Please see atta	ched TIA Log No. 1712		
Additional Propose	ed Changes		
	File Name	Description	Approved
NFPA_1_TIA_Log_	1712_FCC-OCP.pdf	NPFA 24-8 Log. No. 1712	
OCP_Issuance.pdf	1712_FCC-	Issuance	
Statement of Probl	em and Substantiatio	on for Public Input	
"NOTE: This public the Standards Cour Technical Committe	input originates from Tenta icil on 08/25/23 and per the e for the next edition of the	tive Interim Amendment No. 24-8 (Log 1 e NFPA Regs., needs to be reconsidered e Document."	712) issued by I by the
Substantiation: NFF Extract Policy. The of second draft report and makes other ad substantiation on ar general reference to extracting multiple s	A documents are updated current text of NFPA 1 cont for the 2023 edition has be justments as necessary fo ny changes, see the first ar NFPA 25 was added to the subsections.	to the most current edition to comply wit tains extracts from the 2020 edition of NF en published. This TIA updates the extra r the changes to the updated extract text ad second draft reports for the source do ne annex to the definition of Deficiency to	h the NFPA FPA 25 but the acted language For technical cument. A avoid
Emergency Nature: regular revision proc	The standard contains an cess.	error or an omission that was overlooked	d during the
The second draft re to ensure accuracy source document w more closely aligned contained in NFPA	port was not available at th in extracted material, the u as posted. By waiting to up d to what is in the source d 1.	time of the NFPA 1 second draft meeti pdates were done after the second draft date the extracts the final product in NFI ocument and ensures the most up to dat	ng. Therefore, report for the PA 1 will be te information is
Submitter Informat	ion Verification		
Submitter Full Nan	ne:		
Organization:	TIA		
Affiliation:	NFPA 1 TC on FCC-OC)P	
Street Address:			
City:			
State:			
∠ıp:			
Outland 144 - 1 P. 1	Tue 1-1 40 40 57 00 5		

Resolution: The technical committee reviewed the changes made by this TIA and had decided that no action is required.



















Γ

Chapter 38: Car 420. Create a C applicable inform inspections and	nnabis Growing, Processing, or Extraction Facilities is being drafted into NFPA I that examines what NFPA 420 Second Draft is proposing so that the nation can be referenced into NFPA 1 and extracted portions applicable to permitting can be included.
Statement of Probl Replacing NFPA 1 t	em and Substantiation for Public Input ext with appropriate NFPA 420 extracts seems appropriate.
Submitter Informat	ion Verification
Submitter Full Nan	ne: Kelly Nicolello
Organization: Street Address: City: State: Zio:	UL Solutions
Submittal Date:	Wed Apr 03 11:10:58 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
Committee Statem	ent
Resolution: The principal not ap	oposal to create a committee input to review NFPA 420 for extracts into NFPA 1 is plicable because there is no extract documentation to review.



floor"

Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input: The problem is that the wording does not specify whether to install CO alarms and detectors on the ceiling or a wall. As discussed in PI#1 above, in order to protect people from CO without adding other risks, carbon monoxide alarms should be installed on walls within 4 to 5 feet from the floor so they are within easy reach and sight even of people in wheelchairs, and close to their breathing zone. In all sections where this change is recommended, the same Public Input be submitted to NFPA 101 to keep them aligned.

Public Input #3: Make Global Changes in Chapter 13.7 to all instances of

"On every occupiable level of a dwelling unit"

to:

"On every occupiable level of a dwelling <u>unit that does not have a sleeping area, on an interior wall at</u> <u>height of 4 to 5 feet above the floor</u>"

Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input: The problem is that the wording does not specify whether to install on the ceiling or a wall. As discussed in Pl#1 above, in order to protect people from CO without adding other risks, carbon monoxide detectors and alarms should be installed on walls within 4 to 5 feet from the floor so they are within easy reach and sight even of people in wheelchairs, and close to their breathing zone. In all sections where this change is recommended, the same Public Input be submitted to NFPA 101 to keep them aligned

Public Input #4: Make Global Changes in Chapter 13.7 to all instances of "In rooms containing any permanently installed fuel-burning appliances or fuel-burning fireplaces,"

to:

"In rooms containing any permanently installed fuel-burning appliances or fuel-burning fireplaces, <u>on an</u> interior wall at height of 4 to 5 feet above the floor and within 2 to 4 feet left or right of the appliance or <u>fireplace</u>"

Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input: The problem is that the wording does not specify whether to install on the ceiling or a wall. As discussed in Pl#1 above, in order to protect people from CO without adding other risks, carbon monoxide detectors and alarms should be installed on walls within 4 to 5 feet from the floor so they are within easy reach and sight even of people in wheelchairs, and close to their breathing zone. The original also does not specify at what the distance the CO detector or alarm should be installed from the fuel burning appliance or fireplace, which this commenter recommends should be within 2 to 4 feet. In all sections where this change is recommended, the same Public Input be submitted to NFPA 101 to keep them aligned

Public Input #5:

Make Global Changes in Chapter 13.7 to all instances of "Carbon monoxide detectors shall be installed centrally located within occupiable spaces adjacent to a communicating attached garage."

to:

"Carbon monoxide detectors shall be installed <u>on an interior wall at a height of 4 to 5 feet above the</u> <u>floor in occupiable spaces that are adjacent to, immediately above, or immediately below an attached</u> <u>and enclosed garage that does not have automatic exhaust ventilation"</u>

Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input: The problems are that the phrase "centrally located position" does not specify a ceiling or wall position, and the phrase "adjacent" does recognize that CO may diffuse from an attached garage into occupiable spaces that may

be above or below, not just adjacent, via any shared ceiling or floor, not just via communicating walls. Depending on the layout of the occupiable spaces adjacent to the garage, there could be one or more rooms directly above, one or two directly below, and one or two adjacent to interior wall of the garage. Since the paths by which CO may diffuse from the garage into adjacent occupiable spaces cannot be predicted and will vary with air flow through the building, each occupiable space that shares a wall, floor or ceiling with an enclosed garage needs its own CO detector or alarm. The only exceptions should be for garages that are mechanically ventilated to code or not enclose. As discussed in PI#1 above, in order to protect people from CO without adding other risks, carbon monoxide detectors and alarms should be installed on walls within 4 to 5 feet from the floor so they are within easy reach and sight even of people in wheelchairs, and close to their breathing zone. In all sections where this change is recommended, the same Public Input be submitted to NFPA 101 to keep them aligned.

Public Input #6:

Make Global Changes in Chapter 13.7 to all instances of "Carbon monoxide detectors shall be installed centrally located within occupiable spaces served by the first supply air register from a permanently installed, fuel-burning HVAC system."

to:

"Carbon monoxide detectors shall be installed <u>on an interior wall at a height of 4 to 5 feet above the</u> <u>floor</u> within occupiable spaces served by a permanently installed, fuel-burning HVAC system."

Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input: The problems are that the phrase "centrally located" does not specify a ceiling or wall position, and that the "first" supply air register in the room may not be easy to identify. There also is no need to identify it, since CO from any source will mix quickly with all the air in the room. Any UL2034 CO alarm or detector mounted 4 or 5 feet above the floor should be able to detect any CO in the room within the required time delays of 4 minutes to 4 hours regardless of its source. So they do not need to installed near supply registers, and most manufacturers recommend that they not be install in any rooms with combustion appliances. As discussed in PI#1 above, in order to protect people from CO without adding other risks, carbon monoxide detectors and alarms should be installed on walls within 4 to 5 feet from the floor so they are within easy reach and sight even of people in wheelchairs, and close to their breathing zone. In all sections where this change is recommended, the same Public Input be submitted to NFPA 101 to keep them aligned.

Public Input #7:

Make Global Changes in Chapter 13.7 to all instances of "the alarm signal shall be automatically transmitted to an approved on-site location or to an offpremises location in accordance with NFPA 72"

"the alarm signal shall be <u>visible and audible in the immediate vicinity and also may</u> be automatically transmitted to an approved on-site location or to an off-premises location in accordance with NFPA 72"

Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input: The problems are that the original wording precludes installing stand-alone battery-powered CO alarms or detectors, which NFPA elsewhere allows, because these types do not interconnect and cannot transmit their alarms. The transmission of alarms should be optional, while a local audio-visual alarms signal should be required to alert anyone in the vicinity.

Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input

Note: Public Input comment proposes 7 Global Changes to Chapter 13.7 New proposed text is underlined, followed by Statement of Problem and Substantiation of Public Input

Public Input #1:

Make Global Changes in Chapter 13.7 to all instances of "shall be installed on ceilings" and "shall be located on the ceilings" to:

"shall be installed on interior walls at a height of 4 to 5 feet above the floor"

#1 Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input: The problem is that, in order to protect people from CO without adding other risks, carbon monoxide detectors and alarms should be installed on walls within 4 to 5 feet from the floor so they are within easy reach and sight even of people in wheelchairs, and close to their breathing zone. CO alarms and detectors should never be installed on ceilings for the reasons listed below. In all sections where this change is recommended, the same Public Input be submitted to NFPA 101 to keep them aligned

The reasons for this change are:

1. There is no need to install CO alarms and detectors on ceilings as this does not significantly decrease their response time. This is because CO mixes very quickly with air, as reported in a chamber study by Hampson et al in 2011, see https://www.jem-journal.com/article/ S0736-4679(100290-3/abstract; and also by Persily in 1996 at https://www.bankselectricllc.com/ BE_Web_Site/SmokeCO/Carbon%20Monoxide%20Detector%20Study.pdf) To given building occupants the earliest possible warning of any CO they are inhaling, it is more important from toxicology perspective to measure CO in their breathing zone 4 to 5 feet above the floor than at the ceiling

2. While not offering any advantage, ceiling mounted alarms have many disadvantages: they require standing on a step-ladder, chair, or table to install and operate as instructed (to test them, to read the display, and to operate the function buttons that temporary silence alarms and recall and clear prior peaks). These actions put people at great risk of falling injuries and are impossible for many people with physical disabilities and not advisable for anyone, particularly not people who live alone or the elderly, who are a large percent of the US population, and especially not people who may already be CO poisoned, as CO impairs balance. This is not just a theoretical concern. According to a review published by Wisconsin Poison Control of all calls it received from 2014 to 2016 about non-fire CO poisoning, 50% of the people who called after hearing a CO alarm were already poisoned at a mild or moderate level, compared to 76% of those who did not have a CO alarm. (see Table 1 in Christensen et al, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15563650.2020.1733592).

3. When installed on walls a height of 4 to 5 feet above the floor, CO alarms and detectors are installed, read, and operated safely without any of the risks associated with standing on a ladder, table or chair. They also can measure CO in the breathing zone.

Public Input #2:

Make Global Changes in Chapter 13.7 to all instances of

"in the immediate vicinity of the sleeping rooms" to:

"in the immediate vicinity of the sleeping room, on an interior wall at height of 4 to 5 feet above the floor"

#2 Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input: The problem is that the wording does not specify whether to install CO alarms and detectors on the ceiling or a wall. As discussed in PI#1 above, in order to protect people from CO without adding other risks, carbon monoxide alarms should be installed on walls within 4 to 5 feet from the floor so they are within easy reach and sight even of people in wheelchairs, and close to their breathing zone. In all sections where this change is recommended, the same Public Input be submitted to NFPA 101 to keep them aligned.

Public Input #3:

Make Global Changes in Chapter 13.7 to all instances of "On every occupiable level of a dwelling unit"

to:

"On every occupiable level of a dwelling unit that does not have a sleeping area, on an interior wall at height of 4 to 5 feet above the floor"

#3 Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input: The problem is that the wording does not specify whether to install on the ceiling or a wall. As discussed in Pl#1 above, in order to protect people from CO without adding other risks, carbon monoxide detectors and alarms should be installed on walls within 4 to 5 feet from the floor so they are within easy reach and sight even of people in

wheelchairs, and close to their breathing zone. In all sections where this change is recommended, the same Public Input be submitted to NFPA 101 to keep them aligned

Public Input #4:

Make Global Changes in Chapter 13.7 to all instances of

"In rooms containing any permanently installed fuel-burning appliances or fuel-burning fireplaces," to:

"In rooms containing any permanently installed fuel-burning appliances or fuel-burning fireplaces, on an interior wall at height of 4 to 5 feet above the floor and within 2 to 4 feet left or right of the appliance or fireplace"

#4 Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input: The problem is that the wording does not specify whether to install on the ceiling or a wall. As discussed in PI#1 above, in order to protect people from CO without adding other risks, carbon monoxide detectors and alarms should be installed on walls within 4 to 5 feet from the floor so they are within easy reach and sight even of people in wheelchairs, and close to their breathing zone. The original also does not specify at what the distance the CO detector or alarm should be installed from the fuel burning appliance or fireplace, which this commenter recommends should be within 2 to 4 feet. In all sections where this change is recommended, the same Public Input be submitted to NFPA 101 to keep them aligned

Public Input #5:

Make Global Changes in Chapter 13.7 to all instances of

"Carbon monoxide detectors shall be installed centrally located within occupiable spaces adjacent to a communicating attached garage."

to:

"Carbon monoxide detectors shall be installed on an interior wall at a height of 4 to 5 feet above the floor in occupiable spaces that are adjacent to, immediately above, or immediately below an attached and enclosed garage that does not have automatic exhaust ventilation"

#5 Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input: The problems are that the phrase "centrally located position" does not specify a ceiling or wall position, and the phrase "adjacent" does recognize that CO may diffuse from an attached garage into occupiable spaces that may be above or below, not just adjacent, via any shared ceiling or floor, not just via communicating walls. Depending on the layout of the occupiable spaces adjacent to the garage, there could be one or more rooms directly above, one or two directly below, and one or two adjacent to interior wall of the garage. Since the paths by which CO may diffuse from the garage into adjacent occupiable spaces cannot be predicted and will vary with air flow through the building, each occupiable space that shares a wall, floor or ceiling with an enclosed garage needs its own CO detector or alarm. The only exceptions should be for garages that are mechanically ventilated to code or not enclose. As discussed in PI#1 above, in order to protect people from CO without adding other risks, carbon monoxide detectors and alarms should be installed on walls within 4 to 5 feet from the floor so they are within easy reach and sight even of people in wheelchairs, and close to their breathing zone. In all sections where this change is recommended, the same Public Input be submitted to NFPA 101 to keep them aligned.

Public Input #6:

Make Global Changes in Chapter 13.7 to all instances of

"Carbon monoxide detectors shall be installed centrally located within occupiable spaces served by the first supply air register from a permanently installed, fuel-burning HVAC system." to:

"Carbon monoxide detectors shall be installed on an interior wall at a height of 4 to 5 feet above the floor within occupiable spaces served by a permanently installed, fuel-burning HVAC system."

#6 Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input: The problems are that the phrase "centrally located" does not specify a ceiling or wall position, and that the "first" supply air register in the room may not be easy to identify. There also is no need to identify it, since CO from any source will mix quickly with all the air in the room. Any UL2034 CO alarm or detector mounted 4 or 5 feet above the floor should be able to detect any CO in the room within the required time delays of 4 minutes to 4 hours regardless of its source. So they do not need to installed near supply registers, and most manufacturers recommend that they not be install in any rooms with combustion appliances. As discussed in PI#1 above, in order to protect people from CO without adding other risks, carbon

monoxide de within easy r sections whe them aligned	etectors and alarms should be installed on walls within 4 to 5 feet from the floor so they are each and sight even of people in wheelchairs, and close to their breathing zone. In all ere this change is recommended, the same Public Input be submitted to NFPA 101 to keep l.
Public Input Make Global "the alarm si premises loc "the alarm si transmitted t	#7: Changes in Chapter 13.7 to all instances of gnal shall be automatically transmitted to an approved on-site location or to an off- ation in accordance with NFPA 72" gnal shall be visible and audible in the immediate vicinity and also may be automatically o an approved on-site location or to an off-premises location in accordance with NFPA 72"
#7 Statemen wording prec elsewhere al transmission to alert anyo	t of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input: The problems are that the original cludes installing stand-alone battery-powered CO alarms or detectors, which NFPA lows, because these types do not interconnect and cannot transmit their alarms. The of alarms should be optional, while a local audio-visual alarms signal should be required ne in the vicinity.
Submitter Infe	ormation Verification
Submitter F	ull Name: Albert Donnay
Organizatio	n: Donnay Detoxicology LLC
Affiliation:	(not representing any client or other organization)
Street Addre	ess:
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal D	ate: Thu Apr 04 16:14:02 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
Committee St	atement
Resolution:	These proposed revisions are on sections that are extracted from another NFPA document. All changes to these sections should be submitted to the responsible technical committee through public inputs or public comments to the source document.







<u>A system u</u> intelligible communic	ised to provide information and instructions to people in a building(s) or other space using voice communications and including visual signals, text, graphics, tactile, or other
intelligible communic	voice communications and including visual signals, text, graphics, tactile, or other
communic	
	ation methods. (72: <u>3.3.97.1.3)</u>
atement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
In Building M extract from	ass Notification Systems are referenced for use within NFPA 1. This definition is an NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling Code.
bmitter Inf	ormation Verification
Submitter F	ull Name: Shane Clary
Organizatio	1: Bay Alarm Company
Affiliation:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
Street Addre	ess:
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal D	ate: Sun Mar 31 23:40:37 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
	atement
mmittee St	
Resolution:	FR-147-NFPA 1-2024

Dublic Ir	anut No. 167 NEPA 1 2024 [Now Section after 2 2]
Impairme	nt.
<u>An abnorm</u> function is	<u>al condition, during either a planned or emergency event, where a system, component, or inoperable.</u> (72: 3.3.145)
Statement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
Impaired sys water-based Fire Alarm ar	tems as covered within NFPA 1, yet the only definition is from NFPA 25. NFPA 25 covers fire protection and suppression systems. This added definition from NFPA 72, National nd Signaling Systems, covers fire alarm and signaling systems.
ubmitter Info	ormation Verification
Submitter Fi	JII Name: Shane Clary
Organizatior	1: Bay Alarm Company
Affiliation:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
Street Addre	ess:
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Da	ate: Sun Mar 31 23:59:57 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
committee St	atement
Resolution:	<u>CI-149-NFPA 1-2024</u>
Statement:	Impaired systems as covered within NFPA 1, yet the only definition is from NFPA 25. NFPA 25 covers water-based fire protection and suppression systems. This revision

_	
Public Ir	put No. 170-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 3.3.42]
Carbon M	onoxide Detection System.
A system o	r nortion of a combination system that consists of a control unit components and circuits
arranged to	o monitor and annunciate the status of carbon monoxide alarm initiating devices and to
initiate the	appropriate response to those signals. (72: 3.3.37)
tatement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
These syster extracted fror	ns are called for within NFPA 1, yet there is no definition provided. This definition is n the pages of NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling Systems Code.
ubmitter Info	ormation Verification
Submitter Fu	III Name: Shane Clary
Organization	Bay Alarm Company
Affiliation:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
Street Addre	SS:
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Da	Mon Apr 01 00:37:07 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
ommittee St	atement
Resolution:	FR-150-NFPA 1-2024
Statement:	This revision provides a definition for carbon monoxide detection systems which are referenced in NFPA 1. This definition is extracted from NFPA 72. National Fire Alarm an

Public Ir	nput No. 172-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 3.3.42]
Carbon M	onoxide Alarm Signal.
<u>A signal in</u> risk to the	dicating a concentration of carbon monoxide at or above the alarm threshold that could pose a life safety of the occupants and that requires immediate action. (72: <u>3.3.272.2</u>)
Statement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
Carbon Mon would transm definition is f	oxide Detection Systems are referenced within NFPA 1. These systems when activated nit a signal to a receiving station. These is no definition for the signal within NFPA 1. This rom NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling Code.
Submitter Info	ormation Verification
Submitter F	ull Name: Shane Clary
Organizatio	1: Bay Alarm Company
Affiliation:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
Street Addre	ess:
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Da	ate: Mon Apr 01 01:02:11 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
Committee St	atement
Resolution:	FR-151-NFPA 1-2024
Statement:	Carbon Monoxide Detection Systems are referenced within NFPA 1. These systems when activated would transmit a carbon monoxide alarm signal to a receiving station. There is no definition for the signal within NFPA 1. This definition is from NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling Code.

Public Inpu	It No. 169-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 3.3.90]
Dedicated Fu	nction Fire Alarm System
<u>A protected pr</u> where a buildi	emises fire alarm system installed specifically to perform emergency control function(s) ng fire alarm system is not required. (72: 3.3.118.4.2)
Statement of Pro	blem and Substantiation for Public Input
Dedicated Funct provide elevator	ion Fire Alarm Systems may be used on systems that supervise sprinkler systems and recall functions. These systems are used within NFPA 1.
Submitter Inforn	nation Verification
Submitter Full N	lame: Shane Clary
Organization:	Bay Alarm Company
Affiliation:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
Street Address:	
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Date:	Mon Apr 01 00:23:39 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
Committee State	ment
Resolution: FR	-152-NFPA 1-2024
Statement: De sys NF	dicated Function Fire Alarm Systems may be used on systems that supervise sprinkler stems and provide elevator recall functions. These systems are referenced within PA 1.



IP.

-

Operating Aisl	<u>e</u>	
The aisle betwe that are used to	en pallatized piles, on floor piles, and storage rac access stored loads. The operating aisle is not r	ks in storage configurations, necessarily the main aisle
ement of Probl	em and Substantiation for Public Inpu	t
Some AHJ's have c operating aisles wit Section 34.9.3.1.1.	confused the operating aisle with the main aisle re hin a pile. Piles are separated from one anther by	quired in tire storage. This a / an 8-foot main aisle. See
ated Public Inp	uts for This Document	
Public Input No. 92 Public Input No. 93	Related Input -NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 3.3.275.7] -NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 34.9.3.1.4]	Relationship Clarifying definition Clarifying definition
mitter Informat	ion Verification	
Submitter Full Nar	ne: Andrew Valente	
Organization: Street Address: City: State: Zip:	Larson Design Group	
Submittal Date:	Fri Mar 08 14:47:50 EST 2024	
	FCC-OCP	
Committee:		




PA	
3.3.283.5 Cen	tral Station Service Alarm System.
A system or gro automatically to has competent action as requir or corporation systems. [72, -2	bup of systems in which the operations of circuits and devices are transmitted o, recorded in, maintained by, and supervised from a listed central station that and experienced servers and operators who, upon receipt of a signal, take such ed by <i>NFPA 72</i> . Such service is to be controlled and operated by a person, firm, whose business is the furnishing, maintaining, or monitoring of supervised alarm 2016 _ 2022] (FCC-OCP)
atement of Prob	lem and Substantiation for Public Input
The definition shou Code.	Ild reference the 2022 edition of NFPA 72, The National Fire Alarm and Signaling
hmitter Informa	tion Verification
bmitter Informa	tion Verification
bmitter Informa Submitter Full Na	tion Verification me: Shane Clary
bmitter Informa Submitter Full Na Organization:	tion Verification me: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company
bmitter Informa Submitter Full Na Organization: Affiliation:	tion Verification me: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
bmitter Informa Submitter Full Na Organization: Affiliation: Street Address:	tion Verification me: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
bmitter Informa Submitter Full Na Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City:	tion Verification me : Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
bmitter Informa Submitter Full Na Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State:	tion Verification me: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
bmitter Informa Submitter Full Na Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State: Zip:	tion Verification me : Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
bmitter Informa Submitter Full Na Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State: Zip: Submittal Date:	tion Verification me: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) Sun Mar 31 23:51:20 EDT 2024
Submitter Informa Submitter Full Na Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State: Zip: Submittal Date: Committee:	tion Verification me: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) Sun Mar 31 23:51:20 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP
Submitter Informa Submitter Full Na Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State: Zip: Submittal Date: Committee Statem	tion Verification me: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) Sun Mar 31 23:51:20 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP
Submitter Informa Submitter Full Na Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State: Zip: Submittal Date: Committee Statem Resolution: <u>FR-2</u>	tion Verification me: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) Sun Mar 31 23:51:20 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP Nent 15-NFPA 1-2024

3.3.283.1	0 Fire Alarm System.
A system to monito initiate the	or portion of a combination system that consists of components and circuits arranged and annunciate the status of fire alarm or supervisory signal-initiating devices and to appropriate response to those signals. [72 , $-2016_{-}2022$] (FCC-OCP)
atement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
The definition Code.	n should reference the 2022 edition of NFPA 72, The National Fire Alarm and Signaling
ubmitter Info	ormation Verification
• • • · · · =	
Submitter F	Ill Name: Shane Clary
Submitter Fo	Ill Name: Shane Clary I: Bay Alarm Company
Submitter Fi Organization Affiliation:	III Name: Shane Clary I: Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
Submitter Fi Organization Affiliation: Street Addre	III Name: Shane Clary n: Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) pss:
Submitter Fo Organization Affiliation: Street Addre City:	III Name: Shane Clary n: Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) PSS:
Submitter Fi Organization Affiliation: Street Addre City: State:	III Name: Shane Clary n: Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) sss:
Submitter Fi Organization Affiliation: Street Addre City: State: Zip:	III Name: Shane Clary a: Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) sss:
Submitter Fi Organization Affiliation: Street Addre City: State: Zip: Submittal Da	III Name: Shane Clary n: Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) sss: ate: Sun Mar 31 23:54:14 EDT 2024
Submitter Fo Organization Affiliation: Street Addre City: State: Zip: Submittal Da Committee:	III Name: Shane Clary I: Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) Isss: Ite: Sun Mar 31 23:54:14 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP
Submitter Fo Organization Affiliation: Street Addre City: State: Zip: Submittal Da Committee St	III Name: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) Ausse Ausse Sun Mar 31 23:54:14 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP atement
Submitter Fo Organization Affiliation: Street Addre City: State: Zip: Submittal Da Committee St Resolution:	III Name: Shane Clary I: Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) Inss: Inte: Sun Mar 31 23:54:14 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP Inte: FCC-OCP Inte: FR-215-NFPA 1-2024

