	2.3.4 ASTM Publications.
	ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, P.O. Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959.
	ASTM C1166, Standard Test Method for Flame Propagation of Dense and Cellular Elastomet <u>Elastomeric_</u> Gaskets and Accessories, 2006 (2016).
	ASTM D2724, Standard Test Methods for Bonded, Fused, and Laminated Apparel Fabrics, 2019.
	ASTM D3574, Standard Test Methods for Flexible Cellular Materials — Slab, Bonded, and Molded Urethane Foams, 2017.
	ASTM D3675, Standard Test Method for Surface Flammability of Flexible Cellular Materials Using a Radiant Heat Energy Source, <u>2019</u> <u>2021</u> .
	ASTM D7568, Standard Specification for Polyethylene-Based Structural-Grade Plastic Lumbe for Outdoor Applications, 2017.
	ASTM E84, Standard Test Method for Surface Burning Characteristics of Building Materials, 2020 2021.
/	ASTM E119, Standard Test Methods for Fire Tests of Building Construction and Materials, 20.
	ASTM E136, Standard Test Method for Assessing Combustibility of Materials Using a Vertical Tube Furnace at 750°C, 2019a.
	ASTM E162, Standard Test Method for Surface Flammability of Materials Using a Radiant He Energy Source, 2016.
	ASTM E648, Standard Test Method for Critical Radiant Flux of Floor-Covering Systems Using Radiant Heat Energy Source, 2019a e1.
	ASTM E662, Standard Test Method for Specific Optical Density of Smoke Generated by Solic Materials, 2019 <u>2021</u> .
	ASTM E814, Standard Test Method for Fire Tests of Penetration Firestop Systems, 2013a (2017).
	ASTM E1354, Standard Test Method for Heat and Visible Smoke Release Rates for Materials and Products Using an Oxygen Consumption Calorimeter, 2017.
1	ASTM E1537, Standard Test Method for Fire Testing of Upholstered Furniture, 2016.
ŀ	ASTM E1590, Standard Test Method for Fire Testing of Mattresses, 2017.
	ASTM E2061, Standard Guide for Fire Hazard Assessment of Rail Transportation Vehicles, 2020.
	ASTM E2652, Standard Test Method for Assessing Combustibility of Materials Using a Tube Furnace with a Cone-shaped Airflow Stabilizer, at 750°C, 2018.

Submitter Information Verification

Submitter Full Name: Marcelo Hirschler			
Organization: GBH International			
Street Address:			
City:			
State:			
Zip:			
Submittal Date:	Tue Apr 20 18:23:16 EDT 2021		
Committee:	FKT-AAA		

Committee Statement

Committee Action:	Rejected but see related SR
Resolution:	SR-34-NFPA 130-2021
Statement:	Reference Updates













Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Comment

Section 5.2.6 covers insulation on walls but stations also include spray foam insulation applied to ceilings and insulation applied to pipes or ducts, which are not addressed by the NFPA 130 standard at present. For those applications it is worth going to the building code for protection of spray foam insulation (Section 718.2.1) and for protection in plenums (section 2603.7, similar to the mechanical code (IMC) requirements for protection of insulation for ducts and pipes.

The building code (IBC) addresses fireblocking materials in section 718 and it includes a variety of options, several of which are wood products, which are typically not allowed as exposed materials in stations covered by NFPA 130. This proposal includes simply those options that are among the types of materials that NFPA 130 uses in stations.

The mechanical code (IMC) discusses coverings and linings (meaning insulation) for ducts and contains requirements that the insulation must have a flame spread index of 25 and a smoke developed index of 50 when tested to ASTM E84 and needs to be tested as a system (which the IMC explains that it means that it must be mounted in accordance with ASTM E2231). The IMC also requires testing to ASTM C411 at the temperature to be used in practice.

The IBC building code (Section 2603.7) talks about insulation in plenums and offers 4 options: 25/50 flame spread index/smoke developed index, 75/450 flame spread index/smoke developed index and separation by a thermal barrier or by corrosion resistant steel and 75/450 flame spread index/smoke developed index and separation by concrete. For simplicity, the proposed requirements eliminate testing to ASTM C411 because the temperature in stations is not elevated (although the temperature inside the ducts or pipes may be elevated) and eliminates the separation by concrete, which is not cost effective for pipes or hanging ducts or pipes. The proposal also retains the 450 smoke requirements.

IBC language follows:

718.2.1 Fireblocking materials. Fireblocking shall consist of the following materials:

1. Two-inch (51 mm) nominal lumber.

2. Two thicknesses of 1-inch (25 mm) nominal lumber with broken lap joints.

3. One thickness of 0.719-inch (18.3 mm) wood structural panels with joints backed by 0.719-inch (18.3 mm) wood structural panels.

4. One thickness of 0.75-inch (19.1 mm) particleboard with joints backed by 0.75-inch (19 mm) particleboard.

5. One-half-inch (12.7 mm) gypsum board.

6. One-fourth-inch (6.4 mm) cement-based millboard.

7. Batts or blankets of mineral wool, mineral fiber or other approved materials installed in such a manner as to be securely retained in place.

8. Cellulose insulation tested in the form and manner intended for use to demonstrate its ability to remain in place and to retard the spread of fire and hot gases.

9, Mass timber complying with Section 2304.11.

2603.7 Foam plastic in plenums as interior finish or interior trim. Foam plastic in plenums used as interior wall or ceiling finish, or interior trim, shall exhibit a flame spread index of 25 or less and a smoke-developed index of 50 or less when tested in accordance with ASTM E84 or UL 723 at the maximum thickness and density intended for use, and shall be tested in accordance with NFPA 286 and meet the acceptance criteria of Section 803.1.1. As an alternative to testing to NFPA 286, the foam plastic shall be approved based on tests conducted in accordance with Section 2603.9. Exceptions:

1. Foam plastic in plenums used as interior wall or ceiling finish, or interior trim, shall exhibit a flame spread index of 75 or less and a smoke-developed index of 450 or less when tested in accordance with ASTM E84 or UL 723 at the maximum thickness and density intended for use, where it is separated from the airflow in the plenum by a thermal barrier complying with Section 2603.4. 2. Foam plastic in plenums used as interior wall or ceiling finish, or interior trim, shall exhibit a flame spread index of 75 or less and a smoke-developed index of 450 or less when tested in accordance with ASTM E84 or UL 723 at the maximum thickness and density intended for use, where it is spread index of 75 or less and a smoke-developed index of 450 or less when tested in accordance with ASTM E84 or UL 723 at the maximum thickness and density intended for use, where it is separated from the airflow in the plenum by corrosion-resistant steel having a base metal thickness of not less than 0.0160 inch (0.4 mm).

