116:12,20
44:14,15,17 45:3,4	128:11,12,14	163:10	129:16 132:16
46:15 47:18,19,25	129:1,19 130:7	there's 23:7 38:12	138:19 140:16,20
48:16,22 51:9,11	131:8 132:1,3,17	51:7 64:7,14,22	149:23 152:4,6
51:13 54:20 55:20	133:4,21,22	65:15 66:6 70:10	153:24 157:4
55:21,23 57:4,5,7	134:23 135:11,19	73:10 77:7 88:9	162:14
57:21,22,24 58:19	136:22,23,25	93:1 102:7 122:25	thinner 25:16,21
58:20 59:15,16,25	137:1,16,17 138:9	122:25 124:2,11	29:3,10 39:23
60:14 61:12,13	138:11,24,25	126:13,22,25	this.' 154:7
62:4,5 63:22,23	140:9,10,23,24	144:23 152:1	thought 28:19
66:12,13 68:1,2	141:2 142:10,16	153:20 156:16,18	122:15
69:22,23 70:15,16	143:21,22,24	157:19,22,23,23	thousands 64:22
72:11,12,23 73:18	144:7,9,13 145:8	161:25	three 16:19 22:5
73:20 74:12 75:12	145:10 146:4,6,12	thermal 10:3	55:2,13 58:11
75:14,24 76:1,8,19	146:13,24,25	14:10 20:24 90:23	73:21 112:13
77:7 78:3,4,15,16	147:9,22,23 148:2	124:11	113:15 116:8
79:4,5,13,14,21,22	148:19,22 149:4	they'll 15:7	125:5,19 126:1
80:4,5,12,13,22	149:25 150:1,3,16	they're 23:19 34:6	129:20 130:24
81:19 82:6,13,17	150:17,21 151:13	38:22,22 39:2,2,3	131:9 134:12,15
82:19 84:13,14,21	152:9,14 153:8,11	43:14 47:4,5,17	148:6
86:12 87:8 88:2,4	154:10,11,13	122:20 126:24	threshold 122:2
88:15,16,20,23	155:9,10 156:24	thick 24:5	throw 151:8
89:3,11,20,23 90:4	157:12,14,16	thickness 24:19	thrust 28:5
90:24 91:1,7,13,20	158:3,15,18 159:3	27:9 35:12	time 5:24 8:17
92:1,2,14,23 93:1	159:21 162:1,5,7	thin 35:11	13:18 15:12 18:15
93:8 95:3,4 96:7	162:20 164:2,4	thing 30:1 38:23	24:12,25 29:5
97:4,5,10 98:4	thanking 6:4	56:3 83:3 84:1	38:17 41:25 44:20
100:20,21,24	thanks 14:14 20:5	93:9 98:15 99:20	47:24 50:6 92:11
101:21 102:20,21	61:11	104:19 123:9	98:16,16,20
102:23 103:9,10	that's 23:3 27:15	128:9	101:16 103:21
103:24,25 104:13	34:7 43:21 44:4	things 28:21 35:20	104:4,20,21 105:8
104:14 105:12	44:13 45:7 46:17	39:4 49:12 55:2	105:9 106:13,14
106:1,4,10 107:23	58:17 65:17,17	56:3 58:16 79:9	106:17,19,25
107:25 108:2	73:2,6 83:15	104:6,18,23 115:9	107:2,2,4,9,11,12
109:1,6,18,19	90:24 98:21	116:16,18 126:11	107:16,16,21,23
110:2 111:21	101:20 103:7	126:22 127:11,21	108:4,15,21 109:9

[time - unchanged]