~~		
3.3.XX Fuel Gas	Alarm A single- or multiple-station fuel gas alarm inter	ided for the purpose of
detecting fuel ga	as and alerting occupants by a distinct audible signal com	prising an assembly that
incorporates a se	ensor, control components, and an alarm notification ap	<u>pliance in a single unit</u>
operated from a 2023]	<u>power source either located in the unit or obtained at t</u>	<u>he point of installation. [715,</u>
3.3.XX Fuel-Gas-	-Burning Appliance. <u>A device that burns gaseous fuel.</u> [7	7 <u>15, 2023]</u>
3.3.XX Fuel Gas	Detector . A device having a sensor that responds to fue	gas that is connected to an
alarm control un	<u>nit.</u> [715, 2023]	
tement of Prob	lem and Substantiation for Public Input	
This Public Input (F from fires caused b recommendation by PAR-19/01 PB2019 requires methane of recommendation by Explosions and Lea 2012 and 2016 an ignition of natural g that caused an ave homes, including m LP-Gas leaks have the 2023 edition of The technical requi (FPRF) report, Com Analysis. The report an analysis of com	PI) seeks to protect occupants in new hotels, dormito by natural gas or propane explosions or leaks. The PI y the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) in 9-100722 Building Explosion and Fire Silver Spring, N detection systems for all types of residential occupan by the NTSB is supported by a 2018 NFPA report, Nat aks Estimates and Incidents - Marty Ahrens and Ben estimated average of 4,200 U.S. home structure fires gas arage of 40 deaths per year. The report classifies hom nanufactured homes, and apartments and other multi generally been increasing since 2007. The requirem NFPA 715 standard, Installation for Fuel Gas Detect irements in NFPA 715 were based on the Fire Protect mbustible Gas Dispersion in Residential Occupancies of studied combustible gas leaks and dispersion in re- ibustible gas detector placement.	ries and apartment buildings is in response to n NTSB Report NTSB/ Maryland that the ICC " cies with gas service." The tural Gas and Propane Fires, Evarts October 2018: Betwee s per year started with the mes as one- and two-family f-family housing. Natural gas on ion and Warning Equipment. tion Research Foundation s and Detector Location sidential buildings, as well as
	Related Input	Relationship
Public Input No. 14	42-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13.7.1.15.1]	
Public Input No. 14	43-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13.7.2.15.7.2]	
Public Input No. 14	44-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13.7.2.17.6.5]	
Public Input No. 14	46-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 2.2]	
omitter Informa	tion Verification	
Submitter Full Nar	me: Rick Trieste	
Organization:	Consolidated Edison Company of	
Street Address:		
City:		
City: State:		

Submittal Date:Thu Mar 28 16:56:31 EDT 2024Committee:FCC-OCP

Committee Statement

Resolution: The proposed revision to include definitions in related public input were not accepted, the definitions are not currently used in the Code. The NFPA Manual of Style prohibits definitions in Chapter 3 that are not used in the Code.

and existing

Public Input No. 137-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 12.3.2 [Excluding any Sub-Sections]]

A quality In new buildings three stories or greater in height, a quality assurance program for the installation of devices and systems installed to protect penetrations and joints shall be prepared and monitored by the RDP responsible for design. Inspections of firestop systems and fireresistive joint systems shall be in accordance with 12.3.2.1 and 12.3.2.2.- [**5000:** 40.9]

Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input

When the NFPA 1 TC included this language in NFPA 1, the TC specifically limited this scope to new buildings three stories or greater in height. Somewhere during the development of the 2021 edition, the NFPA 1 specific language was modified to be extract language from NFPA 5000. The proponent of this PI reviewed the First and Second Revisions for the NFPA 1 2021 edition and no PI was submitted on this issue or a FR or SC created. It appears the change to eliminate the threshold of new construction three stories or more was mistakenly picked up as a NFPA 5000 extract revision via TIA 1498. However, this was a mistake as the original NFPA 1 language on this issue was not an NFPA 5000 extract. (NFPA 5000 2018 edition and 2021 edition language on this issue did not change either.) Therefore, it should not have been picked up as a TIA NFPA 5000 extract change for the 2021 edition of NFPA. This current PI submittal changes the application of this section back to what the NFPA 1 TC originally approved. A broad scope of applying these third party inspection provisions on all buildings is onerous, unnecessary and very costly for smaller projects. The provision of 12.3.2 are only necessary for higher risk buildings. The TC previously set this threshold at new building greater than three stories and this section should be returned to this original language.

limitation that was originally part of NFPA 1 was unintentionally removed by TIA 1498. It

is restored to clarify the application to new buildings of three stories or greater.

Submitter Information Verification

Submitter Fu	III Name: Anthony Apfelbeck
Organizatior	Altamonte Springs Building and Fire Safety Department
Street Addre	ss:
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Da	te: Wed Mar 27 08:33:54 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
Committee St	atement
Resolution:	FR-82-NFPA 1-2024
Statement:	NFPA 5000 generally applies to new buildings. NFPA 1 applies to new and existin buildings. This revision clarifies that this only applies to new buildings. The height

Public Input No. 201-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 12.5.2.4]

12.5.2.4 –

Washroom water closet partitions shall be considered interior finish. [101: 10.2.1.4]

Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input

Section §3.3.97.2 of NFPA 1 defines interior finish as "The exposed surfaces of walls, ceilings, and floors within buildings". This definition does not represent any washroom water closest partition components, including the divider panel(s), which are neither walls, ceilings, nor floors. Washroom water closet partitions are primarily made from stainless steel, powder coated galvanneal, plastic laminate (particleboard with high pressure laminate facing and edging), phenolic, and high-density polyethylene (HDPE). Based on the extensive experience in manufacturing, distributing, and selling washroom water closet partitions, along with National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Research data related to non-residential structure fires originating in bathrooms, and recently distributed to the NFPA 286 Standards Council Directed Task Group on Bathroom Partitions, a fire scenario where washroom water closet partitions, made from any of the above listed materials was the primary source or contributing fuel source for a fire that was responsible for the loss of life or significant property damage/loss has yet to be identified. The size of a washroom fire with limited combustibles is expected to be much smaller than a fire in a typical room/enclosure that has a significant combustible fuel load. The larger potential fire size in a typical room/enclosure compared to that of a washroom water closet partition fire is the main reason why wall and ceiling materials in typical rooms/enclosures needs to be considered interior finish. This is not the case for free-hanging or standing washroom water closet partitions installed in washrooms with limited combustible fuel loads. Requiring washroom water closet partitions to be considered interior finish and to therefore be fire-rated according to interior finish requirements poses an undue burden on the washroom water closet partition industry and the consumers, and provides no added benefit, since a washroom/bathroom fire problem does not exist. Requiring washroom water closet partitions to be fire-rated such that it can be considered interior finish requires manufacturers to use formulations with fire-retardant additives which increases the weight and the cost of the washroom water closet partitions. The use of additional additives and the increased weight of the partitions now means that the manufacturer must consider all the additional expenses that will be incurred throughout the entire product process including, but not limited to: increased freight costs; the repairs and maintenance of the manufacturing equipment in order to produce products with fire-retardant additives; ensuring employee safety when handling the heavier panels; revisions to packaging to manage the additional weight and ensure quality of product; increase in the cost of other raw materials to ensure the quality of the product; revisions to hardware components necessary to install the partitions to ensure product life cycle performance due to the additional weight; the cost of the product outside of the manufacturing facility such as freight costs, additional labor costs required for installation of heavier components to ensure employee safety; and the reduction of product life expectancy and therefore increased replacement costs due to the introduction of additives.

Submitter Information Verification

Submitter Full Name:	Richard Long
Organization:	Exponent, Inc. on behalf of ASI Southeast
Street Address:	
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Date:	Wed Apr 03 08:44:17 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP

Committee Statement

Resolution: This section is extracted from another NFPA document. All changes to this section should be submitted to the responsible technical committee through public inputs or public comments to the source document. This requirement important for visibility for fire officials to ensure proper applications of interior finish requirements. The changes to the extracted text from NFPA 101 can be incorporated via TIA after the second draft.

12.	5.4*- Interior Wall or Ceiling Finish Testing and Classification.
Wh	ere interior wall or
Int	erior wall and ceiling finish that is required elsewhere in this Code to be
cla 12.	ssified for fire performance and smoke development, it shall be classified in accordance wit 5.4.1 -or- 12.5.4.2 , except as indicated in- 12.5.5 - [101 : 10.2.3]
12 .	5.4.1 – Interior Wall and Ceiling Finish Materials Tested in Accordance with NFPA 286.
12 .	5.4.1.1 –
Inte con	prior wall and ceiling finish materials shall be classified in accordance with NFPA 286 and nply with 12.5.4.2 . [101 : 10.2.3.1.1]
<mark>12</mark> .	5.4.1.2 *
Mai con { 10	terials tested in accordance with 12.5.4.1.1 and complying with 12.5.4.2 shall also be isidered to comply with the requirements of a Class A in accordance with 12.5.4.3 - If : 10.2.3.1.2]
12.	5.4.2 – Acceptance Criteria for NFPA 286.
Th€	e interior finish shall comply with the following:
(1)	During the 40 kW exposure, flames shall not spread to the ceiling.
(2)	The flame shall not spread to the outer extremity of the sample on any wall or ceiling.
(3)	Flashover, as described in NFPA 286, shall not occur.
(4)	The peak heat release rate throughout the test shall not exceed 800 kW.
(5)	For new installations, the total smoke released throughout the test shall not exceed 1000 m^2 .
{ 10	94 : 10.2.3.2]
<u>Cla</u> <u>Sta</u> <u>Sta</u>	ass <u>A, Class B, or Class C shall be classified based on test results from ASTM E84,</u> andard Test Method for Surface Burning Characteristics of Building Materials, or UL 723, andard Test for Burning Characteristics of Building Materials <u>.</u>
12 . UL	5.4.3 1 * Interior Wall and Ceiling Finish Materials Tested in Accordance with ASTM E84 or 723.
Inte Sta for 2_3 spr	erior wall and ceiling finish materials shall be classified in accordance with ASTM E84, andard Test Method for Surface Burning Characteristics of Building Materials, or UL 723, Te Surface Burning Characteristics of Building Materials, except as indicated in 12.5.4.4 and and 12.5.4.5 <u>3</u> , and shall be grouped in the following classes in accordance with their flame read and smoke developed indexes:
(1)	Class A: Flame spread index 0–25; smoke developed index 0–450.
(2)	Class B: Flame spread index 26–75; smoke developed index 0–450.
(3)	Class C: Flame spread index 76–200; smoke developed index 0–450.

12.5.4.3 <u>1</u>.1

Existing interior finish shall be exempt from the smoke developed index criteria of 12.5.4.3. [**101**:10.2.3.3.1]

12.5.4.3 <u>1</u>.2

The classification of interior finish specified in 12.5.4.3 shall be that of the basic material used by itself or in combination with other materials. [**101**:10.2.3.3.2]

12.5.4.<u>3</u> <u>1</u> .3

Wherever the use of Class C interior wall and ceiling finish is required, Class A or Class B shall be permitted, and where Class B interior wall and ceiling finish is required, Class A shall be permitted. [**101**:10.2.3.3.3]

12.5.4.4 <u>2</u>

Materials complying with the requirements of 12.5.4.1 shall not be required to be tested in accordance with 12.5.4.3. [**101**:10.2.3.4]

12.5.4.5 <u>3</u>

Materials described in 12.5.5 shall be tested as described in the corresponding sections. [**101**:10.2.3.5]

Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input

No quantifiable evidence indicating that NFPA 286 should be used as the default method for testing interior finish rather than the previous default test method, ASTM E84 has been provided. In previous editions of NFPA 101, NFPA 286 was only permitted to be used to test materials that were rated as Class A materials according to ASTM E84 but is currently presented as the default method used to classify the fire performance of interior finish. The technical committee accepted this change based on a public recommendation submitted during the 2018 revision cycle requiring NFPA 286 to be listed as the default testing method for interior finish but the public recommendation had no scientific merit and no quantifiable data was presented to the committee to substantiate this change. This section was not developed according to the principles listed in section §3.3.6 (NFPA Standards Content) of the Regulations Governing the Development of NFPA Standards which calls for fire experience, research data, engineering fundamentals, and other such information as basis for technical committee recommendations.

Submitter Information Verification

Submitter Full Name	Richard Long
Organization:	Exponent, Inc. on behalf of ASI Southeast
Street Address:	
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Date:	Wed Apr 03 08:53:27 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP

Committee Statement

Resolution: This section is extracted from another NFPA document. All changes to this section should be submitted to the responsible technical committee through public inputs or public comments to the source document. This requirement important for visibility for fire officials to ensure proper applications of interior finish requirements. The changes to the extracted text from NFPA 101 can be incorporated via TIA after the second draft.

Public Input No. 202-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 12.5.5.9] 12.5.5.9 - Solid Thermoplastics. 12.5.5.9.1 -Solid thermoplastics including, but not limited to, polypropylene, high-density polyethylene (HDPE), solid polycarbonate, solid polystyrene, and solid acrylic materials that melt and drip when exposed to flame shall not be permitted as interior wall or ceiling finish unless the material complies with the requirements of 12.5.4.1 . [101 : 10.2.4.9.1] 12.5.5.9.2 The tests shall be performed on a finished assembly and on the maximum thickness intended for use. [101: 10.2.4.9.2] Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input For solid thermoplastics, such as HDPE, to pass the NFPA 286 room corner test (§12.5.4.1 of NFPA 1), manufacturers will have to consider many reformulations, which in turn will increase the cost of this product with no historical fire loss data to justify these increased costs. Each reformulation subjects the manufacturer to increase the overall cost of the product to the consumer. Additional costs come from many different aspects of the product development life cycle including additives to improve the overall performance of the product due to these testing parameters that significantly increases the cost of the product or selecting a different additive that does not increase the cost but is a known carcinogen. In 2018, the NFPA 101 Interior Finish and Contents technical committee proposed that all solid thermoplastics known to melt and drip shall be tested according to NFPA 286 if being used as interior finish. The proposed change had no scientific merit as no quantifiable substantiations or data to support this change was provided. Interior finish requirements of chapter §12 of NFPA 1 are extracted from that presented in chapter §10 of NFPA 101. This section was not developed in accordance with the principles outlined in section §3.3.6 (NFPA Standards Content) of the Regulations Governing the Development of NFPA Standards which calls for fire experience, research data, engineering fundamentals, and other such information as basis for technical committee recommendations. **Submitter Information Verification** Submitter Full Name: Richard Long **Organization:** Exponent, Inc. on behalf of ASI Southeast **Street Address:** City: State: Zip: **Submittal Date:** Wed Apr 03 08:47:48 EDT 2024 Committee: FCC-OCP **Committee Statement** Resolution: This section is extracted from another NFPA document. All changes to this section should be submitted to the responsible technical committee through public inputs or public comments to the source document. This requirement important for visibility for fire officials to ensure proper applications of interior finish requirements. The changes to the extracted text from NFPA 101 can be incorporated via TIA after the second draft.

TITLE OF	NEW CONTENT
<u>13.1.5.2 Fire</u>	e department connections (FDC) shall be located not less than 18 in. (450 mm) nor more that
<u>48 in. (1.2 n</u>	n) above the level of the adjoining ground, sidewalk, or grade surface. (NFPA 13 16.12.5.1.1)
atement of F	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
With the corre 9.9.6, there is guidance for F bmitter Info	elation between NFPA 13 sprinkler Section 16.12.5.1.1 and NFPA 14 standpipes Sect now common guidance. This public comment seeks to clarify that confusion and give FDC height 18 in. – 48 in. requirement for FDC's. rmation Verification
Submitter Fu	II Name: Terin Hopkins
Submitter Full	II Name: Terin Hopkins National Fire Sprinkler Associ
Submitter Fu Organization Street Addres	II Name: Terin Hopkins : National Fire Sprinkler Associ ss:
Submitter Fu Organization Street Addres City:	II Name: Terin Hopkins : National Fire Sprinkler Associ ss:
Submitter Fu Organization Street Addres City: State:	II Name: Terin Hopkins : National Fire Sprinkler Associ ss:
Submitter Fu Organization Street Addres City: State: Zip:	II Name: Terin Hopkins : National Fire Sprinkler Associ ss:
Submitter Ful Organization: Street Addres City: State: Zip: Submittal Dat	II Name: Terin Hopkins National Fire Sprinkler Associ ss: te: Tue Mar 26 12:30:38 EDT 2024
Submitter Ful Organization: Street Addres City: State: Zip: Submittal Dat Committee:	II Name: Terin Hopkins National Fire Sprinkler Associ ss: te: Tue Mar 26 12:30:38 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP
Submitter Ful Organization: Street Addres City: State: Zip: Submittal Dat Committee: mmittee Sta	II Name: Terin Hopkins National Fire Sprinkler Associ ss: te: Tue Mar 26 12:30:38 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP atement
Submitter Ful Organization: Street Addres City: State: Zip: Submittal Dat Committee: ommittee Sta	II Name: Terin Hopkins National Fire Sprinkler Associ ss: te: Tue Mar 26 12:30:38 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP atement FR-83-NEPA 1-2024

NFPA	
<u>13.1</u>	<u>1.9</u> <u>*</u>
_	
Wh	enever impairments, critical deficiencies, or non-critical deficiencies are identified in water-based
fire	protection systems maintained in accordance with
NE	PA 25
NFP	A 25 , both of the following shall apply:
(1)	Impairments or deficiencies shall be corrected or repaired in a timeframe approved by the AHJ.
(1)	Until impairments or deficiencies are corrected or repaired, an approved impairment <u>or</u> deficiency program shall be implemented in accordance with 13.3.3.6.5 or 13.3.3.6.6.
Statemen	t of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
Substan may no someth	ntiation: The use of the word correction indicates that an issue was designated as incorrect and t be interpreted as being resolved or repaired. Corrected -To show or tell someone that ing is wrong and to make it right.
Related F	Public Inputs for This Document
	•
Public	Related Input <u>Relationship</u>
Public Submitte	Related Input Relationship Input No. 134-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. A.13.1.9] r Information Verification
<u>Public</u> Submitte Submit	Related Input Relationship Input No. 134-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. A.13.1.9] r r Information Verification r
<u>Public</u> Submitte Submit Organia	Related Input Relationship Input No. 134-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. A.13.1.9] r r Information Verification r tter Full Name: Terin Hopkins r zation: National Fire Sprinkler Associ
Public Submitte Submit Organia Street A	Related Input Relationship Input No. 134-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. A.13.1.9] r r Information Verification r tter Full Name: Terin Hopkins r zation: National Fire Sprinkler Associ Address: National Fire Sprinkler Associ
Public Submitte Submit Organi: Street A City:	Related Input Relationship Input No. 134-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. A.13.1.9] Tinformation Verification r Information Verification Terin Hopkins zation: National Fire Sprinkler Associ Address: National Fire Sprinkler Associ
Public Submitte Submit Organia Street A City: State:	Related Input Relationship Input No. 134-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. A.13.1.9] Relationship r Information Verification refull Name: Terin Hopkins zation: National Fire Sprinkler Associ Address: National Fire Sprinkler Associ
Public Submitte Submit Organi: Street City: State: Zip:	Related Input Relationship Input No. 134-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. A.13.1.9] Tinformation Verification r Information Verification Ended to the section of the section
Public Submitte Submit Organia Street City: State: Zip: Submit	Related Input Relationship Input No. 134-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. A.13.1.9] Input No. 134-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. A.13.1.9] r Information Verification Input No. 134-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. A.13.1.9] r Information Verification Input No. 134-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. A.13.1.9] r Information Verification Input No. 134-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. A.13.1.9] rter Full Name: Terin Hopkins Input No. 140-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. A.13.1.9] Address: Input No. 140-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. A.13.1.9] ttal Date: Tue Mar 26 13:11:27 EDT 2024
Public Submitte Submit Organi: Street City: State: Zip: Submit Commi	Related Input Relationship Input No. 134-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. A.13.1.9] Relationship r Information Verification refull Name: Terin Hopkins: zation: National Fire Sprinkler Associ Address: refull Name: Tue Mar 26 13:11:27 EDT 2024 tter Eurin Hopkins: refull Name: Tue Mar 26 13:11:27 EDT 2024
Public Submitte Submit Organi: Street City: State: Zip: Submit Committe	Related Input Relationship Input No. 134-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. A.13.1.9] r Information Verification tter Full Name: Terin Hopkins zation: National Fire Sprinkler Associ Address: ttal Date: Tue Mar 26 13:11:27 EDT 2024 ittee: FCC-OCP
Public Submitte Submit Organi: Street City: State: Zip: Submit Committe Resolu	Related Input Relationship Input No. 134-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. A.13.1.9] Relationship r Information Verification refull Name: Terin Hopkins zation: National Fire Sprinkler Associ Address: National Fire Sprinkler Associ tal Date: Tue Mar 26 13:11:27 EDT 2024 tate Patter FCC-OCP e Statement Fr.84-NFPA 1-2024



Statement: This revision requires Class I standpipes for interior structure fire fighting in storage buildings of 300,000 sq ft or larger which are increasingly used as distribution facilities. Large facilities create reach issues for firefighters connecting to standpipes at the exterior of the building in stairwells. The FPRF will be releasing a report on High Piled Storage to be reviewed prior to the Second Draft.

<u>13.2.2.4</u>	
_	
New and Existing Dete	ention and Correctional Facilities.
<u>Standpipe and hose sy</u>	stems shall be provided in accordance with
Section 9	
Section 9 .10 of	
NFPA-	
<u>NFPA 101 as follows,</u>	unless otherwise permitted by <u>13.2.2.4.1</u> <u>:</u>
Class I	
<u>(1) Class I</u> <u>standpipe s</u>	ystems shall be provided for any building three or more stories in height.
Class III	
<u>(2) Class III standpipe</u>	and hose systems shall be provided for all nonsprinklered buildings three or
more stories in height	
{ <u>101</u> <u>:</u> <u>22.3.5.5;</u> <u>101</u> <u>:</u>	<u>23.3.5.5]</u>
<u>13.2.2.4.1</u>	
_	
<u>Ine requirements of</u>	13.2.2.4 shall not apply where otherwise permitted by the following:
<u>(1)</u> Formed hose,	
1 IN	
<u>1 in(</u>	
25 mm	
<u>25 mm) in diameter, c</u>	on hose reels shall be permitted to provide
Class II	
<u>Class II</u> <u>service.</u>	
<u>(2)</u> <u>Separate</u>	
Class I	
<u>Class I and</u>	
Class II	
<u>Class II systems shall l</u>	<u>pe permitted in lieu of a</u>
Class III	
Class III, system	

Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input

Substantiation: Class I standpipes are required in the International Building Code for all buildings 30 feet above/below or four or more stories above/below fire department access. This is antiquated extract that needs to be removed.

Submitter Information Verification

Submitter Full Name: Terin HopkinsOrganization:National Fire Sprinkler AssociStreet Address:City:City:State:Zip:Tue Mar 26 12:45:00 EDT 2024Committee:FCC-OCP

Committee Statement

Resolution: Standpipe and hose systems are critically important for detention and correction facilities where the facility staff responds to fires. These requirements apply to new and existing sprinklered and unsprinklered detention and correction facilities, not just three or four stories sprinklered or unsprinklered facilities respectively as required in 13.2.2.2.