3. Foam plastic in plenums used as interior wall or ceiling finish, or interior trim, shall exhibit a flame spread index of 75 or less and a smoke-developed index of 450 or less when tested in accordance

with ASTM E84 or UL 723 at the maximum thickness and density intended for use, where it is separated from the airflow in the plenum by not less than a 1-inch (25 mm) thickness of masonry or concrete.

IMC language follows:

604.3 Coverings and linings. Duct coverings and linings, including adhesives where used, shall have a flame spread index not more than 25 and a smoke-developed index not more than 50, when tested in accordance with ASTM E84 or UL 723, using the specimen preparation and mounting procedures of ASTM E2231. Duct coverings and linings shall not flame, glow, smolder or smoke when tested in accordance with ASTM C411 at the temperature to which they are exposed in service. The test temperature shall not fall below 250°F (121°C). Coverings and linings shall be listed and labeled.

Related Item

• CI46

Submitter Information Verification

Submitter Full Name: Marcelo Hirschler				
Organization: GBH International				
Street Address:				
City:				
State:				
Zip:				
Submittal Date:	Tue Apr 27 12:58:04 EDT 2021			
Committee:	FKT-AAA			

Committee Statement

Committee Action:	Rejected but see related SR
Resolution:	<u>SR-9-NFPA 130-2021</u>
Statement:	Building codes generally permit the use of fire blocking materials that are not appropriate for use in stations therefore additional requirements for insulation was added. The committee chose not to address plenums because they are covered appropriately by the mechanical and building codes.

	omment No. 30-NFPA 130-2021 [Section No. 5.3.1.3]		
5.3.1.3* P	assenger Rail Stations.		
For passer	nger rail stations, the following shall apply to design of the means of egress:		
(<u>1</u>) Where a station platform is separated from the station building as described in 5.1.1.1 platform occupants shall be permitted to egress through the station building in accordance the provisions of 5.3.9.			
(2) The provisions in Section 5.3.3.7 for modifying evacuation times and travel distances sh apply where compliance with the requirements of Section 5.3.3 is not achievable due to system operations and configuration.			
atement of P	roblem and Substantiation for Public Comment		
commuter and AJHs (and sor Section 5.3.3	are intended to address problems that are often encountered in applying Chapter 5 to I passsenger rail. Although Section 5.3.3.7 is an existing clause within the standard, netimes owners) are reluctant to accept variations from the prescriptive requirements i and the porposed language provides emphasis that such variations are permitted with igineering analysis. Please refer also to proposed revisions to A.5.3.1.3.		
	Related Item		
First Revisio	n No. 82-NFPA 130-2020 [New Section after 5.3.1.2]		
ubmitter Info	rmation Verification		
Quilansitten Fui			
Organization	I Name: Katherine Fagerlund EKF Consulting		
Street Addres			
City:			
State:			
Zip:			
Submittal Dat	e: Tue May 11 15:36:15 EDT 2021		
Committee:	FKT-AAA		
	tement		
ommittee Sta			
Committee Sta Committee Action:	Rejected but see related SR		
Committee	Rejected but see related SR <u>SR-21-NFPA 130-2021</u>		





Committee Action:	Rejected but see related SR
Resolution:	<u>SR-12-NFPA 130-2021</u>
Statement:	Editorial correction to clarify that the material should meet one of the items in the list as opposed to the non mandatory "such as" statement.

Public Comment No. 35-NFPA 130-2021 [Sections 6.4.1.3, 6.4.1.4] Sections 6.4.1.3, 6.4.1.4 6.4.1.3 Access to the elevated trainway shall be provided as follows: Access shall be from stations or mobile ladder equipment on the- adjacent roadways* Where the distance between points of access as described in {. 4.1.3 exceeds 762 m (2500 ft), additional provisions for access at intervals not exceeding that distance shall be provided. 6.4.1.4 Access to open-cut trainways shall be provided as follows: Access shall be from stations or roadways adjacent to the trainwa* Where the configuration of an open-cut trainway prevents or impedes access for firefighting, provisions shall be made to facilitate firefighter access to that section of trainway at intervals not exceeding 762 m (2500 ft. Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Comment Transit systems often extend beyond suburban areas to link communities through rural regions where compliance with prescriptive distances for access is impossible. Additionally, alternative means for acess (such as high-rail vehicles) are not uncommon. Prescriptive distances for access located in the text of the standard has impeded the ability to negotiate reasonable solutions for system designers. Therefore, the proposal is to relocate prescriptive distances to Annex A language. However, regardless of any arbitrary precibed distance, the AHJ in discussion with the fire life safety committee will determine the needs for access to surface and elevated portions of the guideway. Refer also to proposed revisions for A.6.4.1.3 and A.6.3.1.4. Related Item • First Revision No. 41-NFPA 130-2020 [Sections 6.4.1.2, 6.4.1.3, 6.4.1.4, 6.4.1.5, 6.4.1.6, 6.4.1...] **Submitter Information Verification** Submitter Full Name: Katherine Fagerlund **Organization: EKF** Consulting **Street Address:** City: State: Zip: **Submittal Date:** Tue May 11 16:03:45 EDT 2021 Committee: **FKT-AAA Committee Statement** Committee Rejected but see related SR Action: Resolution: SR-13-NFPA 130-2021

Statement: Statement on Main Body Sections:

The legacy 762 m (2500 ft) requirement was retained to facilitate enforcement in the absence of a true performance basis for establishing the interval distance. The need to respond to emergencies exists system-wide and the access provisions were consolidated and further clarified for fenced-off surface rail. Language for alternative approval was added to facilitate flexibility where constraints preclude compliance. Surface rail without dedicated fences was not further restricted due to the complexities of systems, particularly with passenger rail, that operate in range of potential geographical landscapes and remote regions.