100 10 17 100 7			101111010-1-
109:13,17 128:7	touching 142:23	truly 95:9 103:1	106:14,18 107:17
136:1,1 139:7	143:17 144:22	144:21 154:3	107:19 108:23
140:9 154:8	145:1,2	trust 125:19 129:9	109:5,11,18,25
times 16:12 28:25	tough 130:3	try 10:20 15:19	110:8 112:13
58:11 152:6	139:13	26:2,3,21 45:2	118:9 133:10
tlc 138:11 144:13	tower 64:19	64:18 65:4 67:8	135:14,15 139:1
148:19 153:9	tps 25:9 28:16	82:21 110:14	141:10,15 146:5
today 6:13,18 8:20	traction 53:15	138:20,21	147:10 148:10,15
11:22,25 17:9	trade 49:2	trying 27:14 39:25	150:24 151:14
18:11 45:17 102:5	trades 5:20	52:16 56:20	152:23 153:2
114:13,18 121:6,8	traffic 12:5	136:17 143:6	156:14 157:6
125:7 132:25	train 44:20	151:19,20,21	159:1 160:12
133:20 134:1,2	trained 129:11	155:6 157:21	161:11 163:16
145:25	trains 35:5 43:5	tunnel 9:18 56:6	type 17:3 27:11
today's 18:6,14	55:4 58:14	81:20 99:1	34:23 36:16 38:24
164:3,6	trainway 56:6	tunneling 105:3	43:4,13 45:13
told 156:7 162:14	trainways 50:17	tunnels 52:15,22	49:20 56:23 59:6
tomorrow 133:20	52:14,15,22 54:9	59:5 81:3,23	147:5
164:8	56:17 57:3	98:19,22 100:1,2	types 25:1 36:12
tone 16:11,22	trans 127:16	108:8	36:23 37:23 40:2
tones 16:12	transcribed 1:24	turn 14:23 15:22	40:5,25 44:22
top 4:4 135:14,16	165:4	46:16 115:3	67:4,19,23 73:13
135:16,25 137:1	transcriber's	turns 130:13	73:15 78:11
topic 26:22	165:1	twenty 142:1	typically 17:21
tops 126:13	transcript 1:1 5:2	twice 58:11	109:14
top's 139:7	15:15	two 21:23 25:6	u
total 51:22 100:14	transform 49:11	28:3,10 35:8 38:8	ul 39:16,16,16,21
102:10 116:20	transformation	41:7 42:8,14	44:4 45:21 49:3
touch 30:15,17,19	49:13	46:22 48:7,13	51:23 56:23
42:4,8 48:3,5,7	transit 9:20 13:25	49:20 51:19 52:1	104:24 120:5
59:19,23 76:12,14	31:5,17,21	54:11,24 55:9	104.24 120.3
76:16 80:17,19,21	transmission	57:23 58:11,25	130:23 136:11
89:6,8,9 92:5,7,9	49:13 99:5	59:23 63:22 67:12	ultimately 27:16
97:13,16,17	transportation	67:13 76:15 80:20	um 81:19
105:15,19 110:4,8	46:6 99:1	80:23 82:9 85:9	unable 24:2
118:6,8,9 133:6,8	treated 67:23	87:11 89:9,16	unanimous 45:16
133:10 141:6,8,10	77:17 78:11,23	91:12 92:19 93:11	85:10 88:11
148:6,8,10 152:18	115:14	94:16 96:11	uncertainty 75:6,7
152:21,23 158:22	tried 56:18	100:12,16 101:17	75:8
158:24 159:1	true 66:2,5 68:13	101:24 104:1,22	
162:24 163:15,17	165:14	105:6,18,25	unchanged 144:3

[underground - vote]