<u>13.3.1.4</u> <u>S</u>	prinklers in Hazardous Areas
<u>13.3.1.4.1</u>	
<u>Sprinkler pi</u> connected ft2 (6.1 mm	ping serving not more than six sprinklers for any hazardous area shall be permitted to be directly to a domestic water supply system having a capacity sufficient to provide 0.15 gpm/ n/min) throughout the entire enclosed area. [101:9.7.1.2]
<u>13.3.1. 4.2</u>	5
<u>Sprinkler pi</u> shutoff valv location be	ping serving hazardous areas as described in 13.3.1.4 shall be provided with an indicating <u>e, supervised in accordance with 13.3.1.8 or NFPA 13, and installed in an accessible, visible</u> tween the sprinklers and the connection to the domestic water supply. [101:9.7.1.3]
During the last the content in bmitter Info	et cycle there was TC discussion about updating these sections with a title and placing subsections. There is no technical change.
During the last the content in bmitter Info Submitter Fu Organization	et cycle there was TC discussion about updating these sections with a title and placing subsections. There is no technical change. Immation Verification II Name: Jeffrey Hugo : National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA)
During the last the content in bmitter Info Submitter Fu Organization Affiliation:	 at cycle there was TC discussion about updating these sections with a title and placing subsections. There is no technical change. armation Verification II Name: Jeffrey Hugo National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA) National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA)
During the last the content in bmitter Info Submitter Fu Organization Affiliation: Street Addre	et cycle there was TC discussion about updating these sections with a title and placing subsections. There is no technical change. Il Name: Jeffrey Hugo : National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA) National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA) SS:
During the las the content in bmitter Info Submitter Fu Organization Affiliation: Street Addre City:	et cycle there was TC discussion about updating these sections with a title and placing subsections. There is no technical change. Irmation Verification II Name: Jeffrey Hugo : National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA) National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA) SS:
During the last the content in bmitter Info Submitter Fu Organization Affiliation: Street Addre City: State:	et cycle there was TC discussion about updating these sections with a title and placing subsections. There is no technical change. IT Name: Jeffrey Hugo : National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA) National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA) SS:
During the last the content in bmitter Info Submitter Fu Organization Affiliation: Street Addre City: State: Zip: Submittal Da	 at cycle there was TC discussion about updating these sections with a title and placing subsections. There is no technical change. armation Verification II Name: Jeffrey Hugo National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA) National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA) ss:
During the las the content in bmitter Info Submitter Fu Organization Affiliation: Street Addre City: State: Zip: Submittal Da Committee:	 t cycle there was TC discussion about updating these sections with a title and placing subsections. There is no technical change. formation Verification II Name: Jeffrey Hugo National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA) National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA) ss: te: Fri Mar 15 09:44:09 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP
During the last the content in bmitter Info Submitter Fu Organization Affiliation: Street Addres City: State: Zip: Submittal Da Committee:	 at cycle there was TC discussion about updating these sections with a title and placing subsections. There is no technical change. armation Verification II Name: Jeffrey Hugo National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA) National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA) ss: te: Fri Mar 15 09:44:09 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP
During the last the content in bmitter Info Submitter Fu Organization Affiliation: Street Addre City: State:	at cycle there was TC discussion about updating these sections with a title and place subsections. There is no technical change. II Name: Jeffrey Hugo : National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA) National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA) SS:
During the las the content in bmitter Info Submitter Fu Organization Affiliation: Street Addre City: State: Zip: Submittal Da Committee Sta	 at cycle there was TC discussion about updating these sections with a title and placing subsections. There is no technical change. armation Verification II Name: Jeffrey Hugo National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA) National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA) ss: te: Fri Mar 15 09:44:09 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP atement

Public Input No. 4-NFPA 1-202	4 [Section No. 13.3.2.6.1	1
13.3.2.6.1		
Where the occupant load exceeds 100 protected throughout by an approved, accordance with Section 13.3 <u>.1.2</u> :	0 , the - <u>The</u> following assembly c electrically-supervised automat	ccupancies shall be ic sprinkler system in
(1) Dance halls		
(2) Discotheques		
(3) Nightclubs		
(4) <u>Bars</u>		
(5) <u>Restaurants</u>		
(6) Assembly occupancies with festiv	/al seating	
[101: 13 <u>12</u> .3.5.1]		
tional Proposed Changes		
File Name	Description	Approved

File Name	Description
NFPA_1_TIA_Log_1609_Issuance.pdf	NFPA TIA 21-12 Log No. 1609
1_TIA_Log_1609_FCC-OCP.pdf	NFPA TIA 21-12 Log No. 1609

Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input

"NOTE: This public input originates from Tentative Interim Amendment No. 21-12 (Log 1609) issued by the Standards Council on 12/08/2021 and per the NFPA Regs., needs to be reconsidered by the Technical Committee for the next edition of the Document."

NFPA 1 (2021) Chapter 13, Section 13.3.2.6.1 (Extract from NFPA 101 (2021), Section 12.3.5.1)

NFPA 1 extracted this section from NFPA 101 for many cycles. During the 2018-2021 code cycle for NFPA 101 - 2021 edition, the technical committee unanimously amend section 12.3.5.1 at first draft. This added Bars and Restaurants to the list of existing assembly occupancies requiring supervised automatic sprinkler systems. NFPA 101 Section 12.3.5.1 was once again extracted by the NFPA 1 technical committee into the 2021 edition of NFPA 1, Section 13.3.2.6.1. Unfortunately, the extract was not updated correctly during the extract review process which inappropriately omitted the change. This requirement needs to be corrected to clarify the error and document the appropriate change in NFPA 1 (2021).

Emergency Nature: The standard contains an error or an omission that was overlooked during the regular revision process. The NFPA Standard contains a conflict within the NFPA Standards or within another NFPA Standard. The proposed TIA intends to correct a previously unknown existing hazard. The proposed TIA intends to offer to the public a benefit that would lessen a recognized (known) hazard or ameliorate a continuing dangerous condition or situation. The proposed TIA intends to accomplish a recognition of an advance in the art of safeguarding property or life where an alternative method is not in current use or is unavailable to the public. The proposed TIA intends to correct a circumstance in which the revised NFPA Standard has resulted in an adverse impact on a product or method that was inadvertently overlooked in the total revision process or was without adequate technical (safety) justification of the action. The omission of fire sprinkler protection in bars and restaurants, required by NFPA 101 -Life Safety Code, creates a significant public life safety concern. This was overlooked in the extract

process and this TIA seeks to correct that error in a time sensitive manor correlating it with the requirements of NFPA 101.

Submitter Information Verification

Submitter Full Name	:
Organization:	TIA
Affiliation:	NFPA 1 TC on FCC-OCP
Street Address:	
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Date:	Tue Jan 16 11:12:56 EST 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP

Committee Statement

Resolution: The technical committee reviewed the changes made by this TIA and had decided that no action is required.

IF.

-

Public li	nput No. 135-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 13.3.2.24.2.3]			
NFPA				
<u>13.3.2.24</u> <u>sprinkler</u> <u>1, 2033 .</u>	<u>13.3.2.24.2.3</u> <u>+</u> <u>The entire building shall be required to be protected by an approved automatic</u> <u>sprinkler system</u> within 12 years of adoption of this <u>Code</u> in accordance with Section 13 . 3 by January <u>1, 2033</u> .			
Statement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input			
Substantiatic for the desig Code since 2 adoption. Th clarity.	on: The 12-year time frame was developed to encourage and allow building owners to plan n and installation of fire sprinklers over 12 years. This requirement has been in the Fire 2018 and would require buildings to be fully sprinklered between 2030-2032 depending on is proposal just seeks to correlate the current deadline found in the Life Safety Code for			
Submitter Info	ormation Verification			
Submitter F	ull Name: Terin Hopkins			
Organizatio	Organization: National Fire Sprinkler Associ			
Street Addre	Street Address:			
City:				
State:				
Submittal D	ate: Tue Mar 26 13:15:49 EDT 2024			
Committee:	FCC-OCP			
Committee St	ommittee Statement			
Resolution:	FR-91-NFPA 1-2024			
Statement:	The 12-year time frame was developed to encourage and allow building owners to plan for the design and installation of fire sprinklers over 12 years. This requirement has been in the Fire Code since 2018 and would require buildings to be fully sprinklered between 2030-2032 depending on adoption. This revision takes the current deadline for existing high-rise apartment buildings found in the Life Safety Code and applies it to all existing high-rise buildings.			



<u>13.3.3.3 (renumb</u>	er subsequent sections) Automatic Sprinklers in Existing Buildings
<u>13.3.3.1</u>	
Where automatic s with new listed auto Section 13.3.1.2.	prinklers have been installed for 80 or more years, the sprinklers shall be replace omatic sprinklers appropriate for the occupancy classification in accordance with
<u>13.3.3.3</u>	
Where automatic s sprinklers shall be p orientation and orif	prinklers have been installed in storage occupancies for 80 or more years, the permitted to be replaced with new listed automatic sprinklers of the same fice size.
<u>13.3.3.3</u>	
New automatic spri	nkler installation and modifications shall be in accordance with Section 13.3.1.2
<u>13.3.3.3.1</u>	
Automatic sprinkler	rs in concealed and inaccessible spaces are permitted to remain in service when nd maintained in accordance with Section 13.3.3.2.
<u>13.3.3.3.3.2</u>	
The AHJ is permitte	d to extend the schedule of replacement sprinklers in accordance with Section 1
ment of Proble	m and Substantiation for Public Input
le proposal mandat atch hazard classifie riety of operating te ongside quicker res quirements more ef anufacturer installat entification number	es replacing fire sprinklers older than 80 years with contemporary models cations, incorporating technological advancements. Modern sprinklers fea emperatures, deflector styles, orifice sizes, and improved water distribution ponse operating elements. This initiative aims to meet current fire safety fectively than systems installed over eight decades ago, facilitated by ion guidelines and the ability to search specific regulations using the sprin (SIN) for all units post-December 31, 2000.
cording to the 2023	edition of NFPA 25, sprinklers manufactured after 1920 and in service fo

systems a lifespan extension up to 80 years after testing, with a single 5-year extension beyond the 75-year threshold, excluding concealed or inaccessible units. The objective is to upgrade fire sprinkler systems to modern standards, which are crucial for the safety of occupants and protection of property, especially in residential settings.

For storage areas specifically, the proposal advocates for the adoption of newer sprinkler technology that meets current fire safety standards, with provisions for maintaining the same orifice size and orientation. Exceptions for inaccessible sprinklers and discretionary extensions by fire code officials are included, offering adaptability while maintaining safety integrity.

Submitter Information Verification

Submitter Full Name: Jeffrey HugoOrganization:National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA)

Affiliation: Street Addre City: State: Zip:	National Fire Sprinkler Association (NFSA) ss:
Submittal Da Committee:	te: Tue Mar 26 11:06:11 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP
Committee St	atement
Resolution:	The proposed revisions regarding sprinkler testing are within the scope of NFPA 25, Standard for the Inspection, Testing, and Maintenance of Water-Based Fire Protection Systems, not NFPA 1. The technical change to limiting the time sprinklers can be installed should be addressed by NFPA 25 with test data.

NFPA	Public Input No. 34-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13.3.3.3]
	Insert a new 13.3.3 and renumber the remaining:
	13.3.3.3 Evaluation of Occupancies with High-Piled Storage
	13.3.3.1 Fire sprinkler protected storage occupancies with high-piled storage shall have a Registered Design Professional or other knowledgeable and experienced personnel approved by the AHJ inspect the occupancy at least once every five years for:
	1. Storage arrangement and types of commodities are consistent with the Owner's Certificate for the fire sprinkler system; and
	2. Storage arrangement and types of commodities are consistent with the General Information Sign for the fire sprinkler system; and
	3. The fire sprinkler system design, fire sprinkler system shop drawings and field installation are appropriate for the protection of the current hazard and storage arrangement within the high-piled storage; and
	4. The water supply to the fire sprinkler system is consistent with the fire sprinkler system design; and
	5. Any identified deficiencies in the current level of protection of the fire sprinkler system that would hinder the fire sprinkler system from providing a reasonable degree of protection for life and property from fire.
	<u>13.3.3.2 The Registered Design Professional or other knowledgeable and experienced</u> <u>personnel conducting the evaluation shall, within 30 calendar days of the evaluation, produce a</u> <u>report to the AHJ and the owner on compliance with section 13.3.3.3.1 noting any deficiencies.</u>
	13.3.3.3 The Registered Design Professional or other knowledgeable and experienced personnel conducting the evaluation shall immediately report to the AHJ and the owner any identified issues that would meet the definition of an imminent danger.
tater	nent of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
noi juri bas ana as per fire rev ens	viewing proper commodity classifications, storage arrangements and sprinkler system design are within the scope of NFPA 25. These items are left to the AHJ to police. However, in many sdictions, AHJs may not have the resources to conduct existing occupancy inspections on a regula sis and, when they do have the resources, they may not have the expertise or the time to delve inter- alyzing existing storage arrangements and fire protection system design to ensure the variables are originally intended in the design. Due to these issues and owners changing commodities without mits and redesign of their fire sprinkler systems, we have seen a demonstrated pattern of high-los s in high-piled storage arrangements. This PI ensures that high-piled storage arrangements are iewed at least every 5 years by a Registered Design Professional or other party with expertise to sure the design of the sprinkler system is still appropriate to the hazard.
noi juri ba: an; as per fire rev en; Subm	viewing proper commodity classifications, storage arrangements and sprinkler system design are within the scope of NFPA 25. These items are left to the AHJ to police. However, in many sdictions, AHJs may not have the resources to conduct existing occupancy inspections on a regula sis and, when they do have the resources, they may not have the expertise or the time to delve into alyzing existing storage arrangements and fire protection system design to ensure the variables are originally intended in the design. Due to these issues and owners changing commodities without mits and redesign of their fire sprinkler systems, we have seen a demonstrated pattern of high-los s in high-piled storage arrangements. This PI ensures that high-piled storage arrangements are iewed at least every 5 years by a Registered Design Professional or other party with expertise to sure the design of the sprinkler system is still appropriate to the hazard. itter Information Verification
noi juri bas ana as pei fire rev ens Subm	viewing proper commodity classifications, storage arrangements and sprinkler system design are within the scope of NFPA 25. These items are left to the AHJ to police. However, in many sdictions, AHJs may not have the resources to conduct existing occupancy inspections on a regula sis and, when they do have the resources, they may not have the expertise or the time to delve into alyzing existing storage arrangements and fire protection system design to ensure the variables are originally intended in the design. Due to these issues and owners changing commodities without mits and redesign of their fire sprinkler systems, we have seen a demonstrated pattern of high-los s in high-piled storage arrangements. This PI ensures that high-piled storage arrangements are iewed at least every 5 years by a Registered Design Professional or other party with expertise to sure the design of the sprinkler system is still appropriate to the hazard. itter Information Verification omitter Full Name: Anthony Apfelbeck
noi juri bas ana as per fire rev ens Subm	viewing proper commodity classifications, storage arrangements and sprinkler system design are within the scope of NFPA 25. These items are left to the AHJ to police. However, in many sdictions, AHJs may not have the resources to conduct existing occupancy inspections on a regula sis and, when they do have the resources, they may not have the expertise or the time to delve inter- alyzing existing storage arrangements and fire protection system design to ensure the variables are originally intended in the design. Due to these issues and owners changing commodities without mits and redesign of their fire sprinkler systems, we have seen a demonstrated pattern of high-los s in high-piled storage arrangements. This PI ensures that high-piled storage arrangements are iewed at least every 5 years by a Registered Design Professional or other party with expertise to sure the design of the sprinkler system is still appropriate to the hazard. itter Information Verification omitter Full Name: Anthony Apfelbeck ganization: Altamonte Springs Building and Fire Safety Department
noi juri ba: an; as per fire rev en; Subm Sub Org Str	viewing proper commodity classifications, storage arrangements and sprinkler system design are within the scope of NFPA 25. These items are left to the AHJ to police. However, in many sdictions, AHJs may not have the resources to conduct existing occupancy inspections on a regula is and, when they do have the resources, they may not have the expertise or the time to delve intra alyzing existing storage arrangements and fire protection system design to ensure the variables are originally intended in the design. Due to these issues and owners changing commodities without mits and redesign of their fire sprinkler systems, we have seen a demonstrated pattern of high-los s in high-piled storage arrangements. This PI ensures that high-piled storage arrangements are iewed at least every 5 years by a Registered Design Professional or other party with expertise to sure the design of the sprinkler system is still appropriate to the hazard. itter Information Verification bmitter Full Name: Anthony Apfelbeck ganization: Altamonte Springs Building and Fire Safety Department eet Address:
noi juri ba: ana as pei fire rev en: Subm Sul Org Str Cit	viewing proper commodity classifications, storage arrangements and sprinkler system design are within the scope of NFPA 25. These items are left to the AHJ to police. However, in many sdictions, AHJs may not have the resources to conduct existing occupancy inspections on a regula sis and, when they do have the resources, they may not have the expertise or the time to delve into alyzing existing storage arrangements and fire protection system design to ensure the variables are originally intended in the design. Due to these issues and owners changing commodities without mits and redesign of their fire sprinkler systems, we have seen a demonstrated pattern of high-los s in high-piled storage arrangements. This PI ensures that high-piled storage arrangements are iewed at least every 5 years by a Registered Design Professional or other party with expertise to sure the design of the sprinkler system is still appropriate to the hazard. itter Information Verification bmitter Full Name: Anthony Apfelbeck ganization: Altamonte Springs Building and Fire Safety Department eet Address: y:
noi juri ba: an; as per fire rev en; Subm Sul Orç Str Cit Sta	viewing proper commodity classifications, storage arrangements and sprinkler system design are within the scope of NFPA 25. These items are left to the AHJ to police. However, in many sdictions, AHJs may not have the resources to conduct existing occupancy inspections on a regula sis and, when they do have the resources, they may not have the expertise or the time to delve into alyzing existing storage arrangements and fire protection system design to ensure the variables are originally intended in the design. Due to these issues and owners changing commodities without mits and redesign of their fire sprinkler systems, we have seen a demonstrated pattern of high-los s in high-piled storage arrangements. This PI ensures that high-piled storage arrangements are iewed at least every 5 years by a Registered Design Professional or other party with expertise to sure the design of the sprinkler system is still appropriate to the hazard. itter Information Verification bmitter Full Name: Anthony Apfelbeck ganization: Altamonte Springs Building and Fire Safety Department eet Address: y: te:

Submittal Date:Mon Jan 29 10:12:15 EST 2024Committee:FCC-OCP

Committee Statement

Resolution: This is already covered in NFPA 25 which requires an hazard evaluation upon change of use or occupancy. NFPA 1 requires the storage to comply with the approved storage floor plan, deviations would require an analysis.

13.7.1.1		
Where bu required b <i>NFP</i> A 70,	Where building fire alarm systems and signaling systems or automatic fire detectors are required by other sections of this <i>Code</i> , they shall be provided and installed in accordance with <i>NFPA 70</i> , <i>NFPA 72</i> , and Section 13.7.	
atement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input	
Alarm system system that r Depending o other gas det	ns are governed by NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling System Code. A signali nay be installed within a protected premises may be for more than just fire detection. n the occupancy classification and use, the system may be providing CO, CO2, NH3 an tection, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter.	
bmitter Info	ormation Verification	
Submitter Fu	III Name: Shane Clary	
Organization	I: Bay Alarm Company	
Affiliation:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)	
Street Addre	ess:	
City:		
State:		
Zip:		
Submittal Da	ate: Sun Mar 31 18:49:05 EDT 2024	
Committee:	FCC-OCP	
mmittee St	atement	
Resolution:	FR-128-NFPA 1-2024	
	This revision clarifies that alarm systems are governed by NFPA 72, National Fire Alarn	

Γ

l

.1 I fire alarm systems <u>and signaling systems</u> shall include, but shall not be limited to, systems that are not fully operational, are no longer monitored as required by the AHJ, nder renovation or repair.		
fire alarm systems - <u>and signaling systems</u> shall include, but shall not be limited to, systems that are not fully operational, are no longer monitored as required by the AHJ, nder renovation or repair.		
Problem and Substantiation for Public Input		
ms are governed by NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling System Code. A signaling may be installed within a protected premises may be for more than just fire detection. on the occupancy classification and use, the system may be providing CO, CO2, NH3 and etection, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter.		
formation Verification		
Submitter Full Name: Shane Clary		
n: Bay Alarm Company		
Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)		
ress:		
Date: Sun Mar 31 18:52:10 EDT 2024		
FCC-OCP		
tatement		
: <u>FR-128-NFPA 1-2024</u>		
This revision clarifies that alarm systems are governed by NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling System Code. A signaling system that may be installed within a protected premises may be for more than just fire detection. Depending on the occupancy classification and use, the system may be providing CO, CO2, NH3 and other gas detection, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter.		

Public Ir	וput No. 138-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 13.7.1.5.3]	
13.7.1.5.	3	
The AHJ accordan classified	The AHJ shall be authorized to require standby fire personnel or an approved fire watch in accordance with 1.7. 16 at <u>17 at</u> premises in which required fire alarm systems are impaired or classified as chronic nuisance alarm prone systems.	
Statement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input	
This PI renur 1.7.17 was re Fire Watch P	nbers the reference from 1.7.16 to 1.7.17. It appears that during a previous edition section enumbered and not picked up as an editorial change. Section 1.17.17 is the "Standby and 'ersonnel" section.	
Submitter Infe	ormation Verification	
Submitter F	ull Name: Anthony Apfelbeck	
Organization	Organization: Altamonte Springs Building and Fire Safety	
Street Addre	ess:	
City:		
Zin [.]		
Submittal D	ate: Thu Mar 28 07:25:24 EDT 2024	
Committee:	FCC-OCP	
Committee St	atement	
Resolution:	FR-99-NFPA 1-2024	
Statement:	This revision changes the reference from 1.7.16 to 1.7.17. It appears that during a previous edition section 1.7.17 was renumbered and not picked up as an editorial	

Public II	nput No. 155-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 13.7.1.5.4]			
13.7.1.5.	13.7.1.5.4			
Fire alarn a 365-day	Fire alarm systems <u>and signaling systems</u> that have produced five or more nuisance alarms in a 365-day period shall be classified as chronic nuisance alarm prone systems.			
Statement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input			
Alarm syster system that Depending c other gas de	ns are governed by NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling System Code. A signaling may be installed within a protected premises may be for more than just fire detection. In the occupancy classification and use, the system may be providing CO, CO2, NH3 and tection, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter.			
Submitter Inf	ormation Verification			
Submitter F	ull Name: Shane Clary			
Organizatio	n: Bay Alarm Company			
Affiliation:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)			
Street Addre	ess:			
City:				
State:				
Zip:				
Submittal D	ate: Sun Mar 31 18:54:07 EDT 2024			
Committee:	FCC-OCP			
Committee St	atement			
Resolution:	FR-128-NFPA 1-2024			
Statement:	Statement: This revision clarifies that alarm systems are governed by NFPA 72, National Fire Al and Signaling System Code. A signaling system that may be installed within a protect premises may be for more than just fire detection. Depending on the occupancy classification and use, the system may be providing CO, CO2, NH3 and other gas detection, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter.			



Γ

l

Public II	າput No. 157-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 13.7.1.5.6]	
13.7.1.5.	6*	
It is prohi chronic-n 13.7.1.5.5	It is prohibited for an owner to operate a fire alarm <u>and signaling</u> system that is classified as a chronic-nuisance-alarm-prone system unless corrective actions taken in accordance with 13.7.1.5.5 have occurred to mitigate the cause of the nuisance alarms.	
Statement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input	
Alarm syster system that r Depending o other gas de	ns are governed by NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling System Code. A signaling nay be installed within a protected premises may be for more than just fire detection. n the occupancy classification and use, the system may be providing CO, CO2, NH3 and tection, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter.	
Submitter Infe	ormation Verification	
Submitter F	ull Name: Shane Clary	
Organizatio	n: Bay Alarm Company	
Affiliation:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)	
Street Addre	ess:	
City:		
State:		
Zip:		
Submittal D	ate: Sun Mar 31 19:02:14 EDT 2024	
Committee:	FCC-OCP	
Committee St	atement	
Resolution :	FR-128-NFPA 1-2024	
Statement: This revision clarifies that alarm systems are governed by NFPA 72, National Fi and Signaling System Code. A signaling system that may be installed within a p premises may be for more than just fire detection. Depending on the occupancy classification and use, the system may be providing CO, CO2, NH3 and other g detection, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shoce		

Public Input N	o. 245-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section af	fter 13.7.1.5.8]		
13.7.1.5.8.1 Whe also immediate 13.7.1.5.8 exist.	ere supervisory service is provided, fire alar y notify the fire alarm supervising station w	m service companies shall then any of the conditions in		
Statement of Proble	em and Substantiation for Public Inp	ut		
The proposed change text, are intended to representative is res notifications to the pro- owner or their design to establish the conta When a fire alarm set to notify the owner on AHJ when required rest	The proposed changes to section 13.7.1.5.8 and the addition of related section 13.7.1.5.9 and annex text, are intended to clarify how the notification process should work. The owner or their designated representative is responsible for the overall performance and maintenance of the system as well as notifications to the proper entities of the status of the system, including notification of the AHJ. The owner or their designated representative must know who to contact for the purpose of notifications and to establish the contact for the fire alarm supervising station. When a fire alarm service company determines that one or more of the five conditions exist, they are to notify the owner or their designated representative. The fire alarm supervising station notifies the AHJ when required monitoring is discontinued by the owner or their authorized representative.			
Related Public Inpu	ts for This Document			
Public Input No. 175 Public Input No. 246	Related Input 5-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 13.7.1.5.8] 6-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13.7.1.5.8]	Relationship Subsection to 13.7.1.5.8		
Submitter Informati	on Verification			
Submitter Full Nam Organization: Street Address: City: State: Zip:	e: Terry Victor Risk Suppression Partners LLC			
Submittal Date: Committee:	Thu Apr 04 12:59:45 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP			
Committee Stateme	nt			
Resolution : NFPA signals	72 contains the requirements for transmitting fir from the protected premises to the supervising	re alarm, trouble, and supervisory g station.		