Statement on Annex Sections:

The annex is updated to include potential considerations for stakeholders evaluating conditions where access points vary from 762 m. The additional information incorporates factors that may be relevant in substantiating a change in the access distance for portions of the system that may be less accessible or more remote due to geographical or other conditions.

FPA	omment No. 5-NFPA 130-2021 [Section No. 7.5]				
7.5 Testin	ıg.				
7.5.1*	7.5.1*				
devices) sl the require	Equipment used for emergency ventilation (including fans, dampers, and airflow control devices) shall be designed and tested for the application and <u>also</u> approved in accordance with the requirements of a recognized standard for the <u>by the authority having jurisdiction in</u> accordance with requirements applicable to the type of equipment to be installed.				
7.5.2*					
measured	e (or cold) airflows provided by the installed mechanical ventilation system shall be during commissioning to confirm that the airflows meet the requirements determined ineering analysis.				
tatement of F	Problem and Substantiation for Public Comment				
equipment mu	for the First Revision states that there is no applicable standard. Therefore the ust be designed and tested but also approved by the ahj. In the absence of an applicable the ahj who must determine what applicable requirements need to be used.				
ubmitter Info	rmation Verification				
Submitter Fu	Il Name: Marcelo Hirschler				
Organization	GBH International				
Street Addres	SS:				
City:					
State:					
Zip: Submittal Dat	te: Tue Apr 13 19:39:55 EDT 2021				
Committee:	FKT-AAA				
ommittee Sta	atement				
Committee Action:	Rejected but see related SR				
Resolution:	SR-14-NFPA 130-2021				
Statement:	The testing refers to factory testing as per the existing Annex language, and the main body is edited to make that clearer.				
	Factory testing criteria, procedures and results review are not typically the domain of the AHJ and are usually under the responsible design entity. The need to establish acceptable equipment performance in factory and before system testing is made clear.				



	Eurotian of		Performance)	
<u>Category</u>	Function of <u>Material</u>	Test Method	<u>Criteria</u>		
Cushioning	All individual flexible cushioning materials used in seat cushions,	ASTM D3675	/ _S = 25 ASTM E662	D _S (1.5) = 100	
	mattresses, mattress pads, armrests, crash pads, and grab rail padding ^{a—e} <u>a</u> <u>–e</u>			_	D _S (4.0) = 175
Fabrics	Seat upholstery, mattress ticking and covers, curtains, draperies, window shades, and woven seat cushion	14 CFR 25, Appendix F, Part I (vertical test)	Flame time = 10 sec -	Burn length = 6 in.	
	suspensions ^{a_c} a_ <u>c</u> ,f–h	_	ASTM E662	D _S (4.0) = 200	
Other vehicle components	Seat and mattress frames, wall and ceiling lining and panels, seat and toilet shrouds, toilet	ASTM E162	/ _S = 35		
	seats, trays and other tables, partitions, shelves, opaque windscreens, combustible signage,	_	ASTM E662	D _S (1.5) = 100	
	end caps, roof housings, articulation bellows, exterior shells, nonmetallic skirts, battery case material, and component boxes and covers ^a ,b,i–k		-	-	D _S (4.0) = 200
	-	Thermal and acoustical insulation ^{a,b}	ASTM E162	I _S = 25	٦
		_	-	ASTM E662	D _S (4.0) = 100
	-	HVAC ducting ^{a,b}	ASTM E162	/ _S = 25	-
		_	-	ASTM E662	D _S (4.0) = 100
	-	Floor covering ^{b,k,I}	ASTM E648	CRF = 5 kW/m ²	

<u>Category</u>	Function of Material	Test Method	Performance	<u>)</u>		
		_	-	ASTM E662	D _S (1.5) = 100	
			-	-	_	D _S (4.0) = 200
	-	Light diffusers, windows, and transparent plastic windscreens ^{<u>a</u> , b,i}	ASTM E162	/ _S = 100		200
	-	_	-	ASTM E662	D _S (1.5) = 100	Ds
			_	-		(4.0) = 200
	-	Adhesives and sealants ^{a,b,p}	ASTM E162	/ _S = 35	1	
	_	_	-	ASTM E662	D _S (1.5) = 100D _S	
			-	-	-	(4.0) = 200
Elastomers ^{a,b,i,j}	Window gaskets, door nosings, intercar diaphragms, seat cushion suspension	ASTM C1166	Flame propagation = 100 mm (4 in.)			200
	diaphragms, and roof mats	-	ASTM E662	D _S (1.5) = 100 -	D _S (4.0) = 200	
Wire and cable	All		See 8.6.7.1.1.1 through 8.6.7.1.3.			-
Structural components ^m	Flooring, ⁿ other ^o	ASTM E119	Pass			
^a See 8.4.1.1.						
^b See 8.4.1.2.						
^c See 8.4.1.3.						
^d See 8.4.1.4.						

^eSee 8.4.1.5. ^fSee 8.4.1.6. ^gSee 8.4.1.7. ^hSee 8.4.1.8. ⁱSee 8.4.1.9. ^jSee 8.4.1.10. ^kSee 8.4.1.10. ^kSee 8.4.1.12. ^mSee 8.4.1.13. ⁿSee 8.4.1.14. ^oSee 8.4.1.15.

Additional Proposed Changes

^pSee 8.4.1.16.

File Name	Description	<u>Approved</u>
NFPA_Comment_on_Table_8.4.1_Lighting_Diffusers_1.pdf	Comment regarding lighting diffusers, Table 8.4.1	
	•	

Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Comment

Clarification of the requirement for flame dripping would resolve the apparent conflict between the Table 8.4.1 requirements and the ASTM E162 test method criteria.