underground 56:6	unit 119:22 122:21	156:10	veritext 1:19
56:17 57:3	123:8	users 35:4 39:18	versed 109:15
understand 28:25	units 123:6	44:25 149:17	versus 52:5 53:20
62:24 96:15 124:2	university 12:18	151:2	54:6,9 136:6
126:8 151:19	unjustified 74:7	usgsa 147:2 158:5	155:6
understanding	unknowingly	usually 23:16	vertical 64:17
71:13 126:6	75:21	25:19 39:23	vessels 9:7 10:5
understands 38:14	unknown 75:5	utilities 113:8	13:2,6 14:4
understood	80:2 138:18	114:1,16 116:19	veteran 129:22
151:25	unlimited 123:10	utilities' 115:8	vice 116:4
underwriters	unnecessary 36:1	utility 113:11	victor 4:10 139:2,2
136:10	36:19 44:7 45:23	114:3	147:11,11 151:15
underys 26:24	unsafe 100:17	utilize 22:8	151:15
27:7	unsuitable 27:5	utilized 37:16	victoria 9:17
unfolded 115:19	untrue 53:13	utilizing 22:11	video 15:16
unfortunate 136:2	unwilling 114:2	uv 127:15	viewpoints 65:15
unfortunately	updated 5:25	uws 9:17	views 108:6
77:21 114:9	150:6	v	violate 75:22
124:24	updating 96:2	v 17:20	virginia 13:1
unidentified 2:5	uphold 72:8	valid 75:9 161:11	127:7 132:5
2:20	urge 72:7 74:10	validate 157:23	visiting 28:24
uniform 27:16	79:4 80:3 91:19	validated 120:2	visual 144:5
unintelligible	103:8 123:16	valley 12:1	154:24
25:11 35:4,24,24	125:23 128:10,25	value 37:1 38:2	visualize 119:21
36:3,21 43:20	138:23 143:17,20	vancouver 13:24	vital 52:8 53:17
44:18 47:14 49:10	145:8 149:25	40:22	voice 113:19,25
49:14 51:4,22,23	154:9 157:11	vapors 83:2 85:8	voices 60:7 111:2
53:10,18 60:1,4,6	158:2	85:23	159:2,6,15,18,23
60:18,20,21 63:8	urging 79:20	various 60:7 69:16	160:1,3,14 163:3
68:21,22 83:11	use 17:2 18:19	77:1,16,19 82:23	163:25
90:22 91:22 93:12	22:3,14 23:15	83:20 84:8 87:19	voltage 34:11 36:9
93:21 94:13,16,22	27:23 28:1 29:9	90:20 136:18	37:9
95:2,16 96:25	30:3 35:10 40:24	vary 115:6	volts 34:12
100:10 107:22	46:7 52:2 66:20	vast 119:20	volunteer 12:1
110:10,11,13	68:11 71:5,17	vehicle 10:21 46:6	volunteers 5:23
131:21 160:6,15	87:23 90:12,12	116:17	vote 17:9 18:23
163:2,19,24	94:18 96:15	vehicular 13:12	19:1,4 28:9 30:13
unintentionally	100:18 107:4	ventilation 52:22	30:15,15,16,18,19
137:7	129:25 154:25	54:1,7	30:22 38:1 42:1,4
unique 123:25	user 34:18 43:23	verify 18:24	42:4,5,7,7,9 47:25
	43:24 47:10		48:3,3,4,5,7 59:17

[vote - wires]

59:19,19,20,21,22	voting 17:7 18:12	117:7 140:16	40:6 47:2 55:7
59:23 73:18 74:11	18:13,15,18,20	wanting 116:10	56:20 68:19 84:16
75:11 76:8,12,12	19:2,4,5,6,11	wants 109:14	96:19 102:13
76:12,14,16,18	30:19,20 37:3	157:20	103:21,22 107:20
79:11,11,11,20	42:9,10 45:15	waste 154:8	108:15 110:12
80:3,13,17,17,17	48:7,8 54:16	wasting 143:19	116:16 122:12
80:19,21 88:11	59:24,24 60:3,12	water 8:2,4 9:5	125:16,18 127:24
89:4,5,6,6,8,10	60:13 70:20 72:15	74:8 77:18 128:20	129:11 131:23
91:19 92:3,5,5,6,8	80:21,22 85:9	134:4,25 135:3	136:16 139:10
92:10 97:10,13,13	89:10,11 95:8	136:2	145:4 146:18
97:14,16,18 103:9	97:18 102:25	way 6:25 14:22	149:6,12 153:18
105:13,15,15,16	105:19,20 108:22	25:23 29:18 30:6	153:24 157:21
105:17,17,19	110:16 118:11	39:20 65:6 68:14	159:4
110:2,4,5,5,6,7,9	133:12 141:11,11	71:9 83:3 115:15	we've 14:20 25:15
110:15 113:18	148:11,12 152:23	136:17 137:24	29:15 78:22 79:2
114:13 117:2	152:24 159:20,24	139:11 140:5	113:13 117:16
118:4,6,6,6,8,10	160:8,8,9 163:17	163:9,13	129:15,16 133:1
123:17 124:5	163:21	ways 28:19 82:23	138:3,20 150:24
127:2 129:1 130:7	voting's 76:17	84:8	154:4
133:4,6,7,7,9,11	92:14 118:11	weaken 26:2	what's 74:1
134:10 138:1	133:12	web 82:5,5	102:12 139:11
140:7 141:4,4,6,6	w	website 19:19	144:21,21 152:5
141:7,9,10,12	wait 15:2 60:10	31:10 61:5 81:9	white 143:10
143:5 145:19	walk 28:20	95:17 111:11	146:20
147:22 148:4,4,6,7	walking 91:21	134:21 146:21	who's 111:20
148:7,9,11,13	122:21	webster 28:2	wide 37:16
151:11 152:16,16	walkways 122:22	weight 22:7,12,24	william 13:4,5
152:18,19,19,21	wall 22:2 25:16	142:22 147:6	willing 156:8
152:21,23,25	29:4,10 61:22	weird 93:9	157:7
158:2,20,20,22,22	71:10 78:24	welcome 5:8 9:12	win 114:13
158:23,24 159:1,5	want 14:21 16:6	went 27:14 45:5	winner 9:13
159:13,25 160:10	25:7 30:11 55:1	142:22 156:2	wire 40:21 52:15
162:22,22,24,24	72:1,24 74:1	wentworth 157:17	53:2 55:24 56:8
162:25 163:14,14	84:15,20 87:9	we'd 126:4	56:24 58:2,3,9,12
163:16,17,22	91:21 95:3 96:20	we'll 60:9 62:19	58:13
voted 138:15	97:1,1,2 104:11	92:11 109:4	wires 34:12 36:10
144:17	109:8 131:11	110:22 112:12	37:9 48:25 49:7
votes 17:4 18:1	132:23 146:19	118:10 133:11	50:2,24,25 51:2,14
67:7 101:17	147:18 164:4	we're 5:7 30:5	51:21 52:25 53:12
137:16 155:9	wanted 15:20	34:23 35:19 38:16	54:13 55:7,19
	57:14 72:18 104:4	38:23 39:17,25	