71 of 162

City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Date:	Thu Apr 04 13:00:35 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP

Committee Statement

Resolution: NFPA 72 contains the requirements for reporting of impairments and to whom the reporting is to be addressed.
~	
13.7.	1.5.8*
Fire a comp	larm <u>and signaling</u> supervising stations and fire alarm <u>and signaling systems</u> service anies shall immediately notify the AHJ when any of the following conditions exists:
(1) A	fire alarm system and signaling system is impaired.
(2) F	Required system monitoring is no longer being provided.
(3) F	Required testing, service, and maintenance is no longer being provided.
(4) A o	fire alarm <u>and signaling</u> system cannot be serviced or repaired to make it fully perational.
(5) A n	fire alarm <u>and signaling</u> system cannot be serviced or repaired to eliminate chronic uisance alarms.
system tl Dependir other gas omitter	stems are governed by NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling System Code. A signat may be installed within a protected premises may be for more than just fire detection of the occupancy classification and use, the system may be providing CO, CO2, NH3 of the occupancy as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter.
system ti Dependii other gas omitter Submitte Organiza Affiliatio	stems are governed by NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling System Code. A signat may be installed within a protected premises may be for more than just fire detection on the occupancy classification and use, the system may be providing CO, CO2, NH3 a detection, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter. Information Verification Full Name: Shane Clary Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
system ti Dependir other gas omitter Submitte Organiza Affiliatio Street Ac City: State: Zip:	stems are governed by NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling System Code. A signat may be installed within a protected premises may be for more than just fire detection of on the occupancy classification and use, the system may be providing CO, CO2, NH3 a detection, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter. Information Verification Frull Name: Shane Clary The addition and the company The Alarm Company The Alarm Association (AFAA) ddress:
system ti Dependii other gas omitter Submitte Organiza Affiliatio Street Ac City: State: Zip: Submitta	stems are governed by NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling System Code. A signat may be installed within a protected premises may be for more than just fire detection ing on the occupancy classification and use, the system may be providing CO, CO2, NH3 is detection, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter. Information Verification For Full Name: Shane Clary Attomatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) ddress: A Date: Sun Mar 31 19:04:38 EDT 2024
system ti Dependii other gas omitter Submitte Organiza Affiliatio Street Ac City: State: Zip: Submitta Committ	stems are governed by NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling System Code. A signat may be installed within a protected premises may be for more than just fire detection of on the occupancy classification and use, the system may be providing CO, CO2, NH3 detection, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter. Information Verification er Full Name: Shane Clary ation: Bay Alarm Company n: Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) ddress: Al Date: Sun Mar 31 19:04:38 EDT 2024 ee: FCC-OCP
system ti Dependii other gas omitter Submitte Organiza Affiliatio Street Ac City: State: Zip: Submitta Committee	stems are governed by NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling System Code. A signat may be installed within a protected premises may be for more than just fire detection ag on the occupancy classification and use, the system may be providing CO, CO2, NH3 detection, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter. Information Verification For Full Name: Shane Clary ation: Bay Alarm Company n: Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) ddress: Al Date: Sun Mar 31 19:04:38 EDT 2024 see: FCC-OCP Statement
system ti Dependii other gas omitter Submitte Organiza Affiliatio Street Ac City: State: Zip: Submitta Committ mmittee Resoluti	stems are governed by NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling System Code. A signat may be installed within a protected premises may be for more than just fire detection and on the occupancy classification and use, the system may be providing CO, CO2, NH3 detection, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter. Information Verification er Full Name: Shane Clary ation: Bay Alarm Company n: Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) ddress: al Date: Sun Mar 31 19:04:38 EDT 2024 see: FCC-OCP e Statement on: FR-128-NFPA 1-2024



13.7.1.5.8*

Fire alarm supervising stations and fire alarm service companies shall immediately notify the AHJ owner or their designated representative when any of the following conditions exists:

- (1) A fire alarm system is impaired.
- (2) Required system monitoring is no longer being provided.
- (3) Required testing, service, and maintenance is no longer being provided.
- (4) A fire alarm system cannot be serviced or repaired to make it fully operational.
- (5) A fire alarm system cannot be serviced or repaired to eliminate chronic nuisance alarms.

Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input

As currently written, section 13.7.1.5.8 requires two entities to notify the "AHJ" if any of the five conditions exist with a fire alarm system. The definition of the term "AHJ" is broad in the NFPA codes and standards, and in NFPA 1 enforcement of the code is by the AHJ entity that the governing authority designates (1.6). As explained in the annex (A.3.2.2) the AHJ can be any one of over a dozen different entities. The question then arises, which AHJ is to be notified of the conditions of the fire alarm system?

The proposed changes to section 13.7.1.5.8 and the addition of related section 13.7.1.5.9 and annex text, are intended to clarify how the notification process should work. The owner or their designated representative is responsible for the overall performance and maintenance of the system as well as notifications to the proper entities of the status of the system, including notification of the AHJ. The owner or their designated representative must know who to contact for the purpose of notifications and to establish the contact for the fire alarm supervising station.

When a fire alarm service company determines that one or more of the five conditions exist, they are to notify the owner or their designated representative. The fire alarm supervising station notifies the AHJ when required monitoring is discontinued by the owner or their authorized representative.

Related Public Inputs for This Document

Related Input

Public Input No. 245-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13.7.1.5.8] Public Input No. 246-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13.7.1.5.8] Public Input No. 247-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. A.13.7.1.5.8]

Submitter Information Verification

Submitter Full Name:	Terry Victor
Organization:	Risk Suppression Partners LLC
Street Address:	
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Date:	Mon Apr 01 14:34:24 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP

Relationship

Committee Statement

Resolution: The proposed revision would create a conflict with the fire alarm service company reporting requirements in NFPA 72.

-PA	
13.7.1.5.)
The syste serviced of	m owner shall replace required fire alarm <u>and signaling</u> systems that cannot be or repaired to eliminate system impairments or chronic nuisance alarms.
atement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
Alarm systen system that r Depending o other gas de	ns are governed by NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling System Code. A signalin nay be installed within a protected premises may be for more than just fire detection. n the occupancy classification and use, the system may be providing CO, CO2, NH3 and tection, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter.
ubmitter Info	ormation Verification
Submitter Fi	JII Name: Shane Clary
Organization	I: Bay Alarm Company
Affiliation:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
Street Addre	ess:
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Da	ate: Sun Mar 31 19:07:44 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
ommittee St	atement
Resolution :	FR-128-NFPA 1-2024
Statement:	This revision clarifies that alarm systems are governed by NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling System Code. A signaling system that may be installed within a protected premises may be for more than just fire detection. Depending on the occupancy classification and use, the system may be providing CO, CO2, NH3 and other gas

Public Input N	lo. 142-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13.7.1.15.1]
13.7.1.16 Fuel-Ga shall be provided	s Detection Where required by another section of this Code, fuel-gas-detection in accordance with NFPA 715
Statement of Proble	em and Substantiation for Public Input
This Public Input (PI from fires caused by recommendation by PAR-19/01 PB2019- requires methane de recommendation by Explosions and Leak 2012 and 2016 an e ignition of natural ga that caused an avera homes, including ma LP-Gas leaks have of the 2023 edition of N The technical require (FPRF) report, Coml Analysis. The report an analysis of combr) seeks to protect occupants in new hotels, dormitories and apartment buildings in natural gas or propane explosions or leaks. The PI is in response to the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) in NTSB Report NTSB/ 100722 Building Explosion and Fire Silver Spring, Maryland that the ICC " etection systems for all types of residential occupancies with gas service." The the NTSB is supported by a 2018 NFPA report, Natural Gas and Propane Fires, is Estimates and Incidents - Marty Ahrens and Ben Evarts October 2018: Between stimated average of 4,200 U.S. home structure fires per year started with the s age of 40 deaths per year. The report classifies homes as one- and two-family anufactured homes, and apartments and other multi-family housing. Natural gas of generally been increasing since 2007. The requirements in this PI are based on IFPA 715 standard, Installation for Fuel Gas Detection and Warning Equipment. ements in NFPA 715 were based on the Fire Protection Research Foundation bustible Gas Dispersion in Residential Occupancies and Detector Location studied combustible gas leaks and dispersion in residential buildings, as well as ustible gas detector placement.
Related Public Inpu	its for This Document
Dublic Input No. 14	Related Input Relationship Relationship
Public Input No. 14	1-NEPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13.7.2.17.6.5]
Public Input No. 14	5-NEPA 1-2024 [New Section after 3 3 310]
Public Input No. 146	6-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 2.2]
Submitter Informati	on Verification
Submitter Full Nam	e: Rick Trieste
Organization: Street Address: City: State:	Consolidated Edison Company of
Zip:	
Submittal Date: Committee:	Thu Mar 28 16:35:15 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP
Committee Stateme	ent
Resolution: The pro	oposed revision seeks to provide requirements for fuel gas detection in specific

purview of the responsible NFPA 101 occupancy committee.



79 of 162

10/24/2024, 1:11 PM

Submitter F	ull Name: Shane Clary
Organizatio	n: Bay Alarm Company
Affiliation:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
Street Addr	ess:
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal D	ate: Thu Apr 04 00:46:02 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
Committee S	tatement
Resolution:	FR-100-NFPA 1-2024
Statement:	This revision correlates the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 101. This revision is needed because during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA 1.

Г

<u>13.7</u>	12.0.0 Carbon Monoxide Detection.
<u>Sect</u>	<u>.2.6.6.1</u> <u>Carbon Monoxide Detection Systems. Carbon monoxide detectors in accordance with</u> ion 13.7.1.14 shall be provided in existing day-care occupancies in the locations specified as follows
(1)	Carbon monoxide detectors shall be installed on the ceilings of rooms containing permanently installed fuel-burning appliances.
(2)	Carbon monoxide detectors shall be installed centrally located within occupiable spaces served by the first supply air register from a permanently installed, fuel-burning HVAC system.
(3)	Carbon monoxide detectors shall be installed centrally located within occupiable spaces adjacent ta communicating attached garage.
(4)	Carbon monoxide detectors shall be installed centrally located within occupiable spaces adjacent t an attached garage with a separation wall constructed of gypsum panels.
[<u>10</u> :	<u>l: 17.3.4.5.1]</u>
<u>13.7</u> aları loca	.2.6.6.2 Where carbon monoxide detectors are installed in accordance with 13.7.2.6.6.1 (1), the n signal shall be automatically transmitted to an approved on-site location or to an off-premises tion in accordance with NFPA 72.
[<u>10:</u>	<u>l: 17.3.4.5.2</u>]
<u>13.7</u> loca	.2.6.6.3 Carbon monoxide detectors as specified in 13.7.2.6.6.1 shall not be required in the followir tions:
(1)	Garages
(2)	Occupiable spaces with communicating attached garages that are open parking structures as defined in 3.3.203.26.3
(3)	Occupiable spaces with communicating attached garages that are mechanically ventilated in accordance with the applicable mechanical code
(4)	Occupiable spaces that are separated from attached garages by walls constructed of gypsum pane where the garage is an open parking structure as defined in 3.3.203.26.3
(5)	Occupiable spaces that are separated from attached garages by walls constructed of gypsum pane where the garage is mechanically ventilated in accordance with the mechanical code
[10'	<u>l: 17.3.4.5.3]</u>

Affiliation: Street Addre City: State:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
Zip:	
Submittal Da	ate: Thu Apr 04 00:50:52 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
Committee St	atement
Resolution:	FR-102-NFPA 1-2024
Statement:	This revision correlates the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 101. This revision is needed because during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA 1.





Affiliation: Street Addre City: State: Zin:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
ZID:	
Submittal Da	ate: Thu Apr 04 01:00:53 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
Committee St	atement
Resolution:	<u>FR-104-NFPA 1-2024</u>
Statement:	This revision correlates the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 101. This revision is needed because during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA 1.

<u>13.</u>	7.2.11.5 Carbo	n Monoxide Detection.
dete	ection and warr	ning equipment in accordance with Section 13.7.1.14 in all of the following locations:
(1)	On the ceiling fireplaces	s of rooms containing permanently installed fuel-burning appliances or fuel-burning
(2)	Centrally locat installed fuel-	ted within occupiable spaces served by the first supply air register from permanently burning HVAC systems
(3)	* Centrally loc equipment the	ated within occupiable spaces adjacent to an attached garage that contains at could produce carbon monoxide
[<u>10</u>	1: <u>22.3.4.5.1</u>]	
This Pl require bmitte	ublic input (PI) is needed be ments in the 2 r Informati	seeks to correlate the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 1 cause during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA 7 on Verification
This Pl require bmitte Submir	ublic input (PI) is needed be ments in the 2 r Informati tter Full Nam	 seeks to correlate the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 1 cause during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA 7 on Verification e: Shane Clary
This Pl require bmitte Submir Organi	ublic input (PI) is needed be ments in the 2 r Informati tter Full Nam zation:	 seeks to correlate the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 1 cause during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA 7 on Verification e: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company
This Pl require bmitte Submit Organi Affiliat	ublic input (PI) is needed be ments in the 2 r Informati tter Full Nam zation: ion:	 seeks to correlate the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 1 cause during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA 7 on Verification e: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
This Pl require bmitte Submi Organi Affiliat Street	ublic input (PI) is needed be ments in the 2 r Informati tter Full Nam zation: ion: Address:	 seeks to correlate the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 1 cause during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA 7 on Verification e: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
This Pl require bmitte Submit Organi Affiliat Street City:	ublic input (PI) is needed be ments in the 2 r Informati tter Full Nam zation: ion: Address:	 seeks to correlate the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 1 cause during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA 7 on Verification e: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
This Pl require bmitte Submit Organi Affiliat Street City: State:	ublic input (PI) is needed be ments in the 2 r Informati tter Full Nam zation: ion: Address:	 seeks to correlate the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 1 cause during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA 7 on Verification e: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
This Pl require bmitte Submit Organi Affiliat Street City: State: Zip: Submit	ublic input (PI) is needed be ments in the 2 r Informati tter Full Nam zation: ion: Address:	 seeks to correlate the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 1 cause during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA 7 on Verification e: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
This Pl require bmitte Submit Organi Affiliat Street City: State: Zip: Submit Comm	ublic input (PI) is needed be ments in the 2 r Informati tter Full Nam zation: Address: ttal Date: ittee:	seeks to correlate the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 1 cause during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA on Verification e: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) Thu Apr 04 00:34:52 EDT 2024 ECC-OCP
This Pl require bmitte Submit Organi Affiliat Street City: State: Zip: Submit Comm	ublic input (PI) is needed be ments in the 2 r Informati tter Full Nam zation: Address: ttal Date: ittee:	seeks to correlate the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 1 cause during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA 7 on Verification e: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) Thu Apr 04 00:34:52 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP
This Pl require bmitte Submit Organi Affiliat Street City: State: Zip: Submit Comm	ublic input (PI) is needed be ments in the 2 r Informati tter Full Nam zation: ion: Address: ttal Date: ittee: ee Stateme	seeks to correlate the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 1 cause during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA 7 on Verification e: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) Thu Apr 04 00:34:52 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP ent
This Pl require bmitte Submir Organi Affiliat Street City: State: Zip: Submir Comm mmitte Resolu	ublic input (PI) is needed be ments in the 2 ir Informati tter Full Nam zation: ion: Address: ttal Date: ittee: ee Stateme ution: <u>FR-108</u>	seeks to correlate the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 1 cause during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA 2 on Verification e: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) Thu Apr 04 00:34:52 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP ent B-NFPA 1-2024



Public Input No	o. 143-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section af	ter 13.7.2.15.7.2]
<u>13.7.2.15.8 Fuel-Ga</u>	as Detection.	
13.7.2.15.8.1 Fuel- be provided in new	gas alarms or fuel-gas detectors in accordance wit v hotels and dormitories containing <u>a permanently</u>	h <u>13.7.1.16</u> and 13.7.2.15.8 shall <u>installed</u> fuel-gas-burning
appliance .		
<u>13.7.2.15.8.2</u> When <u>near fuel-gas-burn</u> <u>NFPA 715.</u>	ere required by 13.7.2.15.8.1, fuel-gas alarms or fuing appliances in accordance with the manufactur	el-gas detectors shall be installed er's published instructions and
13.7.2.15.8.3 When	re fuel-gas detectors are installed in accordance w	ith 13.7.2.15.8.2, the alarm signal
shall be automatic	ally transmitted to an approved on-site location of	to an off-premises location in
accordance with N	FPA 715.	
This Public Input (PI) from fires caused by recommendation by t PAR-19/01 PB2019-1 requires methane det recommendation by t Explosions and Leaks 2012 and 2016 an es	seeks to protect occupants in new hotels, dorr natural gas or propane explosions or leaks. Th he National Transportation Safety Board (NTSI 00722 Building Explosion and Fire Silver Sprin tection systems for all types of residential occup he NTSB is supported by a 2018 NFPA report, s Estimates and Incidents - Marty Ahrens and B timated average of 4,200 U.S. home structure	nitories and apartment buildings e PI is in response to B) in NTSB Report NTSB/ Ig, Maryland that the ICC " pancies with gas service." The Natural Gas and Propane Fires, Ben Evarts October 2018: Between fires per year started with the
ignition of natural gas that caused an avera- homes, including mar LP-Gas leaks have go the 2023 edition of NI The technical require (FPRF) report, Comb Analysis. The report s an analysis of combu	ge of 40 deaths per year. The report classifies nufactured homes, and apartments and other n enerally been increasing since 2007. The requi FPA 715 standard, Installation for Fuel Gas De ments in NFPA 715 were based on the Fire Pro ustible Gas Dispersion in Residential Occupan studied combustible gas leaks and dispersion in stible gas detector placement.	homes as one- and two-family nulti-family housing. Natural gas or rements in this PI are based on tection and Warning Equipment. otection Research Foundation cies and Detector Location n residential buildings, as well as
lated Public Input	ts for This Document	
Public Input No. 142 Public Input No. 144 Public Input No. 145	Related Input -NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13.7.1.15.1] -NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13.7.2.17.6.5] -NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 3.3.310]	Relationship NFPA 715
Public Input No. 146	-NEPA 1-2024 [New Section after 2.2]	
	on verification	
Submitter Full Name	e: Rick Trieste	
Organization:	Consolidated Edison Company of	
Street Address:		

State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Date:	Thu Mar 28 16:49:54 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP

Committee Statement

Resolution: The proposed revision seeks to provide requirements for fuel gas detection in specific occupancies. Determining whether gas detection is required in an occupancy is the purview of the responsible NFPA 101 occupancy committee.

13.7.2.17.7 Fuel-Gas Detection.	
<u>13.7.2.17.7.1 Fuel-gas alarms or fuel-gas detectors in acco</u> <u>be provided in new apartment buildings containing a perr</u> appliance.	rdance with 13.7.1.16 and 13.7.2.15.8 shall nanently installed fuel-gas-burning
<u>13.7.2.17.7.2</u> Where required by 13.7.2.15.8.1, fuel-gas a near fuel-gas-burning appliances in accordance with the n	llarms or fuel-gas detectors shall be installed nanufacturer's published instructions and
13.7.2.17.7.3 Where fuel-gas detectors are installed in acc	ordance with 13.7.2.15.8.2, the alarm signal
shall be automatically transmitted to an approved on-site	location or to an off-premises location in
accordance with NFPA 715.	
This Public Input (PI) seeks to protect occupants in new h from fires caused by natural gas or propane explosions or recommendation by the National Transportation Safety Bo PAR-19/01 PB2019-100722 Building Explosion and Fire S requires methane detection systems for all types of reside recommendation by the NTSB is supported by a 2018 NF Explosions and Leaks Estimates and Incidents - Marty Ah	otels, dormitories and apartment buildings leaks. The PI is in response to pard (NTSB) in NTSB Report NTSB/ Silver Spring, Maryland that the ICC " ential occupancies with gas service." The PA report, Natural Gas and Propane Fires, rens and Ben Evarts October 2018: Betwee
2012 and 2016 an estimated average of 4,200 U.S. nome ignition of natural gas that caused an average of 40 deaths per year. The report homes, including manufactured homes, and apartments a LP-Gas leaks have generally been increasing since 2007. the 2023 edition of NFPA 715 standard, Installation for Fu The technical requirements in NFPA 715 were based on th (FPRF) report, Combustible Gas Dispersion in Residentia Analysis. The report studied combustible gas leaks and di	structure fires per year started with the classifies homes as one- and two-family nd other multi-family housing. Natural gas o The requirements in this PI are based on el Gas Detection and Warning Equipment. he Fire Protection Research Foundation I Occupancies and Detector Location spersion in residential buildings, as well as
2012 and 2016 an estimated average of 4,200 U.S. nome ignition of natural gas that caused an average of 40 deaths per year. The report homes, including manufactured homes, and apartments a LP-Gas leaks have generally been increasing since 2007. the 2023 edition of NFPA 715 standard, Installation for Fu The technical requirements in NFPA 715 were based on th (FPRF) report, Combustible Gas Dispersion in Residentia Analysis. The report studied combustible gas leaks and di an analysis of combustible gas detector placement.	structure fires per year started with the classifies homes as one- and two-family nd other multi-family housing. Natural gas o The requirements in this PI are based on el Gas Detection and Warning Equipment. he Fire Protection Research Foundation I Occupancies and Detector Location spersion in residential buildings, as well as
2012 and 2016 an estimated average of 4,200 U.S. nome ignition of natural gas that caused an average of 40 deaths per year. The report homes, including manufactured homes, and apartments a LP-Gas leaks have generally been increasing since 2007. the 2023 edition of NFPA 715 standard, Installation for Fu The technical requirements in NFPA 715 were based on th (FPRF) report, Combustible Gas Dispersion in Residentia Analysis. The report studied combustible gas leaks and di an analysis of combustible gas detector placement.	structure fires per year started with the classifies homes as one- and two-family nd other multi-family housing. Natural gas o The requirements in this PI are based on el Gas Detection and Warning Equipment. he Fire Protection Research Foundation I Occupancies and Detector Location spersion in residential buildings, as well as
2012 and 2016 an estimated average of 4,200 U.S. nome ignition of natural gas that caused an average of 40 deaths per year. The report homes, including manufactured homes, and apartments a LP-Gas leaks have generally been increasing since 2007. the 2023 edition of NFPA 715 standard, Installation for Fu The technical requirements in NFPA 715 were based on th (FPRF) report, Combustible Gas Dispersion in Residentia Analysis. The report studied combustible gas leaks and di an analysis of combustible gas detector placement. Iated Public Inputs for This Document <u>Related Input</u>	structure fires per year started with the classifies homes as one- and two-family nd other multi-family housing. Natural gas o The requirements in this PI are based on el Gas Detection and Warning Equipment. he Fire Protection Research Foundation I Occupancies and Detector Location spersion in residential buildings, as well as <u>Relationship</u>
2012 and 2016 an estimated average of 4,200 U.S. nome ignition of natural gas that caused an average of 40 deaths per year. The report homes, including manufactured homes, and apartments a LP-Gas leaks have generally been increasing since 2007. the 2023 edition of NFPA 715 standard, Installation for Fu The technical requirements in NFPA 715 were based on th (FPRF) report, Combustible Gas Dispersion in Residentia Analysis. The report studied combustible gas leaks and di an analysis of combustible gas detector placement. Iated Public Inputs for This Document Public Input No. 142-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13]	structure fires per year started with the classifies homes as one- and two-family nd other multi-family housing. Natural gas o The requirements in this PI are based on el Gas Detection and Warning Equipment. he Fire Protection Research Foundation I Occupancies and Detector Location spersion in residential buildings, as well as <u>Relationship</u> .7.1.15.1] NFPA 715
2012 and 2016 an estimated average of 4,200 U.S. nome ignition of natural gas that caused an average of 40 deaths per year. The report homes, including manufactured homes, and apartments a LP-Gas leaks have generally been increasing since 2007. the 2023 edition of NFPA 715 standard, Installation for Fu The technical requirements in NFPA 715 were based on th (FPRF) report, Combustible Gas Dispersion in Residentia Analysis. The report studied combustible gas leaks and di an analysis of combustible gas detector placement. Iated Public Inputs for This Document <u>Public Input No. 142-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13 Public Input No. 143-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13]</u>	structure fires per year started with the classifies homes as one- and two-family nd other multi-family housing. Natural gas o The requirements in this PI are based on el Gas Detection and Warning Equipment. he Fire Protection Research Foundation I Occupancies and Detector Location spersion in residential buildings, as well as <u>Relationship</u> <u>.7.1.15.1</u>] NFPA 715 <u>.7.2.15.7.2</u>] NFPA 715
2012 and 2016 an estimated average of 4,200 U.S. nome ignition of natural gas that caused an average of 40 deaths per year. The report homes, including manufactured homes, and apartments a LP-Gas leaks have generally been increasing since 2007. the 2023 edition of NFPA 715 standard, Installation for Fu The technical requirements in NFPA 715 were based on th (FPRF) report, Combustible Gas Dispersion in Residentia Analysis. The report studied combustible gas leaks and di an analysis of combustible gas detector placement. Iated Public Inputs for This Document Public Input No. 142-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13 Public Input No. 143-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13 Public Input No. 145-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 3.3]	structure fires per year started with the classifies homes as one- and two-family nd other multi-family housing. Natural gas o The requirements in this PI are based on el Gas Detection and Warning Equipment. he Fire Protection Research Foundation I Occupancies and Detector Location spersion in residential buildings, as well as <u>Relationship</u> .7.1.15.1] NFPA 715 .7.2.15.7.2] NFPA 715 3.310]
2012 and 2016 an estimated average of 4,200 U.S. nome ignition of natural gas that caused an average of 40 deaths per year. The report homes, including manufactured homes, and apartments a LP-Gas leaks have generally been increasing since 2007. the 2023 edition of NFPA 715 standard, Installation for Fu The technical requirements in NFPA 715 were based on th (FPRF) report, Combustible Gas Dispersion in Residentia Analysis. The report studied combustible gas leaks and di an analysis of combustible gas detector placement. Iated Public Inputs for This Document Public Input No. 142-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13 Public Input No. 143-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13 Public Input No. 145-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 3.3 Public Input No. 146-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 3.3	structure fires per year started with the classifies homes as one- and two-family nd other multi-family housing. Natural gas o The requirements in this PI are based on el Gas Detection and Warning Equipment. he Fire Protection Research Foundation I Occupancies and Detector Location spersion in residential buildings, as well as Relationship .7.1.15.1] NFPA 715 .7.2.15.7.2] NFPA 715 .3.310] 2]
2012 and 2016 an estimated average of 4,200 U.S. nome ignition of natural gas that caused an average of 40 deaths per year. The report homes, including manufactured homes, and apartments a LP-Gas leaks have generally been increasing since 2007. the 2023 edition of NFPA 715 standard, Installation for Fu The technical requirements in NFPA 715 were based on th (FPRF) report, Combustible Gas Dispersion in Residentia Analysis. The report studied combustible gas leaks and di an analysis of combustible gas detector placement. Iated Public Inputs for This Document <u>Public Input No. 142-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13 Public Input No. 143-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13 Public Input No. 145-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 3.3 Public Input No. 146-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 3.3 Public Input No. 146-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 2.2 bmitter Information Verification</u>	structure fires per year started with the classifies homes as one- and two-family nd other multi-family housing. Natural gas o The requirements in this PI are based on el Gas Detection and Warning Equipment. he Fire Protection Research Foundation I Occupancies and Detector Location spersion in residential buildings, as well as <u>Relationship</u> .7.1.15.1] NFPA 715 .7.2.15.7.2] NFPA 715 3.310] 2]
2012 and 2016 an estimated average of 4,200 U.S. nome ignition of natural gas that caused an average of 40 deaths per year. The report homes, including manufactured homes, and apartments a LP-Gas leaks have generally been increasing since 2007. the 2023 edition of NFPA 715 standard, Installation for Fu The technical requirements in NFPA 715 were based on th (FPRF) report, Combustible Gas Dispersion in Residentia Analysis. The report studied combustible gas leaks and di an analysis of combustible gas detector placement. Iated Public Inputs for This Document Public Input No. 142-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13 Public Input No. 143-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13 Public Input No. 145-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 3.3 Public Input No. 145-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 3.3 Public Input No. 146-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 2.2 bmitter Information Verification	structure fires per year started with the classifies homes as one- and two-family nd other multi-family housing. Natural gas o The requirements in this PI are based on el Gas Detection and Warning Equipment. he Fire Protection Research Foundation I Occupancies and Detector Location spersion in residential buildings, as well as <u>Relationship</u> .7.1.15.1] NFPA 715 .7.2.15.7.2] NFPA 715 .3.310] 2]
2012 and 2016 an estimated average of 4,200 U.S. nome ignition of natural gas that caused an average of 40 deaths per year. The report homes, including manufactured homes, and apartments a LP-Gas leaks have generally been increasing since 2007. the 2023 edition of NFPA 715 standard, Installation for Fu The technical requirements in NFPA 715 were based on th (FPRF) report, Combustible Gas Dispersion in Residentia Analysis. The report studied combustible gas leaks and di an analysis of combustible gas detector placement. Iated Public Inputs for This Document Public Input No. 142-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13 Public Input No. 143-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13 Public Input No. 145-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 3.3 Public Input No. 146-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 3.3 Public Input No. 146-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 2.2 bmitter Information Verification Submitter Full Name: Rick Trieste Organization: Consolidated Edison Company of	structure fires per year started with the classifies homes as one- and two-family nd other multi-family housing. Natural gas o The requirements in this PI are based on el Gas Detection and Warning Equipment. he Fire Protection Research Foundation I Occupancies and Detector Location spersion in residential buildings, as well as <u>Relationship</u> <u>7.1.15.1</u>] NFPA 715 <u>7.2.15.7.2</u>] NFPA 715 <u>3.310</u>] 2]
2012 and 2016 an estimated average of 4,200 U.S. nome ignition of natural gas that caused an average of 40 deaths per year. The report homes, including manufactured homes, and apartments a LP-Gas leaks have generally been increasing since 2007. the 2023 edition of NFPA 715 standard, Installation for Fu The technical requirements in NFPA 715 were based on th (FPRF) report, Combustible Gas Dispersion in Residentia Analysis. The report studied combustible gas leaks and di an analysis of combustible gas detector placement. Idated Public Inputs for This Document <u>Public Input No. 142-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13 Public Input No. 143-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 13 Public Input No. 145-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 3.3 Public Input No. 146-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 2.2 Ibmitter Information Verification Submitter Full Name: Rick Trieste Organization: Consolidated Edison Company of Street Address:</u>	structure fires per year started with the classifies homes as one- and two-family nd other multi-family housing. Natural gas o The requirements in this PI are based on el Gas Detection and Warning Equipment. he Fire Protection Research Foundation I Occupancies and Detector Location spersion in residential buildings, as well as <u>Relationship</u> .7.1.15.1] NFPA 715 .7.2.15.7.2] NFPA 715 .3.310] 2]