Related Item

• Table 8.4.1

Submitter Information Verification

Submitter Full Name: John GregoryOrganization:JacobsAffiliation:NFPA memberStreet Address:City:State:Zip:Submittal Date:Tue Mar 02 12:56:08 EST 2021Committee:FKT-AAA

Committee Statement

Committee
Action:AcceptedResolution:SR-16-NFPA 130-2021

Statement: Lighting diffusers should not exhibit any flaming running or flaming dripping therefore note "a" has been added to the relevant section in table 8.4.1 as this is required for reporting "Is" value in ASTM E162.



8.4.1.10

Materials used to fabricate miscellaneous, discontinuous small parts (such as knobs, rollers, fasteners, clips, grommets, and small electrical parts) where the surface area of any individual small part is less than 100 cm^2 (16 in.²) in end use configuration and that will not contribute materially to fire growth in end use configuration shall comply with either 8.4.1.10.1 or 8.4.1.10.2.

8.4.1.10.1

The materials shall be exempt from flammability and smoke emission performance requirements, provided that an appropriate fire hazard analysis is conducted that addresses the location and quantity of the materials used and the vulnerability of the materials to ignition and contribution to flame spread.

8.4.1.10.2

The materials shall be tested in accordance with ASTM E1354, at an initial test heat flux of 50 kW/m² (4.4 Btu/sec·ft²) in the horizontal orientation with a retainer frame, and shall meet the performance criteria of a 180-second average heat release rate not exceeding 100 kW/m² (8.8 Btu/sec·ft²) and a test average smoke extinction area not exceeding 500 m²/kg (2441.2 ft²/lb).

<u>Materials applicable to this section must be widely separated with few items used in the</u> vehicle. Clusters of individual discontinuous small parts must be evaluated based upon the total weight and volume of the grouping.

Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Comment

In several instances, manufacturers have tried to use this exemption on small parts that are clustered into a single grouping. An example of this is an electrical terminal strip that uses small segments, assembled onto a single rail to form a large cluster of combustible material.

There should be a limit on the total weight and/or quantity per vehicle, and/or the total number of like items used in any single location.

Related Item

Clarification

Submitter Information Verification

John Gregory
Jacobs
Fri Mar 05 15:57:31 EST 2021
FKT-AAA

Committee Sta	tement
Committee Action:	Rejected but see related SR
Resolution:	<u>SR-18-NFPA 130-2021</u>
Statement:	The committee considers that items very close to each other such as in the same compartment or enclosure might create a greater hazard than recognized when tested individually unless they are considered as a group.





Submittal Dat Committee:	e: Tue Apr 13 19:48:30 EDT 2021 FKT-AAA
Committee Sta	tement
Committee Action:	Rejected
Resolution:	Cables that are installed in open stations or open trainways do not pose a significant flame spread and smoke release hazard and do not require additional protection.















PA	
A.5.3.1.3	
	for egress from the platform should consider both egress from a fire on the platform n the station building.
not need to areas of re station buil	ress from the platform during a station building fire, the platform occupant load might b account for train evacuation, and it might be reasonable to consider temporary fuge on the platform. Additionally, for the purposes of applying 5.3.9, where the ding includes separate fire compartments, each compartment is permitted to be a separate building.
trains with consider te	(2) is intended to address systems serving longer commuter and passenger rail long platforms to match. For such configurations, it may also be appropriate to imporary evacuation to platform areas or track areas beyond the platform that would from the fire incident.
atement of P	roblem and Substantiation for Public Comment
	netimes owners) are reluctant to accept variations from the prescriptive requirements and the porposed language provides emphasis that such variations are permitted with ngineering analysis. Refer also to proposed revisions to Section 5.3.1.3.
appropriate er	and the porposed language provides emphasis that such variations are permitted with
appropriate er • First Revision	and the porposed language provides emphasis that such variations are permitted with agineering analysis. Refer also to proposed revisions to Section 5.3.1.3. <u>Related Item</u>
appropriate er • First Revision	and the porposed language provides emphasis that such variations are permitted with agineering analysis. Refer also to proposed revisions to Section 5.3.1.3. Related Item n No. 82 - NFPA 130-2020 [New Section after 5.3.1.2]
appropriate er • First Revision	and the porposed language provides emphasis that such variations are permitted with agineering analysis. Refer also to proposed revisions to Section 5.3.1.3. Related Item I Name: Katherine Fagerlund
appropriate er • First Revision Ibmitter Info Submitter Ful	and the porposed language provides emphasis that such variations are permitted with agineering analysis. Refer also to proposed revisions to Section 5.3.1.3. Related Item I Name: Katherine Fagerlund EKF Consulting
appropriate er • First Revision Ibmitter Infor Submitter Ful Organization:	and the porposed language provides emphasis that such variations are permitted with agineering analysis. Refer also to proposed revisions to Section 5.3.1.3. Related Item I Name: Katherine Fagerlund EKF Consulting
appropriate er • First Revision Ibmitter Infor Submitter Ful Organization: Street Addres	and the porposed language provides emphasis that such variations are permitted with agineering analysis. Refer also to proposed revisions to Section 5.3.1.3. Related Item I Name: Katherine Fagerlund EKF Consulting
appropriate er • First Revision Ibmitter Infor Submitter Ful Organization: Street Addres City:	and the porposed language provides emphasis that such variations are permitted with agineering analysis. Refer also to proposed revisions to Section 5.3.1.3. Related Item In No. 82 - NFPA 130-2020 [New Section after 5.3.1.2] rmation Verification I Name: Katherine Fagerlund EKF Consulting SS:
appropriate er • First Revision Ibmitter Infor Submitter Ful Organization: Street Addres City: State: Zip: Submittal Dat	and the porposed language provides emphasis that such variations are permitted with agineering analysis. Refer also to proposed revisions to Section 5.3.1.3. Related Item In No. 82 - NFPA 130-2020 [New Section after 5.3.1.2] Immation Verification I Name: Katherine Fagerlund EKF Consulting ss:
appropriate er • First Revision Ibmitter Infor Submitter Ful Organization: Street Addres City: State: Zip:	and the porposed language provides emphasis that such variations are permitted with agineering analysis. Refer also to proposed revisions to Section 5.3.1.3. Related Item In No. 82 - NFPA 130-2020 [New Section after 5.3.1.2] rmation Verification I Name: Katherine Fagerlund EKF Consulting SS:
appropriate er • First Revision bmitter Infor Submitter Ful Organization: Street Addres City: State: Zip: Submittal Dat Committee:	and the porposed language provides emphasis that such variations are permitted with agineering analysis. Refer also to proposed revisions to Section 5.3.1.3. Related Item In No. 82 - NFPA 130-2020 [New Section after 5.3.1.2] rmation Verification I Name: Katherine Fagerlund EKF Consulting ss: rue May 11 15:42:19 EDT 2021 FKT-AAA
appropriate er • First Revision Ibmitter Infor Submitter Ful Organization: Street Addres City: State: Zip: Submittal Dat Committee:	and the porposed language provides emphasis that such variations are permitted with agineering analysis. Refer also to proposed revisions to Section 5.3.1.3. Related Item In No. 82 - NFPA 130-2020 [New Section after 5.3.1.2] rmation Verification I Name: Katherine Fagerlund EKF Consulting ss: rue May 11 15:42:19 EDT 2021 FKT-AAA
appropriate er • First Revision Ibmitter Infor Submitter Ful Organization: Street Addres City: State: Zip: Submittal Dat Committee Sta Committee	and the porposed language provides emphasis that such variations are permitted with agineering analysis. Refer also to proposed revisions to Section 5.3.1.3. Related Item n No. 82 - NFPA 130-2020 [New Section after 5.3.1.2] rmation Verification I Name: Katherine Fagerlund EKF Consulting ss:


Action:	
Resolution:	<u>SR-13-NFPA 130-2021</u>
Statement:	Statement on Main Body Sections:
	The legacy 762 m (2500 ft) requirement was retained to facilitate enforcement in the absence of a true performance basis for establishing the interval distance. The need to respond to emergencies exists system-wide and the access provisions were consolidated and further clarified for fenced-off surface rail. Language for alternative approval was added to facilitate flexibility where constraints preclude compliance. Surface rail without dedicated fences was not further restricted due to the complexities of systems, particularly with passenger rail, that operate in range of potential geographical landscapes and remote regions.
	Statement on Annex Sections:
	The annex is updated to include potential considerations for stakeholders evaluating conditions where access points vary from 762 m. The additional information incorporates factors that may be relevant in substantiating a change in the access distance for portions of the system that may be less accessible or more remote due to geographical or other conditions.



Submittal Date: Committee:	Tue Apr 13 20:19:55 EDT 2021 FKT-AAA
Committee State	ement
Committee Action:	Rejected
Resolution:	PC 8 is rejected as the annex provides useful guidance regarding the scope and application of 8.6.7.1.2



Public Comn	nent No. 7-NFPA 130-2021 [Section No. A.8.6.7.1.3]
A.8.6.7.1.3	
requirements for Different system requirements of	roperties of data and communication cables should comply with the <u>r the</u> cable category or <u>with the applicable</u> local electrical requirements. In authorities specify data and communication cables that have specific electrical her than voltage. Some examples of designations for cables potentially used in on vehicles include CAT 5, CAT 5E, CAT 6, CAT 6A, CAT 7, MVB, WTB, RS-485.
atement of Prob	lem and Substantiation for Public Comment
	the cables "should comply with the requirements" of the cable category. The d "requirements" would ensure that the language is clear. The other language matical purposes.
lated Public Co	mments for This Document
Public Comment N <u>Related Ite</u> • FR68	Related CommentRelationshipNo. 11-NFPA 130-2021 [Section No. A.8.6.7.1.3]m
bmitter Informa	tion Verification
Submitter Full Na	me: Marcelo Hirschler
Organization: Street Address: City: State: Zip:	GBH International
Submittal Date:	Tue Apr 13 20:04:04 EDT 2021
Committee:	FKT-AAA
mmittee Statem	ient
Committee Actior	I: Accepted
Resolution:	SR-20-NFPA 130-2021
itesolution.	





Committee Statement

Committee Action:	Accepted
Resolution:	<u>SR-31-NFPA 130-2021</u>
Statement:	The cost benefit assumptions are removed as they should not be a part of the standard.

G.1 Genera	al.
informationa automatic fi	is not a part of the requirements of this NFPA document but is included for al purposes only This annex includes information about the beneficial effects of re detection and onboard fire suppression systems on rail vehicles, as well as on design of rail systems.
atement of Pr	oblem and Substantiation for Public Comment
and their design	information is added that is provides guidance and considerations of onboard systems n, as opposed to limiting it to benefits. Further, the holistic design issues that are noted h level and not quantifed.
	nnex is revisited for the second draft to provide considerations of system design, as nefits and holistic approaches.
Relate	d Item
• FR-89	
bmitter Infor	mation Verification
	mation Verification Name: Andrew Coles
Submitter Full Organization: Street Address	Name: Andrew Coles Senez Consulting Ltd.
Submitter Full Organization: Street Address City:	Name: Andrew Coles Senez Consulting Ltd.
Submitter Full Organization: Street Address City: State:	Name: Andrew Coles Senez Consulting Ltd.
Submitter Full Organization: Street Address City: State: Zip:	Name: Andrew Coles Senez Consulting Ltd.
Submitter Full Organization: Street Address City: State: Zip: Submittal Date	Name: Andrew Coles Senez Consulting Ltd. S: Tue May 11 16:30:08 EDT 2021
Submitter Full Organization: Street Address City: State: Zip:	Name: Andrew Coles Senez Consulting Ltd.
Submitter Full Organization: Street Address City: State: Zip: Submittal Date	Name: Andrew Coles Senez Consulting Ltd. S: Tue May 11 16:30:08 EDT 2021 FKT-AAA
Submitter Full Organization: Street Address City: State: Zip: Submittal Date Committee:	Name: Andrew Coles Senez Consulting Ltd. S: Tue May 11 16:30:08 EDT 2021 FKT-AAA
Submitter Full Organization: Street Address City: State: Zip: Submittal Date Committee: mmittee Stat	Name: Andrew Coles Senez Consulting Ltd. s: P: Tue May 11 16:30:08 EDT 2021 FKT-AAA