[wiring - '86]

	1.40.00	
wiring 52:17 53:9	works 140:22	year's 5:12
113:8	world 72:4 117:18	york 11:6 12:12
wisconsin 21:1	worse 151:10	13:11 19:16 31:7
wish 30:16,17 42:5	worthy 8:21	31:7 81:6
42:7 48:3,5 59:20	write 74:19	yotta 118:24
59:21 76:12,14	writing 65:16	119:16,21
80:17,19 89:6,8	written 29:18	young 104:23
91:4 92:6,8 97:13	95:13 123:9 127:8	105:2
97:16 105:15,17	wrong 58:10	you're 15:9,14,16
110:5,7 118:6,8	wrote 87:14	15:18 19:10 25:13
124:6 133:7,9	www.nfpa.org	28:11,12,14 54:22
141:7,9 148:7,9	20:18 62:4 112:9	56:6 58:5,7,8,9
152:19,21 158:22	135:10	117:4 131:3,4
158:24 162:24	www.nfpa.orgdoc	132:11 151:6
163:14,15	32:4	159:19
withdraw 114:22	X	you've 157:3
withdrawal 63:21	xps 67:19 73:6	Z
withdrawing	-	zero 67:7 127:20
63:13	y 12.10	•
women 114:5	yale 12:18	. 20 2 02 15
won't 47:17 95:18	yeah 25:8 28:15	, 28:2 83:15
wood 77:17	44:17 56:25 60:11	107:22
wool 22:9	63:3 77:3 87:18	'84 24:9
word 70:10 149:16	104:25 117:10	'85 24:11
149:23	149:5,20 159:21	'86 24:11 28:8
wording 66:8,9	year 105:6 119:14	
words 27:7 49:17	123:24 129:22	
63:16 126:6	156:5	
130:11	year. ' 149:19	
work 7:15 26:22	years 9:9,22 10:24	
28:8 39:10 60:4	11:17 14:20 24:1	
70:23 72:9 114:12	25:11 28:22 39:11	
139:11 151:6	50:1 62:23 64:20	
worked 40:21	66:15,21 68:1	
66:21 143:8	70:24 71:21 72:9	
159:11	78:14 103:15	
working 18:12,24	113:14,15,15	
39:25 45:2 60:6	116:8 119:11	
92:13 110:12	125:5 155:3	
159:4,7,9,12,16	years' 161:23	