State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Date:	Thu Mar 28 16:53:21 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP

Committee Statement

Resolution: The proposed revision seeks to provide requirements for fuel gas detection in new apartment occupancies. Determining whether gas detection is required in an occupancy is the purview of the responsible NFPA 101 occupancy committee.



Statement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
This public in This PI is ne requirements	nput (PI) seeks to correlate the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 101. eded because during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection s in the 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA 1.
Submitter Inf	ormation Verification
Submitter F	ull Name: Shane Clary
Organizatio	n: Bay Alarm Company
Affiliation:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
Street Addre	ess:
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal D	ate: Thu Apr 04 00:02:09 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
Committee St	atement
Resolution:	<u>FR-118-NFPA 1-2024</u>
Statement:	This revision correlates the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 101. This revision is needed because during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA 1.

Insert a new 13	3.7.2.29.3.2 and renumber the remaining:
<u>13.7.2.29.3.2 W</u> the fire alarm sy service as per 1	here emergency forces notification is required by another section of this Code; ystem shall be arranged to transmit the alarm automatically via central station 3.7.3.4.
tement of Probl	em and Substantiation for Public Input
of risk. Ensuring the and firefighter safet limit the downtime c with the fire alarm in management perso standards. As this p	The for operability and readiness of the fire protection systems required for this typese systems are functional and in service is critical to the protection of the prope y. Central Station Service, when applied, enforces an "active" maintenance tool of these vital life safety systems. Runner Service activates a dialogue and a plan inspection, testing, and maintenance contractor of record and the building owner nnel to address impairments and reinforce consistent compliance with installation provision addresses building protection and firefighter safety, it is within the scop
INFA I.	
omitter Informat	ion Verification
Submitter Full Nan	ion Verification ne: Anthony Apfelbeck
Submitter Informat	tion Verification ne: Anthony Apfelbeck Altamonte Springs Building and Fire Safety
Submitter Informat Submitter Full Nan Organization: Street Address:	tion Verification ne: Anthony Apfelbeck Altamonte Springs Building and Fire Safety
Submitter Informat Submitter Full Nan Organization: Street Address: City:	tion Verification ne: Anthony Apfelbeck Altamonte Springs Building and Fire Safety
Submitter Informat Submitter Full Nan Organization: Street Address: City: State:	tion Verification ne: Anthony Apfelbeck Altamonte Springs Building and Fire Safety
Submitter Informat Submitter Full Nan Organization: Street Address: City: State: Zip:	tion Verification ne: Anthony Apfelbeck Altamonte Springs Building and Fire Safety
Submitter Informat Submitter Full Nan Organization: Street Address: City: State: Zip: Submittal Date:	Tue Mar 26 09:11:03 EDT 2024
Submitter Information: Submitter Full Nan Organization: Street Address: City: State: Zip: Submittal Date: Committee:	tion Verification ne: Anthony Apfelbeck Altamonte Springs Building and Fire Safety Tue Mar 26 09:11:03 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP
Submitter Information Submitter Full Nan Organization: Street Address: City: State: Zip: Submittal Date: Committee:	tion Verification ne: Anthony Apfelbeck Altamonte Springs Building and Fire Safety Tue Mar 26 09:11:03 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP ent



Public Inpu	t No. 222-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 14.5.1.2]
14.5.1.2 * Dc	or Leaf Swing Direction.
Door leaves r direction of e	equired to be of the side-hinged or pivoted-swinging type shall swing in the gress travel under any of the following conditions:
(1) Where set the follow	erving a room or area with an occupant load of 50 or more, except under any of ving conditions:
(2) <u>Doo</u> trave	<u>r leaves in horizontal exits shall not be required to swing in the direction of egress</u> al where permitted by 7.2.4.3.8.1 or 7.2.4.3.8.2 of NFPA_ <u>101</u> .
(3) <u>Doot</u> trave	r leaves in smoke barriers shall not be required to swing in the direction of egress al in existing health care occupancies, as provided in Chapter 19 of NFPA <u>101</u> .
(4) Where th	e door assembly is used in an exit enclosure, unless- the - <u>:</u>
(5) <u>The</u> encl	<u>door opening serves an individual living unit that opens directly into an exit</u>
(6) <u>The</u> in th cont	<u>door serves an occupant load of less than 50 persons, and designing it to swing e direction opposite egress would allow a pressurization or mechanical smoke rol system to operate more effectively.</u>
tatement of Pro	blem and Substantiation for Public Input
The vast majority language is flaws more powerful sr the door too hard out from the stair have to worry tha the door swing. T worry about all k change help hou systems in their	<i>r</i> of fire deaths are caused not by burns but rather smoke inhalation. The current ad because making the door swing into the stair means you often cannot design a moke clearance fan, because doing so would cause a pressure differential that make to open for the design user, who is typically a very weak individual. If the door swin r, a more powerful fan(s) can be used to blow fresh air into the staircase - you don't at it would make the door unopenable, since the wind is now in the same direction as This would also make smoke control fans more affordable, since you don't have to inds of sensors to adjust the fan speed when the door opens. Not only would such a sing affordability, it would also encourage more designers to include smoke control buildings, increasing safety.
See example vid www.youtube.co	eo from UK: How Mechanical Smoke Ventilation Systems Work by Sertus/ https://
	m/watch?v=GRnnYJC0zAA
ubmitter Inform	m/watch?v=GRnnYJC0zAA
Submitter Inform	m/watch?v=GRnnYJC0zAA nation Verification lame: Scott Brody
Submitter Inform Submitter Full N Organization:	m/watch?v=GRnnYJC0zAA nation Verification lame: Scott Brody Morris County NJ Government
Submitter Inform Submitter Full N Organization: Affiliation:	m/watch?v=GRnnYJC0zAA nation Verification lame: Scott Brody Morris County NJ Government Representing Self
Submitter Inform Submitter Full N Organization: Affiliation: Street Address:	m/watch?v=GRnnYJC0zAA nation Verification Iame: Scott Brody Morris County NJ Government Representing Self
Submitter Inform Submitter Full N Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City:	m/watch?v=GRnnYJC0zAA nation Verification lame: Scott Brody Morris County NJ Government Representing Self

Zip:Submittal Date:Thu Apr 04 00:08:27 EDT 2024Committee:FCC-OCP

Committee Statement

Resolution: This section is extracted from another NFPA document. All changes to this section should be submitted to the responsible technical committee through public inputs or public comments to the source document.



14.8.1.2* Occupant Load Factor.

The occupant load in any building or portion thereof shall be not less than the number of persons determined by dividing the floor area assigned to that use by the occupant load factor for that use as specified in Table 14.8.1.2, Figure 14.8.1.2(a), and Figure 14.8.1.2(b). Where both gross and net area figures are given for the same occupancy, calculations shall be made by applying the gross area figure to the gross area of the portion of the building devoted to the use for which the gross area figure is specified and by applying the net area figure to the net area of the portion of the building devoted to the use for which the net area figure is specified. [**101**:7.3.1.2]

Table 14.8.1.2 Occupant Load Factor

<u>Use</u>	::	(<u>ft²/person)^a</u>	(<u>m²/person</u>) <mark>a</mark>
Assembly Use		-	-
Concentrated use, without fixed seating		7 net	0.65 net
Less concentrated use, without fixed seating		15 net	1.4 net
Bench-type seating		1 person/	1 person/
		18 linear in.	455 linear mm
Fixed seating		Use number of fixed seats	Use number of fixed seats
Waiting spaces		See 12.1.7.2 and 13.1.7.2 of NFPA 101	See 12.1.7.2 and 13.1.7.2 of NFPA 101
Kitchens		100	9.3
Library stack areas		100	9.3
Library reading rooms		50 net	4.6 net
Swimming pools		50 (water surface)	4.6 (water surface)
Swimming pool decks		30	2.8
Exercise rooms with equipment		50	4.6
Exercise rooms without equipment		15	1.4
Stages		15 net	1.4 net
Lighting and access catwalks, galleries, gridirons		100 net	9.3 net
Casinos and similar gaming areas		11	1
Skating rinks		50	4.6
Business Use (other than below)		150	14
Concentrated Business Use	b	50	4.6
Airport control tower observation levels		40	3.7
Collaboration rooms/spaces ≤450 ft ² (41.8 m ²) in area ^b		30	2.8
Collaboration rooms/spaces >450 ft ² (41.8 m ²) in area ^b		15	1.4

<u>Use</u>	= =	(<u>ft</u> 2	<u>/person)^a</u>	(<u>m²/person)</u> a	
Day-Care Use		:	35 net	3.3 net	
Detention and Correctional Use			120	11.1	
Educational Use			-	-	
Classrooms			20 net	1.9 net	
Shops, laboratories, vocational rooms			50 net	4.6 net	
Health Care Use			-	-	
Inpatient treatment departments			240	22.3	
Sleeping departments			120	11.1	
Ambulatory health care			150	14	
Industrial Use			-	-	
General and high hazard industrial			100	9.3	
Special-purpose industrial			MP	MP	
Mercantile Use			-	-	
Sales area on street floor ^{c,d}			30	2.8	
Sales area on two or more street floors ^d			40	3.7	
Sales area on floor below street floor ^d			30	2.8	
Sales area on floors above street floor ^d		and sales areas for lass A mercantile ccupancies on or elow street floor		60	5.6
Floors or portions of floors used only for offices		See	business use.	See business use.	
Floors or portions of floors used only for storage, receiving, and shipping, and not open to general public			300	27.9	
Mall structures ^e		Pe app use	er factors blicable to of space ^f	-	
Residential Use			-	-	
Hotels and dormitories			200	18.6	
Apartment buildings			200	18.6	
Board and care, large			200	18.6	
Storage Use			-	-	
In storage occupancies			MP	MP	
In mercantile occupancies			300	27.9	
In other than storage and mercantile occupancies			500	46.5	

MP: The occupant load is the maximum probable number of occupants present at any time.

^aAll factors are expressed in gross area unless marked "net."

^bSee A.14.8.1.2.

^CFor determining occupant load in mercantile occupancies where, due to differences in the finished ground level of streets on different sides, two or more floors directly accessible from streets (not including alleys or similar back streets) exist, each such floor is permitted to be

considered a street floor. The occupant load factor is one person for each 40 ft² (3.7 m²) of gross floor area of sales space.

^dFor determining occupant load in mercantile occupancies with no street floor, as defined in 3.3.279, but with access directly from the street by stairs or escalators, the floor at the point of entrance to the mercantile occupancy is considered the street floor.

^eFor any food court or other assembly use areas located in the mall concourse that are not included as a portion of the gross leasable area of the mall structure, the occupant load is calculated based on the occupant load factor for that use as specified in Table 14.8.1.2. The remaining mall concourse area is not required to be assigned an occupant load.

[†]The portions of the mall concourse not used as gross leasable area are not required to be assessed an occupant load based on Table 14.8.1.2. However, means of egress from a mall concourse are required to be provided for an occupant load determined by dividing the gross leasable area of the mall building (not including anchor buildings) by the appropriate lowest whole number occupant load factor from Figure 14.8.1.2(a) or Figure 14.8.1.2(b).

Each individual tenant space is required to have means of egress to the outside or to the mall concourse based on occupant loads calculated by using the appropriate occupant load factor from Table 14.8.1.2.

Each individual anchor store is required to have means of egress independent of the mall concourse.

[101:Table 7.3.1.2]

Figure 14.8.1.2(a) Mall Structure Occupant Load Factors (US Customary Units). [101:Figure 7.3.1.2(a)]



Figure 14.8.1.2(b) Mall Structure Occupant Load Factors (SI Units). [101:Figure 7.3.1.2(b)]



Public II	nput No. 220-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 14.14.3.2]
14.14.3.2	2*
Where ap permitted <u>require s</u> p	pproved by the AHJ, pictograms- <u>Pictograms</u> in compliance with NFPA 170 shall be ;_ [101: 7.10.3.2] where pictograms are used, the AHJ shall have the authority to upplemental text.
Statement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
The code as contrary to th proposed ch distance, be decide if text	currently written gives the AHJ authority to block exit symbols. This is unjustified and ne WTO TBT Agreement, which requires allowing use of international standards. The ange will help by allowing universal symbols, which also have better visibility from a placed on exit signs without needing any special approval. Then, it is up to the AHJ to should be used in addition to increase comprehension.
Submitter Inf	ormation Verification
Submitter F	ull Name: Scott Brody
Organization Street Addro City:	n: [Not Specified]
State:	
Submittal D	ate: Wed Apr 03 23:59:56 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
Committee St	tatement
Resolution:	This section is extracted from another NFPA document. All changes to this section should be submitted to the responsible technical committee through public inputs or public comments to the source document.



Integrated Fire P	rotection and Life Safety Systems.
Integrated fire pro [101: 12.7.14; 10	ptection and life safety systems shall be tested in accordance with 13.1.3.1. <u>D1:</u> 13.7.14.1]
atement of Probl	em and Substantiation for Public Input
These occupancies elevator recall, CO these systems to ve occupancy.	may have several different systems that need to work together, such as HVAC, detection, fire alarm, fire door operation an so forth. These should be a test of all d erify that they are working together to provide the designed fire protection within the
ubmitter Informat	tion Verification
Jbmitter Informat	tion Verification
Jbmitter Informat Submitter Full Nan Organization:	tion Verification ne: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company
ubmitter Informat Submitter Full Nan Organization: Affiliation:	tion Verification ne: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
Jbmitter Informat Submitter Full Nan Organization: Affiliation: Street Address:	tion Verification ne: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
Jbmitter Informat Submitter Full Nan Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City:	tion Verification ne: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
ubmitter Informat Submitter Full Nan Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State:	tion Verification ne: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
ubmitter Informat Submitter Full Nan Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State: Zip:	tion Verification ne: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
Jubmitter Informat Submitter Full Nan Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State: Zip: Submittal Date:	tion Verification ne: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) Mon Apr 01 23:35:24 EDT 2024
Jubmitter Information Submitter Full Nan Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State: Zip: Submittal Date: Committee:	tion Verification ne: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) Mon Apr 01 23:35:24 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP
ubmitter Informat Submitter Full Nan Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State: Zip: Submittal Date: Committee:	tion Verification ne: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) Mon Apr 01 23:35:24 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP



Γ

Extract the Val	et Trash provisions from NFPA 101 Section 30.7.5 and 31.7.5 into NFPA 1.
tatement of Prob	lem and Substantiation for Public Input
Last cycle, the issu This language is ke material in the oper pointer language ne Chapter 19 for a tra	e of Valet Trash was resolved with specific language being included in NFPA 101. by to regulating the use of Valet Trash and should be included in NFPA 1 as extract rating features section for Apartments. The TC might want to consider if some beeds to be placed in Chapter 19 as it is intuitive that a user would probably go to ash issue.
ubmitter Informat	tion Verification
ubmitter Informat	tion Verification ne: Anthony Apfelbeck
ubmitter Informat Submitter Full Nar Organization:	tion Verification ne: Anthony Apfelbeck Altamonte Springs Building and Fire Safety
ubmitter Informat Submitter Full Nar Organization: Street Address:	tion Verification ne: Anthony Apfelbeck Altamonte Springs Building and Fire Safety
ubmitter Informat Submitter Full Nar Organization: Street Address: City:	tion Verification ne: Anthony Apfelbeck Altamonte Springs Building and Fire Safety
ubmitter Informat Submitter Full Nar Organization: Street Address: City: State:	tion Verification ne: Anthony Apfelbeck Altamonte Springs Building and Fire Safety
ubmitter Informat Submitter Full Nar Organization: Street Address: City: State: Zip:	tion Verification ne: Anthony Apfelbeck Altamonte Springs Building and Fire Safety
ubmitter Informat Submitter Full Nar Organization: Street Address: City: State: Zip: Submittal Date:	tion Verification ne: Anthony Apfelbeck Altamonte Springs Building and Fire Safety Thu Feb 29 10:47:13 EST 2024


Public Input	No. 183-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 20.13.2.5]
Integrated Fire P	Protection and Life Safety Systems.
Integrated fire pr [101 :12.7.14; 1	otection and life safety systems shall be tested in accordance with 13.1.3.1. 01: 13.7.14.1]
Statement of Prob	lem and Substantiation for Public Input
These occupancies elevator recall, CO these systems to v occupancy.	a may have several different systems that need to work together, such as HVAC, detection, fire alarm, fire door operation an so forth. These should be a test of all of erify that they are working together to provide the designed fire protection within the
Submitter Informa	tion Verification
Submitter Full Na	me: Shane Clary
Organization:	Bay Alarm Company
Affiliation:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
Street Address:	
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Date:	Mon Apr 01 23:45:14 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
Committee Statem	ient
Resolution: The p	proposed revision to extract language from Assembly Occupancies into Business

Carbon Mo	noxide Detection and Alarms
<u>A carbon mo</u> burning appli	noxide detection system shall be installed in accordance with NFPA 72 when fuel ances are present.
Statement of Pro	oblem and Substantiation for Public Input
Carbon monoxic There may be o burning applianc	le detection and notification should be in place within this occupancy classification. ccasions in which dangerous levels of carbon monoxide may be present when fuel ces are in use.
Submitter Inform	nation Verification
Submitter Full I	Name: Shane Clary
Organization:	Bay Alarm Company
Affiliation:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
Street Address	
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Date:	Mon Apr 01 23:51:46 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
Committee State	ement
Resolution: Th ca ap	e proposed revision does not provide clear direction for the location and installation of rbon monoxide detectors. The proposed revision location in the Code limited the plication to airport terminals.

Public Input	No. 185-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 21.2.8.3]
Integrated Fire	Protection and Life Safety Systems.
Integrated fire p	rotection and life safety systems shall be tested in accordance with 13.1.3.1. 01: 13.7.14.1]
Statement of Prob	elem and Substantiation for Public Input
These occupancie elevator recall, CC these systems to v occupancy.	s may have several different systems that need to work together, such as HVAC, detection, fire alarm, fire door operation an so forth. These should be a test of all of verify that they are working together to provide the designed fire protection within the
Submitter Informa	ation Verification
Submitter Full Na	me: Shane Clary
Organization:	Bay Alarm Company
Affiliation:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
Street Address:	
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Date:	Mon Apr 01 23:59:17 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
Committee Staten	nent
Resolution: The relate	proposed revision to extract language from Assembly Occupancies is not directly ed to Airports and Heliports. The proposed revision is also addressed in 13.1.3.1.