A	
Public Com	ment No. 39-NFPA 130-2021 [Section No. G.3.5]
G.3.5 Desig	n Fire Scenarios.
fire growth ar	suppress a fire in a rail vehicle at the fire's incipient stage is essential to reducing ad controlling a fire. If approved by the AHJ, the design fire scenario and the peak rate can be reduced.
statement of Pro	blem and Substantiation for Public Comment
to EN 45545. The	tions is revisted to address the interior design scenarios for testing the vehicle, similar ere is no guidance offered that is helpfull to a designer, system designer, or an AHJ force the standard, although this is non-mandatory language.
Related	Item
• FR-89	
Submitter Inform	ation Verification
Submitter Full N	ame: Andrew Coles
Organization:	Senez Consulting Ltd.
Street Address:	
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Date:	Tue May 11 16:27:40 EDT 2021
Committee:	FKT-AAA
committee State	ment
Committee Action:	Rejected but see related SR
Resolution:	<u>SR-39-NFPA 130-2021</u>
	Section expanded to provide guidance on how the fire safety analysis should be

Public Co	mment No. 37-NFPA 130-2021 [Section No. G.3.6]	
G.3.6 – Des	sign Impact on Other Systems.	
The use of a	an onboard fire suppression system can do the following:	
(1) Limit da	(1) Limit damage to the train, tunnel, and the station it enters	
(2) Reduce	e potential use of station sprinklers	
(3) Reduce	e the impact of designing for fire emergencies on station architecture	
(4) Reduce	e tunnel ventilation capacity requirements	
(5) Improv	e fire safety of vehicles with open gangways	
detection, if sel provides consid <u>Relate</u> • FR-89	f the annex is to illustrate design consideration for onboard fire suppression and lected. Design impacts are not part of those considerations. Further Annex A.4.2.1 derations if suppression systems are provided. and Item	
Submitter Full	Name: Andrew Coles	
Organization:	Senez Consulting Ltd.	
Street Addres	s:	
City:		
State:		
Zip:	Tue New 11 10:00:00 EDT 2001	
Submittal Date Committee:	e: Tue May 11 16:08:22 EDT 2021 FKT-AAA	
Committee Stat	tement	
Committee Action:	Rejected	
Resolution:	The public comment is rejected as the purpose of the annex is not only to illustrate design consideration for onboard fire suppression and detection. The design impact is directly relevant to this annex and should remain.	



H.2.1 - Fire and Life Safety Committee.

The FLSC committee's membership, which includes all the affected agencies, can help ensure that fire/life safety requirements are accounted for in the design, construction, and final operation (revenue service) of the project. However, due to the sensitive nature of the information and decisions shared throughout the course of the fire/life safety process, all those participating in the review of and recommendations for a project should sign a confidentiality agreement. The fire/life safety committee should be the reviewing committee for the fire/life safety considerations of a projects' design and construction operations and should assist in the development of the emergency preparedness plan, including a response and operational requirements program. Note that the FLSC does not have authority over AHJs but provides a forum to resolve conflicts through discussion.

H.2.2 - Coordinating Multiple Agency Responses.

The FLSC also provides a forum for integrating emergency response agency activities. Often, the fire department is the regulatory AHJ; therefore, fire department participation is simplified, as this often includes response for fire, medical, and rescue emergencies. However, other emergency response agencies (law enforcement, EMS, RA, utilities, etc.) should be included in all the relevant discussions and decisions. This inclusion could be significant for rail properties that extend through multiple law enforcement and utility agency jurisdictions.

H.2.3 - FLSC Structure.

H.2.3.1 -

The RA normally assigns their chief safety officer as the FLSC committee chairperson who invites all the AHJ representatives and develops the FLSC structure. The chairperson has the responsibility of ensuring that the FLSC includes members from all the associated fire and life safety agencies.

H.2.3.2 -

Where AHJs choose not to participate, they must provide an officially signed document stating their non-participation in the project to the FLSC chairperson so that they cannot return at a later date to request changes to the project unless they request reinstatement in writing. This should indicate if they will apply their authority on a project. Some AHJs might choose to opt-out of exerting their authority for small, relatively insignificant, or low-impact projects or might defer the authority to another AHJ.

H.2.3.3 –

The FLSC is normally comprised of AHJs, state safety oversight (SSO) agencies, first responder organizations, and recognized international enforcement bodies, as they all might reference this standard. In some cases, there might also be a rail agency that becomes its own authority having jurisdiction. In that case, it is imperative that they follow local codes and standards to ensure compliance with state and local laws.

H.2.3.4 –

With the help of the FLSC chairperson, along with the approval of the RA operator, group members should prepare and issue a charter that establishes the FLSC's scope, clearly defines its roles and responsibilities, establishes methods for conflict resolution, and states the membership status of its members (voting and non-voting). The FLSC must also establish the governing rules for a quorum so that all of its members can vote on all the issues.

H.2.3.5 –

Representatives that are assigned to the FLSC should attend all of the meetings throughout the project's duration. Should that not be feasible, each representative member agency should designate alternates in the early stages of the project. Committee member alternates should attend all of the meetings. Given the potential distances between AHJ offices, tele-meetings might be the most effective method of maintaining meeting dates and times.

H.2.3.6 -

Minutes of meetings and shared documents should be provided to all the participating agencies. An RA-managed, secure internet site should be maintained with authorized access for committee members, alternates, and their supervisors. It is important to present preliminary designs of the project so that members can have a visual understanding of what the project entails, including but not limited to the current and planned track and station alignments corresponding to the jurisdictions crossed.