21.2.10	
- Standnine an	nd Hose Systems
Standpipe an	d has a systems shall be provided for all airport terminal buildings in excess of two stories
<u>Standpipe</u> an	
35 ft	
<u>35 ft (10.</u>	
7 m	
<u>7 m</u>)] in heigh	<u>번 _ Or</u>
100 ft	
<u>100 ft (30.</u>	
5 m	
<u>5 m) in shorte</u>	est horizontal dimension. Standpipe_and hose_systems shall be installed in accordance
<u>with</u>	
Section-	
Section 13.2	_ { <u>415:</u> 4. <u>5.4</u> }

<u>21.2.10.1</u>
-
Class I
Class I standpipe systems shall be provided in buildings protected throughout by an approved automatic sprinkler system - Each -2 4/
<u>1∕ 2_ in. (63.</u>
5 mm
5 mm) hose connection shall be equipped with a 2
4 _/
<u>1⁄2 in.×</u>
1 ⁴ /
<u>11/ 2- in. (63.</u>
5 mm
<u>5 mm</u> ×
38 mm
38 mm) reducer and cap. [415: 4.5.4.1]
]
<u>21.2.10.2</u>
—
Class III
Class III standpipe systems shall be provided in nonsprinklered buildings. Paragraphs 5.3.3.1 and
<u>5.3.3.2 of</u>
NEPA 14
<u>NFPA 14</u> for
Class III
Class III systems shall be applicable to this requirement. [415: 4.5.4.2]

Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input

Substantiation: Hose stations are legacy systems designed for trained occupants for incipient fire control and add additional cost to the design and installation above the already required Class I standpipe. The reference to height was removed to simply the requirement "in excess of two stories".

Hose stations are legacy systems designed for trained occupants for incipient fire control and add additional cost to the design and installation above the already required Class I standpipe used for operational fire departments. The international building code requires a Class III standpipe but allows the Class II to be omitted when a 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ in x 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ in reducer cap and chain are added. 1 in hose stations are not required and do not provide the minimum flow for fire operations

The requirements are covered in NFPA 14 Standpipe referenced in Section 13.2.

The removal is clean	up (NFPA 14: 8.2.3.2)
Submitter Informatio	on Verification
Submitter Full Name	: Terin Hopkins
Organization:	National Fire Sprinkler Associ
Street Address:	
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Date:	Tue Mar 26 12:49:51 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP

Committee Statement

Resolution: The proposed revision is addressed by the Extract Updates Task Group.



Г

21.3.4.6.4	
-	
Standpipes and	hose stations, if used, _ shall be_ installed_in
-stalled in	
accordance with	<u>1</u>
Section	
Section 13.2 [418: <u>5 7 4]</u>
Substantiation: Cla International Buildi legacy systems de design and installa departments. The i omitted when a 2 ½	Iem and Substantiation for Public Input ss I or III standpipes are required on all roof helistops and heliports by the ng Code and would be designed in accordance with NFPA 14. Hose stations are signed for trained occupants for incipient fire control and add additional cost to the tion above the already required Class I standpipe used for operational fire nternational building code requires a Class III standpipe but allows the Class II to the ½ in x 1 1/2 in reducer cap and chain are added.
atement of Prob Substantiation: Cla International Buildi legacy systems de design and installa departments. The i omitted when a 2 1 Ibmitter Informa	Item and Substantiation for Public Input ss I or III standpipes are required on all roof helistops and heliports by the ng Code and would be designed in accordance with NFPA 14. Hose stations are signed for trained occupants for incipient fire control and add additional cost to the tion above the already required Class I standpipe used for operational fire nternational building code requires a Class III standpipe but allows the Class II to b ½ in x 1 ½ in reducer cap and chain are added.
atement of Prob Substantiation: Cla International Buildi legacy systems de design and installa departments. The i omitted when a 2 ½ Ibmitter Informa	Iem and Substantiation for Public Input ss I or III standpipes are required on all roof helistops and heliports by the ng Code and would be designed in accordance with NFPA 14. Hose stations are signed for trained occupants for incipient fire control and add additional cost to the tion above the already required Class I standpipe used for operational fire nternational building code requires a Class III standpipe but allows the Class II to I ½ in x 1 ½ in reducer cap and chain are added. tion Verification me: Terin Hopkins
atement of Prob Substantiation: Cla International Buildi legacy systems de design and installa departments. The i omitted when a 2 ½ Ibmitter Informa Submitter Full Nation:	Iem and Substantiation for Public Input ss I or III standpipes are required on all roof helistops and heliports by the ng Code and would be designed in accordance with NFPA 14. Hose stations are signed for trained occupants for incipient fire control and add additional cost to the tion above the already required Class I standpipe used for operational fire nternational building code requires a Class III standpipe but allows the Class II to I ½ in x 1 ½ in reducer cap and chain are added. tion Verification me: Terin Hopkins National Fire Sprinkler Associ
atement of Prob Substantiation: Cla International Buildi legacy systems de design and installa departments. The i omitted when a 2 ½ Ibmitter Informa Submitter Full Nat Organization: Street Address:	Iem and Substantiation for Public Input ss I or III standpipes are required on all roof helistops and heliports by the ng Code and would be designed in accordance with NFPA 14. Hose stations are signed for trained occupants for incipient fire control and add additional cost to the tion above the already required Class I standpipe used for operational fire nternational building code requires a Class III standpipe but allows the Class II to I ½ in x 1 ½ in reducer cap and chain are added. tion Verification me: Terin Hopkins National Fire Sprinkler Associ
atement of Prob Substantiation: Cla International Buildi legacy systems de design and installa departments. The i omitted when a 2 ½ Ibmitter Informa Submitter Full Nat Organization: Street Address: City: State:	Item and Substantiation for Public Input ss I or III standpipes are required on all roof helistops and heliports by the ng Code and would be designed in accordance with NFPA 14. Hose stations are signed for trained occupants for incipient fire control and add additional cost to the tion above the already required Class I standpipe used for operational fire nternational building code requires a Class III standpipe but allows the Class II to I ½ in x 1 ½ in reducer cap and chain are added. tion Verification me: Terin Hopkins National Fire Sprinkler Associ
atement of Prob Substantiation: Cla International Buildi legacy systems de design and installa departments. The i omitted when a 2 ½ Ibmitter Informa Submitter Full Nat Organization: Street Address: City: State: Zip:	Item and Substantiation for Public Input ss I or III standpipes are required on all roof helistops and heliports by the ng Code and would be designed in accordance with NFPA 14. Hose stations are signed for trained occupants for incipient fire control and add additional cost to the tion above the already required Class I standpipe used for operational fire nternational building code requires a Class III standpipe but allows the Class II to I ½ in x 1 ½ in reducer cap and chain are added. tion Verification me: Terin Hopkins National Fire Sprinkler Associ
atement of Prob Substantiation: Cla International Buildi legacy systems de design and installa departments. The i omitted when a 2 ½ Ibmitter Informa Submitter Full Nat Organization: Street Address: City: State: Zip: Submittal Date:	Item and Substantiation for Public Input ss I or III standpipes are required on all roof helistops and heliports by the ng Code and would be designed in accordance with NFPA 14. Hose stations are signed for trained occupants for incipient fire control and add additional cost to the tion above the already required Class I standpipe used for operational fire international building code requires a Class III standpipe but allows the Class II to I ½ in x 1 ½ in reducer cap and chain are added. tion Verification me: Terin Hopkins National Fire Sprinkler Associ

Γ

Public I	nput No. 186-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 21.3.4.6.10.1]
Fire alar	m systems installed shall be tested in accordance with NFPA 72.
Statement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
If a fire alarn systems are	n system is present, it needs to be inspected by NFPA 72, just has the fire suppression to be tested by NFPA 25.
Submitter Inf	ormation Verification
Submitter F	ull Name: Shane Clary
Organizatio	n: Bay Alarm Company
Affiliation:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
Street Addr	ess:
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal D	ate: Tue Apr 02 00:05:41 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
Committee S	tatement
Resolution: Statement:	<u>FR-121-NFPA 1-2024</u> The revision requires that if a fire alarm system is present, it needs to be inspected, tested, and maintained in accordance with NFPA 72. This is similar to 21.3.4.6.10.1 requiring the fire suppression systems are to be inspected, tested, and maintained in accordance with NFPA 25.

Г

<u>Integrated fire pr</u> [<u>101</u> :12.7.14; <u>1</u>	rotection and life safety systems shall be tested in accordance with 13.1.3.1. 01: 13.7.14.1]
tatement of Probl	em and Substantiation for Public Input
These occupancies systems that may b systems to verify th occupancy.	a may have several different systems that need to work together, such as releasing the interconnected with a fire alarm system. These should be a test of all of these at they are working together to provide the designed fire protection within the
ubmitter Informat	tion Verification
ubmitter Informat	tion Verification
ubmitter Informat Submitter Full Nar Organization:	tion Verification ne: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company
ubmitter Informat Submitter Full Nar Organization: Affiliation:	tion Verification ne: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
ubmitter Informat Submitter Full Nar Organization: Affiliation: Street Address:	tion Verification ne: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
ubmitter Informat Submitter Full Nar Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: Citv:	tion Verification ne: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
ubmitter Informat Submitter Full Nar Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State:	tion Verification ne: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
ubmitter Informat Submitter Full Nar Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State: Zip:	tion Verification ne: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
ubmitter Informat Submitter Full Nar Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State: Zip: Submittal Date:	tion Verification ne: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) Tue Apr 02 00:08:52 EDT 2024

	Sections 22.9.5, 22.9.6		
	22.9.5 Lead-Acid Batteries.		
	22.9.5.1		
	Lead-acid batteries shall be removed from salvage vehicles	when such batteries are l	eaking .
	22.9.5.2		-
	Lead-acid batteries that have been removed from vehicles s manner.	shall be stored in an approv	ved
	<u>22.9.</u>		
	6– Other Battery Technologies.		
	Other		
	5.3		
	Lead-acid batteries shall be disposed of or recycled in account federal requirements.	rdance with applicable stat	e and
	22.9.6 – Lithium-ion and lithium metal Battery Technologies	-	
	<u>22.9.6.1</u>		
	Lithium-ion and lithium metal batteries shall be removed from	<u>m salvage vehicles.</u>	
	<u>22.9.6.2</u>		
	<u>Lithium-ion and lithium metal</u> battery technologies shall be l with with Chapter 14 of NFPA 855.	nandled and stored in acco	ordance
	<u>22.9.6.3</u>		
	Lithium-ion and lithium metal batteries shall be disposed of a applicable state and federal requirements.	or recycled in accordance	<u>with</u>
ldi	itional Proposed Changes		
	File Name	Description	<u>Approve</u>
Ν	NFPA_1_CODE_Battery_ANALYSIS_SPREADSHEET.xlsx	Spreadsheet of battery references in NFPA 1	
ate	ement of Problem and Substantiation for Public	Input	
Т	he proposed changes require batteries to be removed from satisfies of or recycled in accordance with applicable state and	alvage vehicles, properly st I federal regulations. The "	ore and Other

		Related Input	<u>Relationship</u>
Public Input	t No. 243-	NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 30.3.4.6	Battery related
Public Input	t No. 251-	NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 52.8]	
Public Input	t No. 252-	NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 3.3.27]	
Submitter Inf	ormatio	on Verification	
Submitter F	ull Name	: Robert Davidson	
Organizatio	n:	Davidson Code Concepts, LLC	
Street Addr	ess:		
City:			
State:			
Zip:			
Submittal D	ate:	Thu Apr 04 11:59:26 EDT 2024	
Committee:		FCC-OCP	
Committee S	tatomoj	at	
	latemen	it is a second se	
Resolution:	FR-112	NFPA 1-2024	
Statement:	This rev and disp The "Ot the stora existing holistica and will	ision requires batteries to be remove bosed of or recycled in accordance w her Technologies" section was chan age requirements found in Chapter Battery Task Group work that is rev Ily with the possibility of creating a b look at additional battery technologi	ed from salvage vehicles, properly stored, vith applicable state and federal regulations. ged to lithium-ion and lithium metal to match 14 of NFPA 855. This revision is part of the ewing NFPA 1 in regard to batteries pattery chapter. Task Group work will continue es.

Carbon Mond	kide Detection and Alarms
<u>A carbon mon</u> appliances are	<u>xide detection system shall be installed in accordance with NFPA 72 when fuel burning present.</u>
atement of Pro	blem and Substantiation for Public Input
Carbon monoxic There may be or	e detection and notification should be in place within this occupancy classification. ccasions in which dangerous levels of carbon monoxide may be present when fuel
burning applianc	Co ale III uoe.
burning applianc ubmitter Inforn	ation Verification
burning appliand ubmitter Inforn Submitter Full I	ation Verification
burning appliand ubmitter Inforn Submitter Full I Organization:	lame: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company
burning applianc ubmitter Inforn Submitter Full I Organization: Affiliation:	lame: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
burning appliance ubmitter Inform Submitter Full I Organization: Affiliation: Street Address:	lame: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
burning appliance ubmitter Inform Submitter Full I Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City:	lame: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
burning appliance ubmitter Inform Submitter Full I Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State:	ation Verification lame: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
burning appliance ubmitter Inform Submitter Full M Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State: Zip:	ation Verification lame: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
burning appliance ubmitter Inform Submitter Full I Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State: Zip: Submittal Date:	Tue Apr 02 00:16:26 EDT 2024

Public Ir	put No. 243-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 30.3.4.6]
30.3.4.6	Used Electric Batteries (Reserved)
<u>Used or</u> applicab with Cha	<u>damaged batteries shall be disposed of or recycled in accordance with</u> <u>le state and federal regulations. The batteries shall be stored in accordance</u> <u>pter 14 of NFPA 855.</u>
Statement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
This proposa proposal is p	I adds necessary guidance on the handling of used or damaged EV batteries. This art of a larger Task Group project addressing language for batteries throughout NFPA 1.
Related Publi	c Inputs for This Document
Public Input Public Input Public Input	No. 244-NFPA 1-2024 [Sections 22.9.5, 22.9.6] No. 251-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 52.8] No. 252-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 3.3.27]
Submitter Fi	ull Name: Robert Davidson
Organization Street Addre City: State: Zip:	I: Davidson Code Concepts, LLC
Submittal Da	ate: Thu Apr 04 11:50:27 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
Committee St	atement
Resolution:	FR-113-NFPA 1-2024
Statement:	This revision adds necessary guidance on the handling of used or damaged EV batteries as well as requirements on storing both new and used batteries in accordance with NFPA 855. This revision is part of a larger Battery Task Group project addressing language for

Public Ir	nput No. 136-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 34.4.3.3]
<u>34.4.3.3</u> -	Where local codes require smoke and heat vents in buildings protected by early
suppression	on fast response (ESFR), or quick response control-mode special application (CMSA)
response	usible element rated not less than 360°F (182°C).
Statement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
Substantiatio to correlate v sprinklers to less than 360	n: Storage facility have robust automatic fire sprinkler systems and ventilation efforts need <i>v</i> ith suppression efforts. This proposal adds control-mode special application (CMSA) the requirement of manual operation of vents or standard fusible elements rated for not degrees F (182C).
Submitter Info	ormation Verification
Submitter Fu	I II Name: Terin Hopkins
Organizatior	n: National Fire Sprinkler Associ
Street Addre	ess:
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Da	te: Tue Mar 26 13:17:58 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
Committee St	atement
Resolution:	FR-122-NFPA 1-2024
Statement:	The revision directs the user to NFPA 13 regarding automatic roof vents and draft curtains when provided in conjunction with automatic sprinkler systems.

34.4.3.3	
_	
Where loca fast respon mechanism	l codes require smoke and heat vents in buildings protected by early suppression se (ESFR) sprinklers, the vents shall be manually operated or have an operating with a standard response fusible element rated not less than 360°F (182°C)
<u>*</u> _Automat	c roof vents shall not be required in areas protected by automatic sprinkler systems .
A.34.4.3.3 are not bein roof vents a been contro	Sprinkler protection criteria are based on the assumption that roof vents and draft curtains g used and could be detrimental to the performance of the sprinkler system . If manual re provided , care should be taken to not open the manual roof vents before the fire has lled or suppressed.
34.4.3.4 _ W	here automatic roof vents are provided , _ the automatic roof vents shall have a higher e rating and a higher RTI than the automatic sprinklers .
tomont of D	roblem and Substantiation for Dublic Input
tement of P	roblem and Substantiation for Public Input
tement of P The revision al	roblem and Substantiation for Public Input ign with changes to NFPA 13 section 20.9.5.1.
tement of P The revision al omitter Infor	roblem and Substantiation for Public Input ign with changes to NFPA 13 section 20.9.5.1. mation Verification
tement of P The revision al omitter Infor Submitter Ful	roblem and Substantiation for Public Input ign with changes to NFPA 13 section 20.9.5.1. rmation Verification Name: Mark Fessenden
tement of P The revision al omitter Infor Submitter Ful Organization:	roblem and Substantiation for Public Input ign with changes to NFPA 13 section 20.9.5.1. mation Verification Name: Mark Fessenden International Fire Suppression
tement of P The revision al omitter Infor Submitter Ful Organization: Street Addres	roblem and Substantiation for Public Input ign with changes to NFPA 13 section 20.9.5.1. mation Verification Name: Mark Fessenden International Fire Suppression s:
tement of P The revision al omitter Infor Submitter Ful Organization: Street Addres City:	roblem and Substantiation for Public Input ign with changes to NFPA 13 section 20.9.5.1. mation Verification Name: Mark Fessenden International Fire Suppression s:
tement of P The revision al omitter Infor Submitter Ful Organization: Street Addres City: State:	roblem and Substantiation for Public Input ign with changes to NFPA 13 section 20.9.5.1. mation Verification Name: Mark Fessenden International Fire Suppression s:
tement of P The revision al omitter Infor Submitter Ful Organization: Street Addres City: State: Zip:	roblem and Substantiation for Public Input ign with changes to NFPA 13 section 20.9.5.1. mation Verification Name: Mark Fessenden International Fire Suppression s:
tement of P The revision al omitter Infor Submitter Ful Organization: Street Addres City: State: Zip: Submittal Dat	roblem and Substantiation for Public Input ign with changes to NFPA 13 section 20.9.5.1. rmation Verification Name: Mark Fessenden International Fire Suppression s: Thu Apr 04 16:17:36 EDT 2024
tement of P The revision al omitter Infor Submitter Ful Organization: Street Addres City: State: Zip: Submittal Dat Committee:	 roblem and Substantiation for Public Input ign with changes to NFPA 13 section 20.9.5.1. rmation Verification Name: Mark Fessenden International Fire Suppression s: Thu Apr 04 16:17:36 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP
tement of P The revision al omitter Infor Submitter Ful Organization: Street Addres City: State: Zip: Submittal Dat Committee: mmittee Sta	roblem and Substantiation for Public Input ign with changes to NFPA 13 section 20.9.5.1. rmation Verification Name: Mark Fessenden International Fire Suppression s: >: Thu Apr 04 16:17:36 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP tement
tement of P The revision al omitter Infor Submitter Ful Organization: Street Addres City: State: Zip: Submittal Dat Committee Sta Resolution: <u>F</u>	roblem and Substantiation for Public Input ign with changes to NFPA 13 section 20.9.5.1. mation Verification Name: Mark Fessenden International Fire Suppression s: Thu Apr 04 16:17:36 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP tement iR-122-NFPA 1-2024



attack hose lines.

The typical speculative distribution facilities are >600,000sf while the local distribution facilities speculative range is 300,000-500,000sf. 300,000sf was identified as the entry level sized to large distribution spec buildings that are storage occupancies.

The FPRF will be releasing a report on High Piled Storage to be reviewed prior to the Second Draft.

	4
The width <u>Main Aisle</u> racks and	of the main aisles. <u>Main Aisles</u> between piles shall be not less than 8 ft (2.4 m). <u>The</u> separates piles and shall not be considered the required width between sub-piles, similar aisles used as access to commodities within the pile.
atement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
Some interpr racks, double in an 8-feet a	etations confuse the required 8-foot Main Aisle with aisles between racks single row Frow racks, multiple row racks and sub-piles within the larger pile. This confusion results aisle between every single row rack, which is not the intent of the Main Aisle requirement.
elated Public	c Inputs for This Document
	Related Input Relationship
Public Input 3.3.275.7]	<u>NO. 92-NEPA 1-2024 [New Section after</u> I his builds on the definition of storage pile.
Public Input 3.3.206]	No. 96-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after
ubmitter Info	ormation Verification
Submitter Fu	ull Name: Andrew Valente
Organization	1: Larson Design Group
Street Addre	ess:
City:	
State:	
Zip:	Thu Mar 07 40:00:40 FCT 0004
Submittal Da	ate: 111u Mar 07 10:22:49 EST 2024
Committee.	
ommittee St	atement
	The proposed revision contains two shall statements in a single section. The Manual of Style requires separate sections for each requirement. The submitter is encouraged to review NFPA 400, which contains definitions for 'aisles' and 'access aisles'. The storage







Submitter I	Full Name	: Todd LaBerge
Organizatio	on:	TLB Fire Protection Engineering
Affiliation:		Submitted on behalf of the NFPA 420 Task Group
Street Add	ress:	
City:		
State:		
Zip:		
Submittal	Date:	Tue Apr 02 17:21:16 EDT 2024
Committee	:	FCC-OCP
Committee S	Statemer	ıt
Resolution	: The prop statemen unenford the 'qual limiting t work with	bosed revision does not comply with the NFPA Manual of Style, a charging int is required before a list of items. The proposed revision contains beable language in the terms 'satisfactorily' and 'potentially'. The qualifications of ified person' were not established. It was unclear of the location in the chapter he hazard analysis to only extraction processing. A task group is established to in the NFPA 420 Task Group to provide recommendations for the Second Draft.

Γ

<u>38.6.1.)</u>	Extracted Plant Material
(1)	
(a)	<u>Processing of plant material after extraction or processing shall be in accordance</u> with this section and the extraction solvent section this Code.
(b)	<u>The plant material shall be off gassed in an exhausted area unless otherwise</u> <u>approved by the AHJ.</u>
(c)	<u>The plant material shall be confirmed free of flammable vapors by metering prior to</u> <u>removing from the exhausted area unless otherwise approved by the AHJ.</u>
(d)	<u>The plant material shall be considered a hazardous material until off-gassing of</u> <u>solvent vapors is complete.</u>
(e)	<u>Plant material that has fully off gassed, in accordance with this section, shall be</u> <u>safely disposed of or transported.</u>
Type you	ur content here
is langua owing an 0. Similar perience the future asoning:	ge is submitted on behalf of the Task Group for NFPA 420 Fire Protection of Cannabis d Processing Facilities. This language is from the Task Group's proposed content in NF to other Public Inputs from the NFPA 420 Task Group, loss history and practical have demonstrated that these items are needed to more safely regulate the industry, pr publication of NFPA 420. The intent with this additional language is to provide a requirement that all post-extracted is still appriate a potential approach flammable yappress. When the plant metarial back
is langua owing an 0. Similar perience the future asoning: asoning	ge is submitted on behalf of the Task Group for NFPA 420 Fire Protection of Cannabis d Processing Facilities. This language is from the Task Group's proposed content in NF to other Public Inputs from the NFPA 420 Task Group, loss history and practical have demonstrated that these items are needed to more safely regulate the industry, pr publication of NFPA 420. The intent with this additional language is to provide a requirement that all post-extracter is still considered a potential source of flammable vapors. When the plant material has n extraction, the material still contains dissolved solvents and is wetted. Similar to when removed from a clothes washer, they are damp. In the case of used or "spent" plant ma ss is typically a flammable or combustible liquid, or LP-gas. Spent plant matter ("bioma sufficient vapors to create a flammable atmosphere. Early removal of the spent biomas operly designed and constructed extraction room or exhausted enclosure, can lead to th a flammable environment in a building area that is not provided with sufficient controls s cal exhaust, electrically classified equipment, and other fire protection features.
is langua owing an 0. Similar perience the future asoning: asoning	ge is submitted on behalf of the Task Group for NFPA 420 Fire Protection of Cannabis d Processing Facilities. This language is from the Task Group's proposed content in NF to other Public Inputs from the NFPA 420 Task Group, loss history and practical have demonstrated that these items are needed to more safely regulate the industry, pr publication of NFPA 420. The intent with this additional language is to provide a requirement that all post-extracter is still considered a potential source of flammable vapors. When the plant material has n extraction, the material still contains dissolved solvents and is wetted. Similar to when removed from a clothes washer, they are damp. In the case of used or "spent" plant ma ss is typically a flammable or combustible liquid, or LP-gas. Spent plant matter ("bioma sufficient vapors to create a flammable atmosphere. Early removal of the spent biomas operly designed and constructed extraction room or exhausted enclosure, can lead to the a flammable environment in a building area that is not provided with sufficient controls s cal exhaust, electrically classified equipment, and other fire protection features.
is langua owing an 0. Similar perience the future asoning: asoning	ge is submitted on behalf of the Task Group for NFPA 420 Fire Protection of Cannabis d Processing Facilities. This language is from the Task Group's proposed content in NF to other Public Inputs from the NFPA 420 Task Group, loss history and practical have demonstrated that these items are needed to more safely regulate the industry, pr publication of NFPA 420. The intent with this additional language is to provide a requirement that all post-extracted is still considered a potential source of flammable vapors. When the plant material has n extraction, the material still contains dissolved solvents and is wetted. Similar to when removed from a clothes washer, they are damp. In the case of used or "spent" plant ma ss is typically a flammable or combustible liquid, or LP-gas. Spent plant matter ("biomas sufficient vapors to create a flammable atmosphere. Early removal of the spent biomas sperly designed and constructed extraction room or exhausted enclosure, can lead to the a flammable environment in a building area that is not provided with sufficient controls s cal exhaust, electrically classified equipment, and other fire protection features. Formation Verification
is langua owing an 0. Similar perience the future asoning: asoning: ant matter en used i thes are dampne n used i thes are dampne n off gas m the pro eation of a mechani itter In bmitter I	ge is submitted on behalf of the Task Group for NFPA 420 Fire Protection of Cannabis d Processing Facilities. This language is from the Task Group's proposed content in NF to other Public Inputs from the NFPA 420 Task Group, loss history and practical have demonstrated that these items are needed to more safely regulate the industry, pr publication of NFPA 420. The intent with this additional language is to provide a requirement that all post-extracted is still considered a potential source of flammable vapors. When the plant material has n extraction, the material still contains dissolved solvents and is wetted. Similar to when removed from a clothes washer, they are damp. In the case of used or "spent" plant ma ss is typically a flammable or combustible liquid, or LP-gas. Spent plant matter ("bioma sufficient vapors to create a flammable atmosphere. Early removal of the spent biomas sperly designed and constructed extraction room or exhausted enclosure, can lead to th a flammable environment in a building area that is not provided with sufficient controls s cal exhaust, electrically classified equipment, and other fire protection features. Formation Verification Full Name: Todd LaBerge on: TLB Fire Protection Engineering
is langua owing an 0. Similar perience the future assoning: ant matter en used i thes are dampne n off gas m the pro eation of a mechani itter In bmitter I ganizatio	ge is submitted on behalf of the Task Group for NFPA 420 Fire Protection of Cannabis d Processing Facilities. This language is from the Task Group's proposed content in NF to other Public Inputs from the NFPA 420 Task Group, loss history and practical have demonstrated that these items are needed to more safely regulate the industry, pr publication of NFPA 420. The intent with this additional language is to provide a requirement that all post-extracter is still considered a potential source of flammable vapors. When the plant material has n extraction, the material still contains dissolved solvents and is wetted. Similar to when removed from a clothes washer, they are damp. In the case of used or "spent" plant ma ss is typically a flammable or combustible liquid, or LP-gas. Spent plant matter ("bioma sufficient vapors to create a flammable atmosphere. Early removal of the spent biomas uperly designed and constructed extraction room or exhausted enclosure, can lead to the a flammable environment in a building area that is not provided with sufficient controls s cal exhaust, electrically classified equipment, and other fire protection features. Formation Verification Full Name: Todd LaBerge on: TLB Fire Protection Engineering Submitted on behalf of the NFPA 420 Task Group
is langua owing an 0. Similar perience the future asoning: ant matter en used i thes are dampne n off gas m the pro eation of a mechani itter In bmitter I ganizatio	ge is submitted on behalf of the Task Group for NFPA 420 Fire Protection of Cannabis d Processing Facilities. This language is from the Task Group's proposed content in NF to other Public Inputs from the NFPA 420 Task Group, loss history and practical have demonstrated that these items are needed to more safely regulate the industry, pr publication of NFPA 420. The intent with this additional language is to provide a requirement that all post-extracter is still considered a potential source of flammable vapors. When the plant material has n extraction, the material still contains dissolved solvents and is wetted. Similar to when removed from a clothes washer, they are damp. In the case of used or "spent" plant ma ss is typically a flammable or combustible liquid, or LP-gas. Spent plant matter ("bioma sufficient vapors to create a flammable atmosphere. Early removal of the spent biomas sperly designed and constructed extraction room or exhausted enclosure, can lead to th a flammable environment in a building area that is not provided with sufficient controls s cal exhaust, electrically classified equipment, and other fire protection features. Formation Verification Full Name: Todd LaBerge m: TLB Fire Protection Engineering Submitted on behalf of the NFPA 420 Task Group
is langua owing an 0. Similar perience the future assoning: assoning: ant matter en used i thes are a dampne n off gas m the pro- eation of a mechani itter In bmitter In bmitter In cet Add y :	ge is submitted on behalf of the Task Group for NFPA 420 Fire Protection of Cannabis d Processing Facilities. This language is from the Task Group's proposed content in NF to other Public Inputs from the NFPA 420 Task Group, loss history and practical have demonstrated that these items are needed to more safely regulate the industry, pr publication of NFPA 420. The intent with this additional language is to provide a requirement that all post-extracted is still considered a potential source of flammable vapors. When the plant material has n extraction, the material still contains dissolved solvents and is wetted. Similar to when removed from a clothes washer, they are damp. In the case of used or "spent" plant ma sufficient vapors to create a flammable atmosphere. Early removal of the spent biomas superly designed and constructed extraction room or exhausted enclosure, can lead to the a flammable environment in a building area that is not provided with sufficient controls s cal exhaust, electrically classified equipment, and other fire protection features. Formation Verification Full Name: Todd LaBerge on: TLB Fire Protection Engineering Submitted on behalf of the NFPA 420 Task Group ress:
is langua owing an 0. Similar perience the future assoning: ant matter en used i thes are dampne n off gas m the pro- eation of a mechani itter In bmitter I ganizatio : reet Addu y: ate:	ge is submitted on behalf of the Task Group for NFPA 420 Fire Protection of Cannabis d Processing Facilities. This language is from the Task Group's proposed content in NF to other Public Inputs from the NFPA 420 Task Group, loss history and practical have demonstrated that these items are needed to more safely regulate the industry, pr publication of NFPA 420. The intent with this additional language is to provide a requirement that all post-extracter is still considered a potential source of flammable vapors. When the plant material has n extraction, the material still contains dissolved solvents and is wetted. Similar to when removed from a clothes washer, they are damp. In the case of used or "spent" plant ma ss is typically a flammable or combustible liquid, or LP-gas. Spent plant matter ("biomas sufficient vapors to create a flammable atmosphere. Early removal of the spent biomas sperly designed and constructed extraction room or exhausted enclosure, can lead to the a flammable environment in a building area that is not provided with sufficient controls s cal exhaust, electrically classified equipment, and other fire protection features. Formation Verification Full Name: Todd LaBerge n: TLB Fire Protection Engineering Submitted on behalf of the NFPA 420 Task Group ress:
is langua owing an 0. Similar perience the future asoning: ant matter en used i thes are dampne n off gas m the pro- eation of a mechani itter In bmitter I ganizatio iliation: reet Add y: ate:	ge is submitted on behalf of the Task Group for NFPA 420 Fire Protection of Cannabis d Processing Facilities. This language is from the Task Group's proposed content in NF to other Public Inputs from the NFPA 420 Task Group, loss history and practical have demonstrated that these items are needed to more safely regulate the industry, pr publication of NFPA 420. The intent with this additional language is to provide a requirement that all post-extracts is still considered a potential source of flammable vapors. When the plant material has n extraction, the material still contains dissolved solvents and is wetted. Similar to when removed from a clothes washer, they are damp. In the case of used or "spent" plant ma sufficient vapors to create a flammable atmosphere. Early removal of the spent biomas supprly designed and constructed extraction room or exhausted enclosure, can lead to the a flammable environment in a building area that is not provided with sufficient controls s cal exhaust, electrically classified equipment, and other fire protection features. Formation Verification Full Name: Todd LaBerge In: TLB Fire Protection Engineering Submitted on behalf of the NFPA 420 Task Group ress:
s langua owing an D. Similar berience he future asoning: nt matter en used i thes are dampne n off gas m the pro ation of a mechani itter In janizatio iliation: eet Addu	ge is submitted on behalf of the Task Group for NFPA 420 Fire Protection of Cannabis d Processing Facilities. This language is from the Task Group's proposed content in N to other Public Inputs from the NFPA 420 Task Group, loss history and practical have demonstrated that these items are needed to more safely regulate the industry, p publication of NFPA 420. The intent with this additional language is to provide a requirement that all post-extract is still considered a potential source of flammable vapors. When the plant material h n extraction, the material still contains dissolved solvents and is wetted. Similar to whe removed from a clothes washer, they are damp. In the case of used or "spent" plant m ss is typically a flammable or combustible liquid, or LP-gas. Spent plant matter ("bion sufficient vapors to create a flammable atmosphere. Early removal of the spent bioma uperly designed and constructed extraction room or exhausted enclosure, can lead to a flammable environment in a building area that is not provided with sufficient controls cal exhaust, electrically classified equipment, and other fire protection features. Formation Verification Full Name: Todd LaBerge on: TLB Fire Protection Engineering Submitted on behalf of the NFPA 420 Task Group ress:

Committee Statement

Resolution: The proposed revision does not comply with the NFPA Manual of Style, a charging statement is required before a list of items. A task group is established to work with the NFPA 420 Task Group to provide recommendations for the Second Draft.



Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input

This content is being proposed to move from the Extraction Section 38.6 to Section 38.5 for Growing or Production of Cannabis.

This language addresses growing facilities; therefore it is more appropriately located in Section 38.5 for Growing or Production of Cannabis rather than Section 38.6 for Extraction.

Due to this language currently being in Section 38.6 for Extraction, if a facility does not perform extraction, there is no charging language to implement these indoor grow requirements. Be relocating this information on Indoor Horticultural Grow Structures to the Growing or Production of Cannabis Section 38.5, it is more properly aligned with the hazards.

Submitter Information Verification

Submitter Full Name: Todd LaBerge

)24

Committee Statement

Resolution: FR-129-NFPA 1-2024

Statement: This revision relocates the language to Section 38.5 for Growing or Production of Cannabis from Section 38.6 for Extraction. With this language in Section 38.6 for Extraction, if a facility does not perform extraction, there is no charging language to implement these indoor grow requirements. The language is relocated to Indoor Horticultural Grow Structures to the Growing or Production of Cannabis Section 38.5, where it is more properly aligned with the hazards.

38.6.1.5 38.6.1.5	Signage. 1
All applic <u>available</u> <u>be permi</u>	able safety data sheets (SDS) shall be posted in the extraction room. <u>readily</u> in an approved location on the premises as a paper copy, or where approved, shall tted to be readily retrievable by electronic access
38.6.1.5.	2
The NFP exterior c	A 704 hazard rating diamond sign and no smoking signs shall be posted on the f the extraction room door.
38.6.1.5.	3
Applicabl emergen	e hazard warning signage shall be posted throughout the facility as applicable for cy equipment.
atement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
Current lang	uage requires that SDS information be inside the extraction room.
This is an ur	safe practice. SDS information should be outside the extraction room. Paper copies o
This is an ur SDS informa combustibilit code official officials and building. Re in a fire, is no	Isafe practice. SDS information should be outside the extraction room. Paper copies o tion will absorb hydrocarbon gas and flammable liquid over time and increase its y. This language allowing for electronic SDS information is consistent with OSHA. The should determine what the approved location is if hard copies are present. Many fire of fire suppression crews prefer that SDS documents are positioned at the front entry of t quiring SDS information to be present in an extraction room that may be actively involved to prudent and may add combustible loading.
This is an ur SDS informa combustibilit code official officials and building. Re in a fire, is no	safe practice. SDS information should be outside the extraction room. Paper copies o tion will absorb hydrocarbon gas and flammable liquid over time and increase its y. This language allowing for electronic SDS information is consistent with OSHA. The should determine what the approved location is if hard copies are present. Many fire of fire suppression crews prefer that SDS documents are positioned at the front entry of t quiring SDS information to be present in an extraction room that may be actively involve of prudent and may add combustible loading.
This is an ur SDS informa combustibilit code official officials and building. Re in a fire, is no Ibmitter Inf	Isafe practice. SDS information should be outside the extraction room. Paper copies on tion will absorb hydrocarbon gas and flammable liquid over time and increase its y. This language allowing for electronic SDS information is consistent with OSHA. The should determine what the approved location is if hard copies are present. Many fire of fire suppression crews prefer that SDS documents are positioned at the front entry of t quiring SDS information to be present in an extraction room that may be actively involve to prudent and may add combustible loading.
This is an ur SDS informa combustibilit code official officials and building. Re in a fire, is no Ibmitter Info Submitter F Organizatio	 Isafe practice. SDS information should be outside the extraction room. Paper copies of tion will absorb hydrocarbon gas and flammable liquid over time and increase its y. This language allowing for electronic SDS information is consistent with OSHA. The should determine what the approved location is if hard copies are present. Many fire of fire suppression crews prefer that SDS documents are positioned at the front entry of t quiring SDS information to be present in an extraction room that may be actively involved prudent and may add combustible loading. Ormation Verification INAME: Todd LaBerge TLB Fire Protection Engineering
This is an ur SDS informa combustibilit code official officials and building. Re in a fire, is no Ibmitter Info Submitter F Organizatio Affiliation:	 Issafe practice. SDS information should be outside the extraction room. Paper copies of tion will absorb hydrocarbon gas and flammable liquid over time and increase its y. This language allowing for electronic SDS information is consistent with OSHA. The should determine what the approved location is if hard copies are present. Many fire of fire suppression crews prefer that SDS documents are positioned at the front entry of t quiring SDS information to be present in an extraction room that may be actively involved prudent and may add combustible loading. Ormation Verification INAME: Todd LaBerge TLB Fire Protection Engineering Representing self
This is an ur SDS informa combustibilit code official officials and building. Re in a fire, is no Ibmitter Info Submitter F Organizatio Affiliation: Street Addre	 Isafe practice. SDS information should be outside the extraction room. Paper copies of tion will absorb hydrocarbon gas and flammable liquid over time and increase its y. This language allowing for electronic SDS information is consistent with OSHA. The should determine what the approved location is if hard copies are present. Many fire of fire suppression crews prefer that SDS documents are positioned at the front entry of t quiring SDS information to be present in an extraction room that may be actively involved prudent and may add combustible loading. Ormation Verification II Name: Todd LaBerge n: TLB Fire Protection Engineering Representing self
This is an ur SDS informa combustibilit code official officials and building. Re in a fire, is no Ibmitter Info Submitter Info Submitter F Organizatio Affiliation: Street Addro City:	 asafe practice. SDS information should be outside the extraction room. Paper copies of tion will absorb hydrocarbon gas and flammable liquid over time and increase its y. This language allowing for electronic SDS information is consistent with OSHA. The should determine what the approved location is if hard copies are present. Many fire of fire suppression crews prefer that SDS documents are positioned at the front entry of t quiring SDS information to be present in an extraction room that may be actively involved prudent and may add combustible loading. ormation Verification ull Name: Todd LaBerge n: TLB Fire Protection Engineering Representing self
This is an ur SDS informa combustibilit code official officials and building. Re in a fire, is no Ibmitter Info Submitter Info Submitter F Organizatio Affiliation: Street Addro City: State:	 asafe practice. SDS information should be outside the extraction room. Paper copies of tion will absorb hydrocarbon gas and flammable liquid over time and increase its y. This language allowing for electronic SDS information is consistent with OSHA. The should determine what the approved location is if hard copies are present. Many fire of fire suppression crews prefer that SDS documents are positioned at the front entry of t quiring SDS information to be present in an extraction room that may be actively involved prudent and may add combustible loading. ormation Verification ull Name: Todd LaBerge n: TLB Fire Protection Engineering Representing self
This is an ur SDS informa combustibilit code official officials and building. Re in a fire, is no Ibmitter Info Submitter Info Submitter F Organizatio Affiliation: Street Addro City: State: Zip:	 asafe practice. SDS information should be outside the extraction room. Paper copies of tion will absorb hydrocarbon gas and flammable liquid over time and increase its y. This language allowing for electronic SDS information is consistent with OSHA. The should determine what the approved location is if hard copies are present. Many fire of fire suppression crews prefer that SDS documents are positioned at the front entry of t quiring SDS information to be present in an extraction room that may be actively involved prudent and may add combustible loading. ormation Verification ull Name: Todd LaBerge n: TLB Fire Protection Engineering Representing self
This is an ur SDS informa combustibilit code official officials and building. Re in a fire, is no Ibmitter Inf Submitter Inf Submitter F Organizatio Affiliation: Street Addro City: State: Zip: Submittal D	 asafe practice. SDS information should be outside the extraction room. Paper copies of tion will absorb hydrocarbon gas and flammable liquid over time and increase its y. This language allowing for electronic SDS information is consistent with OSHA. The should determine what the approved location is if hard copies are present. Many fire of fire suppression crews prefer that SDS documents are positioned at the front entry of t quiring SDS information to be present in an extraction room that may be actively involved to prudent and may add combustible loading. ormation Verification ull Name: Todd LaBerge n: TLB Fire Protection Engineering Representing self ess:
This is an ur SDS informa combustibilit code official officials and building. Re in a fire, is no Ibmitter Info Submitter Info Submitter F Organizatio Affiliation: Street Addro City: State: Zip: Submittal D Committee:	 safe practice. SDS information should be outside the extraction room. Paper copies o tion will absorb hydrocarbon gas and flammable liquid over time and increase its y. This language allowing for electronic SDS information is consistent with OSHA. The should determine what the approved location is if hard copies are present. Many fire c fire suppression crews prefer that SDS documents are positioned at the front entry of t quiring SDS information to be present in an extraction room that may be actively involved prudent and may add combustible loading. ormation Verification ull Name: Todd LaBerge n: TLB Fire Protection Engineering Representing self ess: ate: Tue Apr 02 19:01:32 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP
This is an ur SDS informa combustibilit code official officials and building. Re in a fire, is no Ibmitter Inf Submitter Inf Submitter F Organizatio Affiliation: Street Addre City: State: Zip: Submittal D Committee Si	 safe practice. SDS information should be outside the extraction room. Paper copies of tion will absorb hydrocarbon gas and flammable liquid over time and increase its y. This language allowing for electronic SDS information is consistent with OSHA. The should determine what the approved location is if hard copies are present. Many fire of fire suppression crews prefer that SDS documents are positioned at the front entry of t quiring SDS information to be present in an extraction room that may be actively involved prudent and may add combustible loading. ormation Verification ull Name: Todd LaBerge n: TLB Fire Protection Engineering Representing self ess: ate: Tue Apr 02 19:01:32 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP tatement

	nput No. 198-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 38.6.1.6.1.3]
38.6.1.6.	1.3
In addition comply w and NFP/	n to the requirements in 38.6.1.6, systems, equipment, and processes shall also ith 60.5.1.6, other applicable provisions of this <i>Code</i> , the building code, <u>NFPA 90A,</u> A 90A <u>NFPA 91</u> .
atement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
NFPA 90A is applicable to facility. Addin for the Syste Code may no 38.6.2.2.3 for	for HVAC systems. NFPA 91 is for mechanical exhaust systems, which is more the mitigation of hazardous materials vapors in a plant oil extraction and processing ng in NFPA 91 directs the reader to the requirements for the mechanical exhaust syste ms, Equipment, and Processes in general, particularly for locations where a Mechanica of be locally adopted. The extraction sections both reference NFPA 91 (38.6.2.2.2 and r LPG and 38.6.3.2.1 for flammable/combustible liquids).
bmitter Info	ormation Verification
Submitter Fi	ull Name: Todd LaBerge
Organization	n: TLB Fire Protection Engineering
Affiliation:	Representing Self
Street Addre	ess:
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
	ate: Tue Apr 02 19:06:42 EDT 2024
Submittal Da	FCC-OCP
Submittal Da Committee:	
Submittal Da Committee: mmittee St	atement
Submittal Da Committee: ommittee St Resolution:	tatement <u>FR-131-NFPA 1-2024</u>



Resolution: A Chapter 38 task group is established to work with the NFPA 420 Task Group to provide recommendations for the Second Draft.

FPA	nput No. 199-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 38.6.2.3.1]
38.6.2.3.	1
All conduc <u>exhauster</u> ohms in a	ctive equipment and conductive objects within the <u>exhaust</u> <u>extraction</u> room shall <u>or</u> <u>d enclosure shall</u> be bonded and grounded with a resistance of less than 1.0 × 10 ⁶ accordance with <i>NFPA 70</i> .
tatement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
The term "ex aligns the na Chapter 38.	haust room" is undefined anywhere in the Code and this Chapter This slight change me of the enclosure where extraction operations are performed, with other references in
ubmitter Info	ormation Verification
Submitter F	ull Name: Todd LaBerge
Organizatior	TLB Fire Protection Engineering
Affiliation:	Representing Self
Street Addre	ess:
City:	
City: State:	
City: State: Zip:	
City: State: Zip: Submittal Da	ate: Tue Apr 02 19:11:01 EDT 2024
City: State: Zip: Submittal Da Committee:	ate: Tue Apr 02 19:11:01 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP
City: State: Zip: Submittal Da Committee:	ate: Tue Apr 02 19:11:01 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP atement
City: State: Zip: Submittal Da Committee: ommittee St Resolution:	ate: Tue Apr 02 19:11:01 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP atement FR-132-NFPA 1-2024



Γ

Extraction	
between	n and post oil processing operations, including dispensing of flammable liquids containers, shall be performed in one of the following locations:
(1) A ch	emical fume hood in accordance with Chapter 7 of NFPA 45
(2) An <u>4</u> acco	<u>A room or exhausted enclosure provided with an</u> approved exhaust system installed in ordance with NFPA 91 or the mechanical code
atement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
Recognizing extraction ca an exhaust s	that this is simply language nuance, the current language notes that flammable liquid an be performed in an exhaust system. Extraction is performed within an enclosure w system, not within the exhaust system itself.
ıbmitter Inf	formation Verification
Submitter F	ull Name: Todd LaBerge
Organizatio	n: TLB Fire Protection Engineering
Affiliation:	Representing self
Street Addr	'ess:
City:	
State:	
Zin	
	Jate: Tue Apr 02 19:18:00 EDT 2024
Submittal D	
Submittal D Committee:	FCC-OCP
Submittal D Committee:	tatement
Submittal D Committee: Committee Si Resolution:	E FCC-OCP tatement E FR-134-NFPA 1-2024