H.2.3.7 –

Once the FLSC team members and their alternates have been set, a work plan and schedule for future meetings should be established. For new properties or new AHJ representatives in an existing FLSC, it might be necessary to explain the overall project scope, schedule, available RA resources, known AHJ regulations, and fire and life safety systems/concerns.

H.2.3.8 -

For more complex RA properties with simultaneously occurring and potentially interfacing projects, a subcommittee to the FLSC could help to address local project issues. Results from the local project FLSC should be addressed by the full FLSC to integrate the findings across all the projects.

H.2.4 - FLSC Resources.

The FLSC should consider both internal and external resources, such as this standard, other regulations and guidelines, and rail property documents and publications, to assist in resolving conflicts.

RA experts (designers), such as fire protection engineers, architects, traction power specialists, track engineers, mechanical engineers, tunnel operators, etc., are essential resources for specific meetings to provide technical advice to AHJs.

As the project progresses from initial planning through the various other stages of design and construction, the committee should meet with designers and contractors to formulate the best methods for producing a safe and reliable rail station/tunnel, etc. Meetings are also usually required for a train's orientation.

FLSC subcommittees or task groups should be considered for specific issues. They can investigate possible solutions and prepare materials and recommendations for the full FLSC.

Site visits by FLSC members should be arranged to provide a first-hand understanding of any challenges and discuss the resolutions applied based on the committee's discussions and voting.

H.2.5 - Conflicts.

FLSC members should identify regulation conflicts as early in the project's formulation as possible and forward them to the FLSC chairperson for dissemination to all members. Once conflicts are identified, whether they be code conflicts or gaps — i.e., where regulations do not provide clear guidance — the committee should find a method to identify the regulation's intent and document the process to resolve conflicts or provide agreed-upon clarification. The resolution should be distributed to the affected AHJs and included in the design guide, if applicable.

H.2.6 - Level of Compliance.

The RA should assume that they will adopt the most stringent applicable language or, if they adopt a less stringent code or standard, documentation should be provided as to why a less stringent code or standard was adopted. Seeking legal advice prior to the adoption of any regulation is advisable. For example, if this standard is adopted as a design and construction guideline but does not address specific circumstances, language should be considered to address the applicable requirements from other building or fire codes.

H.2.7 - Lead Agency.

As any number of railroad properties pass through multiple jurisdictions with different representative values and regulations, it might be advisable to select one as the lead agency representing the project. Based on the size and complexity of the project, it might be appropriate to establish lead jurisdictions for each critical section/element. For example, for a major tunnel that leads into New York, all the fire departments involved opted to have the New York City Fire Department serve as the lead agency due to its size and expertise. For state safety oversight (SSO) for both New York and New Jersey, New Jersey's SSO was made the lead.

H.2.8 - Design Guide or Technical Requirements.

A design guide must be created while developing the design of a specific project to document the operating facility requirements that will be addressed. The document should include design elements such as architectural guidelines, mechanical guidelines, and fire and life safety guidelines. If a project involves multiple jurisdictions, this document becomes of the utmost importance.

The design guide, as it relates to the applicable fire and life safety regulations, and any local ordinances modified as jointly agreed upon by the AHJs and RA should be established as soon as is practical. In some cases, to reduce the extensive review of multiple, potentially conflicting regulations, a fire and life safety design guide might be developed. This could include related excerpts from the regulations, along with resolutions to conflicts and language to fill regulation gaps. This document offers designers a common ground to ensure their designs meet the RA project's fire and life safety requirements. This can then be the basis for the design work on any projects relative to fire and life safety, such as RA stations.

Although using a single edition of a design guide for the life of an RA is desirable to ensure all the fire and life safety systems are the same, it is not usually possible. Fire and life safety regulations that affect applicability, testing, and maintenance can change as regulations are revised, and projects can be extended or started during a time when new regulations are being adopted. The design guide should be reviewed, updated, and reapproved, and the revised version of the design guide should be issued.

For small changes in regulations, rather than issuing an entirely new design guide, a process for documenting the distribution and receipt of agreed-upon changes should be established as appendix language in the design guide. For example, NFPA occasionally issues Tentative Interim Amendments (TIA) to correct a standard at the request of a Technical Committee.

The design guide, once approved by the AHJ, should not be changed for the specific project under which the design guide was approved unless serious problems with the adopted version of a regulation occur.

If local changes to the design guide are necessary, a document expressly identifying why the change is necessary, specifying the pertinent sections of the applicable regulations, and designating the intent of the change should be signed by the affected parties. This document is often referred to as a letter of concurrence, or LOC.

Even if an FLSC-approved design guide is available, it cannot supersede specific language in the applicable regulations, nor does the presence of a design guide limit the authority vested in the AHJ to make retroactive changes if they are deemed necessary.

H.3 – Emergency Operations Planning and Training.

The FLSC provides a logical venue to develop interagency training for RAs and responding agencies, most notably the operations control center (OCC). Since not all responders are from fire jurisdictions, other agencies should be included in this planning and training. For larger rail properties, an FLSC subcommittee on training is often used. Because all agencies, including RAs, are required to comply with National Incident Management System federal requirements, the command structure of response is already defined. Specific training is required for RAs and other responding agencies.

Given the potential for large-scale, mass-casualty incidents with several jurisdictions responding, the FLSC is a logical location to develop and coordinate the required drills, tabletop scenarios, exercises, etc., to meet the federal commissioning requirements typically included in funding packages. This is specifically applicable where multiple agencies respond, i.e., fire departments; law enforcement; RAs; utilities, such as power; local municipalities; etc.

Training must include pre-opening and ongoing training, as well as training when changes are made that might impact emergency responders and RAs. The training types are identified in the Homeland Security Exercise and Evaluation Program and include seminars, drills, tabletop scenarios, and functional and large-scale exercises.

Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Comment

This annex has the potential to create difficulties which will not be able to be solved officially since the annex is unenforceable. It contains opinions that are not suitable for an NFPA standard.

1. The title is misleading: a non mandatory annex cannot ensure anything.

2. The introduction is very US-based, which is a problem for a standard used internationally.

3. The statements in the introduction are of dubious validity internationally and offer legal opinions. Stating that "NFPA standards have limited legal authority unless they have been otherwise adopted by an enforcement agency, such as state or city legislation" applies to the code or standard issued by any private standardization developing organization and does not need to be stated.

4. The statement that "In states, counties, and cities, a building or fire code is often adapted and adopted from the International Code Council by legislation as a minimum requirement." does not recognize that NFPA has its own building and fire codes and also applies only to the US.

5. The next sentence does not recognize the jurisdiction of the FRA and the Department of Transportation (for the US) and is likely not applicable outside the US:"In almost all cases, the legislation establishes the AHJ as the entity that enforces the code or, possibly, the standard." Most commonly, the AHJ achieves its authority from city, county, state, or federal legislation."

6. The next sentence is highly prejudicial in that it presumes facts not in evidence: recognized by whom?: "Where this standard has not been adopted in total, but in part, it is generally recognized as a best practice or a minimum standard guideline document that has legal merit."

7. The following paragraph will probably cause conflict when more than one authority is involved: who decides who takes the lead? Is there value in the examples?: "As any number of railroad properties pass through multiple jurisdictions with different representative values and regulations, it might be advisable to select one as the lead agency representing the project. Based on the size and complexity of the project, it might be appropriate to establish lead jurisdictions for each critical section/element. For example, for a major tunnel that leads into New York, all the fire departments involved opted to have the New York City Fire Department serve as the lead agency due to its size and expertise. For state safety oversight (SSO) for both New York and New Jersey, New Jersey's SSO was made the lead."

8. The rationale given for this new annex gave very few details, as it read: "NFPA has no guidance on the relationships between NFPA 130 Standard for Fixed Guideway Transit and Passenger Rail Systems and the the Authorities Having Jurisdiction. The proposed annex becomes Annex G and

current Annex G becomes Annex H. Proposed annex submitted by Harold Levitt, member emeritus and Gary English, former AHJ. Following suggestions in this annex can streamline design, construction processes for new properties and property retrofits which will save time and money. Suggestions are made based on proven practices."

In summary, I see no advantage in adding proposed Annex H. The relations between ahis and operators have been working fine for years and are not that different from relations with ahis in any other code or

regulation. This complex system will be very difficult to operate and (as it is non mandatory) will not necessarily be applied. This proposed annex forms an interesting set of recommendations and, perhaps, could form the basis for a publication by the proponents but is not appropriate as an NFPA 130 annex.

Related Item

• Fr89

Submitter Information Verification

Submitter Full Name:	Marcelo Hirschler
Organization:	GBH International
Street Address:	
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Date:	Tue Apr 20 16:09:37 EDT 2021
Committee:	FKT-AAA

Committee Statement

Committee Action:	Rejected but see related SR
Resolution:	<u>SR-32-NFPA 130-2021</u>
Statement:	The Annex is updated to give recommendations without necessarily giving instructions to the AHJ and provides suggestions for resolving conflicts.

Γ

is annex includes recommendations that have been successfully used to promote operation between fixed guideway transit and passenger rail systems operators/owners own as rail agencies (RA)] and those authorities having jurisdiction (AHJ) that have been en the responsibility of ensuring that codes and standards are clearly and properly erpreted and enforced. In railroad properties pass through multiple city and state jurisdictions, all of which might ve their own fire and life safety guidance, interpretation, and enforcement. Given the range AHJs and their different regulations, as well as their conformance to different versions of the forcement of those regulations. Where direct conflict exists, federal regulations ically supersede state or local regulations, including this standard. Where a higher-level thority (i.e., federal government vs. state and local government) exists, but where those gulations are silent on regulatory language for the subject matter in question, it becomes ceptable to utilize the applicable language of a lower-level authority.
ve their own fire and life safety guidance, interpretation, and enforcement. Given the range AHJs and their different regulations, as well as their conformance to different versions of the me regulations, conflicts will undoubtedly occur over the language, interpretation, and forcement of those regulations. Where direct conflict exists, federal regulations ically supersede state or local regulations, including this standard. Where a higher-level thority (i.e., federal government vs. state and local government) exists, but where those gulations are silent on regulatory language for the subject matter in question, it becomes ceptable to utilize the applicable language of a lower-level authority.
minimize delays and costs, RAs and AHJs should come to an agreement to apply each solution's version of a code or standard with a memorandum of understanding (MOU) tween the associated parties. An MOU is intended to document which codes and erpretations of codes and standards are to be applied to a specific property and project. The velopment of this form of joint understanding agreement between RAs and AHJs should be iated as soon as a project is formulated by the RA so as not to lose time and move the oject's design along expeditiously. Wherever possible, an MOU should be used by every IJ to minimize any possible changes.
hough this standard mostly applies to new railroad properties, it can easily be adapted for odifications and additions to existing railroad properties. When new properties that pass ough multiple jurisdictions are added, the regulations between the existing and new isdictions often require a thorough review of applicability. NFPA standards have limited lega thority unless they have been otherwise adopted by an enforcement agency, such as state city legislation. In states, counties, and cities, a building or fire code is often adapted and opted from the International Code Council by legislation as a minimum requirement. In nost all cases, the legislation establishes the AHJ as the entity that enforces the code or, ssibly, the standard. Most commonly, the AHJ achieves its authority from city, county, state, federal legislation. Where this standard has not been adopted in total, but in part, it is nerally recognized as a best practice or a minimum standard guideline document that has hall merit.
nt of Problem and Substantiation for Public Comment
annex was considered too long by technical committee. A Series of strike throughs and ne r language replacements are provided
concise version provided
er Information Verification

City: State: Zip:	
Submittal Date	Mon May 10 22:33:30 EDT 2021
Committee:	FKT-AAA
Committee Stat	ement
Committee Action:	Rejected but see related SR
Resolution:	<u>SR-32-NFPA 130-2021</u>
Statement:	The Annex is updated to give recommendations without necessarily giving instructions to the AHJ and provides suggestions for resolving conflicts.