<u>38.8</u> Alterna	tive Plant Oil Extraction Method	s and Separation Processes
<u>38.8.1 Cannabi</u>	s plant oil extraction facilities using a	ternative extraction methods not covered
by Sections 38.	<u>6.2, 38.6.3, or 38.6.4 shall comply wr</u>	h this Section.
<u>38.8.2 A hazaro</u>	analysis shall be conducted in accor	lance with Section <u>38.6.X</u>
3 <u>8.8.2.1 Items</u>	identified in the Hazard Analysis shal	be completed.
Type your cont	ent here	
ement of Prob	lem and Substantiation for P	ublic Input
420. Similar to oth experience have d to the future public	er Public Inputs from the NFPA 420 T emonstrated that these items are nee ation of NFPA 420.	ask Group, loss history and practical ded to more safely regulate the industry, prior
Reasoning: As tec	hnology and extraction operations ch	ande over time, extraction processes may not
Reasoning: As tec always use flamma being researched, anguage to requir already contains the and the AHJ that to operations. The H	hnology and extraction operations ch able or combustible liquids, LP-gas, o such as tetrafluoromethane, which is e the hazard analysis for these extrac his language, having this language in hese processes should be evaluated lazard Analysis is proposed as Public	ange over time, extraction processes may not r CO2. New extraction solvents are continually an asphyxiant. This section gives the AHJ tion solvents. Whereas NFPA 1 Section 1.16 the Extraction chapter reminds both the user for their hazards, including the post-extraction Input 190-NFPA-1-2024
Reasoning: As tec always use flamma being researched, language to requir already contains th and the AHJ that th operations. The H ated Public Inp	hnology and extraction operations ch able or combustible liquids, LP-gas, o such as tetrafluoromethane, which is e the hazard analysis for these extract his language, having this language in hese processes should be evaluated lazard Analysis is proposed as Public outs for This Document	ange over time, extraction processes may not r CO2. New extraction solvents are continually an asphyxiant. This section gives the AHJ tion solvents. Whereas NFPA 1 Section 1.16 the Extraction chapter reminds both the user for their hazards, including the post-extraction Input 190-NFPA-1-2024
Reasoning: As tec always use flamma being researched, language to requir already contains th and the AHJ that t operations. The H ated Public Inp	hnology and extraction operations ch able or combustible liquids, LP-gas, o such as tetrafluoromethane, which is e the hazard analysis for these extract his language, having this language in hese processes should be evaluated lazard Analysis is proposed as Public outs for This Document <u>Related Input</u>	ange over time, extraction processes may not r CO2. New extraction solvents are continually an asphyxiant. This section gives the AHJ tion solvents. Whereas NFPA 1 Section 1.16 the Extraction chapter reminds both the user for their hazards, including the post-extraction Input 190-NFPA-1-2024 <u>Relationship</u>
Reasoning: As tec always use flamma being researched, language to requir already contains th and the AHJ that th operations. The H ated Public Input No. 1	hnology and extraction operations ch able or combustible liquids, LP-gas, o such as tetrafluoromethane, which is e the hazard analysis for these extract his language, having this language in hese processes should be evaluated lazard Analysis is proposed as Public Duts for This Document <u>Related Input</u> <u>90-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section</u>	ange over time, extraction processes may not r CO2. New extraction solvents are continually an asphyxiant. This section gives the AHJ tion solvents. Whereas NFPA 1 Section 1.16 the Extraction chapter reminds both the user for their hazards, including the post-extraction Input 190-NFPA-1-2024 <u>Relationship</u> PI 193 references the Hazard Analysis in
Reasoning: As tec always use flamma being researched, anguage to requir already contains th and the AHJ that the operations. The H ated Public Input Public Input No. 1 after 38.6.1]	hnology and extraction operations ch able or combustible liquids, LP-gas, o such as tetrafluoromethane, which is e the hazard analysis for these extract his language, having this language in hese processes should be evaluated lazard Analysis is proposed as Public Duts for This Document <u>Related Input</u> 90-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section	ange over time, extraction processes may not r CO2. New extraction solvents are continually an asphyxiant. This section gives the AHJ tion solvents. Whereas NFPA 1 Section 1.16 the Extraction chapter reminds both the user for their hazards, including the post-extraction Input 190-NFPA-1-2024 <u>Relationship</u> PI 193 references the Hazard Analysis in PI 190.
Reasoning: As tec always use flamma being researched, language to requir already contains th and the AHJ that th operations. The H ated Public Inp Public Input No. 1 after 38.6.1]	hnology and extraction operations ch able or combustible liquids, LP-gas, o such as tetrafluoromethane, which is e the hazard analysis for these extract his language, having this language in hese processes should be evaluated lazard Analysis is proposed as Public outs for This Document <u>Related Input</u> 90-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section	ange over time, extraction processes may not r CO2. New extraction solvents are continually an asphyxiant. This section gives the AHJ tion solvents. Whereas NFPA 1 Section 1.16 the Extraction chapter reminds both the user for their hazards, including the post-extraction Input 190-NFPA-1-2024 <u>Relationship</u> PI 193 references the Hazard Analysis in PI 190.
Reasoning: As tec always use flamma being researched, anguage to requir already contains th and the AHJ that th operations. The H ated Public Inp Public Input No. 1 after 38.6.1] mitter Informa Submitter Full Na	hnology and extraction operations ch able or combustible liquids, LP-gas, o such as tetrafluoromethane, which is e the hazard analysis for these extract his language, having this language in hese processes should be evaluated lazard Analysis is proposed as Public outs for This Document <u>Related Input</u> 90-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section htion Verification	ange over time, extraction processes may not r CO2. New extraction solvents are continually an asphyxiant. This section gives the AHJ tion solvents. Whereas NFPA 1 Section 1.16 the Extraction chapter reminds both the user for their hazards, including the post-extraction Input 190-NFPA-1-2024 <u>Relationship</u> PI 193 references the Hazard Analysis in PI 190.
Reasoning: As tec always use flamma being researched, anguage to requir already contains th and the AHJ that th operations. The H ated Public Input Public Input No. 1 after 38.6.1] mitter Informa Submitter Full Na Drganization:	hnology and extraction operations ch able or combustible liquids, LP-gas, o such as tetrafluoromethane, which is e the hazard analysis for these extract his language, having this language in hese processes should be evaluated lazard Analysis is proposed as Public outs for This Document <u>Related Input</u> 90-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section Ation Verification me: Todd LaBerge TLB Fire Protection Engineering	ange over time, extraction processes may not r CO2. New extraction solvents are continually an asphyxiant. This section gives the AHJ tion solvents. Whereas NFPA 1 Section 1.16 the Extraction chapter reminds both the user for their hazards, including the post-extraction Input 190-NFPA-1-2024 <u>Relationship</u> PI 193 references the Hazard Analysis in PI 190.
Reasoning: As tec always use flamma being researched, anguage to requir already contains the and the AHJ that the operations. The H ated Public Input Mitter Informa Submitter Full Na Drganization: Affiliation:	hnology and extraction operations ch able or combustible liquids, LP-gas, o such as tetrafluoromethane, which is e the hazard analysis for these extract his language, having this language in hese processes should be evaluated lazard Analysis is proposed as Public outs for This Document <u>Related Input</u> 90-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section Ation Verification me: Todd LaBerge TLB Fire Protection Engineering Submitted on behalf of the NFPA	ange over time, extraction processes may not r CO2. New extraction solvents are continually an asphyxiant. This section gives the AHJ tion solvents. Whereas NFPA 1 Section 1.16 the Extraction chapter reminds both the user for their hazards, including the post-extraction Input 190-NFPA-1-2024 <u>Relationship</u> PI 193 references the Hazard Analysis in PI 190.
Reasoning: As tec always use flamma being researched, anguage to requir already contains the and the AHJ that the and the AHJ that the ated Public Input Ated Public Input Public Input No. 1 after 38.6.1] mitter Informa Submitter Full Na Drganization: Affiliation: Street Address:	hnology and extraction operations ch able or combustible liquids, LP-gas, o such as tetrafluoromethane, which is e the hazard analysis for these extract his language, having this language in hese processes should be evaluated lazard Analysis is proposed as Public outs for This Document <u>Related Input</u> 90-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section Ation Verification me: Todd LaBerge TLB Fire Protection Engineering Submitted on behalf of the NFPA	ange over time, extraction processes may not r CO2. New extraction solvents are continually an asphyxiant. This section gives the AHJ tion solvents. Whereas NFPA 1 Section 1.16 the Extraction chapter reminds both the user for their hazards, including the post-extraction Input 190-NFPA-1-2024 <u>Relationship</u> PI 193 references the Hazard Analysis in PI 190.
Reasoning: As tec always use flamma being researched, anguage to requir already contains the and the AHJ that the operations. The H ated Public Input Public Input No. 1 after 38.6.1] mitter Informa Submitter Full Na Drganization: Street Address: City:	hnology and extraction operations ch able or combustible liquids, LP-gas, o such as tetrafluoromethane, which is e the hazard analysis for these extract his language, having this language in hese processes should be evaluated lazard Analysis is proposed as Public outs for This Document <u>Related Input</u> 90-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section Attion Verification me: Todd LaBerge TLB Fire Protection Engineering Submitted on behalf of the NFPA	ange over time, extraction processes may not r CO2. New extraction solvents are continually an asphyxiant. This section gives the AHJ tion solvents. Whereas NFPA 1 Section 1.16 the Extraction chapter reminds both the user for their hazards, including the post-extraction Input 190-NFPA-1-2024 <u>Relationship</u> PI 193 references the Hazard Analysis in PI 190.
Reasoning: As tec always use flamma being researched, anguage to requir already contains the and the AHJ that the operations. The H ated Public Input No. 1 after 38.6.1] mitter Informa Submitter Full Na Drganization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State: Zin:	hnology and extraction operations ch able or combustible liquids, LP-gas, o such as tetrafluoromethane, which is e the hazard analysis for these extract his language, having this language in hese processes should be evaluated lazard Analysis is proposed as Public outs for This Document <u>Related Input</u> 90-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section Ation Verification me: Todd LaBerge TLB Fire Protection Engineering Submitted on behalf of the NFPA	ange over time, extraction processes may not r CO2. New extraction solvents are continually an asphyxiant. This section gives the AHJ tion solvents. Whereas NFPA 1 Section 1.16 the Extraction chapter reminds both the user for their hazards, including the post-extraction Input 190-NFPA-1-2024 <u>Relationship</u> PI 193 references the Hazard Analysis in PI 190. 420 Task Group
Reasoning: As tec always use flamma being researched, anguage to requir already contains the and the AHJ that the and the AHJ that the arted Public Input Ated Public Input Public Input No. 1 after 38.6.1] mitter Informa Submitter Full Na Drganization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State: Zip: Submittal Date:	hnology and extraction operations ch able or combustible liquids, LP-gas, o such as tetrafluoromethane, which is e the hazard analysis for these extract is language, having this language in hese processes should be evaluated lazard Analysis is proposed as Public outs for This Document <u>Related Input</u> 90-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section Mee: Todd LaBerge TLB Fire Protection Engineering Submitted on behalf of the NFPA	ange over time, extraction processes may not r CO2. New extraction solvents are continually an asphyxiant. This section gives the AHJ tion solvents. Whereas NFPA 1 Section 1.16 the Extraction chapter reminds both the user for their hazards, including the post-extraction Input 190-NFPA-1-2024 <u>Relationship</u> PI 193 references the Hazard Analysis in PI 190.


38.9 Post Extraction Operations and Facilities

Appendix Section A.38.9 Informational Note: Post extraction operations performed upon the oil may include processes such as winterization, crystallization, distillation, chromatography, remediation, and others. The post extraction operations are performed upon the oil, and may include flammable or combustible liquids or gases, which are regulated as hazardous materials processes by the applicable Chapters of this Code. Chapter 60 applies for general hazardous materials operations. Chapter 66 applies to flammable and combustible liquid operations. Chapter 69 applies to LP-gas_systems. Although these operations are typically performed in the facility where the oil is extracted, these operations may also be performed in standalone facilities where extraction operations are not performed. The hazardous materials provisions of this Code apply to both types of facilities where such materials are used. It is not the intent that this section apply to post extraction processes that are an integral part of the extraction process itself and onboard the extraction machine. Such operations are addressed by the extraction sections of this Chapter.

38.9.1 Facilities Without Extraction Operations - Facilities where extraction operations are not conducted, and operations are limited only to processes performed upon the extracted oil, shall comply with the hazardous materials provisions of this Code for the materials used.

Appendix Section A.38.9.1 This Section recognizes that many facilities utilize oil that has been extracted at another facility or in a different building, where the provisions of extraction safety are not applicable. Those facilities are required to comply with the general hazardous materials provisions of Chapter 60 of this Code, and the material-specific chapters based on the materials used. The requirements in this Code for extraction facilities would not apply to a facility that does not perform extraction operations.

38.9.2 - Facilities With Extraction Operations

Appendix Section A.38.9.2 The Section establishes the post extraction requirements for facilities that perform both plant oil extraction and post extraction operations. The movement of extracted oil and the potential for additional use of flammable solvents create additional hazards that may not be considered after the extraction operations have concluded. These operations are often conducted in a larger building area immediately outside of the extraction room or chemical fume hood and may present hazards to the extraction operations or vice versa. The totality of the facility operations must be considered together, to establish proper fire protection measures. The overall operations and relevant fire protection requirements are captured in the required hazard analysis. The general hazardous materials provisions of Chapter 60 also apply, including Chapter 66 for flammable or combustible liquids, and Chapter 69 for LP-gas.

<u>38.9.2.1 - The hazard analysis required in accordance with Section 38.6.1.X shall include an</u> evaluation of the post-extraction operations and the integration of these operations with the extraction process safety.

<u>38.9.2.2</u> - Refrigerators, freezers, and other cooling equipment used in post-extraction operations shall comply with Section <u>38.6.1.2.2</u>

<u>38.9.2.3</u> Post extraction oil operations using flammable or combustible liquids shall comply with Section <u>38.6.3.2.1</u> or as determined by the hazard analysis of Section <u>38.6.1.X</u>

<u>38.9.2.3.1 Where post extraction processing operations or equipment do not use flammable liquids or flammable gases, do not heat extracted oil above its flash point, or do not generate flammable vapors, a chemical fume hood, or other enclosure shall not be required.</u>

38.9.2.4 Vacuum Ovens

<u>38.9.2.4.1</u> Vacuum ovens shall be electrically classified in accordance with NFPA 70 where ignitable vapors are present inside the oven.

<u>38.9.2.4.2 Discharge from electrically classified vacuum ovens shall be directed to a cold trap</u> or mechanical exhaust system when ignitable vapors are present.

Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input

This language is submitted on behalf of the Task Group for NFPA 420 Fire Protection of Cannabis Growing and Processing Facilities. This language is from the Task Group's proposed content in NFPA 420. Similar to other Public Inputs from the NFPA 420 Task Group, loss history and practical experience have demonstrated that these items are needed to more safely regulate the industry, prior to the future publication of NFPA 420.

Reasoning: Most extraction facilities also undertake operations upon the extracted oil that use flammable solvents and other hazardous materials. Those operations are typically handled by Chapters 60 and above of this Code, relevant to the material used. This new section provides direction for the end user and AHJ to seek those provisions out. In addition, this section requires that the Hazard Analysis incorporate the post-extraction operations, to ensure the totality of all hazards is considered for safety. In some cases, the use of flammable liquids or LP-gas in a post-extraction process may put a control area over the MAQ for those materials. The totality of all operations need to be reviewed for compliance, rather than a segmented approach of Extraction and Post Extraction. Additionally, proposed Section 38.9.1 clarifies that a facility only performing post extraction operating upon the oil, does not need to comply with Chapter 38. Some Jurisdictions have required the application of the fire protection provisions for an extraction facility, in a facility that is only operating upon the oil itself. In this case, the application of Chapter 38 is not appropriate. For facilities only using oil extracted elsewhere, there are no extraction hazards present. For those facilities, they are performing generic processes with hazardous materials that are regulated elsewhere in this Code.

Related Public Inputs for This Document

Related Input Public Input No. 190-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after 38.6.1] <u>Relationship</u>

This proposed Section in PI 195 references the Hazard Analysis proposed in PI 190

Submitter Information Verification

Submitter Full Name:	: Todd LaBerge
Organization:	TLB Fire Protection Engineering
Affiliation:	Submitted on behalf of the NFPA 420 Task Group
Street Address:	
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Date:	Tue Apr 02 18:18:14 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP

Committee Statement

Resolution: A Chapter 38 task group is established to work with the NFPA 420 Task Group to provide recommendations for the Second Draft.

Public Input No. 98-NFPA 1-2024 [Chapter 47]			
Chapter 47 Res	served Spaceport Facilities		
47.1 Spaceport facilities shall comply with NFPA 461, Standard for Fire Protection of Spaceport Facilities			
Statement of Proble	Statement of Problem and Substantiation for Public Input		
With the developme NFPA 1 in order to a	nt of NFPA 461, the new standard should be include as a reference document to address the specific hazards of a spaceport facility.		
Submitter Informati	ion Verification		
Submitter Full Nam	e: Anthony Apfelbeck		
Organization:	Altamonte Springs Building and Fire Safety		
Street Address:			
City:			
State:			
Zip:			
Submittal Date:	Thu Mar 14 08:43:08 EDT 2024		
Committee:	FCC-OCP		
Committee Stateme	ent		
Resolution: The proposed revision seeks to reference an NFPA standard that is not published yet.			



within 30 days, n impairments sho	senerally accepted practice is for critical deficiencies to be- corrected or - repaired oncritical deficiencies should be- corrected or - repaired within 90 days, and uld be- corrected - repaired - as soon as practical.
atement of Probl	em and Substantiation for Public Input
Substantiation: The may not be interpre something is wrong	use of the word correction indicates that an issue was designated as incorrect and ted as being resolved or repaired. Corrected -To show or tell someone that and to make it right.
lated Public Inp	uts for This Document
Public Input No. 13	Related InputRelationship33-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. 13.1.9]
bmitter Informat	tion Verification
Submitter Full Nar	ne: Terin Hopkins
Organization: Street Address: City: State:	National Fire Sprinkler Associ
Zip: Submittal Date:	Tue Mar 26 13:13:47 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP
oommittee.	



Public I	nput No. 160-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. A.13.7.1.5]
A.13.7.1	.5
Requiren signaling situations and teste problems available	nents to address impaired fire alarm <u>and signaling</u> systems, and fire alarm <u>and</u> _systems prone to chronic nuisance alarms are provided in 13.7.1.5. In many s, the problems can be corrected by ensuring the systems are maintained, serviced, ed by an approved fire alarm service company. However, in some cases, the system s may be attributed to aging for which suitable replacement parts are no longer
Statement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
Alarm syster system that Depending o other gas de	ms are governed by NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling System Code. A signalin may be installed within a protected premises may be for more than just fire detection. on the occupancy classification and use, the system may be providing CO, CO2, NH3 and etection, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter.
Submitter Inf	ormation Verification
Submitter F	ull Name: Shane Clary
Organizatio	n: Bay Alarm Company
Affiliation:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
Street Addre	ess:
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal D	ate: Sun Mar 31 19:14:20 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
committee St	tatement
Resolution:	FR-128-NFPA 1-2024
Statement:	This revision clarifies that alarm systems are governed by NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling System Code. A signaling system that may be installed within a protected premises may be for more than just fire detection. Depending on the occupancy classification and use, the system may be providing CO, CO2, NH3 and other gas

A.13.7.1.5.5	
Prior to a fire system, the o the nuisance service comp service comp environmenta owner or tena alarms. There service comp nuisance alar	alarm <u>and signaling</u> system being classified as a chronic-nuisance-alarm-prone wner should assess the environment, ITM, and system condition to determine if alarms can be mitigated prior to the fifth nuisance alarm. As part of the fire alarm any ITM assessment after the fifth alarm, the fire alarm <u>and signaling system</u> any should make a determination as to if the cause for the nuisance alarm is all or a fault with the fire alarm system. An environmental issue might require the ant to modify their policies or practices in an attempt to mitigate future nuisance e might be times in which a qualified individual or firm other than the fire alarm any, acceptable to the AHJ, can be retained to assist with the mitigation of the ms.
itement of Pro	blem and Substantiation for Public Input
Depending on th	e occupancy classification and use, the system may be providing CO, CO2, NH3 and
bmitter Inform	on, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter.
bmitter Inform	on, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter. nation Verification lame: Shane Clary
bmitter Inform Submitter Full N Organization:	on, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter. nation Verification lame: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company
bmitter Inform Submitter Full N Organization: Affiliation:	on, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter.
bmitter Inform Submitter Full N Organization: Affiliation: Street Address:	on, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter. Nation Verification Jame: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
bmitter Inform Submitter Full N Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City:	on, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter. Nation Verification Jame: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
bmitter Inform Submitter Full N Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State:	on, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter. Nation Verification Jame: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
bmitter Inform Submitter Full N Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State: Zip:	on, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter. Nation Verification Jame: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
bmitter Inform Submitter Full N Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State: Zip: Submittal Date:	on, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter. Nation Verification Jame: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) Sun Mar 31 19:16:34 EDT 2024
bmitter Inform Submitter Full N Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State: Zip: Submittal Date: Committee:	on, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter. Nation Verification Jame: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) Sun Mar 31 19:16:34 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP
bmitter Inform Submitter Full N Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State: Zip: Submittal Date: Committee State	on, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter. nation Verification lame: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) Sun Mar 31 19:16:34 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP ment
bmitter Inform Submitter Full N Organization: Affiliation: Street Address: City: State: Zip: Submittal Date: Committee State Resolution: FR	on, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter. Aation Verification Iame: Shane Clary Bay Alarm Company Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA) Sun Mar 31 19:16:34 EDT 2024 FCC-OCP ment -128-NFPA 1-2024

Γ

l

Public I	nput No. 162-NFPA 1-2024 [Section No. A.13.7.1.5.6]
A.13.7.1	.5.6
lt is not tl alarm <u>an</u> 13.7.1.5.	ne intention of the code to prohibit building owners from operating chronic nuisance <u>d signaling</u> systems that are not immediately resolved by the actions denoted in 5.
Statement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
Alarm system system that Depending of other gas de	ms are governed by NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm and Signaling System Code. A signalin may be installed within a protected premises may be for more than just fire detection. on the occupancy classification and use, the system may be providing CO, CO2, NH3 and etection, as well as mass notification for events such as tornado or active shooter.
Submitter Inf	ormation Verification
Submitter F	ull Name: Shane Clary
Organizatio	n: Bay Alarm Company
Affiliation:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
Street Addr	ess:
Citv:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal D	ate: Sun Mar 31 19:18:43 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
committee S	tatement
Resolution:	FR-128-NFPA 1-2024

A. 13. 7. 1. 5. 9 B responsibility designated re requires the s systems.	ecause there are numerous entities th of notifying the proper AHJ is delegat presentative, and the fire alarm super system owner or designated represent	at could be the AHJ, <u>the</u> ted to the owner or the owner's vising station. 13.7.1.5.2 also ative to notify the AHJ of impaired
itement of Prob	elem and Substantiation for Pub	lic Input
Annex added to ex	colain new text in the body as submitted i	n Public Input No. 246-NFPA 1-2024.
ated Public Inp	outs for This Document	
	Related Input	<u>Relationship</u>
Public Input No. 2	46-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after	Annex text to explain new section 13.7.1.5.9.
<u>13.7.1.3.8</u>		
bmitter Informa	ation Verification	
bmitter Informa	ntion Verification	
omitter Informa Submitter Full Na Organization:	ntion Verification me: Terry Victor Risk Suppression Partners LLC	
Submitter Informa Organization:	ntion Verification me: Terry Victor Risk Suppression Partners LLC	
Submitter Informa Organization: Street Address: City:	ation Verification me: Terry Victor Risk Suppression Partners LLC	
Submitter Informa Organization: Street Address: City: State:	ntion Verification me: Terry Victor Risk Suppression Partners LLC	
Submitter Informa Submitter Full Na Organization: Street Address: City: State: Zip:	ation Verification me: Terry Victor Risk Suppression Partners LLC	
Submitter Informa Submitter Full Na Organization: Street Address: City: State: Zip: Submittal Date:	ntion Verification me: Terry Victor Risk Suppression Partners LLC Thu Apr 04 13:25:50 EDT 2024	

Public Input N	No. 250-NFPA 1-2024 [New Sec	ction after A.13.7.1.5.8]
A.13.7.1.5.9.1 In monitoring, test to determine if operational and available. Para notify the AHJ longer be servi nuisance alarm for notification notification of	t is not always practical for the AHJ t sting, service, and maintenance are p older systems are no longer able to d resistant to nuisance alarms, partic graph 13.7.1.5.9.1 requires the owner when required services have been d ced and maintained in an operationa is. The fire alarm supervising station of the fire alarm system status and t the status of system monitoring cond	o continually verify that required provided. It is also difficult for the AHJ be serviced or repaired to keep them cularly if spare parts are no longer r or their designated representative to iscontinued, or when systems can no al condition, free from chronic has direct access to the proper AHJ cherefore is responsible for the ditions.
Statement of Probl	em and Substantiation for Pub	lic Input
Annex added to exp 1-2024.	plain new text (13.7.1.5.9.1) in the body	as submitted in Public Input No. 246-NFPA
Related Public Inpu	uts for This Document	
Public Input No. 24 13.7.1.5.8]	Related Input 6-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after	Relationship Annex text for new section added to the body.
Submitter Informat	ion Verification	
Submitter Full Nan	ne: Terry Victor	
Organization: Street Address: City: State: Zip:	Risk Suppression Partners LLC	
Submittal Date:	Thu Apr 04 13:36:52 EDT 2024	
Committee:	FCC-OCP	
Committee Statem	ent	
Resolution: NFPA report	72 contains the requirements for reporting is to be addressed.	ting of impairments and to whom the





Public Ir	וput No. 229-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after A.13.7.2.11.4.3]
A.13. imme of op that a	7.2.11.5.1 The intent is to require carbon monoxide detectors in occupiable spaces diately adjacent, vertically or horizontally, to attached garages, regardless of the presence enings between the garage and the adjacent occupiable spaces. Other occupiable spaces are not adjacent to the attached garage do not require carbon monoxide detectors.
[<u>101</u> :A.2	2.3.4.5.1]
Statement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input
This public ir This PI is nea requirements	uput (PI) seeks to correlate the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 101 eded because during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection in the 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA 1.
Submitter Info	ormation Verification
Submitter F	ull Name: Shane Clary
Organization	1: Bay Alarm Company
Affiliation:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)
Street Addre	ess:
City:	
State:	
Zip:	
Submittal Da	ate: Thu Apr 04 01:09:21 EDT 2024
Committee:	FCC-OCP
committee St	atement
Resolution :	FR-108-NFPA 1-2024
Statement:	This revision correlates the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 101. This revision is needed because during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA 1. The reference to existing detention and correction was corrected to new detection and correction which is correct for the location in NFPA 1. Additional requirements for where carbon monoxide detection is not required is included.

Public II	nput No. 230-NFPA 1-2024 [New Section after A.13.7.2.12.4.3]		
<u>i</u> K <u>c</u>	A.13.7.2.12.5.1(3) The intent is to require carbon monoxide detectors in occupiable spaces mmediately adjacent, vertically or horizontally, to attached garages, regardless of the presence of openings between the garage and the adjacent occupiable spaces. Other occupiable spaces that are not adjacent to the attached garage do not require carbon nonoxide detectors. 101: A.23.3.4.5.1]		
Statement of	Problem and Substantiation for Public Input		
This public ir This PI is ne requirements	nput (PI) seeks to correlate the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 101. eded because during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection s in the 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA 1.		
Submitter Inf	ormation Verification		
Submitter F	ull Name: Shane Clary		
Organizatio	n: Bay Alarm Company		
Affiliation:	Automatic Fire Alarm Association (AFAA)		
Street Addre	ess:		
City:			
State:			
Zip:			
Submittal D	ate: Thu Apr 04 01:13:35 EDT 2024		
Committee:	FCC-OCP		
Committee St	committee Statement		
Resolution:	FR-109-NFPA 1-2024		
Statement:	This revision correlates the requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 1 with NFPA 101. This revision is needed because during the normal revision cycle the carbon monoxide detection requirements in the 2024 edition of NFPA 101 were not incorporated into the 2024 edition of NFPA 1. This revision incorporates the requirements from NFPA 101 where carbon monoxide detection is not required.		



